

A.No. 327/25

22.05.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Sachit Sharma, Ld. counsel for the appellant.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on 02.07.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.05.2025 (V)

A.No. 335/25

22.05.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Deepak Vashisht, Ld. counsel for the appellant.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on 02.07.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.05.2025 (V)

A.No. 336/25

22.05.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Pawan Swami proxy counsel for the appellant
alongwith appellant in person.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as
main counsel Sh. Prashant Batra is un-available today
due to personal exigencies.

At request, put up for consideration on 04.07.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.05.2025

A.No. 337/25

22.05.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Amrit Pal Singh , Ld. counsel for the appellant.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that he has instructions to withdraw the present appeal and he may be permitted to withdraw the appeal with the liberty to file fresh appeal after removing technical defect.

Separate statement of the Ld. counsel for appellant has been recorded in this regard.

In view of the facts and circumstances, the present appeal is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the appellant to file a fresh appeal within seven working days from today.

It is clarified that the observation made while passing of this order by this Tribunal shall not tantamount to the expression on the merits of this case as well as limitation period.

File be consigned to record room.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.05.2025 (J)

A.No. 338/25

22.05.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present : Ms. Poonam Maini, Ld counsel for the appellant along with Sh. Ridit Mahajan and Sh. Rajesh, Advocates.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on 26.05.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.05.2025 (V)

A.No. 339/25

22.05.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Rajeev Kumar, Ld. counsel for the appellant.

1. Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

2. Ld counsel for the appellant submits that this is a second round of litigation against the property in question. He submits that earlier MCD passed demolition order dated 16.10.2024, which was challenged in appeal no. 961/24. He submits that by judgment dated 18.11.2024 this Tribunal set aside the aforesaid demolition order and remanded back to MCD for fresh adjudication. He submits that in para 6 of the said judgment, the Tribunal gave categorical directions to the MCD to specify the nature, extent and measurement of deviations. He submits that despite those clear and categorically directions of the Tribunal, the MCD officials have not bothered to specify the nature, extent and measurement of deviations in impugned order dated 29.05.2025. He also submits the appellant has placed on record documentary evidence to

show that no construction has been carried out in the property after its purchase in the year 1991 and the property stands protected under National Capital Territory of Delhi Laws (Special Provision) Second Amendment, 2011.

3. Arguments heard and record perused. In the judgment dated 18.11.2024 passed in appeal no. 961/24 the Tribunal observed that the impugned order in that appeal did not mentioned any nature, extent and measurement of deviations. Despite those directions the present impugned order dated 09.05.2025 is bereft any discussion in that regard.
4. Accordingly, status quo be maintained in respect of the property in question till the next date of hearing.
5. However, it is clarified that the observations made in this order shall not be construed as observation on merits of this case.

Put up for further arguments on pending interim applications and appeal on 17.07.2025.

Order be given be dasti.

Copy of order be also given dasti to AE(B) concerned.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.05.2025 (V)

A.No. 353/24

22.05.2025

File is taken up today on application seeking early hearing.

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Ms. Renu Soni, Nodal Officer on behalf of MCD.

Nodal officer, MCD accepts the notice on behalf of MCD. She submits that she has taken instructions from Mr. Anil Kumar, AE(B) who has informed that MCD is not planning any coercive action in respect of property in question till 07.07.2025.

She opposes the application and submits that the case be decided on merits after hearing both the parties.

Ld. counsel for appellant submits that in view of the submissions made by the MCD, he is not pressing this application and seeks permission to withdraw it. The application is dismissed as withdrawn.

Put up for the purpose already fixed on 07.07.2025.

Copy of order be given dasti to both the parties.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.05.2025 R

A.No. 307/25 & 308/25

22.05.2025

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld counsel for the respondent
alongwith Sh. Sunil Kumar, AE(B).

1. Record is produced. It be deposited with Registry and tagged with the file.
2. Ld. counsel for appellant submits that he has filed the present appeal in respect of shops/structure which exist at ground floor of the property in question.
3. Ld. counsel for MCD submits that the property in question has a history of litigation and they need some time to file a detailed status report in the matter. He submits that he needs to take instructions from the department before addressing arguments in this case and has not received the paper book as of now.
4. Mr. Sunil Kumar AE(B) submits that as MCD is seeking an adjournment to file the status report therefore they will not carry out any coercive action against the property in question till next date of hearing. He request that a short date of hearing be given and the matter be heard on merits.
5. At joint request of the parties, matter be listed for filing of status report, arguments on interim application as well as appeal on **12.08.2025**.

