A.No. 345/25

27.05.2025

# Fresh appeal is filed. It be checked and registered.

Present:

Sh. S. S. Nizami and Sh. M. F. Nizami, Ld. counsels for the appellant.

 Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

- 2. At this stage, Ld. counsel for the appellant presses for interim application seeking stay. He submits that the order is passed without providing any opportunity of hearing. He submits that the structure is old and property tax returns are also filed on record. He submits that in the garb of order passed against property no. 10036, Gali Khalil Wali, Nawabganj, Azad Market, Delhi -110006, MCD is taking action against property no. 10035, Gali Khalil Wali, Nawabganj, Azad Market, Delhi -110006. He prays that interim protection be granted to the appellant.
- Heard and perused the record. So far as the question of property no. 10035 is concerned, the same is out of scope of the present appeal as appellant has filed

the present appeal in respect of property bearing no. 10036 only. The electricity bills filed by the appellant do not mention any floor number. The property tax payment receipt for the year 2020 is after the cut off date. The appellant has placed on record copy of sale deed dated 28.08.2024 in respect of property bearing no. 10035 as well as 10036, Gali Khalil Wali, Nawabganj, Azad Market, Delhi -110006. The said sale deed records that in the month of August, 2024 the built up structure comprised of first and second floor with terrace only.

- 4. From the documents filed by the appellant himself it is *prima facie* clear that the structure of third and fourth floor was not in existence till August, 2024. No *prima facie* case is made out for grant of interim protection at this juncture. Therefore, the prayer for ex-parte ad-interim stay is declined.
- 5. It is clarified that the observation made while passing of this order by this Tribunal shall not tantamount to the expression on the merits of this case.
- 6. Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **09.07.2025**.

Copy of this order be given dasti to the parties.

A.No. 346/25

27.05.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present:

Sh. Gaurav, Ld. counsel for the appellant joined through VC.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on 16.07.2025.

A.No. 347/25

27.05.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present:

Sh. Vikram Singh Nayal, Shashi Kant, Ashwani Kumar Dwivedi and Md. Azam Khan, Ld. counsel for the appellant.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

Notice be also issued to respondent no. 2.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on 10.07.2025.

A.No. 348/25

27.05.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present:

Sh. Gaurav Sharma, Ld. counsel for the appellant joined through VC.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on 10.07.2025.

A.No. 263/25

27.05.2025

Present: Ms. Pinki and Ms. Meenakshi Tyagi, Ld counsel for the

appellant.

Ms. Renu Soni, Nodal Officer on behalf of MCD.

Nodal Officer submits that Ld. counsel for MCD Ms. Jasleen Kaur is unavailable today due to some medical exigencies. She submits that MCD will file their status report as well as record during the course of the day.

Ld. counsel for appellant seeks an adjournment as they have to rush to Hon'ble High Court for attending a hearing.

Put up for purpose fixed on 13.11.2025.

A.No. 276/25

27.05.2025

Present: Sh. Chirag Anand, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Ms. Renu Soni, Nodal Officer on behalf of the respondent

/ MCD.

Ld counsel for the MCD seeks some time to file status report in the matter. The same be filed within one week from today. Advance copy be also submitted by the appellant.

Put up for purpose already fixed on 19.09.2025.

A.No. 282/25

27.05.2025

Present: Sh. Saurav Panwar, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Ms. Renu Soni, Nodal Officer on behalf of the respondent

/ MCD along with Sh. Srikant, AE(B).

Status report is filed by the MCD. Copy supplied.

Ld counsel for the appellant seeks some time to take

instructions before addressing arguments in the matter.

Put up for purpose fixed on 22.08.2025.

A.No. 299/25

27.05.2025

Present:

Sh. Man Mohan Siingh and Sh. Rajiv Kumar Bhola, Ld counsel for the appellant alongwith appellant in person.

Sh. Nandan Goel, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Nihal Singh AE(B).

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Record is produced. It be deposited with Registry and tagged with the file.

An application under Section 347-D of the DMC Act, 1957 seeking interim order is moved on behalf of the appellant, copy supplied.

