A.No. 410/25

16.07.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Shubham Dhyani, Ld. counsel for the appellant.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on 28.08.2025.

A.No. 451/25

16.07.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Praveen Suri, Ld. counsel for the appellant.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on 22.08.2025.

A.No. 98/19

16.07.2025

File taken up today on an application u/s 151 CPC seeking early hearing in the matter.

Present : Sh. Markandey Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC with Mr. Manoj Kumar Advocate present in Tribunal.

Ld. counsel for appellant submits that he is not pressing their application u/s 151 CPC seeking early hearing in the matter and seeks permission to withdraw the same. Accordingly, application is dismissed as withdrawn. Put up on date already fixed i.e. **01.12.2025.**

A.No. 22/21 & 23/21

16.07.2025

An application is filed by appellant seeking preponement of the date of hearing.

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld. counsel for the appellant.

It is stated in the application that appellant Concern is undergoing financial crises and due to pendency of this case loan has been rejected by bank.

Ld counsel for appellant submits that in case urgent hearing is not granted, the business of the appellant concerned is going to suffer severe financial and civil consequences.

Notice be issued to MCD for 25.07.2025.

A.No. 346/25

16.07.2025

Present : Sh. Gaurav, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC with Associate Advocate present in the Tribunal. Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

> Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied. Ld. counsel for appellant seeks some to inspect the record before addressing the arguments in the matter. In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter. Put up for arguments on interim application and appeal **10.09.2025**.

A.No. 377/25

16.07.2025

Present : Sh. O.P. Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.

Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld counsel for the respondent. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Ld. counsel for the respondent seeks some time to file status report as well as MCD record.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that as the MCD is seeking adjournment protection be granted till next date of hearing.

Ld. counsel for respondent opposes the said request. He submits that the interim application be decided on merits. However, on instructions from the department he assures that the respondent MCD will not carry out any demolition action against the property in question till next date of hearing. Application seeking early hearing stands disposed off.

Put up for further arguments on interim application seeking stay on date already fixed i.e. 05.08.2025.

Copy of order be given dasti to both the parties.

A.No. 413/25

16.07.2025

Present : Sh. Deena Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant. Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by respondent / MCD. Copy supplied.

Ld counsel for the appellant seeks sometime to inspect the record before addressing arguments in the matter. Put up for arguments on interim applications and appeal on 22.09.2025.

A.No. 431/25

16.07.2025

File taken up today on an application seeking early hearing in the matter.

Present : Sh. Rishi Sood, Ld counsel for the appellant. Sh., Ld counsel for the respondent.

> It is submitted that a vacation notice is issued and MCD is likely to take coercive action against the property in question and in case interim application seeking stay is not heard on merits the appellant will suffer irreparable loss and appeal will become infructuous.

> Notice of the application be issued to the respondent MCD for 23.07.2025.

Misc A.No. 20/25 (M)

16.07.2025

File taken up today on an application under Order 9 Rule 9 CPC seeking restoration of appeal.

Present : Sh. Rakesh Tomar, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Notice of the application be issued to the respondent MCD **01.09.2025**.

A.No. 100/09, 164/10, 165/10, 166/10, 169/10, 170/10, 171/10, 172/10 184/10, 185/10, 208/10, 261/10, 305/12, 377/12, 265/13, 369/14, 370/14, 371/14, 377/14, 418/15, 484/15, 838/15, 871/15,1056/15, 1062/15, 1095/15, 793/17, ,814/17, 12/18,

16.07.2025

- Present : Sh. Aditya Swaroop, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Ms. Kriti Gupta, Sh. Md.Ilyas, Advocates present in the Tribunal. Sh. A.L.Agnihotri, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal nos.184/10, 170/10, Sh. V.K.Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal nos. 100/09 & 12/18. Ms. Manjusha Jha, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal No.793/17 and 871/15. Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld. counsel for respondent in appeal nos. 164/10, 165/10, 166/10, 169/10, 171/10, 185/10, 208/10, 209/10, 219/10, 261/10, 305/12, 377/12, 265/13, 369/14, 370/14, 371/14, 377/14, 418/15, 477/15, 484/15, 838/15, 1056/15, 1062/15, 1095/15, 392/16, 986/16, 201/17, ,814/17.
 - An application under Order XXII Rule 10 CPC moved by the appellant company M/s. Reliance Projects & Property Management Services Ltd. is listed today. Arguments heard from Ld. counsel for both the parties.
 - 2. Ld. counsel for appellant submits that the present appeal was filed by the company M/s Reliance Infratel Ltd.. He submits that the said company went to insolvency and was taken over by the applicant company i.e. M/s. Reliance Project and Property Management Services Limited w.e.f 22.12.2022. He submits that thereafter the appellant company merged into the applicant company in the month of May and

July 2023 and the proceedings were approved by National Company Law Tribunal.

