

A.No. 764/25

14.11.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Rohit Jain and Sh. Gaurav Jain, Ld. counsels for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **07.04.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the demolition order dated 20.08.2025. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.11.2025

A.No. 766/25

14.11.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Shiv Kumar Gautam, Ld. counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **12.02.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)

Addl. District & Sessions Judge

P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD

14.11.2025

A.No. 767/25

14.11.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Hardik Aggarwal, Ld. counsel for the appellant through VC.

Submissions heard. File perused.

The appellant has pressed for interim relief.

There is no document to show that the excess coverage in the property is protected under National Capital Territory of Delhi Laws (Special Provision) Second Amendment, 2011 and therefore, no case for interim protection is made out.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **26.02.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)

Addl. District & Sessions Judge

P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD

14.11.2025

A.No. 770/25

14.11.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Raghav, Ld. counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **03.03.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)

Addl. District & Sessions Judge

P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD

14.11.2025

A.No. 772/23

14.11.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Nimish Chib and Sh. Shivamnayak, Ld. counsels for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **24.03.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the demolition order dated 22.10.2025 However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.11.2025

A.No. 777/23

14.11.2025

Present : Sh. Anmol Mehta, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Matter is listed for further proceedings awaiting outcome of the writ petition filed by the appellant challenging the dismissal of its appeal by this Tribunal against the demolition order and sealing order.

I am of the opinion that outcome of that writ have no bearings on this appeal challenging the rejection of the regularization application, which has its own facts and merits.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to argue as senior counsel is not available today.

Put up for arguments on **25.03.2026**. It is made clear that further adjournment shall not be allowed on the ground of pendency of the writ petition.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.11.2025

A.No. 113/24 (M)

14.11.2025

Present : Sh. Ravi Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

This is an application seeking restoration of the appeal which was withdrawn on 21.05.2024. It is stated in this application that the appellant withdrew the appeal under wrong legal advice and will contest the appeal on merits. The application was moved in October 2024. However, infacts, the appeal is revived to its original number. Put up for arguments on the appeal on **19.02.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.11.2025

A.No. 567/25

14.11.2025

Present : Sh. Gurmeet Singh Hans and Sh. Vishal Suri, Ld
counsels for the appellant along with appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to
the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the
presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in
person along with the record of the proceedings, status
report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal
on **08.04.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken
against the property of appellant in pursuance of the
demolition order dated 10.07.2025. However, it is made
clear that no encroachment on the public land is
protected.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.11.2025

A.No. 702/25

14.11.2025

Present : Sh. Devender Kumar , Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, Ld. counsel for the respondent through VC.
Sh. Adarsh Kumar Lohiya, Ld. proxy counsel for the respondent.
Sh. M.S.I. Israily, Ld. counsel for the proposed intervener.

An application under Section 151 CPC read with Rule 14 (4) and Ruls 17 of the DMC Appellate Tribunal Rules 1986 along with certain documents filed on behalf of the proposed intervener. Copy supplied.

Arguments on maintainability of the aforesaid application of the intervener heard. Even if it is presumed that all the averments made in the application is correct, then also in this proceeding between the appellant and the MCD the applicant has no right to participate and he cannot become a party as there is a clear-cut judgment of Delhi High Court in case ***Hardayal Singh Mehta Vs MCD, AIR 1990 Delhi 170*** in which it is held that in the matter between the appellant and the MCD, no third person can join and become a party to such proceedings and in such proceedings the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC is

...contd.2

: 2 :

not maintainable. Any dispute between the applicant and the appellant has to be dealt with and to be decided by the Civil Court separately. Accordingly, application moved by applicant/intervener is hereby dismissed. However, the applicant/intervener is permitted to file the documents, if any and to orally argue the matter at the final arguments stage.

The documents filed along with this application are taken on record.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record submitted by the MCD. Let the same be inspected.