Another application under Section 5 of the Limitation Act is filed on behalf of the appellant. Copy supplied.

Ld. counsel for appellant submits that they will impugned the sealing proceedings separately and has instruction to withdraw the application praying desealing of the property.

Separate statement of the Ld. counsel for appellant has been recorded in this case.

In view of the above facts and circumstances, the application seeking desealing of the property is dismissed as withdrawn.

Ld. counsel for MCD seeks some time to file reply to the application under Section 5 of the Limitation Act. Advance copy be supplied to the opposite party.

Put up for reply and arguments on application under Section 5 of the Limitation Act, arguments on interim application and appeal on 12.11.2025.

A.No. 233/25

27.05.2025

Present: Sh. S.K. Nanda, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Sh. Amit Sethi, Ld counsel for the applicant.

Sh. S. Indrave Singh Maan, Ld counsel for the intervener.

- Record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry and tagged with the file.
- Ld counsel for MCD seeks some time to file status report. The same be filed on or before the next date of hearing. Advance copy be supplied to the opposite party.
- An application under Section Order 1 Rule 10 CPC is filed by the intervener Sh. S. Indrave Singh Maan. Copy supplied to the appellant as well as MCD.
- List of documents is filed on behalf of appellant.
   Copy supplied to the MCD.
- 5. Ld counsel for the MCD takes a preliminary objection that no application seeking permission to file additional documents at the appeal stage is filed and the documents cannot be considered at this juncture. Ld counsel for the appellant seeks some time to take instructions in that regard.

- 6. Ld counsel for the MCD informs that Hon'ble High Court of Delhi is seized of the matter in respect of the unauthorized construction made in the property in question in Writ Petition (C) 3090/25 and the next date of hearing is 19.09.2025. He submits that the proceedings in the said case have been revived on 06.05.2025.
- 7. Ld counsel for the appellant submits that they will move an appropriate application before Hon'ble High Court of Delhi seeking permission to pursue their statuary remedy in the present appeal.
- 8. At joint request of the parties matter be listed for further proceedings on 28.07.2025.

A.No. 169/25

27.05.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present:

Sh. Vineet K. Singh and Arvind Malik, Ld. counsel for the appellant.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

At request put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on 11.08.2025.

A.No. 342/25

27.05.2025

Present: Sh. D. C Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that he has instructions to withdraw the present appeal and he may be permitted to withdraw the appeal with the liberty to file fresh appeal as the present appeal has been filed against the vacation notice.

Separate statement of the Ld. counsel for appellant has been recorded in this regard.

In view of the facts and circumstances, the present appeal is dismissed as withdrawn. Appellant is at liberty to file a fresh appeal within seven working days from today subject to law of limitation as well as other extant laws and rules which may be applicable.

It is clarified that the observation made while passing of this order by this Tribunal shall not tantamount to the expression on the merits of this case as well as limitation period.

File be consigned to record room.

A.No. 802/24, 805/24, 806/24 & 807/24 27.05.2025

Present: Md. Sohail, Proxy counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent along

with Sh. Sanjay Kumar Soni, AE(B).

Status report is filed by the MCD in appeal no. 807/24

and 802/24. Copy supplied.

It is informed that arguing counsel Sh. Ayush Gupta is not available today due to some medical exigencies in his

family.

At joint request of both the parties matter be listed on

interim applications on appeal on 25.08.2025.

A.No. 799/24

27.05.2025

Present: Md. Sohail, Proxy counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Sanjay Kumar Soni, AE(B).

An application under Order VI Rule 17 CPC is moved by the appellant along with amended plaint.

It is stated that the appellant is seeking amendment in the appeal by co37

rrecting typographical mistakes.

Ld counsel for the MCD submits that as the appellant is seeking to correct the typographical errors only, they do not oppose the said application.

In view of aforesaid, application seeking amendment of the appeal is hereby allowed and the amended appeal be taken on record.

Ld counsel for MCD further submits that in case appellant seeks any further adjournment in this case, MCD will not be able to continue with their undertaking and the matter will be heard on merits.

It is clarified that no further adjournment shall be granted in the matter.

