A.No. 738/25

07.11.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present: Sh. Tarun Singla, Ld. counsel for the appellant.

Heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on 23.03.2026.

A.No. 741/25

07.11.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present: Sh. Anil Kumar, Ld. counsel for the appellant along with

appellant.

Heard. File perused.

The aforesaid appeal is against the vacation notice dated 16.10.2025, which is not appealable before this Tribunal.

The appeal is dismissed with liberty to challenge the demolition/sealing order as per law.

Appeal stands disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

A.No. 742/25 & 743/25

07.11.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present: Sh. Rajat Mathur, Ld. counsel for the appellant.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **11.03.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the demolition order dated 19.11.2009 and undated sealing order 2018. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected.

A.No. 99/20

07.11.2025

Present: Sh. Manish, son of the appellant.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as counsel is un-available today due to ailment of cancer of his paternal uncle.

Similar request was made on the last date of hearing. In-facts, last and final opportunity is given to the appellant for arguments on **30.01.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

A.No. 799/24, 802/24, 805/24, 806/24 & 807/24

07.11.2025

Present: None for the appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

File is taken up today on an application of early hearing filed on behalf of the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the respondent has filed an order of the Hon'ble High Court dated 15.09.2025 passed in Contempt case no. 272/24 where MCD has been permitted to file application before this Tribunal to be disposed of as per law.

Let notice of this application for early hearing be issued to the appellants through respective counsels on 21.11.2025.

A.No. 115/25

07.11.2025

Present: Sh. S.K. Jha, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.

Heard. File perused.

The aforesaid appeal is against the vacation notice dated 06.11.2024, which is not appealable before this Tribunal. The appeal is dismissed with liberty to challenge the demolition/sealing order as per law.

Appeal stands disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

A.No. 422/25

07.11.2025

Present: Sh., Ld counsel for the appellant.

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present: Sh. Rupesh Sharma, Ld. counsel for the appellant

appointed through LAC with appellant in person.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to

the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in

person along with the record of the proceedings, status

report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal

on **24.03.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken

against the property of appellant in pursuance of the

demolition order dated 10.06.2025. However, it is made

clear that no encroachment on the public land is

protected.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge

P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD

07.11.2025

A.No. 491/25

07.11.2025

Present: Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part Arguments heard on the interim application.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The respondent has produced the record of the sealing file but not of the demolition file. Let the same be produced. Sealing file is returned.

The respondent is also directed to file fresh photographs of the property of the extent of construction existing as on today after the interim order dated 22.07.2025 was passed to ascertain, if any fresh construction is raised in the property by the appellant.

Both the files i.e. sealing file and demolition file be produced on the next date of hearing.

Put up for further arguments on 02.12.2025.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

A.No. 495/25

07.11.2025

Present:

Sh. Markanday Gupta, Ld. counsel for the appellant through VC.

Sh. Akash Tanwar, Ld. proxy counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought by the counsel for the appellant as he is suffering from high fever and is not in position to appear today.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for purpose fixed on 12.02.2026.

A.No. 554/25

07.11.2025

Present:

Sh. Manish Kumar Chaudhary and Sh. Karan, Ld counsels for the appellant.

Arguments heard on the appeal.

The order of demolition dated 27.04.2023 was issued in respect of the property no. 10, Begumpur Village, Delhi in respect of the unauthorized construction on the ground, first and second floor of the property. As per the appellants and as per the documents filed by them, their property is property no. 5, Begumpur Village, since the first document was executed in favour of original owner Shanti Devi on behalf of the President of India.

The main grievance of the appellants that only notice of vacation dated 15.07.2025 was pasted at their property and after inquiry from the office of the respondent, the appellants came to know about the notice of demolition dated 27.04.2023. Both the notices are in respect of the property no. 10, whereas the property of the appellants is property no. 5.

In these facts, the appeal is allowed and the matter is remanded back to the respondent to give an opportunity to the appellants of being heard as to why notice of property no. 10 was posted at their property no. 5.

...contd.2

The appellant shall be at liberty to file the reply to the demolition notice dated 27.04.2023 and after giving personal hearing to all the appellants, the Quasi Judicial Authority shall pass a speaking order within 6 weeks of conclusion of the hearing.

The appellants are directed to appear before the Quasi Judicial Authority on 02.12.2025 at 2.00 pm.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

07.11.2025

Present:

Sh. Manish Kumar Chaudhary and Sh. Karan, Ld counsels for the appellant.

Arguments heard on the appeal.

