

A.No. 735/25

06.11.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present : Proxy counsel for the appellant along with appellant.

The appellant has challenged the demolition order dated 23.05.2025, but the copy thereof has not been filed. Let the same be filed.

Put up for consideration on **19.01.2026**.

In the meantime, issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on the date fixed.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
06.11.2025

A.No. 736/25

06.11.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Vimal Dhingra, Ld. counsel for the appellant through VC.

Copy of the demolition order filed by one proxy counsel, who is present in the court today.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **19.01.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)

Addl. District & Sessions Judge

P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD

06.11.2025

A.No. 737/25

06.11.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Vikrant Arora, Ld. counsel for the appellant  
through VC.  
Appellant in person.

Submissions heard. File perused.

As per the demolition order dated 03.10.2025, the owner/occupier of the property no. G-11, Pkt. 1, DDA Flats, Narayna, did not give any reply to the show cause notice dated 12.09.2025.

The appellant has annexed her reply dated 25.09.2025 received in the office of Dy. Commissioner, Karol Bagh Zone on 26.09.2025 vide diary no. 9625. This reply was not considered by the respondent nor any personal hearing was given to the appellant and the demolition order dated 03.10.2025 has been passed in violation of principles of natural justice.

In these facts, the demolition order dated 03.10.2025 is set aside with directions to the respondents to pass a speaking order after considering the reply dated 25.09.2025 submitted by the appellant and after giving personal hearing to the appellant.

...contd.2

: 2 :

The appellant shall appear before the Quasi Judicial Authority on 04.12.2025 at 2.00 pm and the speaking order be passed within 6 weeks of conclusion of the hearing.

Appeal stands allowed.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)

Addl. District & Sessions Judge

P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD

06.11.2025

A.No. 739/25

06.11.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Manpreet Singh, Ld. counsel for the appellant along with appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

The aforesaid appeal is against the vacation notice dated 15.10.2025, which is not appealable before this Tribunal. The appeal is dismissed with liberty to challenge the demolition/sealing order as per law.

Appeal stands disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
06.11.2025

A.No. 740/25

06.11.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Omvir Singh Sisodia, Ld. counsel for the appellant  
along with appellant.

The appellant has challenged the vacation notice dated 09.10.2025 and another notice dated 02.06.2025. Appeal against vacation notice is not maintainable whereas the notice dated 02.06.2025 has not been filed to ascertain the nature of the notice. Counsel seeks time to clarify on request.

On request put up on 10.11.2025.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
06.11.2025

A.No. 78/22, 91/22, 106/22, 107/22, 108/22, 109/22, 110/22, 111/22, 112/22, 113/22, 114/22, 115/22, 116/22, 117/22, 118/22, 119/22, 120/22, 130/22, 135/22, 136/22 & 137/22

06.11.2025

Present :           None for the parties.

File is taken up today in pursuance to the order dated 27.10.2025 passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India directing this Tribunal to decide the appeal preferably before 31.12.2025.

In-facts issue notice to the appellants and respondents through their respective counsels and the intervener, if any, for **18.11.2025**.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
06.11.2025

A.No. 297/25 & 298/25

06.11.2025

Present : Sh. Arush Kapoor, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Case files are taken up today on the applications seeking restoration of the interim relief, which was vacated for non-appearance on 03.11.2025.

Submissions heard.

In view of the submissions made, the interim order is restored till the next date of hearing.

Put up on the date already fixed i.e. **20.03.2026** for the purpose fixed.

(AMIT KUMAR)

Addl. District & Sessions Judge

P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD

06.11.2025



A.No. 513/25 & 514/25

06.11.2025

Present :       None for the appellant.

As none has appeared for the appellant, the matter is adjourned.

Lawyers are abstaining from work today.

