

A.No. 782/25

21.11.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Naveen Thakur, Ld. counsel for the appellant along with appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **04.12.2025**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.11.2025

A.No. 786/25

21.11.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present : None for the appellant.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **29.01.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.11.2025

A.No. 790/25

21.11.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Sushil Kumar and Sh. Sanjay Kumar, Ld. counsels
for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to
the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the
presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in
person along with the record of the proceedings, status
report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal
on **15.04.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken
against the property of appellant in pursuance of the
demolition order dated 07.08.2025. However, it is made
clear that no encroachment on the public land is
protected.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.11.2025

A.No. 930/15, 5/16, 852/16, 126/19, 128/19, 129/19, 130/19, 372/19, 398/19, 659/19, 694/19, 367/20, 368/20, 369/20, 370/20, 318/21, 319/21, 22/22, 54/22, 431/22, 538/22, 540/22, 364/23, 404/23, 745/23, 767/23, 768/23, 2/24, 145/24, 237/24, 271/24, 290/24, 301/24, 412/24 & 731/24, 968/24

21.11.2025

Present : None for the parties.

File is taken up today in pursuance to the order dated 27.10.2025 passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India directing this Tribunal to decide the appeals preferably before 31.12.2025.

All these appeals relate to unauthorized construction in Chandni Chowk or in the vicinity of Chandni Chowk. The matters in which the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India has given directions are of misuser of residential properties as commercial properties. There is no direction to dispose of cases of unauthorized construction on or before 31.12.2025.

Let these matters be listed on their dates already fixed.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.11.2025

A.No. 238/25 & 374/25

21.11.2025

Present : None for the parties.

Files are taken up today on an applications of early hearing/pre-ponement filed on behalf of the appellant.

The next date of hearing in the matter is 30.01.2026. The appeals are of the year 2025. In view of the heavy pendency, no early hearing is possible. The applications are dismissed.

Put up on the date fixed for the purpose fixed.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.11.2025

A.No. 316/25

21.11.2025

Present : None for the appellant.
 Sh. K.D. Shamra, Ld counsel for the respondent/DDA.

None has appeared for the appellant despite repeated calls since morning.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Put up for arguments on **08.05.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.11.2025

A.No. 453/25

21.11.2025

Present : Sh. Amandeep Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Ld. counsel for the appellant has filed property tax returns.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to go through the status report.

At request, put up for further arguments on **28.04.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.11.2025

A.No. 455/25

21.11.2025

Present : Md. Wasim Ansari, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Nishant Kaushik and Sh. Farid Abbas, Ld. counsel for
the proposed intervener.

Ld. counsel for the applicant/intervener has filed an
application under Order I rule 10 CPC for his
impleadment in the matter.

Copy supplied.

Reply, if any, be filed with advance copy to the other side.

Put up for arguments on this application as well as on
appeal on **24.04.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken
against the property of appellant in pursuance of the
impugned order dated 27.03.2025. However, it is made
clear that no encroachment on the public land is
protected.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.11.2025

A.No. 558/25, 609/25 & 610/25

21.11.2025

Present : None for the appellants.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **08.04.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.11.2025

A.No. 613/25

21.11.2025

Present : Sh. M.Ahmad, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **11.05.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the demolition order dated 15.03.2024. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.11.2025

A.No. 658/25

21.11.2025

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **12.05.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the demolition order dated 14.07.2025. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.11.2025

A.No. 677/25
Sujit Kumar Behera Vs. MCD

21.11.2025

Present : Ms. Deepmala Kumari, Ld counsel for the appellant.
None for the respondent.

Submissions heard. File perused.

The impugned demolition order is dated 09.05.2025 issued in pursuance of show cause notice dated 25.04.2025, vide which 15 days time was given to the appellant to reply the show cause notice. The demolition order was passed on the 14th day of the show cause notice without waiting for expiry of 15 days or the reply of the appellant. No opportunity was given to the appellant to put his case before the Quasi Judicial Authority which is in violation of principal of natural justice.

In these facts, the demolition order dated 09.05.205 is set aside with directions to the respondents to pass a speaking order after giving an opportunity of filing reply as well as after giving personal hearing to the appellant. The appellant shall appear before the Quasi Judicial Authority on 17.12.2025 at 2.00 pm and the speaking order be passed within 6 weeks of conclusion of the hearing.

The appeal stands disposed of.

...contd.

: 2 :

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.11.2025

A.No. 722/25

21.11.2025

Present : Ms. Parul Agrawal, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Avishek Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent along
with Sh. Sanjeev Kumar, AE(B).

The record has been produced. It be deposited with
Registry.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Arguments heard.

Let a joint inspection of the property be done and parties
are directed to file the joint inspection report with site plan
existing in the property.

