A.No. 342/25

26.05.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present: Sh. M.P.S. Kasana and Sh. D.C.Agarwal, Ld. counsel for

the appellant.

Present appeal is filed against the vacation notice.

Ld. counsel for appellant seeks some time to take

instruction.

Put up for consideration on 27.05.2025.

A.No. 343/25

26.05.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present: Sh. O.P. Gupta, Ld. counsel for the appellant joined

through VC.

Present appeal is filed against the vacation notice.

Ld. counsel for appellant seeks some time to take

instruction.

Put up for consideration on 30.05.2025.

A.No. 344/25

26.05.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present:

Sh. Prashant, Ld. counsel for the appellant along with Sh. Manhohan Tomar.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on 09.07.2025.

A.No. 113/25

26.05.2025

File is taken up today on an application seeking early hearing in the matter.

Present:

Sh. Vipin Saini, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Matter is already listed on 22.07.2025. No specific ground for preponement of hearing is specified in the application.

This Tribunal has heavy pendency of the cases and without any specific ground mentioned in the application the matter cannot be considered. Accordingly the application seeking early hearing is dismissed.

Put up for purpose fixed on date already fixed i.e. 22.07.2025.

A.No. 338/25

26.05.2025

Present: Sh. Rajesh Maini, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent. Memo of appearance filed.

- Ld. counsel for MCD takes a preliminary objection that the appeal is not maintainable as appellant is impugning the vacation notice dated 16.08.2024. He submits that under section 343 as well as 347-B of DMC Act there is no provision to challenge the vacation notice.
- Perusal of record shows that appellant is aggrieved from the demolition proceedings initiated by the MCD against the unauthorized construction in the property in question.
- 3. The limitation in respect of the demolition proceedings starts running from the date of demolition order and not from the date of vacation notice. Section 343 (2) of DMC Act provide the mechanism to file an appeal against the demolition order and not against the vacation notice.
- 4. I found merits in the submissions made by the Ld. counsel for MCD that the appeal against the vacation

- notice is not maintainable and accordingly the present appeal is dismissed.
- 5. However, appellant is at liberty to avail appropriate remedy available in law subject to law of limitation and extant rule.
- It is clarified that the observation made while passing of this order by this court shall not tantamount to the expression on the merits of this case
- 7. File be consigned to record room.

A.No. 278/25

26.05.2025

Present: Sh. Shamim A. Khan, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD. Copy supplied.

It is informed by the appellant that other appeal against

the demolition order is listed for 10.07.2025.

Put up for arguments on interim applications and appeal

on 10.06.2025.

A.No. 300/25

26.05.2025

Present: Sh. G.D.Mishra, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. V.K.Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

- Neither the record nor status report is filed by MCD despite seeking pass over in the morning. The Tribunal deprecates the conduct of AE(B) concerned.
- The concerned Deputy Commissioner is directed to ensure that the status report as well as record of MCD is filed before the Tribunal on or before next date of hearing.
- Matter is adjourned subject to adjournment cost of Rs.10000/- imposed upon the MCD to be deposited in the Registry of this Tribunal.
- 4. As MCD has failed to produce the record and status report is also not filed in the present case, status quo be maintained in respect of property in question till next date of hearing.
- In other cases also it is observed that due to non-filing of records as well as status reports the matters could not be heard on merits and interim protection has to be unnecessarily granted. Despite various directions

Contd....

issued by this Tribunal, MCD officials have failed to improve their conduct and perpetuating the incorrigible practice of not filing of status reports and records.

6. This order be brought to knowledge of concerned Deputy Commissioner and the worthy Commissioner, MCD to ensure that reply / status report as well as MCD record is filed before the Tribunal timely so that interim application can be heard and decided on merits.

Copy of order be given dasti to appellant and forwarded to concerned Deputy Commissioner and the worthy Commissioner, MCD.

Put up for arguments on pending interim applications and appeal on 05.06.2025.

A.No. 322/25

26.05.2025

Present: Ms. Leena Tuteja & Sh. Ishan Chawla, Ld counsel for the

appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Neither the record nor status report is filed by MCD despite seeking pass over in the morning. The Tribunal

deprecates the conduct of AE(B) concerned.

Ld. counsel for MCD assures that they will file the status report as well as record by tomorrow and requests to schedule the hearing for tomorrow. Ld. counsel for

appellant does not oppose the request.

At request of both the parties, put up for arguments on pending interim applications and appeal on 27.05.2025.

A.No. 330/25 & 331/25.

26.05.2025

Present: Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant alongwith

appellant in person.

Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, Ld counsel for the respondent

alongwith with Ms. Mithlesh AE(B), Central Zone.

Part arguments heard.