In the meantime, issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **16.03.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
06.11.2025

A.No. 545/25

06.11.2025

Present : Appellant in person.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **06.02.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
06.11.2025

A.No. 597/25  
Manno Devi vs. MCD

06.11.2025

Present : Sh. Rohit Mathur, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.  
Son of the appellant in person.  
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent through VC.  
Ms. Sarita Gaur, ALO along with Sh. Satish Gautam, AE(B) in person.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Record also produced. Let the same be deposited with Registry.

Arguments heard on the interim application.

The appellant has challenged the demolition order dated 05.12.2024 passed in respect of the first and second floor of the property bearing no. Khasra no. 113/2, Gali no. 16, Wazirabad, Delhi, owned by the appellant. The ground floor of the property was earlier booked, but that order has not been challenged.

Record shows that the appellant was given personal hearing at the time of booking of the ground floor and thereafter, the demolition order for ground floor was passed, but is still unchallenged.

The appellant filed writ petition before the Hon'ble High Court and was granted interim protection till this Tribunal gets an officer. That interim protection was extended till

....contd.2

: 2 :

today. Part demolition action was taken before the interim protection was granted by the Hon'ble High Court on 09.09.2025.

Office record shows that even after booking the ground floor, the appellant continued unauthorized construction on the first and second floor, which were booked later on and this demolition order was passed.

It was argued for the appellant that since she has now applied for regularization, interim protection should be granted till regularization application is decided.

Same is strongly opposed as the appellant admittedly raised construction despite booking and at that time, she did not seek sanction of the plan and now after doing illegal construction, is trying to get it regularized.

On being asked, it was told that the application for regularization was filed only a week before.

The unauthorized construction at the ground floor was booked initially and those hearings were attended by the appellant. She was well aware about the unauthorized construction since booking of the ground floor in March 2024 and of the demolition order dated 05.08.2024 for the ground floor. The appellant since then did not bother to get the construction regularized and further continued with unauthorized construction despite bookings. The

...contd.3

: 3 :

appellant cannot be permitted to take benefit of her own wrong. The building plan should have been got sanctioned before raising construction. She cannot be permitted to perpetuate the illegality by first raising unauthorized construction despite booking and then seeking its regularization.

I do not find any prima facie case in favour of the appellant to protect unauthorized construction only because regularization application filed a week before is pending. The interim application is dismissed.

Put up for arguments on the main application on **18.03.2026.**

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
06.11.2025

A.No. 599/13

06.11.2025

Present : Sh. Muskan Gupta and Sh. Mayank Wadhwa, Ld counsel  
for the appellant through VC  
None for the respondent.

Arguments heard on the appeal.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal  
is allowed.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with  
copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record  
room.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
06.11.2025

A.No. 323/18

06.11.2025

Present : Sh. Priyansh Gupta, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Rajas Sharma, Ld. Proxy counsel for the respondent  
through VC.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the respondent as  
main counsel Sh. Ajay Gaur is un-available today as he  
has gone to Canada because of some family tragedy.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted  
to the respondent to address the arguments in the  
matter.

Put up for purpose fixed on **12.12.2025**.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
06.11.2025

A.No. 923/18, 924/18, 184/19 & 335/21

06.11.2025

Present : Clerk for the counsel for the appellant.  
None for the respondent.

The lawyers are abstaining from work today.

No adverse order is being passed.

Put up for arguments on **28.01.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
06.11.2025



A.No. 662/19 & 54/20

06.11.2025

Present : Appellant in person.  
Ms. Parul Verma, Id. proxy counsel for the appellant  
through VC.  
None for the respondent.

The lawyers are abstaining from work today.

No adverse order is being passed.

Put up for arguments on **30.01.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of  
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
06.11.2025

A.No. 111/21

06.11.2025

Present :           None for the appellant.  
                  Sh. Manish Yadav, Nodal Officer for the DDA.

The lawyers are abstaining from work today.

No adverse order is being passed.

Put up for arguments on **20.02.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
06.11.2025

A.No. 155/22, 193/22 & 246/22

06.11.2025

Present : Sh. Ashok Rajagopalan, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.  
Sh. Sanjeet Kumar, Ld. Proxy counsel for the respondent through VC.

