

A.No. 861/25

19.12.2025

Fresh appeal filed. Be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Manoj Sharma, Ms. Rakhi and Sh. Kapil Kaushik , Ld. counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **18.05.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the demolition order dated 21.07.2025. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
19.12.2025

A.No. 863/25  
Tushar Mehta vs. MCD

19.12.2025

Present : Sh. Vinay Jaidka and Ms. Akriti Maheshwari, Ld. counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

The aforesaid appeal is against the show cause notice dated 22.08.2025, which is not appealable before this Tribunal.

It is stated by Ld. counsel for the appellant that under Section 347-B of DMC Act even the notices are appealable. The said section provide the particulars of the notices which are appealable under sub clause (c) and (d) i.e. notice under sub section 1 of section 315 as well as section 317 of DMC Act. The impugned show cause notice is under section 344 read with Section 343 of the DMC Act and is not appealable as argued for the appellant.

The appeal is dismissed with liberty to challenge the demolition/sealing order as per law.

Appeal stands disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
19.12.2025

A.No. 864/25

19.12.2025

Present : Sh. Diwanshu and Sh. Abhay Sharma, Ld. counsels for the appellant.  
Sh. Manish Yadav, Nodal Officer for the DDA.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Legal Advisor, DDA.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **13.03.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the demolition order dated **12.12.2025**. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
19.12.2025

A.No. 327/25

19.12.2025

Present : Sh. Sachit Sharma and Sh. Kashish Bhardwaj, Ld  
counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Ankur Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent  
through VC.

File is taken up today on an application of early hearing  
filed on behalf of the appellant.

The next date of hearing in the matter is 23.03.2026. The  
appeal is of the year 2025. However, in view of the  
reason given in the application, same is allowed and the  
date is preponed to 13.02.2026 from 23.03.2026.

Be put up for arguments on **13.02.2026**.

Date of 23.03.2026 stands canceled.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
19.12.2025

A.No. 334/25

19.12.2025

Present : Sh. Jitendra, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.  
None for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of counsel for the appellant on the ground that he is having chest pain and high fever.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **07.04.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
19.12.2025

A.No. 524/25

19.12.2025

Present : Sh. Rakesh Walia, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.

File is taken up today on an application of early hearing filed on behalf of the appellant.

The next date of hearing in the matter is 08.04.2026. The appeal is of the year 2025. In view of the heavy pendency, no early hearing is possible. The application is dismissed.

Put up on the date fixed i.e. **08.04.2026** for the purpose fixed.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
19.12.2025

A.No. 588/25

19.12.2025

Present : Sh. Parmod Kumar Sharma along with Sh. Prakhar Vashisit, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **23.03.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
19.12.2025

A.No. 410/15, 285/16, 1056/17, 1067/17, 1068/17, 13/18 & 14/18

19.12.2025

Present : Sh. Praveen Pahuja, Ld. counsel for the appellant along with appellant in person in appeal no. 410/15.  
Sh. Karandeep Singh, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Rishabh Jain, Ld. counsel for the appellant in appeal no. 285/16  
Sh. B.S. Tiwari, Ld counsel for the appellant in appeals no. 1056/17, 1067/17, 1068/17, 13/18 & 14/18.  
Sh. Dharamvir Gupta and Ms. Roshni Verma, Ld.counsel for the respondents in appeal no. 1056/17.  
Sh. K.K. Arora, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 14/18.  
Sh. R.K. Kashyap, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 13/18  
Sh. Rashmi Srivastava, Ld. counsel for DDA in appeal no. 410/15.

Arguments heard on the applications seeking condonation of delay in filing the aforesaid appeals. Though, there is no plausible ground to condone the delay, yet with an endeavour to decide the appeals on merits, the delay is condoned subject to deposit a cost of Rs. 5,000/- each appeal with the Registry.

The applications stand disposed of.

Put up for arguments on the appeal on 07.01.2026.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
19.12.2025

A.No. 39/16

19.12.2025

Present : Sh. B.K. Pandey, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.

L.R of the appellant in person.

Sh. Dharamvir Gupta and Ms. Roshni Verma, Ld. counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that he has already filed fresh regularization application on 20.08.2025 after rejection of earlier application and outcome of that regularization application shall have bearing on this appeal.

At request, put up for arguments on **20.02.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
19.12.2025

A.No. 748/17 & 768/17

19.12.2025

Present : Sh. C.P. Singh Sindhu , Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant no. 4.  
Sh. Dharamvir Gupta and Ms. Roshni Verma, Ld.counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as due to his old age, he is facing some difficulty in hearing. Matter pertains to year 2017. However, in the interest of justice, one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to advance arguments on the next date of hearing.

At request, put up for arguments on **24.03.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
19.12.2025

A.No. 102/18

19.12.2025

Present : Sh. Kunal Kalra, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. K.K. Arora, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the respondent as inadvertently, he has not brought the case file of this case today and has brought some wrong file.

