

A.No. 350/25

30.05.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Deepak Agarwal, Ld. counsel for the appellant.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on 11.07.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.05.2025 (J)

A.No. 358/25

30.05.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Amit Kumar , Ld. counsel for the appellant.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through Chief Legal Advisor, DDA.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on 10.07.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.05.2025 (J)

A.No. 359/25

30.05.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Paramveer Chander, Ld. counsel for the appellant.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through Chief Legal Advisor, DDA.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on 14.07.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.05.2025

A.No. 360/25

30.05.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Hamit Kumar and Sh. Jogender Singh, Ld. counsel
for the appellant.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to
the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the
presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in
person along with the record of the proceedings, status
report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal
on **11.06.2025**.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.05.2025 (J)

A.No. 361/25

30.05.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Jayant Tiwari, Ld. counsel for the appellant.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that he has instructions to withdraw the present appeal and he may be permitted to withdraw the appeal with the liberty to file fresh appeal after removing technical defect.

Separate statement of the Ld. counsel for appellant has been recorded in this regard.

In view of the facts and circumstances, the present appeal is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the appellant to file a fresh appeal within seven working days from today.

It is clarified that the observation made while passing of this order by this Tribunal shall not tantamount to the expression on the merits of this case as well as limitation period.

File be consigned to record room.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
22.05.2025 (J)

A.No. 238/25

30.05.2025

Present : Sh. S.L.Vishal, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Sanjay Sethi, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by respondent / MCD. Copy supplied.

Record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry and tagged with the file.

Ld. counsel for appellant seeks some time to inspect the record before addressing arguments in the matter.

In the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted to appellant to address arguments in the matter.

Put for the purpose already fixed on 09.10.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.05.2025 R

A.No. 242/25

30.05.2025

Present : Sh. Sarthak Ahuja, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent
along with Sh. Mukesh Kumar, AE(B).

Status report is filed by respondent / MCD. Copy
supplied.

Record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry
and tagged with the file.

Ld. counsel for appellant seeks some time to inspect the
record before addressing arguments in the matter.

In the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted
to appellant to address arguments in the matter.

Put for the purpose already fixed on 12.11.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.05.2025 R

A.No. 248/25

30.05.2025

Present : Sh. Rishabh Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld counsel for the respondent along
with Sh. Harjit Singh, AE(B).

Status report is filed by respondent / MCD. Copy
supplied.

Record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry
and tagged with the file.

Ld. counsel for appellant seeks some time to inspect the
record before addressing arguments in the matter.

In the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted
to appellant to address arguments in the matter.

Put for the purpose already fixed on 11.09.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.05.2025 R

A.No. 245/25, 246/25 & 247/25

30.05.2025

Present : Ms. Parul Agarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Avishek Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent
alongwith Sh. Subodh Hathwal, AE(B). Fresh
Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with
Registry.

Ld. counsel for appellant seeks some time to inspect the
record before addressing the arguments in the matter.

Put up for reply and arguments on application under
Section 5 of the Limitation Act, Arguments on interim
application and appeal on 10.09.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.05.2025 (J)

A.No. 318/25

30.05.2025

Present : Sh. Dharamvir Singh and Ms. Shifali Jain, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Ms. Vasu Singh, Ld counsel for the respondent with Sh. Dev Raj Chaudhary, AE(B).

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry.

Arguments on the point of appeal heard at length from Ld. counsel for both the parties.

Put up for orders on **02.06.2025**.

Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.05.2025 (J)

A.No. 349/25

30.05.2025

Present : Sh. Jayant Tiwari , Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. M.S.Sagar, Ld counsel for the respondent. Fresh Vakalatnama is filed by Ld. counsel for respondent. It be taken on record. Along with Sh. R.D.Chaudhary, AE(B).

Status report is filed by respondent / MCD. Copy supplied.

Record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry and tagged with the file.

Ld. counsel for appellant seeks some time to inspect the record before addressing arguments in the matter.

In the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted to appellant to address arguments in the matter.

Put for the purpose already fixed on 25.07.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.05.2025 R

A.No. 343/25

30.05.2025

Present : Sh. O.P. Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Ld. counsel for appellant submits that he needs to take instructions for amending the present appeal and request for a short hearing.

At request, put up for consideration on 06.06.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.05.2025 (J)

A.No. 1059/24

30.05.2025

Present : Sh. Nishchaya Nigam , Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent No.1.
None for Respondent no.2 School.

