

A.No. 1056/24

09.12.2024

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present : Appellant in person.

Appellant submits that his counsel is not available today due to some personal exigencies.

On request, put up for consideration on 17.12.2024.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD
09.12.2024

A.No. 117/24 (M) & 118/24 (M)

09.12.2024

Present : Sh. Manendra Mishra, Ld counsel for the appellant.

File taken up today on an application seeking restoration of appeal alongwith application u/s 5 of the Limitation Act.

Notice of both the applications be issued to the respondent for 24.01.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD
09.12.2024

A.No. 1028/24
09.12.2024

Present : Sh. Aditya Swarup, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC alongwith Sh. Mohd. Ilyas and Ms. Kriti Gupta, Ld. counsels for the appellant in person.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard. Ld. counsel for respondent seeks an adjournment to file status report and to clarify the status of "ROW" of the road where tower has been installed. He further submits that he requires assistance from concerned officer to address further arguments in this matter. The official concerned is directed to appear in person on the next date of hearing. A Senior Officer from Law Department (MCD) shall also appear to assist in the matter on the next date of hearing.

It is submitted by Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld. counsel for the MCD that a separate sealing order dated 13.07.2023 was also passed against the tower in question which has not been impugned by the appellant so far. Ld. counsel for appellant seeks an adjournment to take instructions and clarify the issue.

Put up for further arguments on interim application as well as on the point of appeal on **20.12.2024**.

Copy of this order be given dasti.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD
09.12.2024 (J)

A.No. 1055/24

09.12.2024

Present : Sh. Nitesh Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent
alongwith Sh. Srinivas, AE(B). Fresh Vakalatnama
filed, same is taken on record

Status report is filed by the department, copy supplied.
Put up for arguments on interim application as well as
appeal alongwith connected appeals on 16.12.2024.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD
09.12.2024

A.No. 1051/24

09.12.2024

Present : Sh. Mritunjay Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Ms. Renu Soni, Nodal Officer on behalf of the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf Ms. Renu Soni, Nodal officer, MCD to clarify the queries in respect of demolition order, if any, which has been passed qua the property in question.

Put up for consideration 17.12.2024.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
P.O : Appellate Tribunal: MCD
09.12.2024

A.No. 1024/24, 1025/24 & 1026/24

09.12.2024

Present : Sh. Nitesh Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Jasman Singh Sethi, Ld counsel for the respondent alongwith Sh. Srinivas, AE(B). Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Ld. counsel for appellant submits that they have filed the sealing appeal also which are listed in fresh matters. He submits that he will like to argue both demolition as well as sealing cases together.

Accordingly, put up for arguments on interim application as well as appeal alongwith connected appeal on 16.12.2024.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD
09.12.2024

A.No. 736/24, 737/24,738/24, 739/24, 740/24, 741/24 & 742/24

09.12.2024

Present : Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal Nos. 737/24,738/24, & 740/24.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld. counsel for respondent in appeal No. 736/24, 739/24, 741/24 & 742/24.

Affidavit is filed by the appellant on record, copy supplied.

Ld. counsel for MCD submits that the present appeal is not maintainable as the appellant has impugned the vacation notice only and appeal against the vacation notice is not maintainable as per Section 347-B of the DMC Act.

It is submitted that main counsel Mr. Prashant Diwan is unavailable today due to bereavement in his family.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments.

Put up for arguments on the point of maintainability, application seeking condonation of delay, interim application as well as appeal on **13.02.2025**.

Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD
09.12.2024 J

A.No. 625/24

09.12.2024

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal , Ld counsel for the respondent
alongsith Sh. Lalit Goel, AE(B).

It is informed that the then Dy. Commissioner Dr.
Angel Bhati Chauhan, IAS is on leave today till
15.12.2025.

In view of the submissions made, appearance of Dy.
Commissioner is exempted for today. She is directed
to appear in person or through VC on next date of
hearing for clarification in terms of the previous order.
Put up for further arguments on the point of appeal on
27.01.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD
09.12.2024 J

A.No. 88/20 & 89/20

09.12.2024

Present : Sh. Tanvir Zaki proxy counsel for appellant and
Sh. Naveen Kumar Sharma counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Prad;eep Mishra, Ld. counsel for intervener
alongwith intervener in person.

