A.No. 306/25

16.05.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Ankur Aggarwal, Ld. counsel for the appellant.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Ld counsel for the appellant informs that the other appeal which they have filed pertaining to the demolition proceedings is already listed before this Tribunal on 02.06.2025.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on 02.06.2025.

A.No. 311/25

16.05.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Sandeep Sharma, Ld. counsel for the appellant.

Ld counsel for the appellant submits that they have filed present appeal only for the portion of ground floor (left side).

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on 09.06.2025.

A.No. 314/25

16.05.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. G.R. Verma and Deepak Grover, Ld. counsel for the appellant along with appellant.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Ld counsel for the appellant informs that they have already filed appeal challenging the rejection of regularization which is listed before this Tribunal on 11.06.2025.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on 11.06.2025.

A.No. 315/25

16.05.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Bhavishya Mehra , Ld. counsel for the appellant.

Sh. S.S.Chahuan, AE(B) joined through VC.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on 09.06.2025.

A.No. 317/25

16.05.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. K.V. Sriwas, Ld. counsel for the appellant.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on 02.07.2025.

At request, copy of notice be given dasti.

A.No. 318/25

16.05.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Dharamvir Singh, Ld. counsel for the appellant along with Jagdish Kumar and Jitendra Kumar, Advocate.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on 23.05.2025.

A.No. 968/24

16.05.2025

File is taken up today on an application seeking early hearing in the matter.

Present : Sh. Rahul Ranjan, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Ld. counsel submits that he has instructions not to press the present application and seeks permission to withdraw the same.

Separate statement of Ld. counsel for appellant has been recorded in this regard.

In view of the above facts and circumstances, the present application is dismissed as withdrawn.

Matter be listed for purpose fixed on date already fixed i.e. 30.05.2025.

A.No. 268/25 16.05.2025 Present :

Sh. Dinesh Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.

- Sh. Avishek Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent.
- 1. Reply to the application under Section 5 of the Limitation Act file by the appellant. Copy supplied.
- Ld counsel for the appellant has placed on record copy of the order dated 07.05.2025 in WP (C) 4935/2025 & CM Appeal 27666/2025 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi wherein the following directions have been issued para no. 12 & 13.
 - "12. Considering the submissions made before this Court, since respondent no. 5 has exercised his statutory remedy by filing an appeal before the learned ATMCD, it is clarified that learned ATMCD shall hear the appeal filed by respondent no. 5 herein, on its own merits.
 - 13. With the aforesaid clarification, the present application is disposed of".
- 3. Ld counsel for the appellant seeks sometime to file additional documents and take instructions before concluding arguments in the matter.
- 4. Ld counsel for the MCD clarifies that as appellant is seeking an adjournment, MCD will not continue the undertaking given on last date of hearing in this matter. Clarification is taken on record.

Put up for the arguments on the pending applications as well as appeal on **28.07.2025**.

A.No. 70/25

16.05.2025

Present : Sh. Punit Kumar, Associate counsel for the appellant. Sh. Avishek Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Sunil Chauhan, AE(B).

> It is submitted that arguing counsel for appellant Ms. Parul Agarwal is unavailable today due to death of her relative.

> Ld. Counsel for respondent / MCD submits that due to aforesaid personal difficulties, they are not opposing adjournment request. However, he requests that a short date for hearing be given.

Accordingly, at joint request of both the parties, matter be listed for the purpose already fixed on 25.07.2025.

Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.

A.No. 202/25

16.05.2025

Present : Ms. Ashi Nigam, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Varum Sharma with Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsels for the respondent along with Col Vinod Atri, Dy. Commissioner, West Zone joined through VC, Sh. Pradeep Kumar Chauhan, EE(B-II) WZ, Sh. Abhay Chaturvedi, AE (B-II) WZ and Sh. Krishan Keshav, JLO present in the Tribunal.

Status report is filed by the MCD giving details of litigations pending before the other forums.

Col. Vinod Atri, Dy. Commissioner, MCD submits that he has recently joined in this Zone. He assures that in compliance of order dated 23.04.2025 passed in appeal No. 985/24, he will sensitize all the officers under his supervision and will ensure that the details of litigations pending before the other forums is mentioned in the status reports filed by the MCD. Explanation found satisfactory and he is discharged from this matter.

Part arguments on the application seeking condonation of delay heard.

Ld. counsel for MCD seeks some time to take instructions to place on record the postal tracking report through which the demolition order was served. He also seeks some time to clarify about the status report (if any) which was filed by the MCD in Civil Suit No.620/22 pending before the Dwarka Courts.

Put up for further arguments on application seeking condonation of delay on **21.05.2025**.