The lawyers are abstaining from work today.

No adverse order is being passed.

Put up for arguments on **23.02.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
06.11.2025

A.No. 231/22, 275/22, 256/24 & 257/24

06.11.2025

Present : Appellants in person.  
None for the respondent.  
Sh. Sunny Taneja, son of intervener through VC.

The lawyers are abstaining from work today.

No adverse order is being passed.

Put up for arguments on **20.02.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
06.11.2025

A.No. 98/23

06.11.2025

Present : Appellants in person.  
Sh. Karan Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent through VC.  
The lawyers are abstaining from work today.  
No adverse order is being passed.  
Put up for arguments on **12.03.2026**.  
Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
06.11.2025

A.No. 164/23

06.11.2025

Present :       None for the parties.  
                  Sh. Hanumnt Trivedi, the then AE(B).

The lawyers are abstaining from work today.

No adverse order is being passed.

Put up for arguments on **23.03.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
06.11.2025

A.No. 815/23, 821/23 & 822/23

06.11.2025

Present :       None for the appellant in appeal no. 815/23.  
                  Ms. Shreya Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant through  
                  VC in appeals no. 821/23 and 822/23.  
                  Sh. Avnish Kumar, Ld. counsel for the intervener.  
                  Sh. Tarun Arya, EE and Sh. Deepak Tyagi, AE(B) for the  
                  respondents.

The lawyers are abstaining from work today.

No adverse order is being passed.

Put up for arguments on **17.03.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of  
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
06.11.2025

A.No. 191/24

06.11.2025

Present : Ms. Shailja, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.  
Sh. Tushar Verma, Ld. counsel for the R-2 thorough VC.  
None for the R-1.

The lawyers are abstaining from work today.

No adverse order is being passed.

Put up for arguments on **24.03.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
06.11.2025



A.No. 97/24 (M)

06.11.2025

Present : Appellant in person.  
None for the respondent.

The lawyers are abstaining from work today.

No adverse order is being passed.

Put up for arguments on **24.03.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
06.11.2025

A.No. 428/24

06.11.2025

Present : Sh. Mohit Gulati, Ld Proxy counsel for the appellant through VC.

None for the respondent.

The lawyers are abstaining from work today.

No adverse order is being passed.

Put up for arguments on **23.03.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)

Addl. District & Sessions Judge

P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD

06.11.2025

A.No. 555/24

06.11.2025

Present : Appellant in person.  
None for the respondent.

The lawyers are abstaining from work today.

No adverse order is being passed.

Put up for arguments on **09.02.2026**.

On 01.05.2025, the respondent was directed to communicate necessary directions to the appellant regarding reopening of the regularization application of third floor. It is stated that no such communication has been received till date. Let the copy of the order be sent to the AE(B) for compliance of the order dated 01.05.2025 within 2 weeks from the date of receiving the order. Appellant submits that he filed the application for reopening of this regularization application on 23.06.2025. Copy of the application placed on record. Let the same be also sent to the AE(B) along with copy of this order.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
06.11.2025

A.No. 683/24, 841/24 & 842/24

06.11.2025

Present : Sh. Neeraj Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.

Appellants in person.

Clerk of Sh. H.R. Aggarwal, Ld. counsel for the MCD in appeal no. 683/24.

None for the respondents in other two appeals.

The lawyers are abstaining from work today.

No adverse order is being passed.

Put up for arguments on **19.03.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)

Addl. District & Sessions Judge

P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD

06.11.2025

A.No. 927/24

06.11.2025

Present : Husband of appellant no. 1, appellant no.2 in person,  
none for appellants no. 3 & 4.  
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent no. 1.  
Respondent no. 3, Sh. Deepak Kumar, Ld proxy for R.4 &  
5.  
Respondent no. 7 in person.  
None for others.