Ld. counsel for appellant seeks some time to file site plan

of his property as well as of other adjacent properties

which exists in Khasra No.436 & 438, Vilage Josola,

Tehsil Sarita Vihar, New Delhi.

At joint request of the parties, matter be listed for further

arguments on interim application as well as on the point

of appeal on 03.06.2025.

AE(B) concerned is directed to remain present in person

on next date of hearing.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)

Addl. District & Sessions Judge P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD

26.05.2025 (J)

A.No. 35/22

26.05.2025

Present: None for the appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

None has appeared on behalf of appellant in person as

well in VC since morning despite various calls.

In the interest of justice, no adverse order is being

passed today.

Put up for purposed fixed on 10.11.2025.

A.No. 361/23

26.05.2025

Present: Sh. Faizan Parvez, proxy counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Vijay Tyagi, Ld counsel for the respondent joined

through VC.

Ld. counsel for appellant informs that appellant No.2 Ms. Prem Lata has died and he needs some time to file appropriate application seeking impleadment of her legal

heirs.

Put up for further proceedings on 10.11.2025.

A.No. 190/25

26.05.2025

Present: Ms. Garima Sachdeva, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by MCD giving calculation of misuse

charges.

Ld counsel for the appellant seeks sometime to take

instructions in the matter.

Put up for purpose fixed on 10.11.2025.

A.No. 181/25 & 182/25

26.05.2025

Present: Sh. Arjun Singh Bawa, Ld counsel for the appellant joined

through VC.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for MCD seeks some time to take instructions for filing the status report in the matter. He also seeks some time to file MCD record.

Put up for purpose already fixed on 11.09.2025.

A.No. 128/25 & 129/25

26.05.2025

Present:

Sh. Arjun Singh Bawa, Ld counsel for the appellant joined

through VC with Sh. Sahil Gupta, Advocate present in the

Tribunal.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for appellant submits that they intend to

apply for a fresh addition/alteration plan of their floors in

the property in question and seeks some time to take

appropriate steps.

Ld. counsel for MCD submits that appellants are at liberty

to file addition/alteration plan afresh and MCD will

consider the same as per law.

Ld. counsel for MCD submits that as the adjournment is

happening today due to non filing of status report by the

MCD in appeal nos. 182/25 and 183/25, they will continue

to their undertaking for not taking any demolition action in

the property till next date of hearing.

Put up for purpose already fixed on 11.09.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)

Addl. District & Sessions Judge

P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD

26.05.2025 (J)

A.No. 437/23

26.05.2025

Present:

Sh. Amarjit Singh Chandhok, Ld Sr. counsel for the

appellant joined through VC

Ms. Renu Soni, Nodal Officer on behalf of the respondent

/ MCD.

It is informed that Ld counsel for MCD is not available

today being out of station.

Despite various directions of this Tribunal no official from

MCD is appearing. The Executive Engineer(B) is

directed to appear in person on next date of hearing and

apprise about the status of regularization application

moved by the appellant.

Put up for purpose already fixed on 04.06.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)

Addl. District & Sessions Judge

P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD

26.05.2025 (V)

A.No. 1060/24

26.05.2025

Present:

Sh. Rajiv Thukral & Ms. Shivani Meena, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld counsel for the respondent No.1 with Sh. Anurag Shandilya, AE(B).

Sh. Paras Jain, Ld. counsel for respondent No.2.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Ld. counsel for appellant points out that no reply on merits of the appeal has been filed by the MCD so far.

Ld. counsel for MCD submits that he will take instruction and will file the same before next date of hearing. Advance copy of reply if any be supplied to the appellant.

Reply to the show cause notice is filed by the AE(B) and JE(B) concerned. Both of them have tendered their unconditional apology and prayed for waiver of cost.

Keeping in view the apology tendered and the reasons explained the cost imposed vide order dated 08.05.2025 is waived.

Ld. counsel for respondent No.2 society submits that they do not want to file any reply in the matter and will address the arguments on the next date of hearing.

As the MCD is seeking time to file their reply on merit, therefore, arguments could not take place today and in these circumstances interim orders to continue till next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application as well as appeal on 18.08.2025.

AE(B) concerned is directed to remain present in person on next date of hearing.

A.No. 62/25

26.05.2025

Present: Sh. Kunal Kalra, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Ms. Renu Soni, Nodal Officer on behalf of MCD.

A pass over is sought on behalf of the MCD as Ld. counsel MCD Mr. V.K. Aggrwal is busy in some other Court.

Ld. counsel for appellant submits that he needs to rush for attending some urgent hearing in a matter where evidence is to be recorded and request to reschedule the matter.

At request of both the parties, matter be re-listed for purpose already fixed on 07.11.2025.