The concerned officials of the respondent shall visit the
property of the appellant on 24.11.2025 at 12.00 noon.

The property shall be inspected from inside also, which
shall not be objected by the appellant. Photographs, if
possible be also taken of the alleged encroachment,
projections and deviations by the parties and be filed on
record.

Put up for further arguments on **06.02.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken
against the property of appellant.

Copy of this order be given dasti.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.11.2025

A.No. 730/25 & 731/25

Ms. Bhupinder Kaur Chadha & Anr Vs MCD

21.11.2025

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant along with
appellant.

Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Sealing record and demolition order record produced by the respondent. Status report is filed by the MCD along with vakalatnama, copy supplied.

Arguments heard. Record perused.

A show cause notice dated 30.07.2025 was issued stating unauthorized construction on part of third floor and shop in rear set back on the ground floor of the property no. H-1, Rajouri Garden, New Delhi. The appellant is concerned only about their ground floor shop, which exists since the date of purchase in 1997. Conversion charges were also paid as well as the house-tax. The house-tax inspection report dated 12.06.2007 shows existence of one hall, two stores, pantry and lobby in the shop. Same is as per the site plan filed by the appellant except that one store is mentioned as strong room as the shop is a jewellery shop. The impugned demolition/sealing order dated 17/19.09.2025 records that the appellant submitted electricity bill showing

energization date as 22.02.1998 which shows that the property is constructed old. But thereafter, it is recorded that the appellant failed to produce any documents to show that the alleged unauthorized construction is old and protected from further punitive action. Once, the appellant produced the electricity bills and other documents showing existence of the shop since 1997/1998, the impugned orders are liable to be set aside as there is no reason why the property should not be protected under National Capital Territory of Delhi Laws (Special Provision) Second Amendment Act, 2011. The order is passed only in respect of the ground floor shop of the appellant and the respondent shall be at liberty to take action against the part third floor of the property as per law.

Let the shop be desealed by 01.12.2025.

Appeals stand disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.11.2025

A.No. 448/16 & 449/16

21.11.2025

Present : Sh. Anuj Kumar Garg, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Mohit Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Arguments heard.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the aforesaid appeals stand disposed of. Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.11.2025

A.No. 1110/16, 14/17, 15/17 & 16/17

21.11.2025

Present : None for the appellant.
 Sh. Ravi Ranjan, Ld counsel for the respondent through
 VC.

None has appeared for the appellant despite repeated
calls since morning till 2.10 p.m.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Put up for arguments on **04.02.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.11.2025

A.No. 821/17

21.11.2025

Present : Sh. Anuj Kumar Garg, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Arguments heard at length.

Put up for orders on **10.12.2025**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.11.2025

A.No. 206/18 & 207/18

21.11.2025

Present : Ms. Saloni Mahajan, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant through VC.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant seeks some short accommodation on the ground that they have been recently engaged and the main counsel is busy before the Hon'ble High Court.

Record shows that the counsel was engaged on 01.08.2025 and almost 4 months have passed since then and the ground for adjournment is not plausible, yet with an endeavour to decide the case on merit, the request is allowed subject to cost of Rs. 2500/- each.

Put up for arguments on **23.02.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.11.2025

A.No. 252/18

21.11.2025

Present : Sh. I. Ahmad, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. H.R. Agarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel is not available today due to death of his maternal-uncle.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **11.02.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.11.2025

A.No. 48/20

21.11.2025

Present : Appellant in person.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as counsel is out of station.

Perusal of the record shows that since last 4-5 dates, counsel for the appellant is not appearing and the adjournment cost dated 06.02.2024 of Rs. 2,000/- has till date not been deposited.

The appeal is of the year 2020.

In the interest of justice, one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to deposit the cost and to address the arguments in the matter on the next date of hearing.

At request, put up for arguments on **25.02.2026**. It is made clear that no further adjournment shall be granted.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.11.2025

A.No. 118/20

21.11.2025

Present : None for the appellant.
 Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

None has appeared for the appellant despite repeated calls since morning.

The appeal is of the year 2020. The appellant is given one last and final opportunity to address arguments on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **02.03.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.11.2025

A.No. 212/21

Santosh Pandit Vs MCD

21.11.2025

Present : Sh. Vikrant Pachnanda and Ms. Bhavya Sheetal, Ld
counsel for the appellant.

Ms. Nivedita Kushwaha, Ld Proxy counsel for the
respondent.

Arguments heard on the application seeking condonation of delay in filing the appeal. The appellant in this appeal has challenged the Standing Committee Resolution dated 16.11.2018 received on 13.12.2018. The appeal was filed on 19.07.2021. It is stated for the appellant that the because of ailments of her husband who passed away on 01.02.2021, she could not file the appeal in time and the delay should be condoned.