An application under Order XXII Rule 10 CPC filed by Mr. Amrit Lal Singhal and his wife Renu Goel for substitution as respondent in place of respondent no. 9 Veena Vohra. It is stated that the applicants have purchased flat no. 144, Pocket C8 from respondent no. 9 and now owner of this third floor flat and may be substituted in her place.

In these facts application is allowed.

Mr. Amrit Lal Singhal and his wife Renu Goel are impleaded as respondent no. 9A & 9B.

Let the amended memo parties be filed by the applicants. Policy for installation and connecting bridge and group housing society, DDA built flats file by Ld counsel for the respondent no. 1.

The lawyers are abstaining from work today.

Put up for arguments on **22.01.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
06.11.2025

A.No. 13/25

06.11.2025

Present :           None for the appellant.

                  Sh. Raujas Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent  
                  through VC.

                  Sh. Raujas Sharma, Ld. Proxy counsel for the respondent  
                  through VC.

                  An adjournment is sought on behalf of the respondent as  
                  main counsel Sh. Ajay Gaur is un-available today as he  
                  has gone to Canada because of some family tragedy.

                  In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted  
                  to the respondent to address the arguments in the  
                  matter.

                  Put up for arguments on **19.03.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
06.11.2025

A.No. 155/25

06.11.2025

Present : None for the appellant.  
Sh. Sanjeet Kumar, proxy counsel for Sh. Prithvi  
Sabharwal, Ld. counsel for respondent through VC.

The lawyers are abstaining from work today.

No adverse order is being passed.

Put up for arguments on **09.04.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of  
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
06.11.2025

A.No. 253/25

06.11.2025

Present : Sh. Chinmay Jain, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.

Clerk for Sh. Anshul Bedi, Id. counsel for the respondent.

The lawyers are abstaining from work today.

No adverse order is being passed.

Put up for arguments on **11.02.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
06.11.2025



A.No. 323/25

06.11.2025

Present : Appellant in person.  
Sh. Raujas Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent  
through VC.

The lawyers are abstaining from work today.

No adverse order is being passed.

Put up for arguments on **26.02.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
06.11.2025

A.No. 386/25

06.11.2025

Present : Sh. Pawan Dhoot, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant  
through VC.

Sh. Saket, Ld counsel for the respondent.

The lawyers are abstaining from work today.

No adverse order is being passed.

Put up for arguments on 02.03.2026.

(AMIT KUMAR)

Addl. District & Sessions Judge

P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD

06.11.2025

A.No. 409/25

06.11.2025

Present : Sh. Rambir Chauhan and Sh. Parth, Ld. counsels for the appellant.

Ms. Deepa Chowdhary, Ld. Proxy counsel for the respondent through VC.

Sh. Manish Yadav, Nodal Officer, for the DDA

The lawyers are abstaining from work today.

No adverse order is being passed.

Put up for arguments on **09.03.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
06.11.2025

A.No. 614/25

06.11.2025

Present :       None for the appellant

The lawyers are abstaining from work today.

No adverse order is being passed.

In the meantime, issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **18.03.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
06.11.2025

A.No. 623/25

06.11.2025

Present : Ms. Suman, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **16.02.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, sealing order dated 13.08.2025 is stayed.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
06.11.2025

A.No. 645/25

06.11.2025

Present :       None for the appellant

The lawyers are abstaining from work today.

No adverse order is being passed.

In the meantime, issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **24.03.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
06.11.2025

A.No. 564/25

06.11.2025

**Sh. Nitin Singh Vs MCD**

Present : Sh. Vimal Dhingra, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC

Arguments heard.