Part arguments heard.

Arguments could not be concluded today as no one has appeared on behalf of respondent no.2 School in the Tribunal as well as in VC.

In the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted to appellant to address arguments in the matter.

Put for the purpose already fixed on 12.08.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.05.2025 R

A.No. 968/24

30.05.2025

Present : Sh. Rahul Ranjan, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Arguments on application seeking rectification of typographical error in the appeal heard at length from Ld. counsel for both the parties.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks pass over to clarify about the title documents in respect of second floor, third floor, fourth floor and fifth floor of the property.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.05.2025

1.15 P.M.

Present None for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld. counsel for respondent.

None has appeared on behalf of the appellant.

Put up at 2.00 p.m.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.05.2025

2.30 P.M.
Present None for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld. counsel for respondent.

None has appeared on behalf of the appellant.
Put up at 3.00 p.m.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.05.2025

3.00 P.M.
Present None for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld. counsel for respondent.

1. None has appeared on behalf of the appellant despite several calls.
2. Arguments on application moved by the appellant seeking rectification of typographical error in para 8 (H) of the appeal were heard from both the parties at length in the morning.
3. Ld. counsel for appellant sought pass over to bring on record the title documents and other record in respect of second floor to fifth floor of the property in question. Despite various calls since morning no one is appearing on behalf of the appellant.
4. It is argued by Ld. counsel for the appellant that in para No. 8(H) of the appeal it is inadvertently written that the property of the appellant is situated at first

floor. He submits that appellant has purchased the property i.e. first floor and above and therefore, appeal be amended to include the property above the first floor and the protection be also granted to the structure above first floor also.

5. Ld. counsel for MCD has strongly opposed the application. He submits that the order dated 06.11.2024 clearly records the submissions made by Ld. counsel for the appellant that they have filed the present appeal only in respect of first floor of the property in question. He submits that the said fact is also stated in para 8 (H) of the appeal. He further submits that appellant has placed on record copy of sale deed dated 09.02.2023 and 20.07.2023 which shows that appellant has bought portions on the Eastern side and Western side of the first floor with roof/terrace rights up to sky. He submits that from a bare perusal of the sale deed it is clear that in the year 2023 there was no structure over and above the first floor of the property in question. He submits that by present application appellant is making a misadventure to extend the scope of the interim protection to the second floor to fifth floor of the property in question. He submits that despite opportunity given by the Tribunal the appellant has failed to place on record any document to show that the structure from second floor to fifth floor is an old structure.

6. I have heard the arguments and perused the record. Before proceeding further to decide this application the Tribunal deprecates the conduct of Ld. counsel for the appellant who despite seeking a pass over in the morning failed to appear before the Tribunal despite various calls.
7. In the application appellant is seeking amendment that in para 8 (H) of the appeal it is inadvertently written that the appellant is the owner of first floor of the property. It is argued that appellant is owner of first floor and above and the structure above the first floor also needs to be protected in terms of the interim order dated 06.11.2024.
8. Perusal of order dated 06.11.2024 shows that Ld. counsel for the appellant made a clear submission that they have filed the present appeal only for the first floor of the property in question. Para 8 (H) of the appeal also mentions that appellant filed this appeal for first floor of the property in question. Both the sale deeds of the year 2023 also shows that the only structure which existed in the Eastern side and western side of the property is first floor only. Appellant has failed to place on record any other documents to show the existence of second floor to fifth floor of the property prior to the cutoff date.
9. I found merits in the submissions made by Ld. counsel for MCD that present application is nothing but a misadventure to mischievously seek protection in respect

of the second floor to fifth floor in terms of order dated 06.11.2024. From the sale deeds of the appellant it is clear that no structure above first floor existed in the year 2023 therefore, the question of grant of interim protection to the structure above first floor does not arise at this juncture.

10. I do not find any merits in the application and the same is dismissed with a cost of Rs.10,000/- to be deposited with the Registry of this Tribunal.

11. It is clarified that the observation made while passing of this order by this court shall not tantamount to the expression on the merits of this case.

12. Put up for purpose fixed on date already fixed i.e. **25.07.2025.**

Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing in terms of order dated 06.11.2024 in respect of first floor of the property in question only.

Copy of the order be given dasti to both the parties.

Announced in open court
today i.e. 30.05.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.05.2025 (J)

A.No. 539/23

30.05.2025

Present :

Sh. F.A.Khan, Proxy counsel for the appellant.