Ld counsel for MCD submits that hearing in the present matter is also going on before Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in contempt case and the next date of hearing scheduled on 15.10.2025. He request for short adjournment so that case can be heard on merits

At joint request of both the parties matter be listed for arguments on interim applications and appeal on 25.08.2025.

A.No. 322/25

27.05.2025

Present: Sh. Ishan Chawla, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD. Copy supplied.

Ld counsel for the MCD submits that Hon'ble Division Bench of the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi seized of the matter in respect of unauthorized construction in the property in question in WP (C) 3741/25.

Ld counsel for the appellant submits that they will seek necessary permissions in the said case to proceed further with present appeal.

Accordingly, put up for further proceedings on **08.07.2025.** 

Copy of this order be given dasti.

A.No. 580/12

27.05.2025

Present: Sh. Gaurav Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

- List of documents containing the copy of property tax payment receipts is filed by the appellant, copy supplied.
- 2. In the present appeal MCD has passed a demolition order dated 14.09.2012 in respect of unauthorized construction at the fourth floor of property in question. Appellant is relying upon the property tax assessment order dated 01.04.1996 to show that the construction at the fourth floor is old and protected. The property tax payment receipts filed today in respect of fourth floor pertains to the year 2020-2021 onwards and no property tax returns pertaining to the fourth floor prior to cut of date is filed on record.
- 3. It is quite surprising that despite property tax assessment of 01.04.1996, the appellant has failed to place on record any property tax return in respect of fourth floor filed after year 1996.
- 4. In these circumstances in order to discern the issue, MCD is directed to file a status report clarifying the year in which the property tax returns in respect of the fourth floor was filed by the appellant for the first time.

The details of subsequent property tax returns be also mentioned in the report. The details of the covered

area specified in these returns be also mentioned.

Copy of the said property tax returns (if available) in

MCD record be also annexed with the report.

5. It is needless to mention that this is an old matter

pending since 2012 and MCD shall make a earnest

efforts to file status report on or before the next date

of hearing.

Put up for filing of status report as well as arguments

on the point of appeal on 14.07.2025.

Copy of this order be given dasti.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge

P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD 27.05.2025 (J)

A.No. 47/25

27.05.2025

Present: Sh. Ravikant Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Ms Renu Soni, Nodal Officer on behalf of the respondent

/ MCD along with Sh. P.K. Jindal, AE(B).

Status report is filed by the MCD. Copy supplied.

It is informed that MCD's record was earlier filed in appeal no. 492/24 which is disposed of vide order dated

18.10.2024.

The Registry is directed to produce the file of the said appeal as well as record in this case on the next date of . . .

hearing.

Put up for purpose fixed on 12.11.2025.

A.No. 133/25

27.05.2025

Present:

Sh. Sandip Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant in person

along with appellant joined through VC.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that vide letter dated 14.05.2025 they have applied to MCD seeking regularization of the structure in question. He submits that they have received a communication dated 16.05.2025 from the MCD guiding them to submit regularization application in a prescribed format through registered empanelled Architect. It is informed that appellant has already availed the services of registered empanelled Architect and in the process of applying regularization. Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks one week time to update the position in that regard.

Accordingly, put up for further proceedings on **05.06.2025.** 

Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.

A.No. 895/24

27.05.2025

Present:

Sh. Wajeeh Shafiq and Sh. Vishwajeet Kumar, Ld counsels for the appellant.

Ms. Renu Soni, Nodal Officer on behalf of MCD

It is informed by Nodal Officer that Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld. counsel for MCD is un-available today due to some family exigencies.

One more opportunity is granted to the MCD for arguments.

As MCD is seeking adjournment, the undertaking given by MCD on 17.01.2025 for not carrying out any demolition action in the property in question to continue till the next date of hearing.

The AE (B) concerned shall remain present on the next date of hearing.

It is clarified that no further request for adjournment shall be entertained in this matter.

Put up for purpose fixed on 22.08.2025.

#### A.No. 215/22 & 216/22

## 27.05.2025

Present: Ms. Sunanda Jain, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Ms. Beena Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent along

with Sh. Dhirender Kumar, AE (B).

