A.No. 536/23

28.03.2025

Present: Sh. Anish Chawla, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ms. Divanshi Saxena, Ld. counsel for respondent

No.2, joined through VC.

It is already lunch time. Ld. counsel for respondent No.2 submits that main counsel Mr. Aman Nandrajog is unavailable today due to some personal exigencies. In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for purpose fixed on 11.08.2025.

A.No. 94/25

28.03.2025

Present: Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by respondent / MCD. Copy supplied.

Ld. Counsel for appellant submits that other residents of Jyoti Nagar have already moved regularization application in respect of their properties and appellant herein will also takes steps to move regularization application.

It is informed that other cases pertaining to the same locality are listed before the Tribunal on 14.07.2025.

Accordingly, put up for arguments on pending interim applications as well as appeal on 14.07.2025.

A.No. 342/16

28.03.2025

Present: Sh. Amit Kumar & Sh. Ashish Verma, Ld counsel for

the appellant.

Sh. V.K.Aggarwal, Proxy counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

It is informed that Ld. counsel for respondent / MCD Mr. Mohit Sharma is unavailable today due to bad health.

In the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted to MCD to address arguments in the matter. Put for the purpose already fixed on 15.07.2025.

A.No. 109/25, 110/25 & 111/25

28.03.2025

Present:

Sh. Charanpreet Singh & Sh. Prateek Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal nos. 110/25 & 111/25.

Sh. Sanjeet Kumar, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Pritiesh Sabbharwal, Ld. Counsel for the respondent in appeal no.109/25.

Part arguments on the point of appeal heard.

- Ld. Counsel for respondent / MCD takes preliminary objection that appellant has not impleaded the necessary parties in the present matter. He submits that in the absence of impleadment of necessary parties hearing on merits is futile exercise.
- Ld. Counsel for appellant seeks some time to take instructions for taking steps for impleadment of necessary parties in the matter.
- Ld. Counsel for respondent / MCD submits that he needs to take instructions from the department before addressing further arguments in the matter.
- Ld. Counsel for appellant submits that protection be granted till next date of hearing.
- Ld. counsel for the respondent opposes request and submits that interim application be decided on merits. However, on instructions from the department he assures that the respondent will not carry out any

Contd....

demolition action against the property in question till next date of hearing.

Accordingly, put up for the purpose already fixed on 29.04.2025.

A.No. 293/20

28.03.2025

Present:

Sh. Prashant Diwan and Ms. Sonali Harish, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Ms. Vasu Singh, Ld counsel for the respondents No.1 & 2 joined through VC and Sh. Sanjay Sethi, Ld. counsel for respondents No.1 & 2 present in the Tribunal.

Ms. Sayantini Basak, Ld. counsel for respondent Nos 3 and 4, joined through VC.

Ld. counsel for respondents No.3 & 4 informs that next date of hearing before the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi is 10.07.2025.

Accordingly, put up for further proceedings on 21.08.2025.

A.No. 518/19

28.03.2025

Present:

Sh. Pardeep Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant. Sh. Dharmavir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent. Sh. Rakesh Kumar, Ld. counsel for respondent nos. 2 to 6.

Part arguments heard.

Ld. Counsel for appellant submits that property in question falls in Nimari Village which is lal dora within Shastri Nagar, New Delhi.

Ld. Counsel for respondent / MCD seeks some time to take instructions from the department in this regard.

Ld. Counsel for respondent / MCD is directed to file status report to clarify the issue.

Put up for further arguments on pending interim applications and clarification / appeal on 22.08.2025.

A.No. 365/24

28.03.2025

Present:

Sh. Rakesh Wadwa and Sh. Manish Gandhi, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Reply to the application under Order VII Rule 7 CPC is filed by the respondent No.2, copy supplied.

It is already lunch time. Ld. counsel for both the parties submits that they are not available in post lunch session due to personal exigencies.

Accordingly, matter be re-listed for purpose already fixed on 18.07.2025.

