A.No. 723/25

03.11.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present: Sh. G.M. Faroque, Ld. counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **27.01.2026** with connected matters.

Till next date of hearing, impugned sealing order dated 18.08.2025 is stayed.

A.No. 727/25

03.11.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present:

Sh. Harish Chandra, Ld. counsel for the appellant along with appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **26.11.2025**.

A.No. 729/25

03.11.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present: Ms. Parul Agarwal, Ld. counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **16.03.2026**.

A.No. 730/25 & 731/25

03.11.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present: Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld. counsel for the appellants.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **21.11.2025**.

A.No. 732/25

03.11.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present: Sh. Raj, Ld. counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **03.02.2026**.

A.No. 306/24 & 307/24

03.11.2025

Present: Sh. Varun Nischal and Sh. Arif Ahmad Khan, Ld counsel

for the appellant.

None for the respondent.

Files are taken up today on an applications of the appellant for fixing a date for final hearing. Copy of the order dated 08.10.2025 passed by Hon'ble High Court has been annexed with this application.

Vide this order, the Hon'ble High Court has directed this court to take up the matter within 10 days of taking charge and to decide the appeal expeditiously in a time-bound manner.

None is present for the MCD.

Issue notice of both the applications to the MCD through counsel for **03.12.2025**.

A.No. 104/24 (M) & 105/24 (M)

03.11.2025

Present: Sh. Yash Dutta, Ld counsel for the appellants through

VC.

Appellant is present.

None for the respondent.

Files are taken up today on an application seeking

restoration of the appeals already filed.

Part arguments heard.

Put up on the date already fixed i.e. 24.11.2025.

A.No. 883/24 & 884/24

03.11.2025

Present: Sh. Mahesh Chawla, intervener in person through VC.

File is taken up today on an application of intervener that the relevant admission made by the appellants regarding existence of basement in the property be recorded and brought on record and further the certified copy of the appeals be provided to the intervener.

The application of the intervener under Order I rule 10 CPC has not yet been allowed and any such submissions before the intervener being impleaded cannot be entertained.

The application be put up on the date already fixed i.e. **25.11.2025.** 

A.No. 570/25

03.11.2025

Present:

Sh. Shiv Nath Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant along

with appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to

the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the

presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in

person along with the record of the proceedings, status

report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal

on **18.03.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken

against the property of appellant in pursuance of the

revocation order dated 09.05.2025. However, it is made

clear that no encroachment on the public land is

protected.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge

P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD

03.11.2025

A.No. 634/25

03.11.2025

Present:

Sh. Mohit Kumar Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Legal Advisor, DDA.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **03.03.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken in respect of shops existing in four biswa out of khasra no. 31/7, Village Palam, New Delhi in pursuance of the show cause notice dated 10.09.2025. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected

A.No. 641/25

03.11.2025

Present: Sh. Abdul Wasid, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent no. 1/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and to the other respondents.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **12.03.2026**.

A.No. 642/25

03.11.2025

Present: Sh. Vimal Dhingra, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **18.03.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the sealing-cum-demolition order dated 14.08.2025. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected.

A.No. 655/25

03.11.2025

Present: Sh. Rohit Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Sanjay Sethi, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Mohd. Asif, AE(B).

Ld. counsel for the respondent seeks time to file the record as he has been engaged on Friday only.

Part arguments heard

The appellant is challenging the vacation notice dated 19.09.2025 and it is stated that the demolition and sealing orders as mentioned in the notice which are undated were never served upon him.

As per law, the appeal against the vacation notice is not maintainable before this Tribunal.

The respondent is however, directed to supply the copy of the demolition and sealing notice to the appellant.

Put up for further arguments on 21.11.2025.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

A.No. 656/25

03.11.2025

Present: Ms. Parul Agarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. Record perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

At request, put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **12.11.2025** with connected appeal.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the demolition order dated 20.05.2025. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected.

A.No. 673/25

03.11.2025

Present: Sh. Abhimanyu Lau and Sh. Abhishek Bhushan, Ld.

counsel for the appellant along with appellant.

Submissions heard. Record perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to

the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the

presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in

person along with the record of the proceedings, status

report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal

on **09.12.2025**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge

P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD

03.11.2025

A.No. 678/25

03.11.2025

Present:

Ms. Bhanu Priya, Ld counsel for the appellants along with appellants.

Sh. Anil Kumar and Ms. Sonia, Ld. counsels for the intervener.

File is taken up today on an application of early hearing filed on behalf of the appellant.