The order of demolition dated 27.04.2023 was issued in respect of the property no. 10, Begumpur Village, Delhi in respect of the unauthorized construction on the ground, first and second floor of the property. As per the appellant and as per the documents filed by them, their property is property no. 8, Begumpur Village, since the first document was executed in favour of original owner Shanti Devi on behalf of the President of India.

The main grievance of the appellants that only notice of vacation dated 15.07.2025 was pasted at their property and after inquiry from the office of the respondent, the appellants came to know about the notice of demolition dated 27.04.2023. Both the notices are in respect of the property no. 10, whereas the property of the appellants is property no. 8.

In these facts, the appeal is allowed and the matter is remanded back to the respondent to give an opportunity to the appellants of being heard as to why notice of property no. 10 was posted at their property no. 8.

....contd.2

: 2 :

The appellants shall be at liberty to file the reply to the demolition notice dated 27.04.2023 and after giving personal hearing to all the appellants, the Quasi Judicial Authority shall pass a speaking order within 6 weeks of conclusion of the hearing.

The appellants are directed to appear before the Quasi Judicial Authority on 02.12.2025 at 2.00 pm.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

A.No 593/25

07.11.2025

Present: Ms. Juhnai Prabhakar, Ld counsel for the appellant along

with appellant no. 1.

Appellant no. 2 in person.

Heard. File perused.

This is an appeal challenging the vacation notice, which is not maintainable before this Tribunal.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to make necessary amendment in the appeal.

At request, put up for further proceedings on 05.02.2026.

A.No. 628/25 & 629/25

07.11.2025

Present: Sh. Vikram Saini, Ld. counsel for the appellant in appeal

no. 628/25.

Sh. S.R. Mehta, Ld counsel for the appellants in appeal

no. 629/25.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Advisory has already been issued by the respondent for compliance and till then, sealing order has been kept in abeyance. The appellant has not filed any documents to show that he complied with the advisory.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **11.02.2026**.

A.No. 636/25

07.11.2025

Present: Ms. Dimple, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the respondent seeks time to file the status report and record.

The appellant requests for temporary desealing of the property so that his belongings lying in the godown at the ground floor which has been sealed can be taken out.

A week's time has been requested for taking out the goods.

Considering that it is a godown of about 125 sq.yards, the appellant is given 3 days time to take out his goods from the property.

The respondent shall deseal the property for this purpose on 10.11.2025 at 11.00 am and will reseal the same on 13.11.2025 at 4.00 pm. In the meantime, let the status report and record be produced.

Put up for arguments on appeal on 16.02.2026.

A.No. 672/25

07.11.2025

Present: Sh. Imtiyaz and Sh. Aditya Kumar, Ld. counsel for the

appellant.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to

the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the

presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in

person along with the record of the proceedings, status

report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal

on .**20.03.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken

against the property of appellant in pursuance of the

demolition order dated 20.09.2022. However, it is made

clear that no encroachment on the public land is

protected.

(AMIT KUMAR)

Addl. District & Sessions Judge

P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD

07.11.2025

A.No. 73/12, 79/12 & 919/17

07.11.2025

Present: Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant in appeals

no. 73/12 & 79/12.

Sh. A.K. Popli, Ld. counsel for the appellant in appeal no.

919/17 along with appellant through VC.

Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent along

with Sh. Rajeev Garg, DLO.

Arguments heard.

Put up for orders on **14.11.2025**.

A.No. 404/15

07.11.2025

Present:

Sh. Sameer Abhayankar, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.

Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Rajeev Garg, DLO and Sh. Faiz Ahmad Baksh, AE(B).

Arguments heard at length.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal is allowed.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

A.No. 1099/15

07.11.2025

Present: Sh. G.R. Verma, Sh. Deepak Grover and Sh. H.K.

Sharma, Ld counsels for the appellant along with

appellant.

Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. Proxy counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments.

Ld. counsel for respondent is not available.

Put up for further arguments on 12.12.2025.

A.No. 221/17 & 116/18

07.11.2025

Present: Sh. Irfan Ahmad, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Mohit Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Arguments heard at length in both the appeals.

Put up for orders on **20.11.2025**.

A.No. 346/19 & 710/22

07.11.2025

Present:

Appellant Simran Anand in person in appeal no. 710/22 and she is also appearing on behalf of her mother in another appeal.

Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 710/22.

Sh. Anubhav Gupta, Ld. counsel for the respondent through VC in appeal no. 346/19.

The regularization application of the appellants is stated to be pending since July 2025 with the respondents. The respondent is directed to decide the same within 4 weeks from today and to file status report positively on the next date of hearing.

Put up for further arguments on **11.12.2025**.

A.No. 78/20

07.11.2025

Present: Sh. R.K. Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant through

VC.

Appellant in person.