Sh. Dhirender Kumar, AE (B) submits that the record in respect of service report is not traceable and he seeks some more time to file the same.

In the interest of justice, last and final opportunity is granted to the MCD to take appropriate steps in this regard.

Put up for further proceedings on 29.08.2025.

A.No. 193/24

27.05.2025

Present: Sh. Ajay M. Lal, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Ms. Renu Soni, Nodal Officer on behalf of the respondent

/ MCD along with Subodh Hathwal, AE(B).

Sh. Amit Sinha and Rupesh Kumar, Ld counsel for the

respondent no 2 & 3. Fresh Vakalatnaama filed.

Part arguments heard.

Ld counsel for the appellant submits that he needs to

inspect the record before addressing further arguments in

the matter.

MCD is directed to file status report clarifying about the

various building plans, which has been sanctioned in

respect of the property in question. The report shall also

clarify the measurements of addition / alteration which

has been carried out in comparison to last sanctioned

building plan.

AE(B) is directed to remain present before this Tribunal

on next date of hearing.

Put up for purposed fixed on 18.09.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge

P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD 27.05.2025 (V)

A.No. 373/24

27.05.2025

Present: Sh. Sunil Gupta, relative of the appellant in person.

Sh. V. K. Aggarwal, Ld. Proxy counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Mohish Bansal is un-available today due to some family exigencies.

Ld. counsel for the MCD submits that they will file Standard Building Plan of DDA during the course of the day.

In the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address arguments in the matter.

Put up for purpose fixed on 12.11.2025.

Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.

A.No. 989/24

27.05.2025

Present: Ms. Mahima Tanwar, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Ajay Gaur, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of appellant due to

death of a family member.

Perusal of the record shows that in the past also, various

adjournments have been sought.

In the interest of justice, one last and final opportunity is

granted to the appellant to address arguments in the

matter.

It is clarified that no request of further adjournment shall

be entertained in the matter.

Put up for purpose already fixed on 03.07.2025.

A.No. 886/24

27.05.2025

Present: Sh. Devender Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. V. K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks sometime to inspect the record before addressing the arguments in the matter. In the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments.

Put up for purpose fixed on 12.11.2025.

A.No. 106/19

27.05.2025

Present: Sh. Shubham Kaushik, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. K.K. Arora, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments on an application under Order 22 Rule 3 heard.

Ld. counsel for MCD takes a preliminary objection in respect of limitation period. He submits that no application seeking condonation of delay is moved by the appellant.

Ld. counsel for appellant seeks some time to take instruction in that regard.

Put up for further arguments on application seeking condonation of delay on 12.11.2025.

A.No. 88/20 & 89/20

27.05.2025

Present: Sh. Naveen Kumar Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Sh. Ashish Upadhaya, Ld. counsel for intervener.

Ld. counsel for appellant Mr. Naveen Kumar Sharma submits that his associate counsel Mr. Raj Kumar Yadav has suffered a car accident. He submits that the case file of this case is lying in his chamber and he is not able to access the case file and not in a position to argue the matter today.

Ld. counsel for intervener strongly opposes the adjournment request.

Vide order dated 10.03.2025 the Tribunal has clarified that no further adjournment request will be entertained in the matter but despite the said order once again the appellant is making another request for adjournment. However, keeping in view the exigencies which have been cited before this Tribunal, one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address their arguments in the matter.

It is clarified that no further request for adjournment shall be entertained and in case appellant fails to address the arguments on next date of hearing the matter shall be dealt as per law. Put up for further arguments on pending interim application as well as appeal on **18.08.2025**.

Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing in appeal No.88/20.

### A.No. 226/24 & 227/24

#### 27.05.2025

Present: None for the appellant.

Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

None has appeared on behalf of appellant since morning

in the Tribunal or through VC despite various calls.

In the interest of justice, no adverse order is being

passed today.

Put up for purpose already fixed on 14.11.2025.

### A.No. 37/23 & 38/23

27.05.2025

Present: None for the appellant.

Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

None has appeared on behalf of appellant since morning

in the Tribunal or through VC despite various calls.

In the interest of justice, no adverse order is being

passed today.