- Ld. counsel for MCD submits that as the insolvency and merger policy have been approved by the appropriate judicial forum therefore, they have no objection to the application.
- Accordingly the application under Order XXII Rule 10 CPC filed by the applicant is allowed. The Reliance Projects and Property management Services Limited is impleaded as appellant in those cases. Amended memo of parties be filed.
- Ld. counsel for appellant submits that they are in process of taking instructions to move application seeking permission under Telecommunications (Right of Way) Rules, 2024 and seeks some time to take appropriate steps in that regard.
- Accordingly, put up for further proceedings on 04.11.2025.

A.No. 167/2010

16.07.2025

Present : Sh. Aditya Swaroop, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Md.Ilyas, Advocates present in the Tribunal. Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld. counsel for MCD.

Ld. counsel for appellant company submits that he has instructions to withdraw the present application moved u/s 151 CPC dated 19.05.2025 filed in Registry.

Separate statement of the Ld. counsel of appellant company has been recorded in this regard.

In view of the facts and circumstances, the application filed by the appellant company dated 19.05.2025 is dismissed as withdrawn.

Ld. counsel for appellant submits that they are in process of taking instructions to move application seeking permission under Telecommunications (Right of Way) Rules, 2024 and seeks some time to take appropriate steps in that regard.

Accordingly, put up for further proceedings on **04.11.2025**.

A.Nos. 110/10, 168/10, 262/10, 172/12, 173/12, 174/12, 175/12, 220/12, 221/12, 238/12, 247/12, 248/12, 249/12, 375/12, 431/12, 261/14, , 368/14, 372/14, 373/14, 842/14, 340/15, 373/15, 485/15, 633/15, 730/15, 731/15, 825/15, 1058/15, 1196/15, 292/16, 305/16, 376/16, 208/17, 794/17, 844/17, 845/17, 875/17, 876/17, 376/12, 933/14 & 262/14.

16.07.2025

Present : Sh. Aditya Swaroop, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Md. Ilyas, Advocate present in the Tribunal.3 Sh. A.L.Agnihotri, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal nos. 249/12 & 372/14. Sh. V.K.Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal nos.375/12, Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld. counsel for respondent in appeal nos. 110/10, 168/10, 262/10, 172/12, 173/12, 174/12, 175/12, 220/12, 221/12, 238/12, 247/12, 248/12, 249/12, 431/12, 261/14, 368/14, 373/14, 842/14, 340/15, 373/15, 485/15, 633/15, 730/15, 731/15, 825/15, 1058/15, 1196/15, 292/16, 305/16, 376/16, 208/17, 844/17, 845/17, 875/17, 876/17, 376/12, 933/14 & 262/14. Sh. Manish Yadav, Nodal Officer for DDA in appeal no.238/12.

- An application under Order XXII Rule 10 CPC moved by the appellant company M/s. Reliance Projects & Property Management Services Ltd. is listed today. Arguments heard from Ld. counsel for both the parties.
- Ld. counsel for appellant submits that the present appeal was filed by the company M/s Reliance Infratel Ltd.. He submits that the said company went to insolvency and was taken over by the applicant

company i.e. M/s. Reliance Project and Property Management Services Limited w.e.f 22.12.2022. He submits that thereafter the appellant company merged into the applicant company in the month of May and July 2023 and the proceedings were approved by National Company Law Tribunal.

- Ld. counsel for MCD submits that as the insolvency and merger policy have been approved by the appropriate judicial forum therefore, they have no objection to the application.
- 4. Accordingly the application under Order XXII Rule 10 CPC filed by the applicant is allowed. The Reliance Projects and Property management Services Limited is impleaded as appellant in those cases. Amended memo of parties be filed.
- Ld. counsel for appellant submits that they have instructions to withdraw the present appeal. Separate statement of Ld. counsel for appellant has been recorded in this regard.
- 6. In view of the statement the appeal is dismissed as withdrawn.
- 7. Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that in compliance of the order passed by the MCD they will remove the structure in question from the property. Ld. counsel for MCD assures that upon receiving the application from the appellant company, MCD within one week will temporarily deseal the property/tower for the purposes of removal /dismantling the structure

in question and upon satisfaction of impugned order passed by the MCD, directions for permanent desealing of the property will be issued.

- 8. Copy of the order be sent to Dy. Commissioner concerned for information.
- Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

A.No. 986/16, 209/10, 392/16, 219/10 & 477/15.

