

A.No. 800/25 & 801/25

27.11.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Deepak Grover, Ld. counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **10.02.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the demolition order dated 01.10.2025 in appeal no. 800/25. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.11.2025

A.No. 223/23
Shiv Mohan vs. MCD

27.11.2025

Present : Ms. Beenu Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

File is taken up today on an application of early hearing and seeking withdrawal of the appeal, filed on behalf of the appellant.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that she has instructions from the appellant to withdraw the aforesaid appeal and she may be permitted to withdraw the aforesaid appeal.

Statement of Id. counsel for the appellant recorded separately to this effect.

In view of the statement made by the Id. counsel for the appellant, the aforesaid appeal stands disposed off as withdrawn.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.11.2025

A.No. 425/24

27.11.2025

Present :

Sh. Hari Shankar and Sh. Kuldeep , Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Shubham Sharma, Ld. Proxy counsel for the respondent.

Sh. Ashok Kumar Rohtagi, Ld. counsel for the respondents no. 8 to 11

MOU has been executed between the parties. MOU is taken on record as Ex. A-1.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that he has instructions from the appellant to withdraw the aforesaid appeal in view of the aforesaid MOU and he may be permitted to withdraw the aforesaid appeal. Ld. counsel for the respondents no. 8 to 11 also submits that he has no objection, if the appeal is withdrawn by the appellant.

Statement of Id. counsel for the appellant as well as Id. counsel for the respondents no. 8 to 11 has been recorded separately to this effect.

In view of the statement made by the Id. counsel for the appellant, the aforesaid appeal stands disposed off as withdrawn.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.11.2025

A.No. 394/25

27.11.2025

Present : Sh. Vipin Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant along with
appellant.
None for the respondent/MCD.

Appellant has already applied for regularization of the
construction, which is still pending. Let the status report
of the regularization application be filed by the MCD.

At request, put up for arguments on **16.04.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.11.2025

A.No. 421/25

27.11.2025

Present : Sh. Rajender Gulati, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.

File is taken up today on an application u/s 151 CPC seeking status quo in view of the vacation notice dated 06.11.2025 served upon the appellant.

Matter is already listed for 15.01.2026.

Let the status quo be maintained till next date of hearing.

Put up on the date already fixed for the purpose fixed.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.11.2025

A.No. 466/25

27.11.2025

Present : Sh. Yash Mittal and Sh. Raghav Garg, Ld. counsels for
the appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

Put up for further arguments on **04.05.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.11.2025

A.No. 489/25

27.11.2025

Present : Sh. Pooran Chand, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.

File is taken up today on an application of early hearing and modification of the order dated 28.07.2025 granting status quo, filed on behalf of the appellant.

The appellant has prayed that she may be permitted to remove the shuttering material as the same is on rent and further be permitted to connect the Sewer line of the bathroom.

The appellant is at liberty to remove the shuttering material after taking into consideration the safety of the building and to connect the sewer line of the bath room after taking permission as per rules.

The status quo order is modified only to this extent.

The interim order otherwise shall continue till next date of hearing.

The application stand disposed of.

Be put up on the date fixed for the purpose fixed.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.11.2025

A.No. 589/15

27.11.2025

Present : Sh. Zakir Hussain, Ld counsel for the appellant along with
appellant.
Ms. Praveen Sharma, Ld. counsel for the respondent/
MCD.
Sh. Divij Soni, Ld counsel for the respondent/DDA
through VC.

Arguments heard at length.

Put up for orders on **19.12.2025**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.11.2025

A.No. 322/17 & 858/17

27.11.2025

Present : Sh. Naveen and Sh. Madhav, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. A.L. Agnihotri, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 858/17.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel is busy before the Hon'ble High Court.

Record shows that appellant is seeking adjournment in this case since 25.01.2024. There is no ground to give adjournment for the reason that the counsel is busy in Hon'ble High Court. It is already 2.35 pm and matter was passed over on the first call on the request of the appellant.

In the interest of justice, subject to cost of Rs. 5,000/- each in both the appeals, the adjournment is allowed.

Put up for arguments on **25.02.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.11.2025

A.No. 461/17 & 500/17

27.11.2025

Present : Ms. Priya Jha and Sh. Navtesh Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. R.K. Jain, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. H.R. Aggarwal, Ld. counsel for the respondent.
Ms. Meenakshi Kalra and Pawas Kalra, Ld. counsel for the applicant/intervener.

Fresh Vakalatnama filed on behalf of the appellant. Same is taken on record.

Adjournment sought on behalf of the counsel for the appellant on the ground that she has been engaged today only.

Though, it was last and final opportunity, but only because the fresh vakalatnama filed on behalf of the appellant today, another last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to argue the matter on the next date of hearing. It is made clear that no further opportunity shall be granted.