Contd...

6. Advance copy of status report be supplied to the appellant.

Copy of the order be given dasti to both the parties.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.05.2025 (J)

A.No. 273/25

22.05.2025

Present :

Sh. Hemant Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Record is produced. It be deposited with Registry and tagged with the file.

Ld. counsel for MCD submits that they need some time to file detailed status report in the matter. He submits that they will file the same before the next date of hearing. Advance copy of status report be supplied to the appellant.

Ld. counsel for appellant presses for interim application.

Ld. counsel for respondent opposes the said request. He submits that the interim application be decided on merits. However, on instructions from the department he assures that the respondent MCD will not carry out any demolition action against the property in question till next date of hearing.

Put up for further arguments on interim application seeking stay and appeal on 30.07.2025.

Copy of the order be given dasti to both the parties.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)

Addl. District & Sessions Judge

P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD

22.05.2025 (J)

A.No. 303/25 & 304/25

22.05.2025

Present : Sh. Praveen Tyagi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh.Dharamvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Fresh Vakalatnama is filed by Ld. counsel for respondent.
It be taken on record.

Status report is filed by respondent / MCD. Copy supplied.

Ld. counsel for appellant submits that he wants to study the report before addressing arguments in the matter.

Put up for further arguments on pending interim applications and appeal on 04.09.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.05.2025 R

A.No. 109/24(M)

22.05.2025

Present : Sh. Ajit Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, Ld counsel for the respondent
joined through VC.

Copy of order dated 01.05.2025 passed in contempt case no.1565/2023 by the Hon'ble High Court, is placed on record by the applicant.

Ld counsel for the MCD submits that they are in process of complying the orders of the Hon'ble High Court.

Ld. counsel for applicant requests to schedule the matter next week so that they can appear in Tribunal to withdraw the application.

Put up for the further proceedings on 28.05.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.05.2025 R

A.No.105/23

22.05.2025

Present : Sh. Ganesh C.Pandey, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Fresh Vakalatnama is filed. It be taken on record.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Affidavit is filed by appellant tendering clarifications in respect of their title in the property.

Ld. counsel for respondent / MCD submits that they have no objection in respect of locastandi of appellant to peruse the present case.

Further Arguments on the point of clarifications are heard from Ld. counsels of both the parties.

Put up for orders on 28.05.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.05.2025 R

A.No. 146/25

22.05.2025

Present : Sh. Suraj Prakash Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Sanjeet Kumar, proxy counsel for Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, Ld. counsel for respondent

Part arguments on the point of interim application as well as appeal heard.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that he needs to take further instructions before concluding his arguments in the matter.

Re-list for purpose fixed on **21.08.2025**.

Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.05.2025 (s)

A.No. 627/22

22.05.2025

Present : Sh. Syed Hasan Isfahani, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. S. Adhil Hussain, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Siddarth Mullick, Ld counsel for the intervener.

1. Ld. counsel for appellant clarifies that no regularization application is moved by the appellant in the year 2022 and no regularization application is pending with the MCD. On the other hand, Ld. counsel for MCD clarified that the regularization application which was earlier moved by the appellant is rejected.
2. Arguments on application under Section 1 Rule 10 CPC heard from Ld counsel for both the parties.
3. Ld counsel for the applicant submits that he is owner of the first floor of the property in question and aggrieved from the unauthorized construction raised by the applicant and be made party in this matter. On the other hand Ld counsel for the appellant as well as MCD submits that impugned demolition order was also passed against the first floor but its owner/applicant has not chosen to file any appeal.
4. It is submitted that the impugned order was passed on 20.09.2022 and the present application is moved on 21.02.2023. It is submitted that appellant has not

chosen to file any appeal and is endeavoring to by-pass the limitation period by way of this application.

5. Arguments heard and record perused. It is clear from the impugned order that the MCD has ordered for demolition or unauthorized construction at the first floor of the property in question also. The applicant/ owner of the first floor was sleeping over his rights and did not prefer any appeal. The present application is hit by limitation period and no application seeking condonation of delay is also filed.
6. The case of the applicant is time barred and without any sufficient explanation in respect of the limitation period involved and in these circumstances the application for making him party cannot succeed. Accordingly the same is dismissed.
7. Arguments on the point of appeal heard at length from Ld. counsels for both the parties at length.