A.No. 11/24

16.05.2025

Present : Sh. M.P. Bhargava, Ld counsel for the appellant. Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

- Arguments on application under Section 151 CPC moved by the appellant seeking calculation of misuse charges heard at length from Ld. counsels for both the parties.
- By way of present application the appellant is seeking directions to the MCD to calculate the misuse /conversion charges so that they can be deposited with the MCD.
- 3. Ld. counsel for MCD opposes the application. He submits that on one hand the appellant is contesting the sealing order on merits and on the other hand he is taking a contrary stand for calculation of misuse charges. He submits that application is nothing but attempt to derail and delay the proceedings.
- 4. I found merits in the submissions made by the Ld. counsel for MCD. The calculation of misuse /conversion charges is out of the scope of this appeal as in this appeal the Tribunal needs to examine the impugned sealing order on merits. Accordingly, the aforesaid application is dismissed.
- 5. Arguments on application under Section 151 CPC seeking temporary desealing of the property heard at length from Ld. counsels for both the parties.
- 6. Ld. counsel for the MCD strongly opposes the application. He submits that despite order dated 19.12.2024 passed by this Tribunal considering the grave emergencies cited by the appellant, the appellant has failed to budge and break the inertia and take any steps for taking appropriate action.

- Ld. counsel for appellant submits that as the Tribunal is hearing the appeal on merits they are not pressing this application and seeks permission to withdraw the same. Accordingly, the application is dismissed as withdrawn.
- Arguments on application under Section 151 CPC read with Rule 6 of DMC Appellate Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1986 heard at length from Ld. counsels for both the parties.
- Ld. counsel for appellant submits that through this amendment application they intend to incorporate the provision of Chapter 6.4.1 of MPD-2021 which are relevant to the adjudication of this case.
- 10.Ld. counsel for MCD strongly opposes the application. He submits that the title as well as prayer clause of the application are absolutely contradictory. He submits that no amended appeal is filed along with application. He submits that the paragraph of the appeal in which the amendment is sought is also not specified. He submits that the amendment in the provision of law can very well be argued before the Tribunal and incorporated in the written submissions and no purpose will be served by amending the appeal in that regard. He submits that the application is nothing but to shams to delay the proceedings.
- 11. Arguments heard. Record perused. The title of the application shows that the appellant is seeking amendment in the appeal and to the contrary the prayer clause records that the ground and the additional prayer made in the application be taken on record. The application is highly ambiguous and does not specify whether the appellant is seeking to amend the appeal or seeking to bring additional prayer on record. Even otherwise, I found merits in the submissions made by the Ld. counsel for MCD that the amendment in the law or the legal submissions can be catered to at the stage of final arguments and can be incorporated in the written submissions

by the appellant. Application is frivolous and dismissed with the cost of Rs.10,000/- to be deposited with the Registry of this Tribunal.

12. Arguments on the point of appeal heard at length from Ld. counsels for both the parties.

Parties are at liberty to file their written submissions on or before 20.05.2025.

Put up for orders on 04.06.2025.

A.No. 792/24

16.05.2025

Present : Sh. Kirti Uppal, Sr. Ld counsel for the appellant along with Sh. Aman Raghav, Advocate.
Sh.Ashutosh Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Tarun Shanker Aray, EE(B) & Sh. D.R.Meena, AE(B) / KBZ.
Sh. Harikrishan Dass Nijhawan in person.

- An application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC is moved by Paardarshita Public Welfare Foundation (NGO) through its President Mr. Harikrishan Dass Nijhawan on 15.04.2025 and the same is listed for today.
- 2. Ld. counsel for appellant seeks some time to file detailed reply. Advance copy be supplied to applicant as well as to the MCD.
- Ld. counsel for respondent / MCD submits they have already received the copy of application and will address arguments on next date of hearing.
- 4. Clarifications are sought from AE(B) Sh. D.R. Meena in respect of submissions made by Mr. Harikrishan Dass Nijhawan on 07.04.2025. AE(B) as well as Ld. Counsel for respondent / MCD submits that the property no.2105 (Part) Corner Plot, Deshbandhu Gupta Road, Karol Bagh, New Delhi -110005 was booked by MCD in the present matter. He submits that the area of Karol Bagh is a special area without any layout plan showing

Contd...

the property numbers. He submits that for abundant precaution a rough sketch plan is also made in the show cause notice to rule out any ambiguity about the identity and location of the property. He submits that in order to clarify the concerns raised by Mr. Harikrishan Dass Nijhawan, MCD will file a detailed report clarifying about the property number and the structure which has been booked by the MCD. Put up for reply and arguments on application under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC as well as arguments on the point of appeal on 05.08.2025. Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.

A.No. 571/24

16.05.2025

Present : Sh. Kadam, Ld counsel for the appellant. Fresh Vakalatnama is filed. It be taken on record.

Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

It is already lunch time.

Ld. counsel for appellant submits that he is not available in post lunch session.

In the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted to appellant to address arguments in the matter.

Put for the purpose already fixed on 27.10.2025.

A.No. 104/25

16.05.2025

Present : Sh. Prashant Diwan, Ld counsel for the appellant. Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

- 1. The present appeal has been filed impugning the sealing show cause notice dated 07.02.2025 in sealing proceedings.
- MCD has filed status report informing that the matter is still under hearing and final order under Section 345-A of the DMC Act, 1957 shall be passed in due course of time as per law.
- Ld counsel for appellant submits that directions be issued for providing hearing and compliance of Principal of Natural Justice by MCD.
- 4. Perusal of the status report filed by the MCD shows that personal hearing is already granted to the appellant on 18.03.2025. It is further stated in the status report that a matter is still under hearing.
- 5. In view of the status report the directions sought by the Ld counsel for appellant are uncalled for as the Quasi Judicial Authority has already provided hearing to the appellant and matter is still under hearing.s
- From the status report, it is clear that the appeal is pre-mature as the appeal against the show cause notice is not maintainable under Section 347-B of the DMC Act, 1957.

- 7. Accordingly, appeal is dismissed. File be consigned to record room.
- 8. It is clarified that the observations made while passing of this order by this Tribunal shall not tantamount to the expression on the merits of this case.

Announced in the open Court.

Today i.e. 16.05.2025.

A.No. 853/24, 854/24, 341/24, 756/22 & 757/22

16.05.2025

Present : Sh. Ayush Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellants in appeal Nos. 853/24, 854/24 & 341/24

Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellants in appeal Nos. 756/22 & 757/22.

Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld. counsel for respondent in appeal Nos. 853/24 & 854/24.

Sh. Ravi Ranjan, Ld. counsel for respondent in appeal Nos. 756/22 & 757/22.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld. counsel for respondent in appeal No.341/24.

Sh. Vineet Behl, Ld. counsel for respondent No.2 in appeal No. 341/24, 756/22 & 757/22.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal is allowed and the matter is remanded back to the Quasi Judicial Authority for deciding the same afresh.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

A.No. 1087/24

16.05.2025

Present : Sh. Roshan, Associate counsel for the appellant. Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An application under Section 5 of Limitation Act is moved on behalf of appellant. Copy supplied.

MCD seeks some time to file detailed reply in the matter.

Put up for arguments on application under Section 5 of Limitation Act on 27.10.2025.

A.No. 1035/24

16.05.2025

Present : Appellant in person. Sh.Ashutosh Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

Due to time constraints and heavy cause list, arguments could not be concluded today.

Relist the matter for further arguments on pending interim application and appeal on 24.09.2025.

A.No. 410/22

16.05.2025

Present : Sh. Govind Rishi, Ld counsel for the appellant. Ms. Renu Soni, Nodal Officer on behalf of the respondent / MCD.

Nodal officer, MCD informs that the department is in process of engaging Mr. Ashutosh Gupta in the present matter.

Mr. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld. counsel submits that he is yet to receive the brief from the MCD in the matter and seeks some time to take instructions before addressing arguments in the matter.

In the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted to MCD to address arguments in the matter.

Put for the purpose already fixed on 29.08.2025.

Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.

A.No. 885/24

16.05.2025

Present : None for the appellant. Sh. Avishek Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

> It is 2.45 P.M. No one is present on behalf of the appellant in Tribunal and in VC despite various calls since morning. No adverse order is being passed today in the interest of justice. Put up for purpose fixed on **27.10.2025.**

A.No. 23/21 & 22/21

16.05.2025

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant. Sh. V.K.Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent. None for intervener.

Status report is filed by respondent / MCD. Copy supplied. Copy of the status report is placed in appeal no. 23/21.

Part arguments heard.

Arguments could not be concluded today due to time constraints and heavy cause list.

Relist the matter for further arguments on pending interim application and appeal on 11.08.2025.

A.No. 276/24

16.05.2025

Present : Sh. Prashant Diwan, Ld counsel for the appellant. Ms. Renu Soni, Nodal Officer on behalf of the respondent / MCD.

Status report is filed by respondent / MCD.

Ld. counsel for appellant submits that the copy of the same is not supplied to him. Copy be supplied to appellant. He submits that he needs to peruse status report before concluding arguments in the matter.

In the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted to appellant to address arguments in the matter.

Put up for further arguments on pending interim applications and appeal on 30.10.2025.

Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.

A.No. 372/19 & 398/19

16.05.2025

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant nos.1 to 5, 9, 12,16 & 17.

Sh. Vinay Kant Singh, Proxy counsel appellant nos. 6 to 8, 11, 13, 14, 15 & 18

None for appellant no. 10 &11.