28.03.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present: Ms. Neha Kapoor, Ld. counsel for the appellant.

- 1. Amended memo of party is filed on behalf of appellant.
- 2. Ld. Counsel for appellant submits that the present appeal in respect of first floor and structure which exist at terrace of first floor.
- Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent no.1 through concerned Chief Law officer and respondent no.2 as well.
 - The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.
- 4. Ld. Counsel for appellant presses the interim application. She submits that appellant has filed documents and electricity bills which show that structure is old. She submits that MCD issued a vacation notice dated 21.03.2025 in respect of property and appellant is residing there along with his family. She submits that in case interim protection is not granted at this juncture, the appeal will become infrutuous and the appellant will suffer irreparable loss and have no alternative accommodation.

Contd...

In view of the aforesaid exigency, status quo be maintained in respect of the property in question till

the next date of hearing.

6. It is clarified that the observations made while passing

of this order by this Court shall not tantamount to the

expression on the merits of this case.

7. Appellant shall however not raise any unauthorized

construction in the said property and shall not create

any third party rights without necessary permission as

prescribed by law.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and

appeal on 19.05.2025.

Copy of order be given dasti.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)

Addl. District & Sessions Judge P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD

28.03.2025 R

A.No. 59/25

28.03.2025

File is taken up today on an application seeking early hearing.

Present: Ms. Sapna Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant joined

through VC.

In view of the exigencies cited in the application, notice of the application be issued to the respondent MCD for 25.04.2025.

A.No. 105/23

28.03.2025

Present: Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments on the point of clarifications heard.

Ld. Counsels for appellant seeks some time to take further instructions in the matter to address further arguments in the matter.

Accordingly, put up for further arguments on the point of clarifications on 02.04.2025.

A.No. 106/23

28.03.2025

Present: Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments on the point of clarifications heard.

Ld. Counsels for appellant and respondent / MCD seeks some time to take further instructions in the matter and also to file reply to application under Rule 6 and 14 of DMC Appellate Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1986.

Concerned AE(B) is directed to remain present in person before the Tribunal on the next date of hearing. Accordingly, put up for further arguments on the point of clarifications on 02.04.2025.

A.No. 107/23

28.03.2025

Present: Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments on the point of clarifications heard.

Ld. Counsels for appellant and respondent / MCD seeks some time to take further instructions in the matter and also to file reply to application under Rule 6 and 14 of DMC Appellate Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1986.

Concerned AE(B) is directed to remain present in person before the Tribunal on the next date of hearing. Accordingly, put up for further arguments on the point of clarifications on 02.04.2025.

A.No. 744/24 & 745/24

28.03.2025

Present:

Sh. Ashwani Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant. Fresh Vakalatnama is filed by Ld. counsel for appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Ld. Counsel for appellant submits that he is recently engaged in the present matter and needs some time to inspect the record before addressing arguments in the matter.

Mr. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld. Counsel for respondent / MCD submits that as appellant recently engaged new counsel, therefore he is not opposing the request. However, he highlights that pursuant to the directions issued by Hon'ble High Court of Delhi, appellant is enjoying interim protection since last six months and not coming forward to address arguments. He requests that short adjournment be granted only and matter be heard on merits on the next date of hearing. I find merits in the submissions made by Ld. Counsel for respondent / MCD. In the interest of justice, one last and final opportunity is granted to appellant to address arguments in the matter.

It is clarified that no further request for adjournment shall be entertained in the present matter. Put up for arguments on application seeking condonation of delay and pending interim applications as well as appeal on 21.05.2025.

Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.

A.No. 36/25

28.03.2025

Present: Sh. Vijay Kasana, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

It is pointed out by Ld. counsels for both the parties that vide order dated 17.03.2025 passed in WP (C) 15750 / 2023, the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi has already clarified that the Tribunal is at liberty to deal with the case without being influenced by pending writ petitions.

Ld. Counsel for appellant submits that they have furnished undertaking / affidavit dated 12.02.2024 before this Tribunal to be bound by the direction of the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in the writ petition.