A.No. 01/25

26.05.2025

Present: Sh. Gurmeet Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of appellant as arguing counsel for appellant Ms. Suruchi Aggarwal, Ld. senior counsel is unavailable today being out of station. Ld. counsel for respondent / MCD submits that they will file status report clarifying about the nature of colony where property is located on next date of hearing. Put for the purpose already fixed on 22.08.2025. Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.

A.No. 250/23

26.05.2025

Present: Ms. Manpreet Kaur & Ms. Pooja Kumari, Ld. counsel for

appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for respondent / MCD seeks an adjournment to clarify about the status of reply filed by appellant before

the MCD in terms of previous order.

Opportunity granted.

Put for the purpose already fixed on 10.11.2025.

A.No. 415/20, 416/20 & 417/20

26.05.2025

Present: Sh. Rajat Manchanda, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Ajay Gaur, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal

no.415/16 & 416/20.

Ms. Renu Soni, Nodal Officer on behalf of the respondent

/ MCD in appeal no.417/20.

Ld. counsel for appellant submits that he has to take

instructions for amending the appeal as they have

challenged show cause notice only.

Arguments could not be concluded as Mr. H.R.Aggarwal,

who is counsel for MCD in the appeal no.417/20 is

unavailable today due to bad health.

At joint request of both the parties, put for the purpose

already fixed on 28.08.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD

26.05.2025 R

A.No. 527/24

26.05.2025

Present: None for the appellant.

Ms. Renu Soni, Nodal Officer on behalf of the respondent

/ MCD.

No one is present on behalf of appellant in Tribunal and

in VC despite various calls since morning.

In the interest of justice, no adverse order is being

passed today.

Put for the purpose already fixed on 10.11.2025.

A.No. 05/25 (M)

26.05.2025

Present: Sh. Rohit, Proxy for the appellant.

Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. K.B.B. Singh is un-available today due to bad health.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for purpose fixed on 17.09.2025.

A.No. 605/24

26.05.2025

Present: Proxy counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

It is informed that arguing counsel for appellant Mr.

K.B.B.Singh is unavailable today due to bad health.

In the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted

to appellant to address arguments in the matter.

Put for the purpose already fixed on 17.09.2025.

A.No. 585/19

26.05.2025

Present: Sh. Arquam Ali, proxy counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Sanjay Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent with

Mr. Ashok Kumar, Senior Asstt, NDMC.

Sh. Sushan Bali & Sh. Deepak Kandpal, Ld. counsel for

intervener Sh. Navneet Kalra.

An application under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC is filed on behalf of intervener Mr. Navneet Kalra. Copy supplied to NDMC as well as appellant.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. M.A. Niyazi is un-available today due to some personal exigencies.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for reply and arguments on application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC as well as purpose already fixed on 10.11.2025.

In terms of order dated 09.10.2019 the interim order to continue till next date of hearing.

A.No. 758/18

26.05.2025

Present:

Sh. Abhishek Singh & Sh. Karan Chaudhary, Ld counsel

for the appellant.

Sh.Sanjay Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent/

NDMC.

Part arguments on application under Order VI Rule 17

CPC heard.

Ld. counsel for NDMC submits that on the date of filing of

this appeal, the demolition order was not passed and

appellant is trying to amend the appeal to incorporate

future events after filing of this appeal.

Ld. counsel for appellant seeks some time to cite certain

case laws in support of their arguments.

Put for further arguments on application under Order VI

Rule 17 CPC on 10.11.2025.

Interim protection granted vide order dated 28.11.2018 to

continue till the next date of hearing.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge

P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD

26.05.2025 R

A.No. 430/18

26.05.2025

Present: Proxy counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Ravi Ranjan, Ld counsel for the MCD joined through

VC with Sh. Rajbir Singh, AE(B).

Sh. Anupam Sharma, Ld counsel for the DDA along with

Sh. Manish Yadav, Nodal Officer, DDA.

Status report is filed by the MCD. Copy supplied.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Shiva Handa is un-available today due to bad health.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for purposed fixed on 24.11.2025.

Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.

A.No. 898/24

26.05.2025

Present: None for the appellant.

Sh. Sanjeet Kumar, proxy counsel for Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

No one is present on behalf of the appellant in Tribunal and in VC despite various calls since morning.

No adverse order is being passed today in the interest of justice.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for purpose fixed on 13.11.2025.

A.No. 293/22, 294/22 & 295/22

26.05.2025

Present: None for the appellant.

Ms. Renu Soni, Nodal Officer on behalf of MCD along

with Sh. Sanjay Kumar Soni, AE(B).

No one is present on behalf of the appellant in Tribunal

and in VC despite various calls since morning.

No adverse order is being passed today in the interest of

justice.

Put up for purpose fixed on 08.10.2025.

