A.No. 810/25

03.12.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present:

Ms. Bhanu Priya, Ld. counsel for the appellants.

Sh. Anil Kumar Bhargav, Ld. counsel for the proposed

intervener through VC.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **21.04.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the demolition order dated 28.10.2024. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected.

A.No. 811/25

03.12.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present: Ms. Parul Agarwal, Ld. counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **14.05.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the ground floor of the property of appellant in pursuance of the demolition order dated 10.07.2025. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected.

A.No. 814/25

03.12.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present: Sh. Shashank Sharma, Ld. counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **12.05.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the demolition order dated 20.11.2025. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected.

A.No. 298/21

03.12.2025

Present: Sh. Sanjay Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant through

VC.

File is taken up today on an application of early hearing

filed on behalf of the appellant.

The next date of hearing in the matter is 19.12.2025. The

property is lying sealed since 2021. In view of the heavy

pendency, no early hearing is possible. The application is

dismissed.

Put up on the date fixed i.e. 19.12.2025 for the purpose

fixed.

(AMIT KUMAR)

Addl. District & Sessions Judge

P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD

03.12.2025

A.No. 275/22

03.12.2025

Present: Sh. C.M. Grover, Ld counsel for the appellant.

File is taken up today on an application of the appellant seeking stay of the demolition order on the ground that the appellant has preferred regularization application on 26.11.2025. This appeal is pending since May-2022 and the appellant did not bother since last three and half years to file application for regularization or press the interim stay application.

The impugned demolition order cannot be stayed only because the regularization application is pending as the interim application is to be decided on its own merits.

The application is dismissed.

Put up on the date fixed i.e. **20.02.2026** for the purpose fixed.

A.No. 257/24

03.12.2025

Present: Sh. C.M. Grover, Ld counsel for the appellant.

File is taken up today on an application of the appellant seeking stay of the demolition order on the ground that the appellant has preferred regularization application on 26.11.2025. This appeal is pending since April-2024 and the appellant did not bother since last one and half year to file application for regularization or press the interim stay application.

The impugned demolition order cannot be stayed only because the regularization application is pending as the interim application is to be decided on its own merits.

The application is dismissed.

Put up on the date fixed i.e. **20.02.2026** for the purpose fixed.

A.No. 306/24 & 307/24

03.12.2025

Present: Sh. Varun Nischal and Sh. Arif Ahmed Khan, Ld counsels

for the appellants.

Sh. Prabhakar Mani Tripathi, Id. counsel for the

respondent in appeal no. 306/24.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent in

appeal no. 307/24.

Arguments heard at length.

Put up for orders on **05.12.2025.**

(AMIT KUMAR) Addl. District & Sessions Judge

P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD 03.12.2025

A.No. 989/24

03.12.2025

Present: Sh. Navdeep Sharma along with Sh. Bunty Sharma, Ld

counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Ajay Gaur, Ld counsel for the respondent.

It is stated today that not the appellant, but her husband

has passed away and it was wrongly mentioned on the

last date that the appellant has passed away.

In facts, put up for arguments on appeal on **05.05.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of

hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge

P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD 03.12.2025

A.No. 496/25

03.12.2025

Present: Mohd. Idrish, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.

Mohd. Zaki, Son of the appellant in person.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied to son of the appellant.

Record also filed on behalf of the MCD. Same be submitted before the Registry.

The aforesaid appeal is against the vacation notice, which is not appealable before this Tribunal.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record.

At request, put up for arguments on 20.04.2026.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

A.No. 572/25 & 573/25

03.12.2025

Present: Ms. Parul Agarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Ms. Priyanka Marwah, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 572/25 along with Sh. Rakesh Kumar, AE(B). Sh. Umang Mittal, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 573/25.

Record also produced. Same be filed before the Registry. Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record.