Arguments on the point of clarifications on interim application under Section 347 of the DMC Act seeking temporarily desealing heard from Ld. counsels of both the parties at length.

Put up for orders on 03.04.2025.

A.No. 555/13, 558/13, 563/13, 565/13 & 566/13

28.03.2025

Present: Sh. Yakesh Anand, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Anubhav Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal nos. 555/13, 558/13 & 563/13 joined through VC.

Sh.Ravi Ranjan, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 565/13.

Sh. Zia Lal Kasana, Nodal Officer on behalf of the respondent / MCD in appeal no.566/13.

Part arguments on the point of appeal heard.

Arguments could not be concluded as Ld. Counsel for respondent / MCD Mr. Ranjit Pandey is unavailable today due to some personal exigency.

During the course of arguments, it is also pointed out that MCD in their report dated 17.10.2016 informed that land use of properties is 'work centre' and whereas in the report dated 10.05.2025 MCD has informed that land of use at site is residential as per MPD-2021.

The EE(B) as well as AE(B) are directed to appear in person to clarify issue on the next date of hearing. Put up for further arguments on the point of appeal on 15.07.2025.

A.No. 374/11, 375/11 & 394/13

28.03.2025

Present:

Sh. Ashish Kumar Bhagat & Princy Chaudhary, Ld

counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Ankur Sharma, Proxy counsel for the respondent

in appeal no.394/13 along with Ms. Shikha Verma,

Asstt. Town Planner, Town Planning Department

Sh. Ravi Ranjan, Ld. counsel for the respondent in

appeal nos.374/11 & 375/11.

Status report is filed by Chief Town Planner informing

that they have received the proposal from appellant

which is pending for consideration. Copy supplied. It

is informed that a letter dated 18.03.2025 has been

issued to appellant seeking some documents /

clarifications.

Ld. Counsel for appellant submits that they will take

appropriate steps in the matter.

Accordingly, put up for further arguments on the point

of appeal on 10.06.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge

P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD

28.03.2025 R

A.No. 171/25

28.03.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present:

Sh. Sandeep Sharma, Ld. counsel for the appellant.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on 09.05.2025.

A.No. 108/17 & 179/17

28.03.2025

Present:

Ms. Shardha Sharma, Proxy counsel for the appellant.

Sh. V.K.Aggarwa, Ld counsel for the respondent in

appeal no.179/17 along with Sh. Varun, AE(B).

Sh. Sanjay Sethi, Ld. counsel for the respondent in

appeal no.108/17.

Mr. Varun, AE(B) seeks some time to examine the record and file status report / record in terms of order dated 03.02.2025. Opportunity granted.

It is submitted that main counsel for appellant Mr. Amit Rana is unavailable today being out of station.

Put up for filing status report / record and further arguments on the point of clarifications / appeal on 11.06.2025.

A.No. 263/22

28.03.2025

Present: Ms. Sana Ansari, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Parmesh Bali, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Fresh Vakalatnama filed on behalf of the appellant,

same is taken on record.

Ld. counsel for appellant seeks some time to inspect the record and take instruction before addressing the

arguments in the matter.

Put up for purpose already fixed on 12.08.2025.

A.No. 471/24 & 713/24

28.03.2025

Present:

Sh. Ayaz Ahmed, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. S.Adil Hussain, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no.471/24 along with Shri Anil Bansal, AE(B). Sh. Anubhav Gupta, Ld. counsel for the respondent in

appeal no.713/24 joined through VC.

Status report is filed by respondent / MCD. Copy supplied.

During the pendency of the appeal, appellant place on record some additional documents.

Ld. Counsel for respondent / MCD submits that permission of the Tribunal has not been obtained under the relevant rules to take additional documents as evidence in support of the appeal.

Ld. Counsel for appellant seeks some time to take steps and to move an appropriate application.

Concerned AE(B) is directed to remain present in person before the Tribunal on the next date of hearing. Put for the purpose already fixed on 09.06.2025.