Put up for arguments on **09.02.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.11.2025

A.No. 476/17 & 510/17

27.11.2025

Present : Ms. Meenakshi Kalra and Pawas Kalra, Ld. counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 476/17.
Sh. R.K. Jain, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. H.R. Aggarwal, Id. counsel for the respondent in other appeal.

Arguments heard at length.

Put up for orders on **22.12.2025**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.11.2025

A.No. 780/17

27.11.2025

Present : Sh. G.R. Verma, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Ms. Praveen Sharma, Ld. Proxy counsel for the respondent.

Written arguments filed by the appellant. Copy supplied.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the respondent as main counsel is not available today due to some personal difficulty.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the respondent to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **11.02.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.11.2025

A.No. 576/18

27.11.2025

Present : Sh. S.S. Gautam, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. R.K. Kashyap, Ld counsel for the respondent through VC.
Reply to the application seeking condonation of delay filed. Copy supplied.
The demolition order dated 27.03.2015 is under challenge in this appeal filed on 10.08.2018. There is a delay of around 3 years 5 months.
An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel is not available today being busy in some other court.
In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.
Put up for arguments on the application seeking condonation of delay on **02.02.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.11.2025

A.No. 930/18 & 30/19

27.11.2025

Present : Sh. Rajesh Raina, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Dharmendra, intervener in person.

Arguments heard on the application seeking condonation of delay in filing the appeal in appeal no. 930/18.

The demolition order dated 25.05.2018 is under challenge in this appeal filed on 21.12.2018 with a delay of around 7 months. The appellant claims that he got the copy of this order only through RTI on 18.12.2018. The official file shows that the same was served by way of pasting on 28.05.2018.

Ld. counsel for the appellant states that there are no photographs of the alleged pasting and therefore, this pasting cannot be considered as proper service. In-facts, the delay is condoned subject to cost of Rs. 3,000/- to be deposited with Registry.

In appeal no. 30/19, the appellant has challenged show cause notice dated 12.11.2018. The show cause notice is not appealable. Ld. counsel seeks some time to amend the appeal to challenge the sealing order passed in pursuance to this show cause notice.

...contd.2

: 2 :

At request, put up for arguments on both the appeals on
10.03.2026.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.11.2025

A.No. 178/19 & 179/19

27.11.2025

Present : Sh. Tushar Quatra, Ld counsel for Ms. Poonam Kapur,
one of the L.R. the appellant.
Sh. Gurtinder Singh, Ld. counsel for the Ms. Veena,
Babita and Ms. Shama Sudan, other L.Rs of the
appellant.
None for the respondent/MCD.

Amended memo of parties filed. Same is not in
accordance with the last order and is returned with
directions to file proper amended memo of parties.

None is present for the MCD despite calls.

Ld. counsel for the appellant is also not prepared with
final arguments and seeks some time.

Infacts, put up for arguments on the appeals on
23.03.2026.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.11.2025

A.No. 363/23 & 45/24

27.11.2025

Present : Sh. Yashpal Bharti, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Ms. Praveen Sharma, Id. Proxy counsel for Sh. V.K.
Aggarwal, Ld. counsel for the respondent.

The aforesaid appeals are against the vacation notice dated 25.05.2023, which is not appealable before this Tribunal. The appeals are dismissed with liberty to challenge the demolition/ sealing order as per law.

Appeals stand disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.11.2025

A.No. 442/13

27.11.2025

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi , Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Arguments heard at length.

Put up for order on **23.12.2025**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.11.2025

A.No. 840/23

27.11.2025

Present : Appellant in person.
Sh. Sanjeet Kumar, proxy counsel for Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, Ld. counsel for respondent.

Counsel for the appellant is held up before some other court.

Let the appellant apply with respondent for desealing of the property and the respondent shall consider the same as per the office notings produced by the counsel for the respondent.

Put up for further arguments on **08.04.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.11.2025

A.No. 38/24, 67/24, 68/24, 69/24, 118/25 & 119/25

27.11.2025

Present : Sh. R.K. Ruhil, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

At request, put up for further arguments on **27.04.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.11.2025

A.No. 171/24

27.11.2025

Present : Sh. Mihir Garg , Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Vaishali Gupts, Ld. proxy counsel for the respondent
through VC.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as
main counsel has gone to attend marriage in his relation.
Ld. counsel for the respondent is also stated to be not
available today.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted
to the parties to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **11.05.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.11.2025

A.No. 181/24 & 255/25

27.11.2025

Present : Sh. Shubham Srivastava, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.
Sh. Sagar Kumar , Ld counsel for the respondent through VC in appeal no. 255/25.
Ms. Praveen Sharma, Ld. Proxy counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 181/24.

Status report is filed by the MCD in appeal no. 255/25, copy supplied. Copy placed on record.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the respondent in appeal no. 181/24 as main counsel is un-available today due to demise of some relative.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the respondent to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **02.03.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.11.2025

A.No. 209/24

27.11.2025

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Sanjeet Kumar, proxy counsel for Sh. Prithish Sabharwal, Ld. counsel for respondent.
Intervener in person.