At request, put up for arguments on 17.04.2026.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

A.No. 682/25

03.12.2025

Present: Sh. Askshay Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The aforesaid appeal is against the vacation notice dated 16.07.2025, which is not appealable before this Tribunal. The appeal is dismissed with liberty to challenge the demolition/ sealing order as per law.

Appeal stands disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

A.No. 375/11, 374/11 & 394/13

03.12.2025

Present: Sh. Ashish Kumar Bhagat, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. A.L. Agnihotri, Ld counsel for the respondent along

with Sh. Manish Rajpal, Asstt. Town Planner, MCD.

Fresh status report filed by the respondent.

Copy supplied.

As per this status report, the appellant has not completed the deficiencies communicated vide letter dated 03.09.2025. On 31.10.2025, a status report was filed by the Town Planning Department stating that in response to the communication dated 03.09.2025, a reply has been received from the appellant on 23.10.2025 which is under technical scrutiny. The status report filed today is contrary to the status report filed on 31.10.2025.

Let the Chief Town Planner, who filed the status report on 31.10.2025 under his signatures be present in the court to explain, on **09.12.2025**.

Order be given dasti to Sh. Manish Rajpal, Asstt. Town Planner, MCD, for information and compliance.

A.No. 488/15 : Rajeev Chaurasia Vs. MCD

A. No. 809/15: M/s Auto Kashyap India (P) Ltd. Vs. MCD

03.12.2025

Present:

Sh. Ramesh Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant in appal on 488/15 & 809/15.

Sh. Jatin Aggarwal, Ld. counsel for the respondent/DDA in appeal no. 488/2015.

Sh. Dinesh Malik, Ld. counsel for the DSIIDC along with Sh. Chander Shekhar, DEG-Ashutosh Gupta-I in appeal no. 488/2015.

Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld. counsel for the respondent/MCD in appeal no. 488/15 and 809/15.

Ld. counsel for the appellants submits that he has instructions from the appellants to withdraw the aforesaid appeals in view of the order passed by the Hon'ble High Court in W.P.(C) no. 5340/2016 and he may be permitted to withdraw the aforesaid appeals.

Statement of Id. counsel for the appellant recorded separately to this effect.

In view of the statement made by the ld. counsel for the appellants, the aforesaid appeals are disposed off as withdrawn.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

A.No. 816/15

M/s Incubis Consultants India (P) Ltd. Vs.MCD

03.12.2025

Present: None for the appellant.

Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Despite various calls none is appearing on behalf of the

appellant in the Tribunal or through VC.

Put up at 2.00 pm.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
03.12.2025

At 2.45 pm

Present: None for the appellant.

Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

None has appeared on behalf of appellant since morning in the Tribunal or through VC despite various calls.

None has appeared in this case for the appellant since 14.01.2025 as well. It is already 2.45 PM. It appears that the appellant is not interested in pursuing this appeal. The present appeal is dismissed in default.

Record of the respondent if any be returned alongwith copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

A.No. 903/15, 904/15 & 580/15

03.12.2025

Present:

Sh. Sanjeev Ralli, Sr.Adv. along with Sh. Ramnish Khanna, Ld counsel for the appellant in appeals 903/15 & 904/15.

Sh. Ajay and Sh. Harsh Sharma, Ld. counsel for the appellant in appeal no. 580/15.

None for the respondent.

Further arguments heard.

Vide separate judgment of even date, aforesaid appeals stand disposed with and the matters are remanded back to the Quasi Judicial Authority for deciding the same afresh.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

A.No. 47/18

03.12.2025

Present:

Sh. Diwanshu Sehgal, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.

Ms. Ritu, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant

Ms. Simran Auluck, Ld counsel for the respondent

through VC.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main arguing counsel is un-available today due to bad health.

In the interest of justice one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on 13.02.2026.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

A.No. 200/18 & 226/18

03.12.2025

Present: Sh. Amit Sethi and Sh. B. Anand, Ld counsel for the

appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

At the request of the counsel for the appellant, who has to rush to Karkardooma Court in a very old matter, put up for arguments on **17.02.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

A.No. 115/21

03.12.2025

Present:

Sh. Ratneshwar Das, Ms. Barnali Deka Das and Sh.

