A.No. 363/25

03.06.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present: Ms. Vishakha Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks some time to move an application seeking condonation of delay involved in the present case.

Put up for consideration on 07.07.2025.

A.No. 370/25

03.06.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present: Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld. counsel for the appellant.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Ld. counsel for appellant has placed on record copy of order dated 30.05.2025 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P.(C) 7343/2025 & CM APPL 35635/2025. He submits that by this order the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi has clarified that appellant can approach this Tribunal to seek redressal of his grievance. The relevant extract of the order is re-produced below:

- ....4. Considering the submissions made before this Court, it is clarified that the learned ATMCD shall be at liberty to consider and decide the appeals filed on behalf of respondent No.2 herein, before the said authority, on its own merits, without being influenced by the pendency of the present writ petition.
- 5. With aforesaid clarification, the present application is disposed of."

Appellant has filed appeal No.370/25 impugning the demolition order and appeal No.326/25 impugning the rejection of regularization proceedings. It will be prudent that arguments in both the cases be heard together.

Accordingly matter be listed for arguments on pending interim application as well as appeal on **11.08.2025**.

A.No. 348/25

03.06.2025

File is taken up today on an application seeking early hearing in the matter.

Present:

Sh. Amit Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.

It is submitted by Ld. counsel for appellant that MCD is likely to take coercive action against the property in question and in case interim application seeking stay is not heard on merits the appellant will suffer irreparable loss and appeal will become infructuous.

Notice of the application be issued to the respondent / MCD for 11.06.2025.

A.No. 316/25

03.06.2025

Present: Sh. A.H. Khan, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. K.D. Sharma, Ld counsel for the DDA along with Sh.

Manish Yadav, Nodal Officer/DDA.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned CLA/ DDA.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Memo of appearance is filed by advocate Mr. K.D.Sharma for DDA. He submits that he is engaged in the present matter by DDA. Copy of appeal and documents be supplied to DDA.

Put up for arguments on pending interim applications and appeal on 18.08.2025.

A.No. 326/25

03.06.2025

Present:

Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld. counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Avishek Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Ms. Sarita Gaur, ALO and Sh. Satish Gautam, AE(B).

Ld. counsel for appellant has placed on record copy of order dated 30.05.2025 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P.(C) 7343/2025 & CM APPL 35635/2025. He submits that by this order the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi has clarified that appellant can approach this Tribunal to seek redressal of his grievance. The relevant extract of the order is re-produced below:

- ....4. Considering the submissions made before this Court, it is clarified that the learned ATMCD shall be at liberty to consider and decide the appeals filed on behalf of respondent No.2 herein, before the said authority, on its own merits, without being influenced by the pendency of the present writ petition.
- 5. With aforesaid clarification, the present application is disposed of."

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

It is informed by Ms. Sarita Gaur, ALO that vide order dated 26.05.2025 in W.P.(C) 7343/2025 Hon'ble High Court has directed that status quo be maintained in respect of property in question.

Appellant has filed appeal No.370/25 impugning the demolition order and appeal No.326/25 impugning the rejection of regularization proceedings. It will be prudent that arguments in both the cases be heard together.

Accordingly matter be listed for arguments on pending interim application as well as appeal on **11.08.2025**.

A.No. 152/25

03.06.2025

Present: Sh. Vinod Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld counsel for the respondent along

with Sh. Yashwant Singh, AE(B).

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Record is produced. It be deposited with Registry and

tagged with the file.

Ld. counsel for MCD takes a preliminary objection that

the appeal is not maintainable as the appellant has

challenged the vacation notice and not the demolition

order.

Ld. counsel for appellant submits that he needs to take

instructions and inspect the record before addressing the

arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on interim application as well as on

maintainability of appeal on 28.08.2025.

Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD

03.06.2025 (J)

A.No. 154/25

03.06.2025

Present:

Sh. Pawan Kumar Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant along with Sh. Ajay Jain Advocate.

Ms. Renu Soni, Nodal Officer on behalf of the respondent / MCD along with Sh. Anurag Shandilya, AE(B).