At request, put up for arguments on **09.12.2025**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.11.2025

A.No. 25/25 (M)

14.11.2025

Present : Sh. Upwan Dhawan, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.

This is an application seeking restoration of the appeal.

Heard. Application perused.

Issue notice of this application to the respondent/MCD for
17.12.2025.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.11.2025

A.No. 272/13

14.11.2025

Present : Sh. Sanjay Garg, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.

Sh. Mohit Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Arguments on the application under Order VII rule 14 CPC of the appellant heard.

Without prejudice to the rights and contentions of the respondent towards these documents, the same are taken on record. The application stands disposed of.

Further arguments on the application u/s 340 Cr.P.C heard.

The appellants have claimed that the respondent has forged documents by changing their numbers, signing before the date of letter and issuing notices in the name of the person, who is not the owner of the property to their knowledge. Without going into the merits of the documents, it is sufficient to record that the forgery, if any, was done in the documents prior to the same being filed in this court and in view of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India passed in '***Iqbal Singh Marwa Vs. Minakshi Marwa & Ors.***' dated 11.03.2005 passed in Crl. Appeal no. 402/2005, the application before this court is not maintainable. The appellant is at

....contd.2

: 2 :

liberty to take action under law for the alleged forgery. The application is dismissed.

Further arguments on the protest petition of the appellant heard. The protest petition seeks same relief as was in the application u/s 340 Cr.P.C. It is stated that this protest petition was filed only because this forum is a tribunal and not a court. For the reasons already mentioned, the appellant is at liberty for appropriate authority for registration of the FIR against the respondent including the offence of forgery and perjury. Otherwise also, no protest petition is maintainable in this appeal.

Arguments heard on the application under Order XLI rule 27 CPC to file additional documents. Though, this is second application of the appellant to place on record the additional documents, yet without prejudice to the rights of the respondent, the documents are taken on record. The application stands disposed of.

It is specified that no documents of the appellant shall be taken on record.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to argue on the appeal. Though, there are no grounds to give any adjournment as the matter is pending since 2013 only on Misc. applications, however, he is granted last and final opportunity to argue on the appeal.

...contd.3

: 3 :

At request, put up for arguments on **28.11.2025**. Date is given as per the convenience of the counsel for the appellant.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.11.2025

A.No. 325/15

14.11.2025

Present : Sh. Sunil Sachdeva, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.
Appellant in person.
Sh. H.R. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Arguments heard on the application of the appellants u/s 151 CPC seeking amendment to the appeal to the extent of challenging the demolition order dated 26.08.2014 along with the sealing order which is already impugned in this appeal.

The demolition order and sealing order are separately appealable and common appeal for challenging the both, cannot be filed. The application is dismissed.

Arguments on the appeal heard.

Put up for orders on **25.11.2025**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.11.2025

A.No. 527/16
Hinesh Taneja Vs. MCD
&
A. No., 531/16
Hinesh Taneja Vs. MCD

14.11.2025

Present : Sh. Rajesh Baweja, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Arguments heard. Record perused.

Certified copies of the affidavit of Mr. Panna Lal, the then EE(B) and Action Taken Report dated 13.08.2007 filed on record by the appellant. Same reflects that it was the stand of the respondent before the Hon'ble High Court that the property in question is protected from further action due to enforcement of Delhi Laws (Special Provisions) Act 2006.

The appellant before me the successor of the then owner of the property Ms. Ritu Garg and Ms. Lalita Garg. The booking in question is of the year 2009 and the show cause notice dated 02.09.2009 does not mention that any fresh construction has been raised or that there is a violation of status quo as existing on 07.02.2007. Once, the responded took the stand before the Hon'ble High Court that the property is protected till this Act is in force, the show cause notice dated 02.09.2009 followed by

...contd.2

: 2 :

demolition order dated 11.09.2009 and sealing order dated 06.05.2016 are not only in violation of the Act which protects the property, but also without any basis as it is not stated during pendency of these appeals in any of the status report that any fresh construction was raised by the appellant in part portion owned by him in property no. 8/1, Saraswati Kunj, Alipur Road, Shamnath Marg, Civil Lines, Delhi.