Sh. Tanvir Zaki, proxy counsel seeks an adjournment
as main counsel Sh. S.D. Ansari has expired. He
needs some time to file fresh vakalatnama on record
and also to inspect the file.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is
granted to the appellant to address the arguments in
the matter.

Put up for purposed already fixed on 10.03.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD
09.12.2024

A.No. 191/24

09.12.2024

Present : Sh. Madhur Bhushan and Sh. Raghuvender Singh, Ld
counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Sanjeet Kumar, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Pritish
Sabharwal, Ld. counsel for the respondent No.1.
Sh. Tushar Verma, proxy counsel for respondent
No.2.

Ld. counsel for appellant submits that they are not
pressing the application under Section 5 of the
Limitation Act moved alongwith the appeal which is
dated 13.03.2024. Accordingly, the said application is
dismissed as withdrawn.

An application under Section 5 of the Limitation Act
moved by the appellant is listed today, copy supplied
to the respondent. Advance copy of the reply, if any
be supplied to the appellant.

Put up for reply and arguments on the aforesaid
application on 21.04.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD
09.12.2024

A.No. 119/24 (M)

09.12.2024

Present : Sh. Gurmit Singh Hans, Ld counsel for the appellant.

File taken up today on an application under Section 151 CPC for seeking necessary directions against the respondent.

Notice of the application be issued to the respondent for 16.12.2024.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
P.O :Appellate Tribunal:MCD
09.12.2024

A.No. 103/23

09.12.2024

Present : Ms. Seema Seth, Mr. Satish Panchal, Ms. KMuskaan Deswal and Ms. Poorvi Jain, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ajay Gaur, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Ms. Ranjeeta, Ld. counsel for intervener.

Part arguments on the application seeking condonation of delay heard.

It is submitted by the Ld. counsel for respondent that appellant was aware about the demolition/sealing proceedings during the proceedings in the writ petition before the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi.

In order to appreciate the aspect of limitation it will be prudent to look into the status report filed by the MCD before the Hon'ble High Court in W.P.(C) 3820/2019. Copy of the status report be placed before this Tribunal on the next date of hearing.

At page 20/C of the MCD record there is a receiving by one Mr. Surrender on the impugned sealing order. Ld. counsel for the respondent submits that the impugned sealing order was received by Mr. Surrender and was in the knowledge of appellant.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks some time to take instructions in this regard.

Put up for further arguments on an application seeking condonation of delay on **22.04.2025**.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
P.O :Appellate Tribunal:MCD
09.12.2024 J

A.No.253/22

09.12.2024

Present : Sh. Tanvir Zaki proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. H.R. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Ms. Poonam Maini, Ld. counsel for intervener.

Sh. Tanvir Zaki, proxy counsel seeks an adjournment as main counsel Sh. S.D. Ansari has expired. He needs some time to file fresh vakalatnama on record and also to inspect the file.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for purposed already fixed on 22.04.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
P.O :Appellate Tribunal:MCD
09.12.2024

A.No. 151/22, 152/22, 27/22 & 28/22

09.12.2024

Present : Sh. Tanvir Zaki proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Mohit Shurma, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Ayaz Ahmad, Ld. counsel for intervener.

Sh. Tanvir Zaki, proxy counsel seeks an adjournment as main counsel Sh. S.D. Ansari has expired. He seeks some time to file fresh vakalatnama on record and also to inspect the file.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for purposed already fixed on 22.04.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
P.O :Appellate Tribunal:MCD
09.12.2024

A.No. 1089/15

09.12.2024

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Mohammad Ali, Ld. counsel for intervener.

Part arguments heard.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that before addressing the arguments in the matter, he needs to inspect the file to address further arguments in the matter.

Re-list for arguments on interim application as well as appeal on 12.03.2025.

Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
P.O :Appellate Tribunal:MCD
09.12.2024

A.No. 81/19 & 14/19

09.12.2024

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. H.R. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal No.81/19.
Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld. counsel for respondent in appeal No.14/19.

Part arguments on the application seeking condonation of delay heard.