Status report is filed by MCD. Copy supplied to the appellant.

Ld counsel for the appellant seeks sometime to inspect the record before addressing arguments in the matter.

Concerned AE(B) is directed to remain present in person before the Tribunal on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on pending interim applications and appeal on 08.09.2025.

Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.

A.No. 24/25 & 25/25

03.06.2025

Present:

Sh. Ajay Chaudhary, Ld counsel for the appellant along

with Ms. Naina Kejriwal, Ms. Ruchika Bothra and Mr.

Anirudh Sharma, Advocates.

Sh. Preet Singh, Proxy Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld counsel for the MCD submits that they have not

received copy of early hearing application. He submits

that they do not have instructions from department and

are not in a position to address arguments today. He

further inform that apart from appeal nos. 24/25 & 25/25

and there are six other appeals which were also filed by

the appellant and they are listed on 13.08.2025. It is

submitted that it will be prudent that arguments be heard

in all the cases together as all the appeal pertains to the

same property.

I found merits in the submission made by MCD and it will

be prudent that arguments in all pending appeals be

heard together. Accordingly, application seeking early

hearing is disposed off.

Matter be listed for the purpose fixed on the date already

fixed i.e. 13.08.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge

P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD

03.06.2025 (V)

A.No. 711/24 & 158/25

03.06.2025

Present: Appellant in person.

Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 711/24.

Ms. Renu Soni, Nodal Officer on behalf of the respondent / MCD in appeal no. 158/25.

Status report is filed by MCD in appeal no. 158/25.

Part arguments heard.

Nodal Officer informs that Sh. Ashutosh Gupta Ld counsel for MCD in appeal no. 158/25 is not available being busy in the Courts of Ld Principal District & Sessions Judge.

In the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted to MCD to address arguments in the matter.

Put for the purpose already fixed on 13.11.2025.

Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing in appeal no. 711/24.

A.No. 1066/24 & 1067/24

03.06.2025

Present:

Sh. Vinod Kumar Khanna and Sh. Gaurav, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

- 1. Ld. counsel for MCD submits that in respect of question writ petition bearing property in No.14958/2024 is pending before the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi. He submits that as per the information received from the department, the appellant has already moved an application before the Hon'ble High Court seeking permission to pursue their remedy against the impugned demolition order in the present appeal. He submits that till the time the Hon'ble High Court adjudicates the said application the Tribunal may not proceed with hearing in the matter as Hon'ble High Court is seized of the issue.
- Ld. counsel for appellant submits that due to vacation in the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi their application could not be listed. He submits that he will mention the matter before the Vacation Bench of Hon'ble High Court and seek directions for pursuing their remedy in the present appeal.
- He submits that MCD has scheduled a demolition action for tomorrow. He submits that due to vacations in the Hon'ble High Court and the objections as to the

jurisdiction taken by the MCD the appellant has become remedyless. He submits that the demolition action be deferred for one week so that appellant can take necessary steps for moving an application before the Vacation Bench of Hon'ble High Court.

- 4. Ld. counsel for MCD on instructions submits that they have no objection in case the appellant wishes to move an application before the Hon'ble High Court and they will postpone their demolition action till next date of hearing.
- 5. Accordingly, at request of the parties, matter be listed for further proceedings on 11.06.2025.

Copy of the order be given dasti to both the parties.

A.No. 224/25 & 225/25

03.06.2025

Present:

Sh. Ajjay Arorra Ld. Senior counsel with Sh. Arush Kapoor & Sh. Nitish Dubey, Ld counsel for the appellant. Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Dhinrender Kumar, AE(B).

- 1. Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.
- 2. Record is produced. It be deposited with Registry and tagged with the file.
- 3. Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that by order dated 17.02.2025 passed in W.P.(C) 1375/2025 they have been given liberty to pursue their remedy before this Tribunal. The relevant extract of the said order is re-produced below:-
  - "10 The application is allowed with the aforesaid clarification. It is made clear that this Court is not entering into the issue of the validity or legality of the demolition order and /or the rights of Respondents No.3 and 4 before ATMCD"
- Ld. counsel for MCD also confirms that there is no embargo on the jurisdiction of this Tribunal and Tribunal can hear and adjudicate the matter.
- Arguments on the point of interim application as well as appeal are heard at length from Ld. counsel for all the parties.
- 6. Put up for orders on 10.06.2025.