Interim order to continue till the next date of hearing.

It is clarified that in case appellant fails to address the

arguments on the next date of hearing, the Tribunal shall

be constrained to reconsider the interim protection

granted in the matter.

A.No. 1002/24

26.05.2025

Present: Proxy counsel for the appellant joined through VC.

Sh. V.K.Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

It is informed that arguing counsel for appellant Mr.

Parveen Sharma is unavailable due to bad health.

In the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted

to appellant to address arguments in the matter.

Put for the purpose already fixed on 11.11.2025.

A.No. 187/23

26.05.2025

Present: Sh. R.N. Dubey counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Sanjeev Kumar Yadav, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Sh. Davender Kumar, Ld counsel for the intervener joined through VC.

Ld counsel for the appellant submits that they have already filed reply to the application under 1 Rule 10 CPC.

Ld counsel for the intervener submits that they have not received copy of reply. Copy be supplied.

Put up for arguments on application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC, pending interim application as well as appeal on 10.11.02025.

A.No. 326/21

26.05.2025

Present:

None for the appellant.

Ms. Renu Soni, Nodal Officer on behalf of the respondent

/ MCD.

None has appeared on behalf of appellant since morning

in the Tribunal or through VC despite various calls.

In the interest of justice, no adverse order is being

passed today.

It is clarified that in case appellant fails to address the

arguments on the next date of hearing, the Tribunal shall

be constrained to reconsider the interim protection

granted in the matter.

Put up for arguments on application seeking condonation

of delay and pending interim application as well as appeal

on 11.11.2025.

Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)

Addl. District & Sessions Judge P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD

26.05.2025

A.No. 32/24, 333/24 & 600/23

26.05.2025

Present: Sh.Chirag Anand, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. V.K.Aggarwal, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no.32/24.

Sh. Ravi Ranjan, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC in appeal no. 600/23.

Pranjal Sharma, Ld. counsel for respondent joined through VC in appeal no.333/24.

Part arguments heard.

Arguments could not be concluded as arguing counsel for appellant Mr. Dev Raj in appeal no. 32/2024 is unavailable today due to surgery suffered by him.

In the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted to appellant to address arguments in the matter.

Put for the purpose already fixed on 11.11.2025.

A.No. 413/20

26.05.2025

Present: Sh. Paru Lekhi, Proxy counsel for the appellant joined

through VC.

Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Reply to the application under Order VI Rule 17 CPC is

filed by MCD. Copy supplied.

It is informed that advocate Mr. Divey is unavailable today

due to bad health.

In the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted

to appellant to address arguments in the matter.

Put for the purpose already fixed on 11.11.2025.

A.No. 633/19 & 200/23

26.05.2025

Present: Ms. Vinita Aggarwal, Proxy counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent in

appeal no.633/19.

Ms. Renu Soni, Nodal Officer on behalf of the respondent

/ MCD.

It is informed that arguing counsel for appellant Mr. Vijay Kumar Gupta is unavailable today being held up in one hearing before the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

In the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted to appellant to address arguments in the matter.

Put for the purpose already fixed on 11.11.2025.

A.No. 115/20

26.05.2025

Present: None for the appellant.

Ms. Renu Soni, Nodal Officer on behalf of the respondent / MCD along with Sh. Krishan Kumar, AE(B).

No one is present on behalf of appellant in Tribunal and in VC despite calls since morning.

In the interest of justice, no adverse order is being passed today.

It is clarified that in case appellant fails to address arguments on next date of hearing, the Tribunal shall be constrained to reconsider the interim protection granted in the matter.

Put for the purpose already fixed on 10.10.2025.

Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.

A.No. 826/16 & 269/17

26.05.2025

Present: Sh. Pradeep Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant. Fresh

Vakalatnama is filed. It be taken on record.

Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for MCD seeks some time to take instructions

and file status report in the matter.

Ld. counsel for appellant Mr. Pardeep Kumar submits that

he has recently engaged in the matter and wants to

inspect the record before addressing arguments in the

matter.

In the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted

to appellant to address arguments in the matter.

Put for the purpose already fixed on 11.11.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA) Addl. District & Sessions Judge P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD

26.05.2025 R

A.No. 654/14

26.05.2025

Present:

Sh. Abhinav Ramakrishna & Ms. Chandni Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Sh. N.K.Beniwal, Ld. counsel for respondent 2 & 3.

Sh. Naresh Beniwal, Ld. counsel for respondent no.4 to 7

joined through VC.

Part arguments heard.

Arguments could not be concluded today as counsel for respondent nos. 4 to 7 who earlier appeared on VC is not responding now.

In the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted to respondent nos. 4 to 7 to address arguments in the matter.

Put for the purpose already fixed on 12.112025.