Part arguments heard on the application under Order I rule 10 CPC.

The applicant is directed to file the sale deeds of the property owned by him in the property no. 11/8, West Patel Nagar, Delhi which are not only essential for disposal of this appeal, but also for disposal of this application. If the appellant choose not to file his sale deeds, same may result in dismissal of this application as the applicant has stated that this direction was given earlier as well and he has no intention to file the copies of his sale deeds.

Put up for further arguments on this application under Order I rule 10 CPC on **12.02.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.11.2025

A.No. 429/24

27.11.2025

Present : Ms. Jyoti Das, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant through VC.
Ms. Praveen Sharma, Ld. Proxy counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel has gone to attend marriage of his relative. In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter. At request, put up for arguments on **14.05.2026**.
Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.11.2025

A.No. 852/24

27.11.2025

Present : Sh. Vinod Pant, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. Abhinav Jain, Ld. Proxy counsel for the respondent.
Intervener in person.

Part arguments heard.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the respondent as main counsel is un-available today due to ill-health.

Intervener also requests for adjournment as his counsel is not available today.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the respondent/intervener to address the arguments in the matter.

At request, put up for further arguments on the application under Order I rule 10 CPC as well as appeal on **30.04.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.11.2025

A.No. 887/24

27.11.2025

Present : Appellant, who himself is an advocate, in person.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An application under Order VII rule 14 CPC filed by the appellant to place on record the additional documents filed on 10.06.2025.

Without prejudice to the rights of the respondent, the documents are taken on record. The application stands disposed of.

Put up for arguments on appeal on **06.05.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.11.2025

A.No. 15/25

27.11.2025

Present : Ms. Honey Uppal, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.

Ms. Praveen Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Arguments on the application seeking condonation of delay in filing the appeal heard.

Though, the delay is inordinate, but for the reason that the property is lying sealed and the appellant will not gain anything by delaying the matter, the delay is condoned with an endeavour to decide the case on merit, subject to cost of Rs. 10,000/- to be deposited with the Registry.

Application stands disposed of.

Put up for arguments on the appeal on **04.05.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.11.2025

A.No. 64/25, 65/25, 66/25 & 67/25

27.11.2025

Present : Sh. Avadh Bihari Kaushal, Ld. counsel for the appellant through VC.

Sh. Rishabh Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Nandan Goyal, Ld counsel for the respondent/MCD along with Sh. Ghanshyam Meena, AE(B).

Part arguments heard.

Documents, if any, be filed by the respondent with proper list of documents.

Put up for further arguments on **20.05.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.11.2025

A.No. 144/25 & 296/25

27.11.2025

Present : Sh. S.P. Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Ld. proxy counsel for the respondent.

Status report as per last order not yet filed by the MCD.
Let the same be filed on or before the next date of hearing.

At request, put up for arguments on **08.05.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the demolition order dated 18.01.2024 as well as sealing order dated 11.02.2025 However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.11.2025

A.No. 205/25 & 206/25

27.11.2025

Present : Ms. Parul Agarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Avishek Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent along
with Sh. Bhupender Kumar, AE(B).

Part arguments heard.

Put up for further arguments on **06.04.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.11.2025

A.No. 324/25

27.11.2025

Present : Sh. Abhishek Kataria and Sh. Shabbir Haider, Ld counsel
for the appellant.

Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld. counsel for
the respondent.

Objection to the status report was filed on the last date of
hearing. Copy supplied today.

Part arguments heard.

Put up for further arguments on **15.05.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken
against the property of appellant in pursuance of the
demolition order dated 23.04.2025. However, it is made
clear that no encroachment on the public land is
protected.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.11.2025

A.No. 450/25

27.11.2025

Present : Ms. Parul Verma, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.
Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld. counsel for
the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the
official record submitted on the last date of hearing.

At request, put up for arguments on **24.04.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.11.2025

A.No. 548/25

27.11.2025

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld. counsel for
the respondent along with Sh. Manoj Kumar, AE(B).
Sh. Mayank Bansal, Ld. counsel for the intervener.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Record already submitted.

An application under Order I rule 10 CPC filed on behalf
of the intervener Ms. Tanya Rai. Copy supplied.

Put up for reply and arguments on this application as well
as appeal on **19.05.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.11.2025

A.No. 556/25

27.11.2025

Present : Sh. Dallip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld. counsel for
the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Put up for arguments on appeal on **19.05.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.11.2025

A.No. 697/16 & 698/16

27.11.2025

Present : Sh. G.R. Verma, Sh. H.K. Sharma and Sh. Deepak Grover, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Ms. Praveen Sharma, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the aforesaid appeals are dismissed.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.11.2025