Put up for orders on **29.05.2025**.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.05.2025 (s)

A.No. 207/25

22.05.2025

Present : Sh. Shaurya Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Ms. Anusueya, Ld. counsel for intervener.

An application under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC is moved on behalf of intervener Mr. Anshul Goel, copy supplied.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Manish Gupta is un-available today due to some personal exigencies.

Ld. counsel for MCD submits that as the appellant is seeking an adjournment they will not be able to continue with their undertaking for not taking coercive action in the matter.

Clarification is taken on record and the undertaking of the MCD stands withdrawn.

Put up for reply and arguments on application under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC, for arguments on interim application as well as appeal on **11.09.2025**.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.05.2025 (J)

A.No. 208/25

22.05.2025

Present : Sh. Shaurya Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Ms. Anusueya, Ld. counsel for intervener.

An application under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC is moved on behalf of intervener Mr. Anshul Goel, copy supplied.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Manish Gupta is un-available today due to some personal exigencies.

Ld. counsel for MCD submits that as the appellant is seeking an adjournment they will not be able to continue with their undertaking for not taking coercive action in the matter.

Clarification is taken on record and the undertaking of the MCD stands withdrawn.

Put up for reply and arguments on application under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC, for arguments on interim application as well as appeal on **11.09.2025**.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.05.2025 (J)

A.No. 209/25

22.05.2025

Present : Sh. Shaurya Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Ms. Anusueya, Ld. counsel for intervener.

An application under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC is moved on behalf of intervener Mr. Anshul Goel, copy supplied.

Ld. counsel for appellant submits that he has instructions to withdraw the present appeal as the same has been filed against the show cause notice.

Separate statement of the Ld. counsel of appellant has been recorded in this regard.

In view of the facts and circumstances, the appeal filed by the appellant is dismissed as withdrawn. The application under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC stands disposed off.

Copy of this order be sent to the AE (B) concerned for information.

Appeal file be consigned to record room.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.05.2025 (J)

A.No. 184/20 & 159/20

22.05.2025

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh.Ranjit Pandey, Ld counsel for the respondent along
with Sh. Yashwant Singh, AE(B).

Mr. Yashwant Singh, AE(B) informs that record of proceedings in respect of order dated 03.02.2016 is already part of MCD record which is filed before this Tribunal.

Ld. counsel for respondent / MCD submits that he is in process to taking further instructions in the matter and seeks an adjournment to address arguments in the matter.

In the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address arguments in the matter.

AE(B) concerned is directed to remain present in person before the Tribunal on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on the point of appeal on 30.05.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.05.2025 R

A.No. 1068/24

22.05.2025

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh.V.K.Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for appellant submits that he has applied for certified copy of MCD record and he is in process of obtaining the same. He assures that he will address arguments on next date of hearing and he will not take any further adjournment in the matter.

In the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted to appellant to address arguments in the matter.

Put for the purpose already fixed on 16.10.2025.

Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.05.2025 R

A.No. 1066/24 & 1067/24

22.05.2025

Present : Sh. ,Vimal Dhingra, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Sanjeet Kumar, proxy counsel for Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, Ld. counsel for respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that MCD has already carried out demolition action in the property and he needs to take instructions from his client for further pursuing this appeal.

Accordingly, put up for purpose already fixed on **30.10.2025.**

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.05.2025 (J)

A.No. 08/25

22.05.2025

Present : Sh. Arvind Singh Chandel, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta Proxy Ld counsel on behalf of Sh.
H.R. Agarwal Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Vicky Bhardwaj, Ld counsel for R-2.

Reply to the application under Section 5 of the Limitation Act is filed on behalf of respondent no. 2. Copy supplied.

Status report is filed by MCD. Copy supplied.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. H.R. Aggarwal un-available today due to bad health.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on applications seeking condonation of delay as well as appeal on 04.11.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.05.2025 (V)

A.No. 402/24 & 03/24

22.05.2025

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments on the point of appeal heard.

At joint request of both the parties, matter be listed for further arguments on pending interim applications and appeal on 06.08.2025 at 2.30 p.m.

Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing in appeal No.03/24.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.05.2025 (J)

A.No. 419/23

22.05.2025

Present : Sh. Akhil Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant. Joined through VC
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. R.K. Singh, Proxy counsel for Sh. Anupam Sharma, Ld counsel for DDA.

Ld counsel for the appellant submits that due to medical exigencies in his family he is not able to address arguments today.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for purpose fixed on 04.11.2025.

Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.05.2025 (V)

A.No. 285/22

22.05.2025

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent along
with Sh. Yashwant Singh, AE(B) and Sh. R.C. Sharma,
AE(B).

Status report is filed by the MCD. Copy supplied.

Part arguments heard.

Ld counsel for the MCD seeks some time to clarify about
the following pending aspects in terms of order dated
13.01.2025.

(A). The layout plan of the area in which the property no.
7A falls.

(B). The status of the mother dairy and the layout plan of
the area in which it falls.

(C). The details of the layout plan of the area in which plot
in question falls.

Put up for filing status report and further arguments on
the point of appeal on 22.08.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.05.2025 (V)

A.No. 747/24
22.05.2025

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, Ld. counsel for respondent
joined through VC.

1. Arguments on application under Section 5 of the Limitation Act heard from Ld. Counsel for both the parties.
2. Ld. Counsel for appellants submits that demolition orders dated 09.11.2023 and 08.01.2024 were not supplied to the appellants and no hearing was provided. He submits that only when the officials of MCD came to the property on 06.09.2024 to carry out demolition action, the appellants become aware about the demolition proceedings. He submits that the appellants have received the copy of the demolition orders on 06.09.2024 and filed the present appeal on 09.09.2024.
3. Ld. Counsel for MCD opposes the application. He submits that the aforesaid demolition orders were served by way of affixation and the appeal is time barred.
4. Arguments heard. Record perused. MCD record in respect of both the demolition orders shows that

the postal articles were received been un-served as per the tracking report. The affixation proceedings are not witnessed by any public person. The complete address is also not written in both the orders. The name of the addressee is also not written. In these circumstances the affixation proceedings are not free from doubt.

5. Accordingly, appellant has been able to show sufficient reason to condone the delay. The application under Section 5 of the Limitation Act is allowed. Delay is condoned.
6. Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal is allowed and the matter is remanded back to the Quasi Judicial Authority for deciding the same afresh.
7. Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

Announced in the open Court.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.05.2025 (J)

A.No. 923/18, 924/18, 184/19 & 335/21

22.05.2025

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V. K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent in
appeal Nos. 923/18 & 924/18.
Sh. R. K. Kashyap, Ld counsel for the respondent in
appeal No. 335/21 joined through VC.
Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent in
appeal No. 184/19.

Ld. counsel for appellant informs that they have applied
for regularization in respect of first floor, second floor and
third floor of the property in question under the Floor-wise
Regularization Policy of MCD.

Ld. counsel for MCD seeks sometime to take instructions
in this regard.

Put up for purpose fixed on **06.11.2025**.

Interim orders to continue in appeal no. 184/2019 till the
next date of hearing.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.05.2025 (s)

A.No. 193/22, 155/22 & 246/22

22.05.2025

Present : Sh.Ashok Rajagopalan, Ld counsel for the appellant along Ms. Madhu Tyagi & Sh. Ashish Kumar Sagar, Associates.

Sh. Pritiesh Sabharwal, Ld. counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

Part arguments heard

Arguments could not be concluded today as I have to proceed to attend meeting of Committee to formulate a policy for implementation of Braille Printing in Delhi District Courts, Delhi as well as another meeting of Centralized Library Committee.

In the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address arguments in the matter.

Put up for the purpose already fixed on 06.11.2025.

Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing in appeal nos. 193/22 & 155/22.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.05.2025

A.No. 1036/24

22.05.2025

Present : Appellant in person.
Ms. Renu Soni, Nodal Officer on behalf of the respondent
/ MCD.

Status report is filed by respondent / MCD. Copy
supplied.

Arguments could not be heard today as Ld. counsel for
respondent / MCD is unavailable in post lunch session
due to some personal exigency.

In the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted
to appellant to address arguments in the matter.

Put up for purposed already fixed on 07.11.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.05.2025 R

A.No. 652/16

22.05.2025

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Sudesh Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Parul Agarwal, Ld counsel for the intervener.

Part arguments heard.

