A.No. 386/25

10.06.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present: Sh. Gurpreet Singh Sethi, Ld. counsel for the appellant.

Ld counsel for the appellant seeks sometime to tender clarification in respect of measurement given in affidavit at (page 37A) of their appeal.

Put up for consideration on 11.06.2025.

A.No. 390/25

10.06.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present:

Sh. Happy Singh and Sh. Dilip, Ld. counsel for the appellant.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on 01.08.2025.

A.No. 391/25

10.06.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present: Sh. Ashok Kumar Nagar, Ld. counsel for the appellant.

Arguments on the point of maintainability of appeal heard from Ld. counsel for appellant.

Put up for orders at 3.00 P.M.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
10.06.2025 (J)

3.00 P.M. ORDERS:

- 1. The present appeal has been filed by the appellant impugning the show cause notice dated 21.05.2025 issued under section 345-A of the DMC Act and vacation notice dated 29.05.2025 issued in furtherance of the demolition order. Ld counsel for appellant submits that the proceedings have been conducted by the MCD at the instance of a whistle blower. He submits that no unauthorized construction exists in the property and the appeal be heard on merits.
- 2. Ld. counsel for appellant is requested to address the arguments on the maintainability of the appeal against

- a show cause notice and vacation notice. He submits that the appeal in the present shape is maintainable and be heard on merits.
- 3. I have heard the arguments and perused the record. In the prayer clause of the appeal appellant is impugning the show cause notice issued under Section 345A of the DMC Act dated 21.05.2025 in the sealing proceedings. Section 347-B (m) DMC Act provides a provision for impugning the sealing order and not a show cause notice.
- 4. Similarly in respect of vacation notice the appeal is not maintainable before the Tribunal. The vacation notice dated 29.05.2025 is issued in furtherance of the demolition order dated 07.04.2025 which is also mentioned in the said notice. Section 343 (2) of the DMC Act provides a provision to file appeal against the demolition order. The Limitation period to file such appeals needs to be calculated from the date of demolition order. Vacation notice is only issued in furtherance of demolition order in circumstances when the property is not demolished in compliance thereof and persons living in the property have to be evicted before any demolition action is taken by the MCD. There is no provision in Section 343 and Section 347-B of the DMC Act to file an appeal against the vacation notice.

- In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the present appeal against the show cause notice as well as vacation notice is not maintainable and dismissed.
- 6. It is clarified that no observation on merits of the case have been made by this Tribunal.
- 7. It is also clarified that the appellant is at liberty to ventilate his grievances by filing appropriate appeal against the demolition and sealing order subject to law of limitation as well as extant rules.
- 8. Copy of the order be sent to AE(B) concerned for his information and record.

File be consigned to record room Copy of the order be given dasti.

A.No. 392/25 & 393/25

10.06.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present: Sh. Shrey Tanwar and Sh. Bhagwan Singh, Ld. counsel

for the appellant.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in

person along with the record of the proceedings, status

report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal

on 07.07.2025.

A.No. 394/25

10.06.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present:

Sh. Govind Srivasta and Sh. Ram Naresh, Ld. counsel for the appellant.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on 06.08.2025.

A.No. 729/24

10.06.2025

File taken up today on application under Section 151 CPC.

Present:

Ms. Namrata, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

The present application is moved by appellant No. 3 seeking urgent repair of the structure at 2nd & 3rd floor in the property in question.

Notice of the application be issued to the MCD as well as appellant no. 1 & 2.

Notice be given dasti to the appellant no. 3 for affecting service on appellant 1 & 2.

Put up for reply and arguments on aforesaid application on 12.06.2025.

A.No. 319/25

10.06.2025

Present: Sh. Deepak Gola, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld counsel for the respondent. Fresh

Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Ld. counsel for appellant submits that he has instructions

to withdraw the present appeal.

Separate statement of the Ld. counsel of appellant has

been recorded in this regard.

In view of the facts and circumstances, the appeal filed by

the appellant is dismissed as withdrawn.

Copy of this order be sent to the AE (B) concerned for

information.

Appeal file be consigned to record room.