The appellants have filed separate appeal impugning a demolition order dated 27.11.2012 and sealing order dated 16.05.2017. It is the case of the appellant that the said orders were not served upon them. On 24.05.2024 MCD has filed a report informing that no document of service is available on record to prove how and when the aforesaid impugned orders were served upon the appellant.

The then AE(B) as well as Mr. Dharm Raj Meena, AE(B) who filed the report dated 24.05.2024 are directed to appear in person on the next date of hearing.

Put up for further arguments on the application seeking condonation of delay on 22.04.2025.

Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing in appeal No.81/19.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
P.O :Appellate Tribunal:MCD
09.12.2024 J

A.No. 930/15 & 05/16

09.12.2024

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that before addressing the arguments in the matter, he needs to inspect the file to address further arguments in the matter.

Re-list for arguments on interim application as well as appeal on 23.04.2025.

Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing in appeal No.930/15.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
P.O :Appellate Tribunal:MCD
09.12.2024

A.No. 284/20

09.12.2024

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent
alongwith Sh. Yashwant Singh, AE(B).

Status report is filed by the department.

Despite various calls none is appeared on behalf of
the appellant before the court or through VC.

The matter is adjournment subject to cost of
Rs.5,000/- to be deposited with the Registry of this
Tribunal.

Put up for arguments on appeal on 22.04.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
P.O :Appellate Tribunal:MCD
09.12.2024

1.30 p.m.

At this stage Sh. Vipin Nandwani, Ld. counsel for the
appellant appeared. He is informed about the order
passed today.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
P.O :Appellate Tribunal:MCD
09.12.2024

A.No. 1062/24 & 1063/24

09.12.2024

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Nitesh Gupta , Ld. counsel for the appellant.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on 16.12.2024.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD
09.12.2024

A.No. 116/24 (M)

09.12.2024

Present : Sh. Saad Anwar, Ld counsel for the appellant.

File is taken up today on an application moved by appellant seeking return of original documents.

My Ld. Predecessor has already disposed off this case. The original documents belonging to appellant be returned against filing of certified copies of the same on record. The documents be returned against due acknowledgment and receipt to the appellant.

Accordingly, application is disposed off.

File be consigned to record room.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD
09.12.2024

A.No. 973/24, 974/24, 975/24, 976/24 & 977/24

09.12.2024

Present : Sh. Rahul Gahlot, Proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Pritesh Sabbharwal, Ld. Counsel for the
respondent joined through VCalong with Sh. Gaurav
Pathik, AE(B).

Status report is filed on behalf of respondent
department. Copy supplied.

Adjournment is sought on behalf of appellant as main
counsel Sh. Noor Alam Eadrishi is not available today
due to bad health.

Put up for arguments on interim application and
appeal on 10.03.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD
09.12.2024

A.No. 972/24

09.12.2024

Present : Sh. Rahul Gahlot, Proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Pritesh Sabbharwal, Ld. Counsel for the
respondent joined through VCalong with Sh. Gaurav
Pathik, AE(B).

Status report is filed on behalf of respondent
department. Copy supplied.

Adjournment is sought on behalf of appellant as main
counsel Sh. Noor Alam Eadrishi is not available today
due to bad health.

Put up for arguments on interim application and
appeal on 10.03.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD
09.12.2024

/

A.No. 780/16

09.12.2024

Present : Sh. C. Parkash, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashok Kumar, Sr. Assistant for Sh. Sanjay
Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent, NDMC.

Part arguments on the point of appeal heard.

It is informed that main counsel Sh. Sanjay Sharma is
unavailable today.

Put up for arguments on interim application and
appeal on 19.12.2024.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD
09.12.2024

A.No. 758/18

09.12.2024

Present : Sh. Karan Chaudhary, Proxy counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Ashok Kumar, Sr. Assistant for Sh. Sanjay Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent, NDMC.

It is informed that as main counsel Sh. Abhishek Singh is unavailable today being busy before the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi.

In the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted to respondent to address arguments.

Put up for arguments on interim application and appeal on 05.03.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD
09.12.2024

A.No. 760/24

09.12.2024

Present : Sh. Rahul Gahlot, Proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Pritesh Sabbharwal, Ld. Counsel for the
respondent joined through VCalong with Sh. Gaurav
Pathik, AE(B).