7. Status quo be maintained in respect of the property in question till the next date of hearing.

A.No. 200/25

03.06.2025

Present:

Sh. Ajjay Arorra Ld. Senior counsel with Sh. Arush Kapoor & Sh. Nitish Dubey, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Dhinrender Kumar, AE(B).

Sh. Prabhjit Jauhar and Ms. Shreya Narayan, Ld. counsel for intervener.

- 1. Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.
- 2. Record is produced. It be deposited with Registry and tagged with the file.
- 3. Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that by order dated 17.02.2025 passed in W.P.(C) 1375/2025 they have been given liberty to pursue their remedy before this Tribunal. The relevant extract of the said order is reproduced below:-
  - "10. The application is allowed with the aforesaid clarification. It is made clear that this Court is not entering into the issue of the validity or legality of the demolition order and /or the rights of Respondents No.3 and 4 before ATMCD".
- 4. Ld. counsel for MCD also confirms that there is no embargo on the jurisdiction of this Tribunal and Tribunal can hear and adjudicate the matter.
- 5. An application under Order I Rule 10 CPC is field by Ms. Sangita Sahu who is stated to be the owner of the first floor in property in question. Copy supplied.

- Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that they do not wish to file reply to application and address their arguments.
- 7. Ld. counsel for the applicant submits that they are the owner of the first floor in the property in question. He submits that being aggrieved from the unauthorized construction in the property in question by the other occupants; the applicant filed a writ petition before the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi wherein various directions have been issued. He submits that for bringing complete facts before the Tribunal and to unearth the truth, participation of the applicant is necessary for just adjudication of this matter.
- 8. Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that they are independent owner of second floor. He submits that in present appeal they are impugning the order of MCD only in respect of their floor and as per the judgment in the case of Hardayal Singh Mehta & Anr. Vs. MCD & Ors. AIR 1990 Delhi 190, the applicant cannot be made a party. However, Ld. counsel for appellant as well as MCD submit that they have 'No Objection' in case applicant is given opportunity to assist the MCD counsel in this matter.
- 9. I have heard the arguments from Ld. counsels for all the parties and perused the record. The present appeal has been filed impugning the demolition order only in respect of second floor. The appellant is stated to be the independent owner of his floor. The appellant submits that they do not want to challenge the impugned

- demolition order in respect of the stilt portion and want to assist the Tribunal to put forward complete picture and state of affairs.
- 10. The present appeal is restricted to the floor of appellants. Applicant has chosen not to file any appeal in respect of stilt portion where she has stake. Applicant has no stake in floor of appellant.
- Singh Mehta & Anr. Vs. MCD & Ors. (Supra) wherein it is held that in the matter between the appellant and the MCD, no third person can join and become a party to such proceedings and in such proceedings the application under Order I Rule 10 CPC is not maintainable. Any dispute between the applicant and the appellant has to be dealt with and to be decided by the Civil Court separately. Accordingly, application moved by applicant under Order I Rule 10 CPC is hereby dismissed. However, the applicant is at liberty to assist the MCD counsel in the present matter.
- 12. Arguments on the point of interim application as well as appeal are heard at length from Ld. counsel for all the parties.
- 13. Put up for orders on **10.06.2025.**
- 14. Status quo be maintained in respect of property in question till the next date of hearing.

A.No. 201/25

03.06.2025

Present:

Sh. Ajjay Arorra Ld. Senior counsel with Sh. Arush Kapoor & Sh. Nitish Dubey, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Dhinrender Kumar, AE(B).

Sh. Prabhjit Jauhar and Ms. Shreya Narayan, Ld. counsel for intervener.