In view of the same, both the orders seeking demolition and sealing of this property no. 8/1, Saraswati Kunj, Alipur Road, Shamnath Marg, Civil Lines, Delhi are kept in abeyance till the Delhi Laws (Special Provisions) Act 2006 is in force.

The respondents shall be at liberty to take the action as and when protection provided under this Act cease to exist. This protection however, shall be available only if the status quo is maintained by the parties.

Both the appeals stand disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.11.2025

A.No. 425/15 & 197/24 and 198/24

14.11.2025

Present : Sh. Rajesh Baweja, Ld counsel for the appellant in
appeals no. 197/24.

None for the appellants in other two appeals.

Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld. counsel for the respondent in
appeal no. 197/24.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent in
other appeals.

Part arguments heard.

Put up for further arguments **17.12.2025.**

(AMIT KUMAR)

Addl. District & Sessions Judge

P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD

14.11.2025

A.No. 697/16 & 698/16

14.11.2025

Present : Sh. G..R. Verma, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent along
with Sh. A.K. Yadav, the then AE(B).

Arguments heard at length.

Put up for orders on **27.11.2025**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.11.2025

A.No. 784/16 & 802/16

14.11.2025

Present : None for the appellant.
 Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.
 Sh. Mohit Sharma, Ld. counsel for the respondent in
 appeal no. 802/16.

Report filed on behalf of the MCD in both the appeals.
Copy for the appellant is placed on record.

None is present for the appellant. Let the report be
collected. Non-collection of the report by the appellant
shall not be a ground on the next date of hearing.

In the interest of justice one last and final opportunity is
granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the
matter.

Put up for arguments on **30.01.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.11.2025

A.No. 373/17, 176/18 & 17/24

14.11.2025

Present : Appellant in person.
Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Appellant submits that his other appeals are pending before this Tribunal and are listed for 04.12.2025.

At request, put up with the connected appeals on **04.12.2025.**

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.11.2025

A.No. 423/18

14.11.2025

Present : Appellant in person.
Ms. Anshika, Id. proxy counsel for Sh. Atul Tanwar, Adv,
for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as
counsel has gone to UP due to death of his relative.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted
to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for purpose fixed on **04.02.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.11.2025

A.No. 71/19, 119/19 & 338/19

14.11.2025

Present : Sh. Alok Singh and Sh. Amarnath, Ld counsel for the
appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal and Sh. H.R. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for
the respondent.

Arguments heard at length.

Put up for orders on **02.12.2025**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.11.2025

A.No. 276/22

14.11.2025

Present : Sh. Hamid Ali, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Ms. Vasu Singh, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel is busy in some other court.

In the interest of justice one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for purpose fixed on **26.02.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.11.2025

A.No. 528/22

14.11.2025

Present : Sh. Hamid Ali, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant along
with appellant.
Sh. Neeraj Shah, Ld. counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

At request, put up for arguments on **26.02.2026** with
connected matter.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.11.2025

A.No. 37/23 & 38/23

14.11.2025

Present : Sh. Anurag Kumar and Ms. Kajal Soni, Ld. Proxy
counsels for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent.

At request, put up for arguments on **16.04.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.11.2025

A.No. 308/23

14.11.2025

Present :

Sh. Suprit Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Sh. Deepak Sharma and Sh. D.V. Nanda, Ld. counsel for the applicant/intervener.

Ms, Khayati Jain, Ld. Proxy counsel for the applicant through vC

Sh. Dharamvir, applicant in person.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld. counsel for the respondent.

An application under Order I rule 10 CPC has been filed by one Dharamvir seeking his impleadment.