A.No. 328/25

10.06.2025

Present:

Sh. Abhishek Srivastava and Sh. Mandeep Singh, Ld

counsel for the appellant.

Sh., Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD. Copy supplied.

Ld counsel for MCD submits that inadvertently paper book of the previous appeal, which is withdrawn by the appellant is supplied to him.

Ld counsel for appellant seeks sometime to study the record before addressing argument in the matter.

At joint request of both the parties matter be listed for arguments on 01.08.2025.

A.No. 309/25 & 310/25

10.06.2025

Present: Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD. Copy supplied.

Ld counsel for the appellant seeks sometime to inspect

the record before addressing arguments in the matter.

Put up for purpose fixed on 10.09.2025.

A.No. 295/25

10.06.2025

Present:

Sh. Maroof Ahmad and Gaffar Hussain, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Kamal Kishore Okharwal, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Harjit Singh, AE(B).

Status report is filed by the MCD. Copy supplied.

Ld counsel for the appellant seeks sometime to inspect the record before addressing arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on 18.07.2025.

A.No. 384/25

10.06.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present:

Sh. Som Dutt Sharma and Ms. Garima Bhardwaj, Ld. counsel for the appellant.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on 17.07.2025.

A.No. 366/25 10.06.2025

Present: Ms. Neha Kapoor, Ld. counsel for the appellant.

 Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

- The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.
- 3. At this stage, Ld counsel for the appellant presses for interim application seeking stay. She submits that present appeal is filed in respect of 1st and 2nd floor in the property in question. She submits that demolition action had been already taken on 2nd floor of the property in question and she is pressing interim application in respect of the 1st floor of the property in question.
- 4. It is submitted by Ld counsel for appellant that the structure at 1st floor is old and protected under National Capital Territory of Delhi Laws (Special Provision) Second Amendment, 2011. She submits that the appellant has placed on record copy of GPA dated 12.06.2000 as well as property tax return of the year 2004 to 2011, which also shows existence of the structure at the 1st floor of the property in question from to cut of date.
- She further submits that the property at 1st floor is used as residential accommodation and in case protection is not granted at this juncture, the appellant will suffer

- irreparable loss because of lack of alternate accommodation and the appeal will become infructuous.
- 6. Arguments heard, record perused. The property tax return as well as GPA prior to cut of date goes to root of the matter. The said document needs to be verified and examined on merits. The appellants are senior citizen and residing with family in the property.
- 7. Accordingly, status quo be maintained in respect of the property in question till next date of hearing.
- 8. It is clarified that the observation made while passing of this order by this Court shall not tantamount to the expression on the merits of this case.
- It is also directed that the appellant shall not carry out any further construction in the property in question without necessary approval as per law.
- 10. The AE(B) and the then JE(B) are directed to remain present in person on the next date of hearing.
- Put up for purpose already fixed on 13.08.2025.
 Copy of orders be given dasti.

A.No. 305/25

10.06.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present:

Sh. Rakesh K. Shukla and Ms. Kopal Yadav, Ld. counsel for the appellant.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **05.08.2025**.

A.No. 137/23

10.06.2025

Present: Sh. Atul Kumar Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Amit Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

List of documents filed by Ld counsel for appellant. Copy supplied.

Arguments on the point of appeal heard from both the parties at length.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal is allowed and the matter is remanded back to the Quasi-Judicial Authority for deciding the same afresh.

Record of the respondent (if any), returned along with copy of this order and appeal, file be consigned to record room.

A.No. 121/25

10.06.2025

Present:

Sh. Prashant Diwan, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.

Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC along with Sh. R.K. Meena, AE(B) and Sh. Abadan Jamal, JE(B) present in person.

Copy of application under Section 151 CPC moved by the MCD. Copy supplied to the appellant.

Ld counsel for the appellant seeks sometime to study the same before addressing further arguments.

Accordingly, put up for arguments on aforesaid application under Section 151 CPC moved by MCD on 11.07.2025.

Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.