Arguments could not be concluded today as I have to proceed to attend the meeting of Committee to formulate a policy for implementation of Braille Printing in Delhi District Courts, Delhi as well as another meeting of Centralized Library Committee.

In the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted to appellant to address arguments in the matter.

Put up for purposed already fixed on 12.11.2025.

Interim protection granted vide order dated 10.06.2016 to continue till the next date of hearing.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.05.2025 (V)

A.No. 596/16 & 597/16

22.05.2025

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Ms. Sudesh Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Ms. Parul Agarwal, Ld. counsel for the intervener.

Part arguments heard

Arguments could not be concluded today as I have to proceed to attend meeting of Committee to formulate a policy for implementation of Braille Printing in Delhi District Courts, Delhi as well as another meeting of Centralized Library Committee.

In the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address arguments in the matter.

Put up for the purpose already fixed on 12.11.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.05.2025 R

A.No. 120/18, 1222/13 & 364/12

22.05.2025

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K.Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

Arguments could not be concluded today as I have to proceed to attend the meeting of Committee to formulate a policy for implementation of Braille Printing in Delhi District Courts, Delhi as well as another meeting of Centralized Library Committee.

In the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted to appellant to address arguments in the matter.

Put up for purposed already fixed on 11.09.2025.

Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing in appeal no120/18.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.05.2025 R

A.No. 98/23

22.05.2025

Present : Sh.Shiv Charan Garg, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Ms. Renu Soni, Nodal Officer on behalf of MCD

It is informed that Ld. counsel for respondent / MCD is unavailable due to some personal exigency.

In the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted to the respondent / MCD to address arguments in the matter.

Put up for the purpose already fixed on 06.11.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.05.2025 R

A.No. 54/20 & 662/19

22.05.2025

Present : Sh. Anuj Garg, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ranjit Pandey, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

Written submissions are filed on behalf of appellant. Copy supplied.

Part arguments heard.

Arguments could not be concluded today as I have to proceed to attend the meeting of Committee to formulate a policy for implementation of Braille Printing in Delhi District Courts, Delhi as well as another meeting of Centralized Library Committee.

In the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted to appellant to address arguments in the matter.

Put up for purposed already fixed on 06.11.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.05.2025 R

A.No. 101/24 & 435/23

22.05.2025

Present : Sh. Pulkit Narag, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ravi Ranjan, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC in appeal no. 101/24.
Ms Nisha Garg, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC in appeal no. 435/23.

Part arguments heard.

Arguments could not be concluded today as I have to proceed to attend the meeting of Committee to formulate a policy for implementation of Braille Printing in Delhi District Courts, Delhi as well as another meeting of Centralized Library Committee.

In the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted to appellant to address arguments in the matter.

Put up for purposed already fixed on 07.11.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.05.2025 R

A.No. 132/24

22.05.2025

Present : Appellant in person.
Sh. S.Adhil Hussain, Ld. counsel for the respondent.

It is informed that arguing counsel for appellant Mr. Divanshu Pandit is unavailable today due to personal exigency.

In the interest, one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address arguments in the matter.

Put up for the purpose already fixed on 07.11.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.05.2025 R

A.No. 700/24 & 701/24

22.05.2025

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD. Copy supplied.

Part arguments heard.

Arguments could not be concluded today as I have to proceed to attend the meeting of Committee to formulate a policy for implementation of Braille Printing in Delhi District Courts, Delhi as well as another meeting of Centralized Library Committee.

In the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted to appellant to address arguments in the matter.

Put up for purposed fixed on 11.11.2025.

Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing in appeal no. 701/24.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.05.2025 (V)

A.No. 287/20

22.05.2025

Present : Sh. Yaman Yadav, Proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent. Fresh
Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Status report is filed by MCD. Copy supplied.

Part arguments heard.

Arguments could not be concluded today as I have to
proceed to attend a meeting

In the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted
to the appellant to address arguments in the matter.

Put up for the purpose already fixed on 07.11.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.05.2025 R

A.No. 195/2025

22.05.2025

Present : Sh. V. K. Mantoo, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

The matter is listed for orders today.

Orders could not be pronounced today as I have to attend a meeting of Committee to formulate a policy for implementation of Braille Printing in Delhi District Courts, Delhi as well as another meeting of Centralized Library Committee.

Put up for orders on **03.06.2025**.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.05.2025 (J)