16.07.2025

Present : Sh. Aditya Swaroop, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Ms. Kriti Gupta, Sh. Md.Ilyas, Advocates present in the Tribunal. Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld. counsel for respondent.

Ld. counsel for appellant submits that they are in process of taking instructions to move application seeking permission under Telecommunications (Right of Way) Rules, 2024 and seeks some time to take appropriate steps in that regard.

Accordingly, put up for further proceedings on **04.11.2025**.

A.No. 201/17

16.07.2025

Present : Sh. Jatin Chaudhary, Ld counsel for the appellant along with Ms. Priyanka Bhardwaj, Advocate. Ms. Renu Soni, Nodal Officer on behalf of the respondent / MCD.

> Nodal Officer, MCD informs that arguing counsel Mr. Kanwar Kocchar is un-available today being busy in Rohini District Court.

> Ld counsel for the appellant request for short date of hearing as the appellant is senior citizen.

In the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted to respondent to address arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on the point of appeal on 24.09.2025.

A.No. 750/18 & 916/24

16.07.2025

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant. Ms. Renu Soni, Nodal Officer on behalf of MCD. Sh. Anil Kumr, Ld. counsel for the intervener

Part arguments heard.

Arguments could not be concluded as the Ld. counsel for MCD Sh. Ashutosh Gupta in appeal no. 916/24 is not available today being busy in Hon'ble High Court of Delhi. In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter. Put up for purpose fixed on 08.10.2025.

A.No. 355/21

16.07.2025

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant. Ms. Renu Soni, Nodal Officer on behalf of MCD.

> An adjournment is sought on behalf of the MCD as main counsel Sh. H.R. Aggarwal is un-available today due to bad heald.

> In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the MCD to address the arguments in the matter. Put up for purpose fixed on 15.12.2025.

A.No. 356/21 & 435/21

16.07.2025

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant. Ms. Renu Soni, Nodal Officer on behalf of MCD.

> An adjournment is sought on behalf of the MCD as main counsel Sh. Ashutosh Gupta is un-available today being busy before the Hon'ble High Court. In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the MCD to address the arguments in the matter. Put up for purpose fixed on 15.12.2025.

A.No. 453/21

16.07.2025

Present : None for the appellant. Sh. Anubhav Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC. Respondent No.2 in person.

> No one is present on behalf of the appellant in Tribunal and in VC despite various calls since morning. No adverse order is being passed today in the interest of

justice.

Put up for purpose fixed on 12.12.2025.

A.No. 385/22, 702/24 & 703/24

16.07.2025

Present : None for the appellant. Sh. V.K. Aggareal, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 385/22.

Ms. Renu Soni, Nodal Officer on behalf of Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for respondent / MCD in appeal no. 703/24.

Sh. Jatin Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 702/24.

None has appeared on behalf of appellant since morning in the Tribunal or through VC despite various calls.

In the interest of justice, no adverse order is being passed today.

Put up for further arguments on application seeking condonation of delay, pending interim application as well as appeal on 12.12.2025.

A.No. 677/22

16.07.2025

Present : None for the appellant. Sh. Ravi Ranjan, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

> None has appeared on behalf of appellant since morning in the Tribunal or through VC despite various calls. In the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted to appellant to address arguments in the matter. Put up for purpose fixed on 12.12.2025.

A.No. 323/23

16.07.2025

Present : Sh. Rishab Mishra, proxy Ld counsel for the appellant. Ms. Jasleen Kaur, Ld counsel for the respondent.

> An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Ankur Gupta is un-available today due to bad health.

> In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter. Put up for purpose fixed on **09.10.2025.**

> It is clarified that no request of further adjournment shall be entertained in the matter.

A.No. 437/23

16.07.2025

Present : Sh. Taranjit Singh Sawhney, Ld counsel for the appellant. Sh. Ranjit Pandey, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

> Ld. counsel for appellant has placed on record copy of order dated 14.07.2025 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P.(C) 9808/2025. By said order the operation of order dated 24.06.2024 passed by the MCD is stayed till next date of hearing.

> The proceedings before this Tribunal in the present appeal bearing No.437/23 is also stayed till next date of hearing.

Accordingly in compliance of the directions issued by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi, put up for further proceedings on **27.10.2025**.

A.No. 516/23

16.07.2025

Present : Sh. Arun Verma proxy counsel for the appellant. Ms. Renu Soni, Nodal Officer on behalf of MCD. Ms. Parul Agarwal, Ld. counsel for intervener.

> An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Manish Kumar Singh is un-available today due to bad health.

> In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter. Put up for purpose fixed on **12.12.2025**.