Arguments on maintainability of the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC heard. Even if it is presumed that all the averments made in the application is correct, then also in this proceeding between the appellant and the MCD the applicant has no right to participate and he cannot become a party as there is a clear-cut judgment of Delhi High Court in case ***Hardayal Singh Mehta Vs MCD, AIR 1990 Delhi 170*** in which it is held that in the matter between the appellant and the MCD, no third person can join and become a party to such proceedings and in such proceedings the application under order 1

...contd.2

: 2 :

Rule 10 CPC is not maintainable. Any dispute between the applicant and the appellant has to be dealt with and to be decided by the Civil Court separately. Accordingly, application moved by applicant under order 1 Rule 10 CPC is hereby dismissed. However, the applicant is permitted to file the documents, if any and to orally argue the matter at the final arguments stage.

Put up for arguments on **22.04.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.11.2025

A.No. 61/24 & 62/24

14.11.2025

Present : Appellant in person.
Sh. Abhijit Singh. Proxy counsel for the appellant through VC.
Sh. Sandeep Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Adjournment sought on behalf of the appellant on the ground that main counsel is out of station.

At request, put up for arguments on **23.04.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.11.2025

A.No. 91/24

14.11.2025

Present : None for the appellant.
 Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

None has appeared on behalf of appellant in person as well in VC since morning despite various calls. None has appeared for appellant on the last date of hearing also.

It is already 2.45 pm. The present appeal is dismissed in default.

Record of the respondent, if any be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.11.2025

A.No. 226/24 & 227/24

14.11.2025

Present : None for the appellant.
 Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

None has appeared on behalf of appellant(s) in person as well in VC since morning despite various calls. None has appeared for appellant(s) even on the last date of hearing also.

It is already 2.55 pm. The aforesaid appeals are dismissed in default.

Record of the respondent, if any be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.11.2025

A.No. 252/24

14.11.2025

Present : Sh. Surender Bhasin, Ld. counsel for the appellant along with Appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An application seeking waiver of cost filed on behalf of the appellant.

Submissions heard. Application perused.

In view of the reason given, the application is allowed and the cost dated 21.05.2024 is waived.

Arguments on the application seeking condonation of delay in filing the appeal also heard.

There is a delay of 34 days in filing the appeal. With an endeavour to decide the case on merit, the application is allowed and the delay is condoned.

Put up for arguments on appeal on **23.04.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.11.2025

A.No. 272/24

14.11.2025

Present : Sh. Arun Vidhyarthi, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. Prabhakar Mani Tripathi, Ld counsel for the respondent through VC.

An application seeking regularization of the property is pending.

Let the status of the regularization application be filed by the MCD on or before the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **24.04.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.11.2025

A.No. 366/24

14.11.2025

Present : Wife of the appellant in person.
 Sh. Jitender Saini, Ld counsel for the appellant through
 VC.
 Sh. Prabhakar Mani Tripathi, Ld. counsel for the
 respondent through VC.

Appellant has filed an application to place on record the additional documents. Copy placed on record. Let the same be collected.

Let the copy of the same be supplied to the ld. counsel for the respondent. Without prejudice to the rights of the respondents, the documents are taken on record. Application is allowed.

Put up for arguments on **24.04.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.11.2025

A.No. 895/24

14.11.2025

Present : Ms. Azka Ahmad, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.
Ms. Anshika, Ld. Proxy counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Yogender Singh, AE(B).

An application under Order VII rule 14 CPC is pending on behalf of the appellant for placing on record certain documents along with documents.

Let the copy of the same be supplied to the Id. counsel for the respondent. Without prejudice to the rights of the respondents, the documents are taken on record. Application is allowed.