Arpan Rathore, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Saurav Bashin, Ld counsel for the respondent along

with Dr. Yogesh Tyagi, Director Building DDA and Sh.

Byas Nishad, JE(B).

Sh. Manish Yadav, Nodal Officer for the DDA.

Part arguments heard.

Respondent is directed to file the sanctioned plan of

particular flat specifically flats existing on seventh floor of

B-block, Vasundhara Apartments, Delhi to ascertain the

exact location of the doors of the flats.

At request, put up for further arguments on 27.02.2026.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of

hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)

Addl. District & Sessions Judge P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD

03.12.2025

A.No. 145/21, 146/21 & 147/21

03.12.2025

Present: Sh. Kunal Khanna, Ld counsel for the appellants.

Ms. Manjusha Jha, Ld counsel for the respondent along

with Sh. Ashish, AE(B), Shahdara Zone.

Application(s) of the appellant under Section 151 CPC seeking permission to remand back the aforesaid appeals

are/is pending. Reply filed to this application.

Arguments heard.

There is no provision to remand the matter back on an application under Section 151 CPC. The application(s) is/are dismissed. However, the documents filed with the application are taken on record without prejudice to the

rights of the respondent.

At request, put up for arguments on appeal on

16.04.2026.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of

hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge

P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD

03.12.2025

A.No. 215/22 & 216/22

03.12.2025

Present: Sh. Mridul Singh Vats and Ms. Sunanda Jain, Ld

counsels for the appellant along with appellant.

Ms. Beena Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Final arguments heard partly.

At request, put up for further arguments on **06.01.2026**. Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

A.No. 315/22 : Kamal Gandhi Vs. MCD A.No. 316/22 : Kamal Gandhi Vs. MCD

03.12.2025

Present:

Sh. Sanam Malhotra, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.

Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, Ld counsel for the respondent through VC.

Submissions heard. Files perused.

It is submitted by the ld. counsel for the respondent that since there is no proof available of the service of the show cause notice and the sealing & demolition order on the appellant, the matter may be remanded back to the respondent with directions to pass a speaking order after giving opportunity of being heard to the appellant.

In these facts, the matters are remanded back and the impugned sealing order dated 10.02.2020 and demolition order dated 30.09.2015 are set aside.

Let the property be de-sealed. The appellant shall appear before the ld. Quasi Judicial Authority and file his reply and documents, if any, on 15.12.2025 at 2.00 pm and the speaking order be passed within 6 weeks of conclusion of the hearing.

Both the appeals stand disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

A.No. 538/22 & 540/22

03.12.2025

Present: Sh. Sanam Malhotra, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld. counsel for the respondent.

Arguments heard at length.

Put up for order on **05.01.2026**.

A.No. 564/22

03.12.2025

Present: Ms. Aditi Agarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. Proxy counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

At request, put up for further arguments on **22.04.2026**. Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

A.No. 580/22

03.12.2025

Present:

Sh. Devraj, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Amit Sehrawat, Adv. for the appellant.

Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel is not available today due to death of some relative.

In the interest of justice one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on 29.04.2026.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

A.No. 113/23

03.12.2025

Present:

Sh. Vishnu Kant Pandey, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.

Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Appellant did not apply for regularization after the earlier application was rejected on 21.06.2024.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that the appellant has not contacted him in this regard.

At request, one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to argue the matter on the next date of hearing. Put up for arguments on **30.04.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

A.No. 514/23

03.12.2025

Present: Sh. Mohit Jangra, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Ranjeet Pandey, Ld counsel for the respondent

through VC.

Regularization application of the appellant is stated to be

still pending.

Let the status report of the said application be filed on or

before the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **07.05.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of

hearing.