The next date of hearing in the matter is 19.11.2025. In view of the heavy pendency, no early hearing is possible. The application is dismissed.

Ld. counsel for the intervener has filed an application under Order I rule 10 CPC. Copy already supplied.

Let reply to this application, if desired, be filed on or before the date fixed with advance copy to the opposite side.

Put up for disposal of this application and arguments on the date already fixed i.e. **19.11.2025**.

A.No. 679/25

03.11.2025 Present :

Sh. Umesh, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.

Ld. counsel for the appellant has filed an application under Order VI rule 17 CPC.

Through this application, the appellant wants to place on record some house-tax documents as Annexure P-4 in place of Annexure P-4 existing on record. In these facts, the new Annexure P-4 is taken on record. Application stands disposed of.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **19.03.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the demolition order dated 20.06.2025, subject to any order passed by the Hon'ble High Court. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected.

A.No. 145/11

03.11.2025

Present: Ms. Chitra Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Appellant no. 2 through VC.

Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel is un-available today due to viral fever.

In the interest of justice one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on the next date of hearing. It is made clear that no further adjournment shall be granted on the ground of illness of the counsel.

Put up for purpose fixed on **01.12.2025**.

A.No. 162/17, 355/23 & 765/23

03.11.2025

Present: None for the appellant.

Sh. Adil Hussain, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 355/23.

Sh. Mohit Sharma and Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent no. 162/17.

None has appeared for the appellant despite repeated calls since morning till 2.25 PM.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments.

Put up for arguments on 29.01.2026.

Interim order, if any, stands vacated.

A.No. 528/19

03.11.2025

Present: Sh. Harkirat Singh, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as

main counsel is un-available today due to bad health.

In the interest of justice one last and final opportunity is

granted to the appellant either to address the arguments

in the matter or to file written submissions.

Put up for purpose fixed on **01.12.2025**.

A.No. 143/21

03.11.2025

Present: Sh. Aman Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant through

VC.

Ms. Vasu Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondent through

VC.

Arguments heard.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal is allowed and the matter is remanded back to the Quasi Judicial Authority for deciding the same afresh.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

A.No. 270/22

03.11.2025

Present:

Ms. Vinita Khanna, SPA holder of the appellant in person.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Sh. Uttam Panwar, Id. counsel for the respondent no. 2.

Sh. Ashwin Vaish, Ld. counsel for the proposed respondent no. 2 through VC.

Sh. Subhash Chawla, Ld. counsel for the proposed respondent Ajay Kapoor through VC.

Arguments heard on the applications of the appellant under Order I rule 10 CPC seeking impleadment of Rekha Khanna, Anand Deep Singh, Prathana Mahendru, Sagar Kohli, Monika Khanna, Kapil Kansal, Mittali Kansal. Replies have already been filed by the proposed respondents.

It has been argued for the proposed respondents that they are no longer the owners of the property and are not necessary party, for which, reliance has been placed on the sale deeds stated to be executed by Rekha Khanna in 2015 and by Monika Khanna in 2018.

On the other hand, the appellant has drawn my attention to one of the annexures which shows that respondent no. 2, his wife Rekha for herself and also as an attorney of daughter Monika, Rattan Srivastava, Mahender Kaur, Aman Deep Singh, submitted documents as on 08.07.2021 with respondent in relation to regularization of

...contd.2

the building plan at the earliest. If they seized to be the owners as claimed in the year 2015 and 2018, why did they submitted documents and pursued their regularization application, remains to be explained. Otherwise also, it has been rightly submitted by the ld. counsel for the MCD that even the previous owners, who obtained the regularization plan which is under challenge and even the new owners who shall be affected by the orders passed by this Tribunal are necessary party.

In view of the same, all the applications are allowed and they are impleaded as respondents in the matter and as a consequence, the application of respondent no. 2 seeking his deletion is dismissed.

Let the reply be filed by the newly impleaded respondents, if any desired within 2 weeks from today with advance copy to the other side.

There is one more application under Order I rule 10 CPC to implead the Architect Praveen Arora. He is only a service provider and is neither necessary nor a proper party and hence, the application is dismissed.

Further arguments on the application under Order VI rule 17 CPC of the appellant heard.

Through this application, appellant wants to add prayer (b) (c) & (d) to the appeal seeking demolition of the ...contd.3

uncompundable 8<sup>th</sup> dwelling unit in portion C and B and the set backs of the suit property and to cancel the license of architect Praveen Arora and to bring on record the original site plan for regularization dated 28.11.2019.