Put up for arguments on appeal on **22.04.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.11.2025

A.No. 940/24

14.11.2025

Present : None for the appellant.
 Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.
 Sh. Aditya Vashishtha, Ld. counsel for the intervener
 through VC
 Despite various calls none is appearing on behalf of the
 appellant in the Tribunal or through VC.
 It is already 3.10 pm.
 It appears that the appellant is not interested in pursuing
 this appeal. The present appeal is dismissed in default.
 All the pending applications are also dismissed.
 Record of the respondent if any be returned alongwith
 copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record
 room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.11.2025

A.No. 1018/24, 1031/24 & 1080/24

14.11.2025

Present : Sh. Reuben Samson and Sh. Simon Samson, Ld
counsels for the appellants along with appellants.
Sh. Rahul Malpani, Ld. counsel for the appellant in
appeal no. 1018/24.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld. counsel for the respondent in
appeal no. 1018/24.
Ms.Nivedita Kushwaha, Ld. Proxy counsel for the
respondent in appeal no. 1031/24.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld. counsel for the respondent in
appeal no. 1080/24.

At request, put up for arguments on **27.04.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.11.2025

A.No. 1027/24

14.11.2025

Present : Sh. Arush Kapoor, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Nandan Goel, Ld counsel for the respondent along
with Sh. Yogender Singh, AE(B).

At request, put up for arguments on **21.04.2026**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.11.2025

A.No. 70/25

14.11.2025

Present : Proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Avishek Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment sought on behalf of the appellant on the ground that main counsel is not available today due to some personal difficulty.

At request, put up for arguments on **28.04.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.11.2025

A.No. 14/25 (M)

14.11.2025

Present : Ms. Deepmala Kumari, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Anupam Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Manish Yadav, Nodal Officer for the DDA.

Reply filed by the respondent to the application seeking restoration of the appeal dismissed in default on 11.02.2025.

This application was filed on 09.05.2025. Without going into the merits of the contentions raised in the application, with an endeavour to decide the case on merit, the appeal is restored subject to a cost of Rs. 5,000/- to be deposited with Registry.

Application stands disposed of.

Put up for arguments on the application seeking condonation of delay in filing the appeal on **21.04.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.11.2025

A.No. 350/25

14.11.2025

Present : Appellant in person.
V.K. Aggarwal, Ld. counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Navdeep Singh, Ld. counsel for the intervener.

Arguments on maintainability of the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC of the intervener heard. Even if it is presumed that all the averments made in the application is correct, then also in this proceeding between the appellant and the MCD the applicant has no right to participate and he cannot become a party as there is a clear-cut judgment of Delhi High Court in case ***Hardayal Singh Mehta Vs MCD, AIR 1990 Delhi 170*** in which it is held that in the matter between the appellant and the MCD, no third person can join and become a party to such proceedings and in such proceedings the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC is not maintainable. Any dispute between the applicant and the appellant has to be dealt with and to be decided by the Civil Court separately. Accordingly, application moved by applicant under order 1 Rule 10 CPC is hereby dismissed. However, the applicant is permitted to file the documents, if any and to orally argue the matter at the final arguments stage.

...contd.2

: 2 :

At request, put up for arguments on **24.04.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)

Addl. District & Sessions Judge

P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD

14.11.2025

A.No. 401/25

14.11.2025

Present : Sh. I.C. Mishra, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Ms. Vasu Singh, Ld counsel for the respondent along with
Sh. Mukesh Gaur, AE(B).

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal stands disposed of.

Record of the respondent (if any), returned along with copy of this order and appeal, file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.11.2025

A.No. 202/24

14.11.2025

Present : None for the appellant.
 Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Undersigned is busy in dictating the orders/judgment in
some old matters. No time left.

Be listed for orders on **21.11.2025**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.11.2025

A.No. 580/12

14.11.2025

Present : Sh. Gaurav Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal is allowed.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)

Addl. District & Sessions Judge

P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD

14.11.2025

A.No. 73/12, 79/12 & 919/17

14.11.2025

Present : Sh.Dalip Rastogi , Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashok Popli, Ld. counsel for appellant in appeal
No.919/17.
Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the appeals are
allowed.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with
copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record
room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.11.2025