Reliance was also placed on the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India passed in .

The counsel for the respondent did not appear despite waiting till 2,30 p.m. The appellant is required to seek condonation of delay from 13.12.2018 till 15.03.2020 as the period after 15.03.2020 till 28.02.2022 is exempted from limitation. The medical documents filed with the appeal relate only to the period of 2020 till 2021 when the husband of appellant passed away fLater a certificate

was filed issued by one Doctor stating that the husband of the appellant was seeking his medical advice since 2017 till his demise for breathing related issues, hypertension and diabetes. This certificate issued by Dr. A.Kumar having clinic at Burari Delhi seems to be fake because the other medical documents filed with the application are of Dr. Greesh Nanwani having Clinic at Shalimar Bagh. If the husband of the appellant was under regular treatment from 2017 till his death from Dr.A.Kumar, the medical documents of the year 2020-2021 should be of the same doctor and not of another doctor. It appears that certificate from Dr.A.Kumar was procured only to fill the gap between 13.12.2018 till filing of this appeal. The delay in filing the appeal from 13.12.2018 to 15.03.2020 is inordinate and unexplained. There are no ground to condone delay. Application is dismissed. Consequence thereof the appeal also stand dismissed.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.11.2025

A.No. 350/21 & 351/21

21.11.2025

Present : Sh. Puran Singh Mahar, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Arguments on the applications under Order VI rule 17 CPC of the appellant heard.
The appellant through these applications wants to challenge the demolition order instead of vacation order which was challenged in the original appeals.
As per this application, the appellant came to know only on 12.02.2024 during arguments that the appeals against the vacation notice are not maintainable.
Same is strongly opposed on the ground that the amendment shall be barred by limitation as the official record was submitted in the October 2021 and since then, the appellant was aware that the demolition order has been passed.
Without going into the aspect of the limitation at this stage, the applications are allowed subject to cost of Rs. 5,000/- each to be deposited with Registry. The amended appeal is taken on record.
Put up for arguments on appeals on **25.03.2026**.
Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.11.2025

A.No. 452/22

21.11.2025

Present : Sh. Hardik Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Ms. Arti Bansal , Ld counsel for the respondent.

It is submitted that connected appeal is already pending before this Tribunal and are listed for 26.02.2026.

At request, put up for arguments on the connected appeal on **26.02.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.11.2025

A.No. 629/22

21.11.2025

Present : Sh. Kamaldeep Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

The appellant is directed to file latest photographs of the fourth floor taken from the same angle as existing at page no. 180 of the appeal where one jaal on the roof is visible. In the meantime, the respondent shall also inspect the property on the fourth floor and will take photographs from all the possible angles.

Put up for further arguments on **03.03.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.11.2025

A.No. 269/24 & 626/24

21.11.2025

Present : Sh. V.S. Rana, Sh. Viksit Singh and Sh. Deepanshu Malik, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Ms. Bhavya Chauhan, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 269/24.
Sh. Beena Sharma , Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal in appeal no. 626/24.
Sh. Sushil Kumar, AE(B) Najafgarh Zone.

Affidavit along with site plan filed on behalf of the appellant as directed on the last date in one file. Copy supplied to the counsel in appeal no. 626/24.

Let the copy be also supplied to the counsel in other appeal.

On request, put up for arguments on **17.04.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.11.2025

A.No. 471/24 & 713/24

21.11.2025

Present : Sh. Ayaz Ahmad , Ld counsel for the appellant.
Ms. Nivedita Kushwaha, Ld. Proxy counsel for the respondent.

Arguments heard on appeals. File perused.

The demolition order dated 06.06.2024 and the sealing order dated 22.08.2024 are under challenge under these two appeals.

The demolition order dated 06.06.2024 states that reply was received from the appellant, but not found satisfactory. The Quasi Judicial Authority is/was required to pass a speaking order and this order saying that reply was not satisfactory, does not spell out the grounds on which the Quasi Judicial Authority was not satisfied.

The demolition order being a non-speaking order is liable to be set aside on this ground. The sealing order is also a consequent of the demolition order and is also the same saying that the reply was found unsatisfactory.

In these facts, both i.e. demolition order dated 06.06.2024 as well as the sealing order dated 22.08.2024 are set aside with directions to the respondents to pass a speaking order after giving personal hearing to the appellants. The appellants shall appear before the

...contd.2

: 2 :

Quasi Judicial Authority on 02.12.2025 at 2.00 pm and the speaking order be passed within 6 weeks of conclusion of the hearing.

Appeals stand disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.11.2025

A.No. 536/24

21.11.2025

Present : Ms. Shivani Bardia, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.

Son of the appellant in person.