The prayer seeking demolition and cancellation of license of the architect are beyond the scope of powers of this Tribunal. The appellant is in appeal seeking cancellation of regularization dated 28.11.2019. Scope of the appeal cannot be expanded as prayed. This application is dismissed.

Further arguments on the application under Order VII rule 14 CPC heard for taking on record the additional documents.

Through this application, the appellant wants to file a judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, certified copy of the reply of the respondent no. 2 filed in Contempt petition, certified copy of the site plan submitted by the respondent no. 2 before the respondent no. 1 for regularization and lastly certified copy of the rejoinder of the appellant to the reply of the respondent no. 2 filed in the contempt petition.

Without going into the merits of the documents and considering that new respondents have been impleaded

....contd.4

today, who shall have liberty to respond to these documents, the same are taken on record. The application stands disposed of.

Let the copy of the complete paper-book be provided to the counsel for the newly impleaded respondents on 19.11.2025.

A.No. 585/22

03.11.2025

Present: None for the appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Munna Lal, ASO, House-tax Department, City SP Zone.

None has appeared for the appellant despite repeated calls since morning.

Pass over is not possible due to heavy cause list.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Put up for arguments on 10.03.2026.

A.No. 713/22 Rekha Barman Vs. MCD

03.11.2025

Present:

Sh. Rajinder Juneja, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant in person.

Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ms. Samiksha Sharma, Ld. proxy counsel for the intervener along with intervener.

Arguments heard on the application seeking condonation of delay in filing this appeal.

As per the appellant, he received the sealing order on 15.11.2022 through RTI and thereafter, this appeal was filed against the order dated 11.12.2020 on 22.11.2022 and the same is in limitation.

Ld. counsel for the respondent on the other hand argued that the property was sealed on 11.11.2020 and since then, the same is in knowledge of the appellant and therefore, the appeal is barred by limitation.

The period falling between 15.03.2020 to 28.02.2022 is to be excluded for the purposes of limitation as per the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India passed in 'Suo Moto Writ Petition no. 3/2020'. So even if, it is believed that the appellant had knowledge of the sealing order since 11.11.2020 when the property was sealed,

...contd.2

the limitation shall start only from 01.03.2022. The appellant however, claimed that she came to know about the sealing order only when the same was supplied through RTI on 15.11.2022.

In these facts and circumstances and considering the judgment of the Hon'ble Delhi High Court passed in the case of 'Jubeda Begum Vs. Municipal Corporation of Delhi 2024, SCC OnLine Del. 4890', I am inclined to condone the delay with an endeavour to decide the case on merits, subject to a cost of Rs. 3,000/- to be deposited with Registry. Delay is hereby condoned. The application seeking condonation of delay stands disposed of.

Put up for arguments on the appeal on 27.02.2026.

A.No. 159/23 & 188/23

03.11.2025

Present: Sh. Abhinav Tyagi, Ld counsel for the appellant joined

through VC.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent in

appeal No.159/23.

Ms. Praveen Sharma, Ld. counsel for respondent in

appeal No.188/23.

Ld. counsel for the intervener.

An application has been filed on behalf of Tulsian

Dharamshala Trust alongwith documents, copy already

supplied.

Appellant has filed structural certified/status report dated

28.03.2025

The appellant seeks adjournment on the ground that

main counsel is not well.

Heard. Put up this application for consideration on next

date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on application as well as appeal on

23.01.2026.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD

03.11.2025

A.No. 804/23

03.11.2025

Present:

Sh. Ashish Mohan, Ld. Senior counsel for the appellant

through VC.

Sh. V.K. Aggarwal and Sh. S. Adil Hussain, Ld counsel

for the respondent.

Arguments heard at length.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal is allowed and the matter is remanded back to the Quasi Judicial Authority for deciding the same afresh.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

A.No. 442/23

03.11.2025

Present: Sh. Ashish Mohan, Ld. Senior counsel for the appellant

through VC.

Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. Senior counsel for appellant seeks adjournment to

address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on appeal on **05.02.2026**.

## A.No. 681/23 & 682/23

03.11.2025

Present: Sh. Vimal Dhingra, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Avishek Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent joined

through VC.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks some short

accommodation.

At request, put up for arguments on 18.03.2026.

A.No. 29/24 & 161/24

03.11.2025

Present: Ms. Kanika, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.

Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as mother of the main counsel has expired and he is not

available today.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for purpose fixed on 16.03.2026.

A.No. 396/24 & 397/24

03.11.2025

Sh. Nishant Nain, Ld counsel for the appellant through Present:

VC.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that appellant wants

to withdraw the aforesaid appeals.