Sh. H.R. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought by the counsel for the appellant as his wife has been advised for a major surgery of her shoulder.

In the interest of justice one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on 27.01.2026.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

A.No. 287/20

07.11.2025

Present: Sh. Kunal Kalra, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld. counsel for the respondent.

Arguments heard from the Ld. counsel for the appellant. The appellant is ready to deposit the misuse charges without prejudice to his rights on merits on the condition that property may be desealed till the disposal of the appeal.

Ld. counsel for respondent seeks some time to take instructions.

Put up for further arguments on **08.12.2025**.

A.No. 76/21

07.11.2025

Present: Sh. Roma Bhagat with Ms. Ruchika Sharma, Ld counsel

for the appellant.

None for the respondent.

Arguments on appeal heard at length

Put up for orders on **21.11.2025**.

A.No. 101/24 (M)

07.11.2025

Present: None for the appellant/applicant.

Sh. Rohan Nagar, Ld. proxy counsel for Ms. Vasu singh, Ld counsel for the respondent.

This is an application seeking restoration of appeal.

None has appeared for the applicant despite repeated calls since morning.

Despite various calls none is appearing on behalf of the applicant/appellant in the Tribunal or through VC. Even on the last date of hearing, none had appeared for the applicant/appellant.

It is already 2.35 pm. It appears that applicant is not interested in pursuing this application. The present application seeking restoration of the appeal is dismissed in default.

File be consigned to Record Room.

A.No. 20/22

07.11.2025

Present: Sh. Jatin Sehrawat, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant

through VC.

Sh. Mohit Sharma, for the respondent.

Some more time sought on behalf of LRs of appellant for

their impleadment.

One last and final opportunity is given to the appellant in

this regard.

Put up for further proceedings/arguments on 24.03.2026.

A.No. 55/23 & 109/24

07.11.2025

Present: Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant in appeal

no. 55/23.

None for the appellant in appeal no. 109/24.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, ld. counsel for the respondent/MCD.

Ms. Prachi Gupta, Ld. counsel for the interveners.

At request, put up for arguments on 03.03.2026.

A.No. 279/23 & 280/23

07.11.2025

Present: Sh. Mukeh Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellants.

Sh. Pulkit, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, ld.

counsel for the respondent.

An application of the appellants under Order I Rule 10 CPC to implead 6 more appellants is pending. They are stated to be co-owners and occupants of a portion of the property facing demolition. The application is allowed. They are impleaded as party to this case. Amended memo is taken on record.

Put up for arguments on the application seeking condonation of delay as well as on the appeal on **10.03.2026**.

A.No. 435/23 & 101/24

07.11.2025

Present: Sh. Pulkit Narang, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Ravi Ranjan, Ld counsel for the respondent through

VC.

Ms. Nisha Garg, Ld counsel for the respondent.

At request, put up for arguments on **06.03.2026**.

A.No. 693/23

07.11.2025

Present: Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Ms. Jasleen Kaur, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Mohd. Azhar Saifi, intervener in person.

At request, put up for arguments on **03.03.2026.**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of

hearing.

A.No. 779/23 Mirajuddin and Anr. vs. MCD

07.11.2025

Present: Ms. Raksh Ahmad, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld. counsel for the respondent along

with Sh. Humant Trivedi, the then AE(B).

Arguments heard.

The appellants who are the owners/occupants of property no. 3428 and 3428/1, ground and first floor respectively, of gali Akhade wali, Kucha Pandit, Lal Quan, Delhi-110006, have challenged the sealing order dated 12.05.2023 on the ground that show cause notice was never served upon them.

I have perused the official record.

A show cause notice to one Mohd. Saqib was issued for the property no. 3428 to 3432, of gali Akhade wali, Kucha Pandit, Lal Quan, Delhi-110006 without ascertaining as to who are the owners/occupiers of these five properties. The respondent before issuing the notice did not verify about the owners/occupants of the property and consequently, no opportunity was given to the appellants to file reply or to put their case before the Quasi Judicial Authority.

...contd.2

In these facts, the sealing order dated 12.05.2023 is set aside only in respect of the Property no. 3428 and 3428/1, of gali Akhade wali, Kucha Pandit, Lal Quan, Delhi-110006 owned and occupied by the appellants namely Mirajuddin and Mohd. Asif. The same shall remain in force for the other properties.

The respondent shall give opportunity of hearing and to file reply to the show cause notice to the appellants and thereafter the respondent will pass a speaking order after considering the reply and after giving personal hearing to the appellants. The appellants shall appear before the Quasi Judicial Authority on 09.12.2025 at 2.00 pm and the speaking order be passed within 6 weeks of conclusion of the hearing.