Sh. Sanjay Sethi, Ld counsel for the respondent no.1 in appeal no.372/19.

Sh. Anand M.Mishra, Ld. counsel for respondent no.2 in appeal no.372/19

Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent no.1 in appeal no.398/19.

It is informed that arguing counsel for appellant nos. 6 to 8, 11, 13, 14, 15 & 18 Mr. Abhinav Tyagi is unavailable today due to bereavement in his family.

It is already lunch time. Ld. counsels for other appellants submit that they are not available in post lunch session.

In the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted to appellants to address arguments in the matter.

Put for the purpose already fixed on 04.11.2025.

Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.

16.05.2025

Present :

Sh. Anil Pandey and Sh. Arun Tripathi, Ld counsel for the appellant.Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for appellant submits that in order to cut short the controversy, he has instructions that appellant will remove the unauthorized construction within two weeks from today. Ld. counsel for MCD submits that he has no objection if appellant wants to remove the unauthorized construction in the property in question.

In view of the submissions made by the Ld. counsel for appellant, appellant is given two weeks time from today to remove the unauthorized construction from the property in question.

AE(B) concerned is at liberty to deseal the premises for the limited purpose of allowing the appellant to carry out necessary demolition.

AE(B) shall inspect the property in question and file status report on or before the next date of hearing.

Put up for further proceedings on 08.08.2025.

Copy of the order be given dasti to both the parties.

A.No. 223/23

16.05.2025

Present : Proxy counsel for the appellant. Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

> An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Beenu Singh is un-available today being busy in Dwarka Courts. In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter. Put up for purpose fixed on 27.10.2025.

A.No. 737/23

16.05.2025

Present : Sh. Parul Verma, Ld counsel for the appellant. Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

> Status report is filed by the MCD in terms of order dated 04.04.2024. Copy supplied.

Put for the purpose fixed on 31.10.2025.

A.No. 320/21

16.05.2025

Present : Sh. Sanam Malhotra, Ld counsel for the appellant. Sh. Sanjay Sethi, Ld. counsel for respondent.

Ld. counsel for appellant submits that he has instructions to withdraw the present appeal.

Separate statement of the Ld. counsel of appellant has been recorded in this regard.

In view of the facts and circumstances, the appeal filed by the appellant is dismissed as withdrawn.

Copy of this order be sent to the AE (B) concerned for information.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

A.No. 311/21, 321/21, 322/21, 323/21, 328/21 & 302/22

16.05.2025

Present : Sh. Sanam Malhotra, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Sanjay Sethi proxy counsel for Ms. Vasu Singh, counsel for the respondent in appeal Nos. 321/21, 322/21, 323/21 & 328/21 and proxy counsel for Sh. H.R. Aggarwal in appeal No.311/21.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal No.302/22.

Ld. counsel for appellant seeks some time to inspect the record before addressing the arguments in the matter.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for purpose fixed on **31.10.2025**.

A.No. 319/20 & 326/20

16.05.2025

Present : Sh. Sanam Malhotra, Ld counsel for the appellant. Ms. Renu Soni, Nodal Officer on behalf of MCD for Sh. S. Adil Hussain, Ld. counsel for respondent.

Ld. counsel for appellant submits that he has instructions to withdraw the present appeal.

Separate statement of the Ld. counsel of appellant has been recorded in this regard.

In view of the facts and circumstances, the appeal filed by the appellant is dismissed as withdrawn.

Copy of this order be sent to the AE (B) concerned for information.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

A.No. 321/20 & 323/20

16.05.2025

Present : Sh. Sanam Malhotra, Ld counsel for the appellant. Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks some time to inspect the record before addressing the arguments in the matter.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for purpose fixed on **27.10.2025**.

A.No. 54/22, 318/21, 218/22 & 219/22

16.05.2025

Present : Sh. Sanam Malhotra, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal No. 318/21, 218/22 & 219/22.
Sh. Sanjay Sethi, proxy counsel for Ms. Vasu Singh, Ld. counsel for respondent in appeal No.54/22.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks some time to inspect the record before addressing the arguments in the matter.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for purpose fixed on 27.10.2025.

A.No. 133/25

16.05.2025

Present : Sh. Sandip Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant. Sh. Ashutosh Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent.

In the present case arguments were heard from both the parties on 29.04.2025 and the matter was listed for orders today i.e. 16.05.2025. After reserving of the order, the appellant on 14.05.2025 has moved an application seeking permission to bring on record additional documents. In view of the aforesaid application, some clarifications are required and therefore pronouncement of order is deferred for today. Put up for arguments on the aforesaid application seeking permission to bring on record additional documents on the aforesaid application seeking permission to bring on record additional documents on **27.05.2025**. Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.