- 1. Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.
- 2. Record is produced. It be deposited with Registry and tagged with the file.
- 3. Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that by order dated 17.02.2025 passed in W.P.(C) 1375/2025 they have been given liberty to pursue their remedy before this Tribunal. The relevant extract of the said order is reproduced below:-
  - "10. The application is allowed with the aforesaid clarification. It is made clear that this Court is not entering into the issue of the validity or legality of the demolition order and /or the rights of Respondents No.3 and 4 before ATMCD".
- 4. Ld. counsel for MCD also confirms that there is no embargo on the jurisdiction of this Tribunal and Tribunal can hear and adjudicate the matter.
- An application under Order I Rule 10 CPC is field by Ms.
   Sangita Sahu who is stated to be the owner of the first floor in property in question. Copy supplied.

- Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that they do not wish to file reply to application and address their arguments.
- 7. Ld. counsel for the applicant submits that they are the owner of the first floor in the property in question. He submits that being aggrieved from the unauthorized construction in the property in question by the other occupants; the applicant filed a writ petition before the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi wherein various directions have been issued. He submits that for bringing complete facts before the Tribunal and to unearth the truth, participation of the applicant is necessary for just adjudication of this matter.
- 8. Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that they are independent owner of third floor. He submits that in present appeal they are impugning the order of MCD only in respect of their floor and as per the judgment in the case of Hardayal Singh Mehta & Anr. Vs. MCD & Ors. AIR 1990 Delhi 190, the applicant cannot be made a party. However, Ld. counsel for appellant as well as MCD submit that they have 'No Objection' in case applicant is given opportunity to assist the MCD counsel in this matter.
- 9. I have heard the arguments from Ld. counsels for all the parties and perused the record. The present appeal has been filed impugning the demolition order only in respect of Third floor. The appellant is stated to be the independent owner of his floor. The appellant submits that they do not want to challenge the impugned

- demolition order in respect of the stilt portion and want to assist the Tribunal to put forward complete picture and state of affairs.
- 10. The present appeal is restricted to the floor of appellants. Applicant has chosen not to file any appeal in respect of stilt portion where she has stake. Applicant has no stake in floor of appellant.
- 11. In view of the clear mandate in the case of Hardayal Singh Mehta & Anr. Vs. MCD & Ors. (Supra) wherein it is held that in the matter between the appellant and the MCD, no third person can join and become a party to such proceedings and in such proceedings the application under Order I Rule 10 CPC is not maintainable. Any dispute between the applicant and the appellant has to be dealt with and to be decided by the Civil Court separately. Accordingly, application moved by applicant under Order I Rule 10 CPC is hereby dismissed. However, the applicant is at liberty to assist the MCD counsel in the present matter.
- 12. Arguments on the point of interim application as well as appeal are heard at length from Ld. counsel for all the parties.
- 13. Put up for orders on **10.06.2025.**
- 14. Status quo be maintained in respect of property in question till the next date of hearing.

A.No. 330/25 & 331/25

03.06.2025

Present:

Sh. Dalip Rastogi and Mohd. Talha, Ld counsel for the appellant alongwith appellant No.2 in person.

Sh. Pritiesh Sabharwal, Ld counsel for the respondent

alongwith Ms. Mithlesh Kumari, AE(B-II)/CNZ.

Brief synopsis is filed by the appellant alongwith site plan.

Copy supplied.

MCD has also filed the site plan and the photographs.

Affidavit is filed by the appellant in terms of the order dated 26.05.2025.

Statement of the appellant No.2 Mr.Faizan is recorded in respect of the photographs of the property in question which are available on MCD record. (placed in appeal No.330/25).

Arguments on the point of appeal heard at length from Ld. counsel for both the parties.

Put up for orders on **11.06.2025**.

A.No. 98/25 & 99/25

03.06.2025

Present: Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Reply to the application under Order 7 Rule 14 is filed by

the MCD. Copy supplied.

Arguments could not be heard today as arguments in

appeal nos. 330/25 & 331/25 are already going on.

Put for the purpose already fixed on 14.07.2025.