A.No. 694/23

03.12.2025

Present:

Ms. Manya Kaushik, Ld counsel for the appellant through

VC.

Sh. H.R. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Sh. Deepak Dahiya, Ld. counsel for the respondents no.

2 to 5 through VC.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel is held up before the Hon'ble High Court.

In the interest of justice one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on 15.05.2026.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

A.No. 817/23 & 329/24

03.12.2025

Present: Appellant in person.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Id. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 817/23.

Ms. Bhavya Chauhan, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 329/24 through VC.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel has gone to Chandigarh High Court and is not available today.

In the interest of justice one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on 12.05.2026.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

A.No. 818/23 M/s Prasad Buildcon Pvt. Ltd. Vs. MCD & Anr.

03.12.2025

Present: Sh. Anil Sehrawat, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Avishek Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Arguments heards. File perused.

- 1. The appellant has challenged the sealing action at his property bearing plot No.4, Pocket-4, Sector 23-B, Dwarka, New Delhi on the ground that the owner of plot No.5 had put his stone in the plot of the appellant for which police complaint was also lodged and the appellant never used his plot for commercial purposes yet the respondent sealed the property of the appellant and the same should be removed.
- Ld. counsel for respondent on the other hand argued that there are photographs to show that the property of the appellant was used for commercial purposes for storing stones and therefore it was rightly sealed and the appeal is liable to be dismissed.
- 3. I have perused the record. The show cause notice dated 23.11.2023 was sent to the appellant through speed pot on 24.11.2023 and was duly received by him on 30.11.2023 at 1.02 p.m. The appellant made a PCR call on the same day at 1.21 p.m. It was stated

....contd.2

in that call that someone has unloaded stones at the plot of the caller. This clearly shows that the PCR call was made after receiving the show cause notice. The appellant before receiving this show cause notice never made any compliant with any authority regarding storing or unloading stones at his property. Marble stones were found at the plot of the appellant as visible from the photographs taken by the respondent. These photographs have not been disputed by the appellant. In these facts I do not find any merits in the submissions of the appellant that the property was not misused by him or that his neighbor unloaded stones at his plot without his knowledge. There are no merits in appeal, the same is dismissed. The sealing action is upheld.

 Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

A.No. 19/24

03.12.2025

Present:

Sh. Arun Kumar, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant through VC.

None for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel is out of station.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on 22.05.2026.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

A.No. 87/24

03.12.2025

Present: Ms. Savita Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld. counsel for the respondent.

Sh. Madhusudan Bhayana, Ld. counsel for the

respondent, 3, 7 and 10 through VC.

Arguments heard on the application seeking condonation

of delay.

The Hon'ble High Court vide order dated 30.01.2024

passed in W.P.(C) no. 1294/24 permitted the appellant to

file this appeal within 2 weeks from that order. The

appeal was filed on 11.02.2024. In-facts, the delay, if any,

is condoned.

The application stand disposed of.

Put up for arguments on appeal on 14.05.2026.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of

hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)

Addl. District & Sessions Judge P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD

03.12.2025

A.No. 364/24

03.12.2025

Present:

Ms. Meghul Dixit, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.

Sh. Avishek Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent through VC.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant on the ground that one writ petition filed on behalf of the appellant is pending before the Hon'ble High Court.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **08.05.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

A.No. 775/24, 776/24 & 777/24

03.12.2025

Present: Sh. Shivam Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Aman Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Arguments heard on the applications seeking condonation of delay of about 80 days in filing the aforesaid appeals. Though, no documents have been filed to support the plea that the appellant is suffering with ailments, yet with an endeavour to decide the appeals on merits, the delay is condoned subject to deposit a cost of Rs. 3,000/- in each appeal with the Registry.

The applications stand disposed of.

At request, put up for arguments on appeal on **21.05.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

A.No. 860/24

03.12.2025

Present: Sh. Anil Dwivedi, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Madan Sagar , Ld counsel for the respondent along

with Sh. Harjeet Singh, AE(B).