Status report is filed on behalf of respondent
department. Copy supplied.

Adjournment is sought on behalf of appellant as main
counsel Sh. Noor Alam Eadrishi is not available today
due to bad health.

Put up for arguments on interim application and
appeal on 10.03.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD
09.12.2024

A.No. 855/24, 856/24 857/24 & 858/24

09.12.2024

Present : Sh. Harsh Yadav & Ms. Pooja Kumar, Proxy counsel
for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Adjournment is sought on behalf of appellant as main
counsel Sh. Vikas Yadav is not available today being
out of station.

In the interest of justice, one last opportunity is
granted to appellant to address arguments in the
matter subject to cost of Rs.2500/- to be deposited in
the Registry of this Tribunal.

Put up for arguments on interim application and
appeal on 16.04.2025.

Status quo be maintained till next date of hearing.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD
09.12.2024

A.No. 686/24

09.12.2024

Present : Sh. M.G.Vacher , Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Sandeep Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent
along with AE(B) Sunil Kumar, AE(B).

Status report is filed on behalf of respondent department. Copy supplied.

Reply to the application under Section 5 of Limitation Act is filed by the respondent / MCD. Copy supplied.

Part arguments on the application under Section 5 of Limitation Act heard.

Ld. counsel for respondent / MCD seeks an adjournment to address further arguments on aforesaid application. Opportunity granted.

Put up for further arguments on application seeking condonation of delay, interim application and appeal on 20.12.2024.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD
09.12.2024

A.No. 555/24

09.12.2024

Present : Sh. B.S.Chaudhary, Ld counsel for the appellant
joined through VC.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Proxy counsel for Ms. Parveen
Sharma, Ld.counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments on the point of appeal heard.

In para 2 of impugned order dated 17.05.2024, it is mentioned that there is requirement that floors below shall be in conformity with building plan / completion plan/ regularization plan / compoundable deviations etc. The impugned order is silent in respect of status of floors below in building. Respondent / MCD is directed to file status report and clarify the position in that regard.

It is further argued by Ld. counsel for appellant that they have already supplied the relevant documents to the MCD in compliance of invalid notice but despite that the regularization application was dismissed.

AE(B) concerned who has passed the order dated 17.05.2024 is also directed to appear in person before the Tribunal on the next date of hearing for clarification in that regard.

Put up for further arguments on the point of appeal on 19.12.2024.

Copy of order be given dasti.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD
09.12.2024 R

A.No. 530/22

09.12.2024

Present : None for the appellant.

Ms. Renu Soni, Nodal Officer on behalf of the respondent / MCD.

Ms. Renu Soni, Nodal Officer on behalf of the respondent / MCD seeks an adjournment to take instruction from the department regarding appointment of counsel in the present matter.

In the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted to respondent / MCD to address arguments.

Put up for arguments on the point of appeal on 17.02.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD
09.12.2024

A.No. 63/20

09.12.2024

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi , Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

1. Arguments on application under Section 5 of Limitation Act heard.
2. It is submitted by Ld. counsel for appellant that they have received the show cause notice and participated in the proceedings before the quasi judicial authority. Appellant clarified about property no.2270, Med Ganj, B.G.Road, Sardar Bazar, Delhi. He submits that property was sealed on 10.06.2019 and thereafter appellant gave various representations to the MCD to consider their version. Appellant was waiting for the fate of said representations and when their grievances were not redressed, they filed the present appeal.
3. Ld. counsel for MCD submits that the demolition order was served by way of post. He submits that appellant has not disputed the service of the sealing order. He submits that appellant has failed to file appeal within reasonable time and application be dismissed.
4. Arguments heard and record perused. Perusal of record shows that appellant was participating in the proceedings before the quasi judicial authority. The

Contd...

premises is stated to have been sealed on 10.06.2019 thereafter appellant gave various representations to the MCD to consider his version and pleas. The demolition order was sent by post on 21.06.2019 after the premises was sealed. Service by the postal method especially when the property was lying sealed creates a doubt about service. Appellant has raised grounds in the appeal which needs to be adjudicated on merits. From the record it is clear that after passing of the impugned order, appellant was making representations to the MCD to exhaust his remedies before approaching this Tribunal. Appellant has been able to show sufficient cause for condonation of delay. Application seeking condonation of delay is allowed and delay is condoned.