The demolition order dated 18.11.2021 issued after show cause notice dated 09.11.2021 passed in respect of the ground floor of property no. 79, Yusuf Sarai, New Delhi is challenged on the ground that the reply to the show cause notice given on 18.11.2021 was not considered nor any personal hearing was given to the appellant before passing the demolition order. The documents filed by the appellant show that a reply dated 18.11.2021 was received by the respondent on 18.11.2021 and the JE(B) noted on 26.11.2021 on the reply itself that the same be examined and attached with file. This reply was not considered as the demolition order was passed on 18.11.2021 itself noting no reply has been received. In these facts, the demolition order dated 18.11.2021 is liable to be set aside with directions to the respondent to consider the reply dated 18.11.2021 and also give opportunity of

personal hearing to the appellant and thereafter pass a speaking order.

The appeal is allowed with directions that the appellant shall appear before Quasi Judicial Authority on 04.12.2025 at 2.00 PM and after giving personal hearing to the appellant, speaking shall be passed by Quasi Judicial Authority within 6 weeks of conclusion of hearing. Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

**Announced in the Open Court  
Today i.e. on 06.11.2025**

**(AMIT KUMAR)  
District Judge-cum-P.O.  
Appellate Tribunal : MCD Delhi**



A.No. 563/25

06.11.2025

**Sh. Nitin Singh Vs MCD**

Present : Sh. Vimal Dhingra, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.

Arguments heard.

The demolition order dated 12.01.2022 issued after show cause notice dated 03.01.2022 passed in respect of the first floor of property no. 79, Yusuf Sarai, New Delhi is challenged on the ground that the appellant has already applied for regularization of the construction and the same was not considered and further the appellant was not informed as to what construction is compoundable and what is not and therefore the action of demolition is liable to be set aside.

The appellant has filed today letter to show that he has already applied for regularization of the construction in respect of first floor of this property. Further, the demolition order dated 12.01.2022 does not record as to which portion of the construction is compoundable and which is not. It only says that unauthorized construction in the shape of first floor. The demolition order as per

record was served by way of pasting at site but there is no proof thereof.

In these facts, the appeal is allowed with directions that the appellant shall appear before Quasi Judicial Authority on 04.12.2025 at 2.00 PM and after giving a right to filed reply and personal hearing to the appellant, speaking shall be passed by Quasi Judicial Authority within 6 weeks of conclusion of hearing.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

**Announced in the Open Court  
Today i.e. on 06.11.2025**

**(AMIT KUMAR)  
District Judge-cum-P.O.  
Appellate Tribunal : MCD Delhi**

A.No. 562/25

06.11.2025

Present : Sh. Vimal Dhingra, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.

Copy of the receipt dated 27.08.2025 filed in the application seeking regularization of the construction in plot no. 79, Khasra No. 74, Village Yusuf Sarai, Gautam Nagar Road, New Delhi placed on record.

Arguments heard.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on 09.03.2026.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
06.11.2025

A.No. 565/25

06.11.2025

**Sh. Nitin Singh Vs MCD**

Present : Sh. Vimal Dhingra, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC

Arguments heard.

The demolition order dated 09.02.2022 issued after show cause notice dated 01.02.2022 passed in respect of the second floor, third floor and raising of columns of fourth floor of property no. 79, Yusuf Sarai, New Delhi is challenged on the ground that the appellant has already applied for regularization of the construction and the same was not considered and further the appellant was not informed as to what construction is compoundable and what is not and therefore the action of demolition is liable to be set aside.

The appellant has filed today letter to show that he has already applied for regularization of the construction in respect of first floor of this property. Further, the demolition order dated 09.02.2022 does not record as to which portion of the construction is compoundable and which is not. It only says that unauthorized construction in the

shape of second floor, third floor and raising of columns of fourth floor. The demolition order as per record was served by way of pasting at site but there is no proof thereof.

In these facts, the appeal is allowed with directions that the appellant shall appear before Quasi Judicial Authority on 04.12.2025 at 2.00 PM and after giving a right to filed reply and personal hearing to the appellant, speaking shall be passed by Quasi Judicial Authority within 6 weeks of conclusion of hearing.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

**Announced in the Open Court  
Today i.e. on 06.11.2025**

**(AMIT KUMAR)  
District Judge-cum-P.O.  
Appellate Tribunal : MCD Delhi**