Put up for purpose already fixed on 14.11.2025.

A.No. 91/24

27.05.2025

Present: None for the appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

None has appeared on behalf of appellant since morning

in the Tribunal or through VC despite various calls.

In the interest of justice, no adverse order is being

passed today.

Put up for purpose already fixed on 14.11.2025.

A.No. 308/23

27.05.2025

Present: Proxy counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Hari Om Gupta is un-available today due to death of his acquaintance.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for purpose fixed on 14.11.2025.

A.No. 739/22

27.05.2025

Present:

Sh. Rajeev Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld. counsel for respondent No.1 MCD.

Sh. Dishnt Sharma, Ld. counsel for respondents No.2 to 4.

Copy of application under Order 6 Rule 17 CPC is supplied to Ld. counsel for MCD as well as other respondents. They seeks some time to file their replies. An application seeking condonation of delay is also pending. Before venturing into the aspect of amendment of appeal it will be prudent to decide the issue of limitation.

Accordingly, matter be listed for arguments on application seeking condonation of delay on 22.09.2025.

A.No. 227/23

27.05.2025

Present: Sh. Raj Kumar Mahar, Ld counsel on behalf of appellant.

Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Sh. Ravindu Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent no.

2 & 3.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Pooran Singh Mahar un-available today due to bad health.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for purpose fixed on 17.11.2025.

### A.No.117/17 & 929/16

27.05.2025

Present: Ms. Kajal Chandra, Ld counsel for the appellant joined

through VC.

Ms. Manjusha Jha, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for appellant seeks some time to inspect the

record before addressing the arguments in the matter.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted

to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for purpose fixed on 17.11.2025.

A.No. 534/24 & 535/24

27.05.2025

Present: Sh. Ajay Sharma proxy counsel for the appellant.

Ms. Renu Soni, Nodal Officer on behalf of MCD.

Part arguments heard. Arguments could not be concluded today as it is informed that Mr. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld. counsel for MCD has to rush to Hon'ble High Court of Delhi for attending an urgent matter.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for purpose fixed on 28.10.2025.

A.No. 1076/24

27.05.2025

Present: Sh. Ujjwal Tripathi proxy counsel for the appellant.

Ms. Renu Soni, Nodal Officer on behalf of MCD.

List of documents is filed on behalf of appellant on

08.05.2025. Copy be supplied to the MCD.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as

main counsel Sh. Rakesh Tanwar is un-available today

being busy in a matter before the Hon'ble High Court.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted

to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for purpose fixed on 28.10.2025.

A.No. 590/22, 591/22 & 592/22

27.05.2025

Present: Sh. G.D. Mishra, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for MCD submits that he is yet to receive the complete record from the MCD and needs some time to prepare his arguments in the matter.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for purpose fixed on 28.10.2025.

Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.

A.No. 825/24

27.05.2025

Present: Sh. Krish Kalra, Ld counsel for the appellant joined

through VC.

Sh. Ranjit Pandey, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal is allowed and the matter is remanded back to the Quasi Judicial Authority for deciding the same afresh.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

A.No. 826/24

27.05.2025

Present: Sh. Krish Kalra, Ld counsel for the appellant joined

through VC.

Sh. Ranjit Pandey, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal is allowed and the matter is remanded back to the Quasi Judicial Authority for deciding the same afresh.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

A.No. 794/24

27.05.2025

Present: Sh. Krish Kalra, Ld counsel for the appellant joined

through VC.

Sh. Ranjit Pandey, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal is allowed and the matter is remanded back to the Quasi Judicial Authority for deciding the same afresh.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

A.No. 795/24

27.05.2025

Present: Sh. Krish Kalra, Ld counsel for the appellant joined

through VC.

Sh. Ranjit Pandey, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal is allowed and the matter is remanded back to the Quasi Judicial Authority for deciding the same afresh.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

A.No. 784/24

27.05.2025

Present: Sh. Krish Kalra, Ld counsel for the appellant joined

through VC.

Sh. Ranjit Pandey, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal is allowed and the matter is remanded back to the Quasi

Judicial Authority for deciding the same afresh.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.