Sh. Anup Mehrotra, Intervener in person.

Reply to the application under Order I rule 10 CPC filed. Copy supplied.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

An application u/s 379 BNSS filed by the appellant against the intervener filed. Copy supplied.

Ld. counsel for the intervener is not available today.

At request, put up for arguments on the pending applications and appeal on **22.05.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

A.No. 1046/24

03.12.2025

Present:

Sh. Jitender Kumar Dhingra and Sh. Tushar Grover, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant no. 2 and husband of the appellant no. 3.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

On request of the ld. counsel for the appellant, put up for arguments on **15.05.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

A.No. 122/25

03.12.2025

Present: Sh. T.R. Sandhu, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Some more time sought by the MCD to file the status report and record as the file is not traceable as the concerned JE is passed away, in whose name the record is still standing.

One last opportunity is given to the respondent/MCD to file the same on or before the date fixed.

Put up for arguments on the appeal on **05.05.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

A.No. 286/25

03.12.2025

Present: Appellant in person.

Sh. Avishek Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent through VC.

Sh. Anup Mehrotra, Intervener in person.

Ld. counsel for the intervener is not available today.

At request, put up for arguments on the pending application under Order I rule 10 CPC and appeal on **22.05.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

A.No. 295/25

03.12.2025

Present:

Sh. M. Ahmad, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.

Sh. Kamal Kishore Okharwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Sh. Anup Mehrotra, Intervener in person.

An application to place on record additional documents filed on behalf of the appellant. Copy supplied.

Without prejudice to the right of the respondent, the documents are taken on record. Application stands disposed of.

Ld. counsel for the intervener is not available today.

At request, put up for arguments on the pending applications, if any, and appeal on **22.05.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

A.No. 312/25

03.12.2025

Present: Sh. Ajay Sachdeva, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent/MCD.

Sh. Nipun Dixit, Ld. proxy counsel for the respondents no.

2 and 4.

None for the respondent no. 3.

Ld. counsel for the respondents no. 2 and 4 requests for copy of the appeal. Let the soft copy be supplied.

It has been informed that the lift has already been installed and functional.

At request, put up for arguments on **24.04.2026**.

A.No. 315/25

03.12.2025

Present: Sh. Bhavishya, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Sh. Mahender Kumar Ld. Proxy counsel for intervener.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy placed on record.

Let the same be collected by the appellant.

Adjournment sought on behalf of the counsel for the appellant on the ground that he is held up before the

Hon'ble High Court.

At request, put up for arguments on **22.05.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of

hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge

P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD 03.12.2025

A.No. 352/25

03.12.2025

Present: Sh. Theerthe Gowda, Sh. Anish N.T and Sh. Pyosh

Battacharya, Ld counsels for the appellant.

Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

At request, put up for further arguments on **18.05.2026.**Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

A.No. 440/25 : Dhiraj Gupta vs. MCD A.No. 442/25 : Dhiraj Gupta Vs. MCD

03.12.2025

Present: Appellant in person.

Sh. Amit Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that appellant, who is present in the court, wants to withdraw the aforesaid appeals and he may be permitted to withdraw the aforesaid appeals. Appellant also gives consent for withdrawal of the aforesaid appeals.

Statement of appellant recorded separately to this effect. In view of the statement made by the appellant himself, the aforesaid appeals are disposed off as withdrawn.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

A.No. 539/25 & 540/25

03.12.2025

Present: Sh. Praveen Suri and Ms. Harshita Maheswari, Ld

counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent along

with Sh. Ravi Kumar, AE(B).

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry.

Ld. counsel seeks time to inspect the status report as well as record.

Put up for arguments on 12.12.2025.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

A.No. 31/19

03.12.2025

Present: Sh. Man Mohan Goel and Sh. Archit Mittal, Ld counsels

for the appellant.

Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal

is allowed.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with

copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record

room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge

P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD 03.12.2025