Sh. V.K.Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Sh. A.K.Sharma, Ld. counsel for intervener.

Reply to the application under Section 151 CPC is filed by the intervener. Copy supplied.

Rejoinder to the reply filed by appellant to the application under Rules 14 & 17 is filed by intervener. Copy supplied.

It is informed that Ld. counsel for appellant Mr. M.S.Khan is unavailable today due to bad health.

Ld. Counsel for respondent / MCD submits that vide order dated 26.03.2025 in WP(C) no.3749/2025 directions have been issued by Hon'ble High Court of Delhi for expeditiously disposal of this case.

In the interest of justice, one last and final opportunity is granted to appellant to address arguments in the matter, failing which it is clarified that the Tribunal shall proceed further to adjudicate the matter as per law.

It is clarified that no request of further adjournment shall be entertained in the matter.

Put for the purpose already fixed on 04.07.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.05.2025 R

A.No. 375/18

30.05.2025

Present : Sh. G. R. Verma and Sh. Deepak Grover, Ld counsels for
the appellant.

Sh. Mohit Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Arguments on application under Section 5 of the
Limitation Act as well as on the point of appeal heard at
length from Ld. counsels for both the parties.

Put up for orders on **02.06.2025**.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.05.2025 (s)

A.No. 376/18

30.05.2025

Present : Sh. G. R. Verma and Sh. Deepak Grover, Ld counsels for
the appellant.

Sh. Mohit Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Arguments on the point of appeal heard at length from
Ld. counsels for both the parties.

Put up for orders on **02.06.2025**.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.05.2025 (s)

A.No. 427/24
30.05.2025

Present : Sh. Jugal Wadwa & Sh. Raghav Goyal, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. N.K.Jha, AE(B), Ashok Kumar, JE(B) and Sh. Krishan Kumar, JLO/WZ.

Status report is filed by MCD in terms of previous order.
Copy supplied to appellant

Application under Section 151 CPC is moved by MCD seeking waiver of cost imposed by the Tribunal. In view of the explanation and exigencies stated, application is allowed and cost is waived off.

Ld. counsel for appellant submits that in view of status report filed by MCD, he needs some time to take instructions and to address arguments in the matter.

In the interest of justice, one last and final opportunity is granted to appellant to address arguments in the matter.

It is clarified that no request of further adjournment shall be entertained in the matter.

Put up for further arguments on pending interim applications and appeal on 09.09.2025.

Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.05.2025 R

A.No. 184/20 & 159/20

30.05.2025

Present : Appellant in person.
Sh. Ranjit Pandey, Ld counsel for the respondent along
with Sh. Yashwant Singh, AE(B).

Written synopsis is filed on behalf of appellant. Copy
supplied to MCD.

Appellant submits that he is not able to contact his
counsel and seeks a short adjournment in the matter.

In the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted
to appellant to address arguments in the matter.

Put up for further arguments on the point of appeal on
08.07.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.05.2025 R

A.No. 1092/24 & 1093/24

30.05.2025

Present : Sh. C.M.Grover, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh.Dharambir Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent
along with Sh. Srinivas, AE(B).

Status report is filed by respondent / MCD informing that they have already rejected the regularization application. Copy supplied.

Ld. Counsel for respondent / MCD submits that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi is already seized the matter and they have no instructions to continue their undertaking given on last date of hearing. Clarification is taken on record.

Ld. Counsel for appellant informs that they have already moved an application before the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi seeking permission to pursue their legal remedy in the present matter and will apprise the status on next date of hearing.

Put up for further proceedings on 29.08.2025.

Order be given dasti to both the parties.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.05.2025 R

A.No. 21/25, 22/25 & 23/25

30.05.2025

Present : Sh. Deepak Verma , Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An application under Order I Rule 10 CPC is filed by the appellant. Copy supplied to MCD.

Put up for reply and arguments on the aforesaid application on **19.11.2025**.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.05.2025 (s)

A.No. 680/24 & 707/24

30.05.2025

Present : Sh. G. R. Verma, Sh. H. K. Sharma and Sh. Deepak Grover, Ld counsels for the appellant.

Sh Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Arun Rawat, AE (B) and Sh. Devender Kr. Sharma, JSA, House Tax from MCD.

Sh. Avineet Singh, Ld. Legal Aid Counsel for the applicant / intervener.