A.No. 1028/24

10.06.2025

Present:

Sh. Aditya Swaroop, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC alongwith Ms. Kriti Gupta and Sh. Vijay Valsan, Advocates present in the Tribunal.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for appellant informs that in terms of Telecom (Right of Way) Rules 2024 they have already filed a fresh application seeking necessary approval with the MCD.

Ld. counsel for MCD seeks some time to take instructions in that regard and submits that till next date of hearing they will continue with their undertaking.

Ms. Yashi Baajpai, Advocate has appeared on behalf of other owners/occupants of the building. She submits that she will move an appropriate application seeking their impleadment in the present matter.

Put up for purpose fixed on 29.08.2025.

A.No. 278/25 & 880/24

10.06.2025

Present: Sh. Shamim A. Khan, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD. Kept in appeal no.

880/24. Copy supplied.

Ld counsel for appellant submits that the appellant is in process getting the sale deed registered and seeks sometime to take instructions.

Accordingly, put up for purpose already fixed on

25.09.2025.

A.No. 151/25

10.06.2025

Present: Sh. S.G. Asthana and Sh. Sanjay Sharma, Ld counsel for

the appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for MCD seeks some time to file status report in the matter. Advance copy be supplied to the appellant. Put up for purpose already fixed on **13.10.2025**.

A.No. 887/24

10.06.2025

Present: Appellant in person joined through VC.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

List of documents is filed by the appellant, copy supplied to the MCD.

Ld. counsel for MCD takes an objection and submits that no accompanying application seeking permission to file additional documents at the stage of appeal is filed by the appellant along with list of documents.

Appellant seeks some time to take appropriate steps in this regard.

Put up for purpose already fixed on 20.08.2025.

Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.

A.No. 123/24 (M) & 124/24 (M)

10.06.2025

Present:

Sh. Atul Kumar Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant

along with Sh. Yogesh Kumar, Advocate.

Ms. Renu Soni, Nodal Officer on behalf of the respondent

/ MCD.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the MCD as main

counsel Sh. Anupam Gupta is un-available today due to

bad health. Reply be filed on or before next date of

hearing. Advance copy be supplied to the appellant.

In the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted

to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for reply and arguments on aforesaid on

25.08.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge

P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD 10.06.2025 (V) A.No. 642/23 & 557/23

10.06.2025

Present: Appellant in person.

Sh., Ld counsel for the respondent.

Sh. Jasman Singh Sethi, Ld counsel for the NDMC joined through VC along with Sh., Pratap, JE(BP) and Sh. Ashok Kumar, Sr. Asstt., NDMC present in person.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. S.P. Tyagi is un-available today being out of station.

In the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter. Put up for purpose already fixed on 23.09.2025.

A.No. 896/24

10.06.2025

Present:

Sh. Siddharth Shankar and Sh. Sharique Ajmal, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Apoorv Sisodia, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that they have already engaged an Architect and documentation for regularization application is already completed. He submits that they will file the regularization application within 15 days from today.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

It is clarified that in case the regularization is not filed by the appellant, the Tribunal will hear the arguments on the interim application as well as appeal on next date of hearing and no adjournment request shall be entertained.

Put up for purpose fixed on 13.10.2025.

Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.

A.No. 374/11, 375/11 & 394/13

10.06.2025

Present:

Sh. Ashish Kumar Bhagat, Sh. Akash Singh and Sh.

Ranjan Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Ms. Renu Soni, Nodal Officer on behalf of MCD for Mr. Ankur Sharma,, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal

No.394/13.

Sh. Ravi Ranjan, Ld. counsel for MCD in appeal No.374/11 & 375/11 with Sh. A.L. Agnihotri, Ld. counsel for respondent in appeal No.374/11, joined through VC.

Ld. counsel for appellant informs that they have already moved appropriate application with Chief Town Planner, MCD and have also filed additional documents in support of their application.

Nodal Officer Ms. Renu Soni, seeks some time to clarify the status of the application.

Put up for purpose fixed on 28.10.2025.

A.No. 104/24 (M) 10.06.2025

Present: Md. Imran Ahmed, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Rajat Rajoria, Ld counsel for the respondent joined

through VC.

Ld counsel for MCD submits that they have not received copy of application seeking restoration of appeal as well as application seeking condonation of delay.