A.No. 716/23

28.03.2025

Present:

Sh. Prakashi , Ld counsel for the appellant jointed

through VC.

Sh. Sidhant Verma, Ld counsel for the respondent

joined through VC.

Ld. Counsel for appellant submits that she is recently engaged in the matter and needs some time to take

appropriate steps.

Put up for further proceedings on 03.07.2025.

A.No. 143/21

28.03.2025

Present: Sh. Aman Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Ms. Vasu Singh, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Sh. Vikas Khatri, Ld. counsel for intervener joined

through VC.

Part arguments on application under Order 1 Rule 10

CPC heard.

Ld. counsel for intervener submits that he has already filed some documents in support of his application and seeks an adjournment to appear in the Tribunal physically on next date of hearing to point out those

documents.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that they have not received any copy of documents which have been filed by the intervener in support of his application. Advance copy of such documents be supplied to Ld. counsel for appellant and MCD.

Put up for further arguments on application under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC and appeal on 25.07.2025.

Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.

A.No. 47/23

28.03.2025

Present: Ms. Mridul Sharma proxy counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Sanjeet Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent

with Mr. R.K. Jain, AE(B).

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Gaurav Kocchar is un-available today due to bad health.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for purpose fixed on 11.08.2025.

A.No. 512/18

28.03.2025

Present:

Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. V.K.Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Avinash Chaudhary, Administrative Officer, General Branch, KB Zone.

Status report is filed by respondent / MCD clarifying that the property in question is commercial allotment and does not require any conversion charges / parking.

It is also clarified that there is no embargo is imposed by the Hon'ble Monitoring Committee and Hon'ble Judicial Committee or any other higher forum in respect of property in question.

Ld. Counsel for appellant seeks some time to furnish affidavit giving the undertaking of appellant to use the property in question for permissible purposes.

Put up for further proceedings on 21.04.2025.

A.No. 360/24, 517/23, 518/23 & 519/23

28.03.2025

Present:

Sh. Shadan Farasat, Sr. Counsel & Sh. Samman Vardhan Gautam, Ld counsel for the appellant along with Sh. Aman Naqvi and Ms. Khushi Sharma, Advocates.

Sh. Ankur Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 517/23 & 519/23.

Sh. Jasleen Kaur, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no.517/23.

Sh. Pulkit Garg, Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no.360/24.

Sh. Vishal Maan, Ld. counsel for the intervener joined through VC.

Part arguments heard.

It is informed that Ld. Counsel for respondent / MCD Mr. Ashutosh Gupta (in appeal no.360/24) is busy before some other court in a cross examination.

Accordingly at joint request of parties, matter be relist for the purpose already fixed on 19.05.2025 at 2:30 PM.

The undertaking given by MCD in appeal no.360/25 on 06.12.2024 to continue till next date of hearing.

A.No. 172/25

28.03.2025

Present: Sh. Rishabh Rai & Sh. Sauraya, Proxy counsel for the

appellant.

It is submitted that main counsel for appellant Mr.

Manish Gupta is unavailable today.

At request, put for consideration on 03.04.2025.

A.No. 452/22

28.03.2025

Present:

Sh. Hardik Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Ms. Arti Bansal, Ld counsel for the respondent

alongwth Sh. L.R. Meena, AE(B).

In the present case the property was booked for

unauthorized construction and demolition order dated 11.07.2022 was passed for entire ground floor, first

floor, second floor and third floor of the property.

Status report is filed by the MCD, confirming that they

have sanctioned building plan on 20.06.2024.

Status report is silent as to whether the complete

unauthorized construction as alleged in the demolition

order dated 11.07.2022 stands covered and

sanctioned vide the aforesaid sanctioned building

plan.

MCD is directed to file status report and clarify the

position.

AE(B) is directed to appear in person on next date of

hearing.

Put up for filing status report, arguments on interim

application as well as appeal on 28.04.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD

28.03.2025 (J)

A.No. 1030/24

28.03.2025

Statement of Smt. Shakuntala Devi W/o Sh. Suresh Kumar, appellant R/o H.No.386, Gali No.9, Mangolpur Kalan, Delhi-110085.