At request, put up for 04.11.2025 for recording the statement of the appellant/his counsel qua withdrawal of the aforesaid appeals.

> (AMIT KUMAR) Addl. District & Sessions Judge

> > P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD 03.11.2025

A.No. 461/24 & 1081/24

03.11.2025

Present: Sh. Simarpal Sahni, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta and Ms. Bhavya Chauhan, Ld

counsels for the respondent.

At request, put up for arguments on 17.03.2026

A.No. 824/24

03.11.2025

Present: Sh. Anuroop, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.

Sh. Tushar Yadav, Ld. proxy counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant requests for pass-over the

matter, which is not possible due to heavy cause list.

Put up for arguments on **03.03.2026**.

A.No. 886/24

03.11.2025

Present:

Sh. Sourav Ghosh, Ld counsel for the appellant along

with appellant.

Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Parr arguments heard on the appeal.

As per the appellant, only his shop on the ground floor has been sealed, though, there are other shops as well running on the ground floor against whom, no action has been taken.

Let the AE(B) be present in the court with status report as to how many shops are there on the ground floor and what action has been taken against other shops, on the next date of hearing.

Let the status report as directed be filed on the next date of hearing.

Put up for further arguments on **04.12.2025**.

A.No. 124/24 (M) & 123/24 (M)

03.11.2025

Present: None for the parties.

As none has appeared in the matter, the matter is

adjourned.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Put up for arguments on 19.03.2026.

A.No. 1083/24

03.11.2025

Present: Sh. Raghav Saluja, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Paras Aggarwal, Ld. counsel for the respondent.

Submissions of appellant heard. File perused.

Put up for arguments on 20.03.2026.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the demolition order dated 05.03.2024 However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected.

## A.No. 90/25, 138/25 & 139/25

03.11.2025

Present: None for the appellants.

Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Tarun Shankar Arya, Executive Engineer and Sh. Pawan Kumar, AE(B) Karol Bagh Zone.

Exemption applications from personal appearance of the DC filed. Heard and allowed.

Despite various calls none is appearing on behalf of the appellant in the Tribunal or through VC.

Put up at 2.00 PM.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
03.11.2025

At 2.30 pm.

Present: None for the appellants.

Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Tarun Shankar Arya, Executive Engineer and Sh. Pawan Kumar, AE(B) Karol Bagh Zone.

None has appeared on behalf of appellant since morning in the Tribunal or through VC despite various calls. None had appeared on behalf of the appellant on 21.05.2025. as well.

It is 2.30 PM. The aforesaid appeals are hereby dismissed in default. Interim orders, if any, stand vacated.

..contd.2

Record of the respondent if any be returned alongwith copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

A.No. 274/25, 275/25, 297/25 & 298/25

03.11.2025

Present: None for the appellant.

Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Sh. K.V. Sriwas Narayanan, Id. counsel for the intervener. He has filed two applications under Order I rule 10 CPC in appeal no. 297/25 and 298/25. Copy be supplied.

Vakalatnama filed on behalf of the respondent in appeal no. 275/25.

As none has appeared on behalf of the appellants, the matters are adjourned.

Put up for arguments on **20.03.2026**.

Interim order(s), if any, are hereby vacated.

A.No. 335/25

03.11.2025

Present:

Sh. Deepak Vashisht, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant no. 1 in person.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that the appellants shall not remove the intervening wall without seeking prior permission from the MCD and he has instruction to withdraw the present appeal subject to liberty being granted for carrying out the repairs as permissible under the law.

Statement of the ld. counsel for the appellant recorded separately to this effect.

The appeal is against work-stop notice. The appellant has undertaken not to raise any construction or remove the intervening wall without the permissions of the MCD. The appellants are bound down by the statement made by their counsel.

In view of the statement of ld. counsel, the appeal stands disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

A.No. 344/25

03.11.2025

Present: None for the appellant.

Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

None has appeared for the appellant despite repeated

calls since morning.

No adverse order is being passed today.

One last and final opportunity is given to the appellant to address arguments on the next date of hearing or to file

written submissions.

Put up for arguments on 23.03.2026.

A.No. 13/25 (M)

03.11.2025

Present: Appellant in person.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld. counsel for the DDA.

Sh. Anant Chaitnaya Dutta, ld. counsel for the respondent

no. 4 through VC.

Sh. Rishabh Jain, Ld. counsel for the respondent no. 4.

This is an application seeking rectification in the judgment dated 01.04.2025.

The main file is with the appellate authority.

Be awaited for 11.12.2025.