1. Arguments on the application under Order I Rule 10 CPC heard from Ld. counsel for all the parties.
2. Ld. counsel for the applicant / intervener admits that they are not the owner in the property in question. However, he submits that the applicant/ intervener is a neighbor who is aggrieved from the unauthorized construction and encroachment on public land, projections on public land and his easementary rights are getting affected due to unauthorized construction made by the appellant.
3. Ld. counsel for the appellant as well as MCD submit that the applicant / intervener do not have any *locus standi* to become a party in the present proceedings. It is argued that the issue regarding encroachment and easementary rights can be ventilated before the Civil Forum.
4. I have heard the arguments and perused the record. It is admitted position that the applicant / intervener do not have any right, title or interest in the property in question. He is aggrieved from the unauthorized construction being a neighbor in the locality.

5. The Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in the case titled as ***Hardayal Singh Mehta Vs MCD, AIR 1990 Delhi 170*** has clearly mandated that in the matter between the appellant and the MCD, no third person can join and become a party to such proceedings and in such proceedings the application under Order I Rule 10 CPC is not maintainable. Any dispute between the applicant and the appellant has to be dealt with and to be decided by the Civil Court separately.
6. Accordingly, application moved by applicant/ intervener under Order I Rule 10 CPC is hereby dismissed. However, the applicant is at liberty to assist Ld. counsel for the MCD. The applicant will also be at liberty to ventilate his grievances before the Civil Forum.
7. It is clarified that no observations on merits have made in this order in respect of alleging of encroachment and easementary rights etc.

Put up for arguments on the point of appeal on **19.11.2025.**

Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.05.2025 (s)

A.No. 69/23

30.05.2025

Present :

Sh. Gaurav Dixit proxy counsel for the appellant.

Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Rohit Jain is un-available today due to some personal exigencies.

On last date of hearing also an adjournment was sought by the appellant. Even today the scenario has not changed and the appellant is continuing with delaying tactics.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for purpose fixed on **18.11.2025**.

It is clarified that no further request of adjournment shall be entertained in this matter.

It is further clarified that in case appellant fails to address the arguments on the next date of hearing, the Tribunal shall be constrained to reconsider the interim protection granted in the matter.

Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.05.2025 (J)

A.No. 490/24

30.05.2025

Present : Ms. Vaishali Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for MCD seeks some time to clarify the status of covered area of the property at the time of booking in terms of previous order.

Put up for purpose already fixed on 18.11.2025.

Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.05.2025 (J)

A.No. 626/24 & 269/24

30.05.2025

Present : Sh. B.S. Rana, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Ms. Beena Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal No.626/24.
Ms. Bhavya Chauhan, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal No.269/24.

1. Part arguments heard. It is submitted by Ld. counsel for appellant that their property is C-18, Kurat Pachhaiya Mohalla, 322 Village Chhawla, Delhi-110071. He submits that they have filed on record the copy of settlement in Civil Court which is at page No.32 to 35 of appeal. He submits that as per the said settlement, plot measuring 7½ Biswa of plot No.283/4, 283/5, 283/6 had came into the share of Mr. Sardar Singh and Mr. Ram Kishan.
2. In order to appreciate pleas raised by Ld. counsel for appellant and to precisely discern the properties which are situated on the aforesaid khasra Nos. 283/4, 283/5, 283/6, it is necessary that appellant shall file a site plan showing his property on khasra Nos. 283/4, 283/5, 283/6 and the property which belonging to others or family members. Appellant shall also file an affidavit giving details of family settlement/partition which had taken place between various family members for division of the said properties. Affidavit

shall mention the names of respective family members and the owners of respective portion in Khasra Nos.283/4, 283/5 and 283/6.

3. AE(B) concerned is directed to remain present in person on next date of hearing.
4. Put up for further arguments on interim application and appeal on **21.11.2025**.

Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing in appeal No.269/24.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.05.2025 (J)

A.No. 331/23

30.05.2025

Present : Sh. Raghunandan Sharma , Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K.Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

List of documents is filed by appellant.

Ld. counsel for appellant submits that they will supply the copy to MCD during the course of the day.

Ld. counsel for respondent / MCD seeks some time to take instructions in respect of documents filed by appellant.

In the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted to appellant to address arguments in the matter.

Put for the purpose already fixed on 19.11.2025.

Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.05.2025 R

A.No. 383/23

30.05.2025

Present : Sh. Sahil Gandhi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Kushgra Pandit, Ld. counsel for intervener joined
through VC and Sh. Rajat Rana, Associate in person.

Reply to the application under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC is
filed by appellant. Copy supplied to Ld. counsel for
intervener as well as MCD.

In the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted
to appellant to address arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on application under Order 1 Rule
10 CPC and pending interim applications as well as
appeal on 18.09.2025.

Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.05.2025 R

A.No. 571/22 & 572/22

30.05.2025

Present : Sh. Rohit Goel, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An application under Section 151 CPC to recall order dated 07.02.2025 is listed today.

Ld. counsel for MCD submits that instead of venturing into the question of extending the interim relief it will be prudent that final arguments in the appeal be heard from both the parties. Ld. counsel for appellant agrees for the same.

In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the application under Section 151 CPC is disposed off.

Arguments on the point of appeal are heard at length from Ld. counsel for both the parties.

Ld. counsel for appellant submits that he needs to take some instructions before concluding his arguments in the matter.

Accordingly, at joint request of the parties matter be relisted for further arguments on the point of appeal on 02.06.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.05.2025 (J)

A.No. 523/24 & 277/24

30.05.2025

Present : Sh. Amit Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant. Fresh Vakalatnama is filed. It be taken on record.
Ms. Bhavya Chauhan, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for appellant submits that he is recently engaged and needs to inspect the record before addressing arguments in the matter.

In the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted to appellant to address arguments in the matter.

Put for the purpose already fixed on 18.11.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.05.2025 R

A.No. 702/24, 703/24 & 385/22

30.05.2025

Present : Sh. Anurag Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal No.703/24.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal No.385/22.
Sh. Jatin Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal No.702/24.

Part arguments heard.

Ld. counsel for appellant submits that he needs some time to inspect the record before concluding his arguments in the matter.

Put up for further arguments on application seeking condonation of delay, pending interim application as well as appeal on **16.07.2025**.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.05.2025 (J)

A.No. 18/24, 830/23 & 831/23

30.05.2025

Present : Sh. Gagan Sahni, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K.Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent in
appeal no.830/23 & 831/23.
Ms. Renu Soni, Nodal Officer on behalf of the respondent
/ MCD in appeal no.18/24.

The record of appeal no.711/2022 is not produced by the
Registry.

The Registrar is directed to produce the record on or
before the next date of hearing.

Put for the purpose already fixed on 19.11.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.05.2025 R

A.No. 392/21

30.05.2025

Present : Sh. Akail , Ld counsel for the appellant joined though VC.
Ms. Renu Soni, Nodal Officer on behalf of the respondent
/ MCD.
Respondent nos. 3 & 4 in person.

It is informed that Ld. counsel for MCD Ms. Runal Rastogi
is unavailable today due to personal exigency.

In the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted
to MCD to address arguments in the matter.

Put for the purpose already fixed on 17.10.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.05.2025 R

A.No. 877/16

30.05.2025

Present : None for the appellant.
 Sh. Mahender Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Reply to the application under Section 5 of Limitation Act seeking condonation of delay is filed by MCD.

None is appeared on behalf of appellant in the Tribunal as well as in VC despite various calls since morning.

In the interest of justice, no adverse order is being passed today.

Put for the purpose already fixed on 19.11.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.05.2025 R

A.No. 386/23

30.05.2025

Present : Sh. Sanjay Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Sandeep Singh, proxy counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the respondent MCD as main counsel Sh. Pritish Sabharwal is unavailable today due to bad health.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the MCD to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for purpose fixed on **20.11.2025**.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.05.2025 (J)

A.No. 755/22

30.05.2025

Present : Sh.Somnath Bharti, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC. Appellant in person.
Sh. V.K.Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Naveen Kumar & Sh. Lalit Nagar, Ld. counsel for intervener.

Ld. counsel for appellant submits that they have recently received the copy of application under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC and needs some time to file reply.

Accordingly, matter be listed for reply and arguments on application under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC and pending interim application as well as appeal on 22.08.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.05.2025 R

A.No. 678/16

30.05.2025

Present : Sh. Nagender Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ravi Ranjan, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC with Sh. Ravi Kumar AE(B) present in the Tribunal.

AE(B) Mr. Ravi Kumar seeks some time to file a report clarifying the position in terms of previous order.
Opportunity granted.

Put up for purpose already fixed on **19.11.2025**.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
30.05.2025 (J)