Ld counsel for appellant submits that though they have supplied copy of application to the MCD but to cut short the controversy they are once again supplying the copy of application along with application seeking condonation of delay to the appellant in the Tribunal today. Copy supplied to Ms. Renu Soni, Nodal Officer, MCD.

Ld counsel for MCD objects the stay application and submits that it cannot be heard until and unless restoration application is decided.

Ld counsel for appellant does not oppose the submission and submits that application seeking stay be kept pending and may be heard only after the restoration application is decided.

Put up for purpose fixed on 11.08.2025.

A.No. 105/24 (M)

10.06.2025

Present: Md. Imran Ahmed, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Rajat Rajoria, Ld counsel for the respondent joined

through VC.

Ld counsel for MCD submits that they have not received copy of application seeking restoration of appeal as well as

application seeking condonation of delay.

Ld counsel for appellant submits that though they have supplied copy of application to the MCD but to cut short the

controversy they are once again supplying the copy of

application along with application seeking condonation of delay

to the MCD in the Tribunal today. Copy supplied to Ms. Renu

Soni, Nodal Officer, MCD.

An application under Section 343(3) of DMC Act seeking stay

of demolition action is moved by the appellant. Copy supplied.

Ld counsel for MCD objects the stay application and submits

that it cannot be heard until and unless restoration application

is decided.

Ld counsel for appellant does not oppose the submission and

submits that application seeking stay be kept pending and may

be heard only after the restoration application is decided.

Put up for purpose fixed on 11.08.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA) Addl. District & Sessions Judge P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD

10.06.2025 (V)

A.No. 752/14 & 727/14

10.06.2025

Present:

Sh. Mahender Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant

joined through VC in appeal No.752/14.

None for appellant in appeal No.727/14.

Sh. Nilesh Sahney, Ld counsel for the respondent with

Sh. Ashok Kumar, Nodal officer.

Despite various calls on one is appearing on behalf Shri Sanatan Dharma Sabha, Laxmi Narain Temple Trus (Birla Mandir).

Fresh Notice be issued to Shri Sanatan Dharma Sabha, Laxmi Narain Temple Trus (Birla Mandir) for 02.12.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
10.06.2025 (J)

At this stage Sh. Sanjay Aggarwal, Ld. counsel for Shri Sanatan Dharma Sabha, Laxmi Narain Temple Trust (Birla Mandir) is appeared. He is informed about the order passed today. No notice is required to be issued now.

Put up on date fixed i.e. 02.12.2025.

A.No. 155/16 & 980/16

10.06.2025

Present: Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Mohit Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent along

with Sh. Jagmohan Meena, EE (DEMS).

Part arguments heard.

Put up for further arguments on the point of interim

application as well as appeal 08.10.2025.

Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.

A.No. 99/24

10.06.2025

Present: None for the appellant.

Ms. Renu Soni, Nodal Officer on behalf of the respondent

/ MCD along with Sh. Subodh Hathwal, AE(B).

Respondent / MCD seeks sometime to file reply to the application under Order VI Rule 17 CPC. Advance copy be supplied to appellant.

Put up for purpose already fixed on 01.12.2025.

A.No. 287/24, 288/24 & 289/24

10.06.2025

Present: Sh. Sandeep Srivastava, Proxy counsel for the appellant

joined through VC.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Paran Kumar is un-available today as he has undergone surgery of his eyes.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for purpose already fixed on 01.12.2025.

A.No. 189/21 & 445/21

10.06.2025

Present:

Sh. Brijesh Johar, Ld counsel for the appellant alongwith appellant No.1 and 3.

Sh. Sanjeev Kumar Yadav, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal No.445/21.

Sh. Mohit Sharma, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal No.189/21.