## A.No. 962/24

## 03.06.2025

Present: Sh. Jatin Chhillar, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh.Pritiesh Sabharwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Sh. Samman Vardhan Gautan & Ms. Khushi Ld. counsel

for Intervener.

It is informed that arguing counsel for appellant Mr. Vishal

Maan is unavailable today due to bad health.

Ld. counsel for respondent / MCD seeks some to place

on record of file no.14/UC/B-IISZ/2021.

Put up for further arguments on the point of appeal on

11.09.2025.

Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.

A.No. 1012/24

03.06.2025

Present: Sh. Sanjay Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Reply to the application under Order 7 Rule 14 is filed by

the MCD. Copy supplied.

Arguments could not be heard today as arguments in

appeal nos. 330/25 & 331/25 are already going on.

Put for the purpose already fixed on 24.11.2025.

A.No. 893/24 & 894/24

03.06.2025

Present: Sh. Ayush Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent along

with Sh. Rajaram Meena, AE(B).

Part arguments heard.

Status report has filed by MCD on 03.02.2025 does not clarify the aspects, which has been touched upon in the order dated 19.12.2024.

Ld counsel for the MCD seeks sometime to file elaborate status report clarifying all the aspects.

Put up for purposed already fixed on 21.11.2025.

A.No. 45/25

03.06.2025

Present: Sh. Mukul K.Srivastava, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for appellant seeks some time to move an application seeking amendment of appeal. Advance copy be supplied to MCD.

In the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted to appellant to address arguments in the matter.

Put for the purpose already fixed on 20.11.2025.

A.No. 277/23

03.06.2025

Present: Appellant in person.

Sh. Chetan Hasija, Ld counsel for the respondent.

It is informed that arguing counsel for appellant Mr. Wahid Ali is unavailable today due to some personal exigency.

In the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted to appellant to address arguments in the matter.

Put for the purpose already fixed on 24.112025.

A.No. 105/25

03.06.2025

Present: None for the appellant.

Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Reply to the application under Section 5 of Limitation Act is filed by MCD. Copy kept in file and be released to appellant against due acknowledgement.

In the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted to appellant to address arguments in the matter.

Put for the purpose already fixed on 24.11.2025.

A.No. 940/24

03.06.2025

Present: Ms. Prerna Chaturvedi, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. Counsel for respondent / MCD informed that they are in process of passing fresh order in demolition proceedings and requests that matter be listed after vacation.

In the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted to MCD to address arguments in the matter.

At joint request of parties, matter be put up for further proceedings on 30.07.2025.

A.No. 442/13

03.06.2025

Present: Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

Put up for further arguments on the point of appeal on

20.08.2025.

A.No. 560/24

03.06.2025

Present: Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. V.K.Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Sh. Prateek Rai, Ld. counsel for the intervener joined

through VC.

An application under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC is moved by

Ms. Rashmi Joshi. Copy supplied.

In the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted

to appellant to address arguments in the matter.

Put up for reply and arguments on aforesaid application

as well as purpose fixed on 25.11.2025.

A.No. 385/20

03.06.2025

Present: Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. V.K.Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Sh. Prateek Rai, Ld. counsel for the intervener joined

through VC.

An application under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC is moved by

Ms. Rashmi Joshi. Copy supplied.

In the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted

to appellant to address arguments in the matter.

Put up for reply and arguments on aforesaid application

as well as purpose fixed on 25.11.2025.

Interim protection granted vide order 24.12.2020 to

continue till the next date of hearing.

A.No. 74/19

03.06.2025

Present: Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Mohit Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Manish Rajpal, ATP, Town Planning

Department, MCD.

Ld counsel for the appellant wants to inspect the record before addressing arguments in the matter.

In the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted to appellant to address arguments in the matter.

Put for the purpose already fixed on 25.11.2025.

A.No. 145/24

03.06.2025

Present: Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld counsel for the appellant wants to inspect the record

before addressing arguments in the matter.

In the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted

to appellant to address arguments in the matter.

Put for the purpose already fixed on 26.11.2025.

Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.