ON SA

I am the appellant in the above said appeal. Copy of my Aadhar card is Ex. C-1 (OSR). I may be permitted to withdraw the present appeal. Same may be dismissed as withdrawn.

RO&AC

A.No. 1030/24

28.03.2025

Present:

Sh. Akshit Sawal, Ld counsel for the appellant alongwith appellant in person.

Sh. Sandeep Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Appellant present in the court submits that she may be permitted to withdraw the present appeal.

Separate statement of the appellant has been recorded in this regard.

In view of the facts and circumstances, the appeal filed by the appellant is dismissed as withdrawn.

Copy of the order be sent to AE(B) for his information.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

A.No. 280/24 & 281/24

28.03.2025

Present: Ms. Parul Agarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Avishek Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Arguments heard on the point of appeal as well as application seeking condonation of delay at length from both the parties.

Vide separate common judgment of even date, the application seeking condonation of delay and appeals are allowed and the matter is remanded back to the MCD for deciding the same afresh.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

A.No. 168/25

28.03.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present:

Sh. Mukesh Kumar & Rajesh Pandey, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on 02.05.2025.

A.No. 362/24

28.03.2025

Present: Sh. Aditi Singhal, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of appellant as main counsel Mr. Rajat Manchanda is unavailable

today due to bad health.

Put up for further proceedings on 11.08.2025.

A.No. 839/23

28.03.2025

Present: None for the appellant.

Sh. Avishek Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

None has appeared on behalf of appellant since morning in the Tribunal or through VC despite various calls. None was present on behalf of the appellant on 20.01.2024 as well.

It is 2.50 P.M. The present appeal is dismissed for non prosecution.

Record of the respondent if any be returned alongwith copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

A.No. 1029/24

28.03.2025

Statement of Sagar Shokeen S/o Late Sh. Rajender, appellant R/o RZ-120-, Khasra No.70/1/1, Mangolpur Kalan, Delhi-110085.
ON SA

I am the appellant in the above said appeal. Copy of my Aadhar card is Ex. C-1 (OSR). I may be permitted to withdraw the present appeal. Same may be dismissed as withdrawn.

RO&AC

A.No. 1029/24

28.03.2025

Present:

Sh. Akshit Sawal, Ld counsel for the appellant alongwith appellant in person.

Sh. Sandeep Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Appellant present in the court submits that he may be permitted to withdraw the present appeal.

Separate statement of the appellant has been recorded in this regard.

In view of the facts and circumstances, the appeal filed by the appellant is dismissed as withdrawn.

Copy of the order be sent to AE(B) for his information.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

A.No. 720/23

28.03.2025

Present: Sh. Kunal Mittal, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for appellant submits that he has instructions to withdraw the present appeal.

Separate statement of the Ld. counsel of appellant has been recorded in this regard.

In view of the facts and circumstances, the appeal filed by the appellant is dismissed as withdrawn.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

A.No. 720/23

28.03.2025

Statement of Kunal Mittal, Ld. counsel for appellant, A-37, South Extension Part-I, New Delhi-110049.

At Bar.

I am the counsel for appellant in the present appeal. I have instructions to withdraw the present appeal, the same may be dismissed as withdrawn.

RO&AC

A.No. 719/23

28.03.2025

Statement of Kunal Mittal, Ld. counsel for appellant, A-37, South Extension Part-I, New Delhi-110049.

At Bar.

I am the counsel for appellant in the present appeal. I have instructions to withdraw the present appeal, the same may be dismissed as withdrawn.

RO&AC

A.No. 719/23

28.03.2025

Present: Sh. Kunal Mittal, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for appellant submits that he has instructions to withdraw the present appeal.

Separate statement of the Ld. counsel of appellant has been recorded in this regard.

In view of the facts and circumstances, the appeal filed by the appellant is dismissed as withdrawn.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.