- Arguments on application under Section 5 of the Limitation Act heard at length from Ld. counsel for both the parties.
- 2. Ld. counsel for appellant submits that the appeal was filed by Mr. Satish Kumar Gupta who passed away on 15.08.2022 and thereafter his legal heirs were impleaded in the present appeal. He submits that during his life time Mr. Satish Kumar Gupta was suffering from various ailments and was undergoing dialyses. He was hospitalized on many occasions and therefore, the delay was occasioned in filing the present appeal.
- 3. Ld. counsel for MCD opposes the application and submits that the same be decided as per law.
- 4. Arguments heard. Record perused. The legal heirs of the appellant have cited medical exigencies due to which Mr. Satish Kumar Gupta could not file the appeal on time. Appellants have raised the grounds in the appeal which needs to be adjudicated on

- merits. Keeping in view the medical exigencies cited, the application seeking condonation of delay is allowed and delay is condoned.
- 5. Put up for arguments on the point of pending interim applications and appeal on 01.12.2025.

A.No. 820/23

10.06.2025

Present: Sh. S.S. Wadhwa, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Ms. Renu Sonn, Nodal Officer on behalf of the

respondent / MCD.

Status report is filed by MCD. Copy supplied to the

appellant.

Ld counsel for appellant seeks sometime to take

instructions before address arguments in the matter.

Put up for purpose already fixed on 01.12.2025.

A.No. 98/19

10.06.2025

Present: Sh. Girdhari associate counsel for the appellant.

Ms. Renu Soni, Nodal Officer on behalf of MCD.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Markandey Gupta is un-available today due to bad health.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for purpose fixed on 01.12.2025.

It is clarified that no request of further adjournment shall be entertained in the matter.

A.No. 761/22

10.06.2025

Present: None for the appellant.

Ms. Renu Soni, Nodal Officer on behalf of MCD for Sh.

Ranjit Pandey, Ld. counsel for MCD.

Despite various calls none is appearing on behalf of the

appellant in the Tribunal or through VC.

Put up at 2.00 P.M.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
10.06.2025

Present: As above.

None has appeared on behalf of appellant since morning in the Tribunal or through VC despite various calls. None had appeared on behalf of the appellant on 17.02.2025 as well.

It is 2.35 PM. The present appeal is dismissed in default.

Record of the respondent if any be returned alongwith copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

Copy of the order be sent to AE(B) concerned.

A.No. 379/23

10.06.2025

Present: Sh.Aditya Parmar and Sh. Mohak Sharma, Ld counsel for

the appellant.

Sh. Gaurav Bindal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for appellant submits that they do not have any instructions from the appellant to address arguments in this case.

Notice be issued to the appellant to appear in person on next date of hearing.

Put up for purpose already fixed on 01.12.2025.

A.No. 331/21

10.06.2025

Present: Sh. Aditya Parmar, Proxy counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Achin Mittal is un-available today being out of station.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for purpose fixed on 03.09.2025.

Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.

A.No. 224/25

10.06.2025

Present:

Sh. Ajjay Arorra, Ld. Sr. counsel with Sh. Arush Kapoor and Sh. Nitish Dubey, Ld. counsels for the appellant. Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal is allowed and the matter is remanded back to the Quasi Judicial Authority for deciding the same afresh.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

A.No. 225/25

10.06.2025

Present:

Sh. Ajjay Arorra, Ld. Sr. counsel with Sh. Arush Kapoor and Sh. Nitish Dubey, Ld. counsels for the appellant. Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal is allowed and the matter is remanded back to the Quasi Judicial Authority for deciding the same afresh.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

A.No. 200/25

10.06.2025

Present:

Sh. Ajjay Arorra, Ld. Sr. counsel with Sh. Arush Kapoor and Sh. Nitish Dubey, Ld. counsels for the appellant. Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Sh. Prabhjit Jauhar and Ms. Shreya Narayan, Ld. counsels for Intervener.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal is allowed and the matter is remanded back to the Quasi Judicial Authority for deciding the same afresh.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

A.No. 201/25

10.06.2025

Present:

Sh. Ajjay Arorra, Ld. Sr. counsel with Sh. Arush Kapoor and Sh. Nitish Dubey, Ld. counsels for the appellant. Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Sh. Prabhjit Jauhar and Ms. Shreya Narayan, Ld. counsels for Intervener.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal is allowed and the matter is remanded back to the Quasi Judicial Authority for deciding the same afresh.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.