5. It is clarified that the observations made while passing of this order by this Tribunal shall not tantamount to the expression on the merits of this case.

Put up for arguments on the point of appeal on 22.04.2025.

Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD
09.12.2024 R

A.No. 62/20

09.12.2024

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi , Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

1. Arguments on application under Section 5 of Limitation Act heard.
2. It is submitted by Ld. counsel for appellant that they have received the show cause notice and participated in the proceedings before the quasi judicial authority. Appellant clarified about property no.2270, Med Ganj, B.G.Road, Sardar Bazar, Delhi. He submits that property was sealed on 10.06.2019 and thereafter appellant gave various representations to the MCD to consider their version. Appellant was waiting for the fate of said representations and when their grievances were not redressed, they filed the present appeal.
3. Ld. counsel for MCD submits that the demolition order was served by way of post. He submits that appellant has not disputed the service of the sealing order. He submits that appellant has failed to file appeal within reasonable time and application be dismissed.
4. Arguments heard and record perused. Perusal of record shows that appellant was participating in the proceedings before the quasi judicial authority. The

Contd...

premises is stated to have been sealed on 10.06.2019 thereafter appellant gave various representations to the MCD to consider his version and pleas. Appellant has raised grounds in the appeal which needs to be adjudicated on merits. From the record it is clear that after passing of the impugned order, appellant was making representations to the MCD to exhaust his remedies before approaching this Tribunal. Appellant has been able to show sufficient cause for condonation of delay. Application seeking condonation of delay is allowed and delay is condoned.

5. It is clarified that the observations made while passing of this order by this Tribunal shall not tantamount to the expression on the merits of this case.

Put up for arguments on the point of appeal on 22.04.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD
09.12.2024 R

A.No. 388/23

09.12.2024

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Pritiesh Sabbharwal, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

1. Arguments on application under Section 5 of Limitation Act heard.
2. It is submitted by Ld. counsel for appellant that impugned demolition order was never served upon them. He submits that on 08.06.2023 appellant received the vacation notice dated 19.05.2023. He submits that thereafter appellant received certified copy of notice and impugned demolition order which was issued on 12.06.2023 and present appeal was filed on 13.06.2023. He submits that delay in filing appeal occurred due to non-service of demolition order.
3. On the other hand Ld. counsel for respondent / MCD opposes the application. He submits that demolition order was sent through post and item was delivered on 25.07.2022 and applicant has not explained the delay in the matter.
4. Arguments heard and record perused. The record of MCD is silent in respect of efforts which have been made to effect personal service upon the appellant. The demolition order is stated to have been served by way of post. Appellant is refuting its service. The

Contd...

tracking report does not mention the person who has received the said postal article. It is the case of appellant that she came to know about proceedings only after issuance of vacation notice and received certified copy of demolition order and filed the present appeal. Appellant has raised the grounds in the appeal which needs to be adjudicated on merits and has been able to show sufficient cause in respect of delay in filing present appeal. Application seeking condonation of delay is allowed and delay is condoned.

5. It is clarified that the observations made while passing of this order by this Court shall not tantamount to the expression on the merits of this case.
6. Part arguments on the point of appeal heard. Ld. counsel for appellant seeks an adjournment to place on record the site plan which was relied upon by appellant in Suit No.148 / 2004 (copy of which has been filed at page 29-32 of the appeal). Opportunity granted.

Put up for further arguments on the point of appeal on 17.04.2025.

Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD
09.12.2024 R

A.No. 157/18

09.12.2024

Present : Sh. Sunil Chaudhary, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K.Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent no.1.

An application under Section 5 of Limitation Act is moved by appellant. Copy supplied.

Advance copy of reply be supplied to appellant.

Put up for reply, arguments on the application seeking condonation of delay, interim application and appeal on 21.04.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD
09.12.2024

A.No. 156/18

09.12.2024

Present : Sh. Sunil Chaudhary, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K.Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent no.1.

Put up with connected appeal on 21.04.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
P.O : Appellate Tribunal:MCD
09.12.2024