The property of the appellants be de-sealed within 2 weeks from today.

Appeal stand disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

A.No. 132/24

07.11.2025

Present: Sh. Divyanshu, Ld counsel for the appellant along with

appellant.

Sh. S. Adil Hussain, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

An application has been filed on behalf of the appellant to place on record the additional documents. Copy

supplied. The documents are taken on record.

Ld. counsel for the appellant claims that the demolition

action was partly taken on 22.02.2024, which was a day

after of demolition order dated 20.02.2024. He seeks

time to place on record proof of the demolition taken on

22.02.2024.

Put up for further arguments on **16.03.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)

Addl. District & Sessions Judge

P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD

07.11.2025

A.No. 144/24

07.11.2025

Present: Sh. Vatsala Chauhan, Ld counsel for the appellant

through VC.

Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. Proxy counsel for the respondent.

An application was filed on behalf of the appellant to place on record the additional documents on last date of hearing before the Registry. The documents are taken on record. The application stands disposed of.

record. The application stands disposi

Put up for arguments on 20.03.2026.

A.No. 147/24

07.11.2025

Present: Sh. Komal Singh, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.

None for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as

main counsel is un-available today due to bad health.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted

to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for purpose fixed on 23.03.2026.

A.No. 918/24

07.11.2025

Present: Sh. Sunil Lalwani, Ld counsel for the appellant

Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. Proxy counsel for the respondent.

Sh. Ajit Singh, Ld. counsel for the intervener,

Reply to the application under Order I rule 10 CPC filed.

Copy supplied.

Put up for arguments on this application and appeal on

18.03.2026.

A.No. 991/24 & 992/24

07.11.2025

Present: Sh. R.K. Verma, Ld counsel for the appellants along with

appellants.

Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent through

VC.

Ms. Rijita Gupta, AE(B) in person.

At request, put up for arguments on **02.03.2026.**

A.No. 1036/24

07.11.2025

Present: Sh. Amit Bhardwaj, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel is un-available today due to marriage of his son.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for purpose fixed on 24.03.2026.

A.No. 62/25

07.11.2025

Present: Sh. Yaman Yadav, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.

Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

At request, put up for arguments on 11.03.2026.

A.No. 196/25

07.11.2025

Present: Sh. Sarthak Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Rohan Nagar, Ld. Proxy counsel for Ms. Vasu Singh,

ld. counsel for the respondent.

Fresh Vakalatnama filed on behalf of the appellant, same is taken on record. Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks some time to inspect the record and to make arguments.

At request, put up for arguments on **20.03.2026**.

The Hon'ble High Court has already granted interim protection to the appellant. Interim orders, to continue till the next date of hearing.

A.No. 332/25

07.11.2025

Present: Sh. Vijay Sehgal, Ld. counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. Proxy counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard. Record perused.

Put up for further arguments on 18.03.2026.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the demolition order dated 14.05.2025. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected.

A.No. 490/25

07.11.2025

Present: Ms. Prerna Chaturvedi, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Sh. Alok Singh, Amarnath and Sh. Bhagwan Sahu, Ld. counsels for the applicant/ intervener.

Reply filed by the appellant to the application under Order 1 Rule 10, copy supplied.

Arguments heard on the application seeking condonation of delay in filing this appeal. As per appellant the demolition order dated 27.06.2025 was served upon the appellant only on 16.07.2025 in the Civil Court in a case filed by the intervener Neena Dosi against the appellant and this appeal was filed on 21.07.2025.

In these facts the delay is condoned.

Arguments heard on the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC of Ms. Neena Dosi who claims her to be owner of the second floor with terrace rights. The property of the appellant is at third floor which is the terrace of the second floor. This court is not adjudicating on the ownership issue.

In view of the judgement Hardayal Singh Mehta & Anr. Vs. MCD & Ors. AIR 1990 Delhi 190, the application moved by applicant under order 1 Rule 10 CPC is hereby

dismissed. However, the applicant is permitted to file the documents, if any and to orally argue the matter at the final arguments stage.

Put up for arguments on appeal on 27.02.2026.

A.No. 590/25

07.11.2025

Present:

Sh. Rampal Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC with appellant present in the Court.

Heard. File perused.

The aforesaid appeal is against the vacation notice dated 06.08.2025, which is not appealable before this Tribunal. The appeal is dismissed with liberty to challenge the demolition/sealing order as per law.

Appeal stands disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

A.No. 813/23

07.11.2025

Present: None for the appellant.

None for the other respondents.

Sh. Deepak Z. Mehta, Ld. counsel for the respondent no.

3.8.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal is allowed and the matter is remanded back to the Quasi Judicial Authority for deciding the same afresh.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.