A.No. 396/22

03.06.2025

Present: Ms. Heena Rajput, Proxy counsel for the appellant.

Sh. V.K.Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ms. Veena, Ld. counsel for the intervener.

Fresh Vakalatnama is filed is by appellant. It be taken on

record.

Ld counsel for appellant submits that she is recently engaged in the matter and needs some time to inspect the record before addressing arguments in the matter.

An application under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC is pending. Advance copy of reply (if any) be supplied to the intervener.

Put for the purpose already fixed on 24.11.2025.

Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.

A.No. 906/18

03.06.2025

Present: Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Ms. Renu Soni, Nodal Officer on behalf of the respondent

/ MCD.

Ms. Renu Kumari, Ld counsel for intervener.

Nodal Officer submits that the arguing counsel Sh. Ranjit

Pandey is un-available today being out of station.

In the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted

to MCD to address arguments in the matter.

Put up for purpose fixed on 26.11.2025.

Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.

A.No. 299/21 & 300/21

03.06.2025

Present:

Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent along

with Sh. Satish Gautam AE(B).

An application under Order 7 Rule 14 CPC is moved by

the appellant, copy supplied.

Ld. counsel for MCD seeks some time to file reply.

Advance copy be supplied to the opposite party.

An application under Section 151 CPC seeking

preponement of hearing is moved by the MCD listed for

today. Ld. counsel for MCD submits that the application

has become infructuous as the matter has been taken up

on date fixed along with application. He submits that he

has instruction that this matter be heard and decided

expeditiously and request for short hearing in the matter.

Accordingly on joint request of the parties matter be listed

for arguments on aforesaid application, pending interim

application as well as appeal on **08.08.2025**.

Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)

Addl. District & Sessions Judge P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD

03.06.2025 (J)

A.No. 473/14, 1014/13, 1015/13 & 1016/13

03.06.2025

Present:

Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant in appeal

Nos. 1014/13, 1015/13 & 1016/13.

Sh. Prashant Kumar, Ld. counsel for appellant in appeal

No.473/13.

Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent

1014/13, 1015/13 & 1016/13.

Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld. counsel for MCD in appeal

No.473/13.

Sh. Anupam Sharma, Ld. counsel for DDA joined through

VC alongwith Sh. Munish Yadav, Nodal Officer, DDA.

Mr. Anupam Sharma, Ld. counsel for DDA informs that

he has been engaged by DDA in place of Advocate Mr.

H.R. Aggarwal as he is not keeping good health. He

submits that as he is recently engaged he needs to

inspect the record before addressing the arguments in

the matter.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted

to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for purpose fixed on 26.11.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA) Addl. District & Sessions Judge P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD

03.06.2025 (J)

A.No. 05/23

03.06.2025

Present: Md. Riyasuddin, Proxy counsel for the appellant.

Ms. Parveen Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Sh. S. Qamar, Ld counsel for intervener.

An application under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC is moved by

Sh. Shah Alam, applicant. Copy supplied.

Put up for further arguments on pending interim

applications and appeal on 24.11.2025.

A.No. 685/24

03.06.2025

Present: Appellant in person.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Sh. Imran appeared on behalf of appellant informed that the arguing counsel is not available today due to some personal exigencies.

In the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted to appellant to address arguments in the matter.

Put for the purpose already fixed on 24.11.2025.

A.No. 13/18, 14/18, 1067/17, 1068/17 & 1056/17

03.06.2025

Present: Sh. B.S. Tiwari, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. R.K. Kashyap, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 13/18.

Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 1056/17.

Sh. Ajay Guar, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 1068/17.

Ms. Renu Soni, Nodal Officer on behalf of the respondent / MCD in appeal no. 1067/17 & 14/18.

Part arguments heard.

Ld counsel for the MCD informed that the other appeal pertaining to the property in question are coming for hearing before this Tribunal on 23.07.2025 and matter be taken on the said date.

Accordingly, put up for purpose already fixed on 23.07.2025.

A.No. 195/25

03.06.2025

Present: Sh. V.K. Mantoo, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal

is dismissed.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record

room.