A.No. 753/23, 422/24, 423/24, 403/24, 404/24, 405/24, 406/24, 382/24, 383/24, 384/25, 385/24, 386/24, 387/24, 374/24, 375/24, 376/24, 377/24, 378/24, 379/24, 380/24, 381/24, 476/24, 477/24, 478/24, 430/24, 431/24, 432/24, 320/24.

16.07.2025

Present : Sh. Amit Kashyap associate counsel for the appellant in appeal nos. 422/24, 423/24, 403/24, 404/24, 405/24, 406/24, 382/24, 383/24, 384/25, 385/24, 386/24, 387/24, 375/24, 376/24, 377/24, 378/24, 379/24, 380/24, 381/24, 476/24, 477/24 & 478/24.
Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld. counsel for appellant in appeal no.753/23.
Appellant in person in appeal Nos.320/24, 431/24 & 452/24.
None for the appellant in appeal nos.430/24, 432/24, 374/24.
Sh. V.K.Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

It is submitted that main counsel for appellants Mr. Shanker Sehgal and Mr. Rohit Sharma are not available today due to some personal exigencies.

Appellants who are present in the Court as well as associate counsel Mr. Amit Kashyap submits that they have no information about the status of regularization application and seeks some time to apprise about the same.

No adverse order is being passed today in the interest of justice.

Put up for arguments on the point of pending interim applications and appeal on 30.10.2025.

A.No. 767/23 & 768/23

16.07.2025

Present : Sh. Sanskar Arora, Ld counsel for the appellant. Ms. Renu Soni, Nodal Officer on behalf of the respondent / MCD.

Sh. Bhuvneshwar Tyagi, Ld counsel for the intervener.

Arguments could not be concluded today as Ld counsel for MCD Sh. Ashutosh Gupta is unavailable being busy in Hon'ble High Court of Delhi.

In the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted to appellant to address arguments in the matter.

At joint request of all the parties matter be listed for further arguments on the point of appeal on 25.07.2025.

A.No. 2/24

16.07.2025

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant. Ms. Promela Rai, Proxy counsel for the respondent. Sh. Keshav, Ld counsel for the internver.

> An application under Order XXII Rule 23 CPC is moved on behalf of appellant. Copy supplied to the MCD. Put up for reply and arguments on aforesaid application on 24.09.2025.

A.No. 07/24

16.07.2025

Present : Appellant in person. Sh. Avishek Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

> Arguments could not be concluded today as the appellant in appeal No. 453/21 is not appearing and both the appeals pertains to same property and needs to be heard together.

Re-list for purpose already fixed on **12.12.2025**.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA) Addl. District & Sessions Judge P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD 16.07.2025 (J)

At this stage Mr. Tariq Farooqi, Ld. counsel for appellant from DLSA is appeared. He is informed about the order passed today.

Put up on date already fixed i.e. **12.12.2025**.

A.No. 40/24 16.07.2025

Present : None for the appellant. Ms. Renu Soni, Nodal Officer on behalf of the respondent / MCD. Ms. Roopa Nagpal, Ld counsel for the applicant along with applicant.

None has appeared on behalf of appellant since morning

in the Tribunal or through VC despite various calls.

In the interest of justice, no adverse order is being passed today.

Put up for purpose fixed on 10.12.2025.

(ABHILASH MALHOTRA) Addl. District & Sessions Judge P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD 16.07.2025 (V)

At this stage Sh. Javed Khan Ld counsel for appellant is appeared. He is informed about the orders passed today put up on dated fixed i.e. 10.12.2025.

A.No. 125/24

16.07.2025

Present : None for the appellant. Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

> None has appeared on behalf of appellant since morning in the Tribunal or through VC despite various calls.

> In the interest of justice, no adverse order is being passed today.

Put up for arguments on the point of appeal on 10.12.2025.

A.No. 115/24 (M)

16.07.2025

Present : None for the appellant / applicant. Ms. Renu Soni, Nodal Officer on behalf of the respondent / MCD.

None has appeared on behalf of appellant / applicant since morning in the Tribunal or through VC despite various calls.

In the interest of justice, no adverse order is being passed today.

Put up for purpose fixed on 14.10.2025.

A.No. 436/23

16.07.2025

Present : Sh. Davinder Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant. Sh. Shivdutt Kaushik, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal is dismissed.

Record of the respondent (if any), returned along with copy of this order and appeal, file be consigned to record room.

A.No. 98/25 & 99/25

16.07.2025

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant. Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

> Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal is allowed and the matter is remanded back to the MCD for deciding the same afresh.

> Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

A.No. 184/25

16.07.2025

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant. Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

> Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal is allowed and the matter is remanded back to the Quasi Judicial Authority for deciding the same afresh. Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.