Sh. R.K. Jain, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel is not available today due to some personal difficulty.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **13.05.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.11.2025

A.No. 599/24

21.11.2025

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Sandeep Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent through VC.

Despite various calls none is appearing on behalf of the appellant in the Tribunal or through VC.

Put up at 2.00 p.m.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.11.2025

Present: None for the appellant.
Sh. Sandeep Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent through VC.

None has appeared on behalf of appellant since morning in the Tribunal or through VC despite various calls. None had appeared on behalf of the appellant on 25.07.2025 as well.

It is 3.00 PM. The present appeal is dismissed in default.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.11.2025

A.No. 792/24

21.11.2025

Present : Sh. Naveen Sharma and Ms. Ayughsi Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.
None for the applicant/intervener.

Reply to the application under order I rule 10 CPC filed.
Arguments on maintainability of the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC heard. Even if it is presumed that all the averments made in the application is correct, then also in this proceeding between the appellant and the MCD the applicant has no right to participate and he cannot become a party as there is a clear-cut judgment of Delhi High Court in case ***Hardayal Singh Mehta Vs MCD, AIR 1990 Delhi 170*** in which it is held that in the matter between the appellant and the MCD, no third person can join and become a party to such proceedings and in such proceedings the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC is not maintainable. Any dispute between the applicant and the appellant has to be dealt with and to be decided by the Civil Court separately. Accordingly, application moved by applicant under order 1 Rule 10 CPC is hereby dismissed. However, the applicant is permitted to file the documents, if any and to orally argue the matter at the final arguments stage.

...contd.2

: 2 :

Put up for arguments on **11.05.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.11.2025

A.No. 799/24, 802/24, 805/24, 806/24 & 807/24

21.11.2025

Present : Ms. Purna Chaturvedi, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent.

These are applications for early hearing filed by the respondent in pursuance to the directions of the Hon'ble High Court given vide order dated 07.11.2025. Copy of the order of the Hon'ble High Court dated 07.11.2025 is placed on record.

The Hon'ble High Court has passed orders that this court shall decide these appeals on its own merits in accordance with law.

In facts, put up for arguments on the date already fixed i.e. **01.12.2025**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.11.2025

A.No. 893/24 & 894/24

21.11.2025

Present : None for the appellant.
 Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD. Copy placed on record.
None has appeared for the appellant despite repeated
calls since morning.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Put up for arguments on **29.04.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.11.2025

A.No. 112/25

21.11.2025

Present : Sh. Jaisal John, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent through
VC.

Arguments heard on the application seeking condonation
of delay in filing the appeal.

The speaking order dated 09.01.2025 was posted
through speed post on 04.02.2025 and was received by
the appellant on the same day i.e. 04.02.205 and the
appeal was filed on 25.02.2025 with a delay of 15 days.

With an endeavour to decide the case on merits, the
delay in filing the appeal is condoned. The application
stands disposed of.

Put up for arguments on **08.05.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.11.2025

A.No. 116/25

21.11.2025

Present : Sh. Hitesh Kumar , Ld Proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Avishek Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel is un-available today due to ill-health.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for purpose fixed on **06.05.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.11.2025

A.No. 282/25

21.11.2025

Present : Sh. Yogesh Pandey, counsel for the appellant through VC.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Ankit Gupta, Id. counsel for the applicant/intervener through VC.

An application under Order I rule 10 CPC filed by the intervener. Hard copy for the appellant placed on record. Let the same be collected and reply, if any, be filed on or before the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on the application on **04.05.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the demolition order dated 16.04.2025 However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.11.2025

A.No. 411/25

21.11.2025

Present : Sh. Sanjeev Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant though VC.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

The appellant has not filed any documents to show that the entire property from ground to third floor is old and occupied. The house-tax and the electricity bill do not reflect the extent of construction.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to file the additional documents to show the extent of construction existing prior to 01.06.2014.

Put up for further arguments on **11.03.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.11.2025

A.No. 448/25

21.11.2025

Present : None for the appellant.
 Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent through
 VC.
 Sh. Mukesh Gaur, AE(B) in person.

Status report is filed on behalf the MCD. copy placed on
record.

None has appeared for the appellant despite repeated
calls since morning.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Put up for arguments on **08.05.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.11.2025

A.No. 655/25

21.11.2025

Present : Sh. Mustafa Ali, Id. proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Sanjay Sethi, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An application under Order VI rule 17 CPC filed on behalf of the appellant. Copy supplied.

Reply, if any, to this application be filed with advance copy to the other side.

Put up for reply and arguments on this application on **12.05.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.11.2025

A.No. 76/21

21.11.2025

Present : None for the appellant.
 Sh. Ranjit Pandey, Ld counsel for the respondent joined
 through VC.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal
is allowed.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with
copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record
room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.11.2025