Matter pertains to the year 2018. However, in the interest of justice one last and final opportunity is granted to the respondent to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **19.03.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
19.12.2025

A.No. 161/18

19.12.2025

Present : Sh. Chirag Jamwal, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Ranjeet Pandey, Ld counsel for the respondent through VC.  
Sh. Manish Choudhary and Ms. Kriti Agarwa, Ld.counsel for the respondent no. 2 to 5.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

MCD has not filed the sanctioned plan and regularized plan as directed vide order dated 03.01.2025.

An adjournment is sought by Id. counsel for the respondent on the ground of illness.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the respondent to address the arguments in the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **15.04.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
19.12.2025

A.No. 184/18, 185/18 & 186/18

19.12.2025

Present : Appellant in person in appeal bearing no. 185/18.  
Son of the appellant in person in appeal bearing no. 186/18.  
Ms. Priyanka Marwah, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 185/18  
Sh. Umang Mittal, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 184/18 & 186/18 through VC and Sh. Rhythm Sharma, counsel in person.

Written submissions filed by the appellant in appeal no. 184/18 and 185/18 along with certain documents. Documents are returned to the appellant as no document can be placed on record at this stage.

Copy supplied.

Arguments on behalf of the respondent heard and concluded.

Adjournment sought on behalf of the appellant in appeal no. 184/18 and 185/18 on the ground that main counsel Sh. Deepak Rikhari is stated to be admitted in hospital.

Adjournment also sought on behalf of the appeal in appeal no. 186/18 on the ground that the main counsel is busy before the Hon'ble High Court.

At request, put up for further arguments on behalf of appellant on **17.04.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
19.12.2025

A.No. 173/21

19.12.2025

Present : Sh. Nirmit Gaur, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Kanwar Kochhar, Ld counsel for the respondent through VC.  
Sh. Sachin Upadhyay, Ld. Proxy counsel for the respondent no. 2 Rajiv Sethi.

Arguments heard partly.

Put up for further arguments on **16.01.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
19.12.2025

A.No. 298/21

19.12.2025

Present : Sh. Sanjay Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.  
Ms. Sneha Shreya, Ld. Proxy counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the respondent as main counsel is held up before the Hon'ble High Court.

In the interest of justice one last and final opportunity is granted to the respondent to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **25.02.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
19.12.2025

A.No. 209/22 & 210/22

19.12.2025

Present : Sh. Rakesh Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.  
Appellant in person.  
Sh. Parmesh Bali and Sh. Gagan Garg, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the counsel for the appellant as today, there is *barsi* of his father.

In the interest of justice one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **25.05.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
19.12.2025

A.No. 263/22

19.12.2025

Present : Sh. I. Ahmad, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.  
Appellant in person.  
Sh. Parmesh Bali and Sh. Gagn Garg, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Site plan of the property as directed on 17.04.2023 filed by the appellant. Copy supplied.

Ld. counsel for the appellant wants to file some more documents and wants to argue the matter physically.

At request, put up for arguments on **13.05.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
19.12.2025

A.No. 328/22

19.12.2025

Present : Ms. Simran Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Prithish Sabharwal, Ld counsel for the respondent through VC.

Arguments on the application under Order VI rule 17 CPC heard. By way of this application, the appellant wants to restrict the prayer clause only to challenge the demolition order dated 05.09.2008 and give up all other reliefs of this appeal.

In these facts, the request is allowed and the prayer clause is permitted to be amended only to challenge the demolition order dated 05.09.2008. The application is allowed.

One more application to bring on record the additional document of the appellant is pending.

It is stated that this court on 19.04.2024 permitted to file these documents. The order dated 19.04.2024 show that appellant was directed only to file the original of Annexure A-7 and instead of that original, some more documents stated to be part of A-7 have been filed and it is claimed that original of the same is not in possession of the appellant.

....contd.2

: 2 :

Without prejudice to the rights of the respondent, the documents are taken on record. The application stands disposed of.

Arguments heard on the applications seeking condonation of delay. As per appellant the demolition order dated 05.09.2008 was never served upon the appellant and the demolition order came to the knowledge of the appellant only when the vacation order was found pasted at the property on 25.02.2022. Record shows that my Ld. Predecessor recorded in the order dated 06.02.2024 that the respondent could not produce any proof to show by which means the demolition order was served.

In view of the same the delay is condoned. Application seeking condonation of delay is allowed.

Put up for arguments on appeal on **02.06.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
19.12.2025

A.No. 574/22

19.12.2025

Present : Sh. Rahul Raxwel, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant through VC.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel has recently been operated for hernia and is advised bed rest.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **21.05.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
19.12.2025

A.No. 48/23  
Anil Chhabra Vs. MCD

19.12.2025

Present : Sh. Kunal Kalra, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. R.K. Jain, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Arguments heard. Record perused.

Admittedly, the appellant has removed the encroachment on the Govt. Land as per status report filed by the respondent dated 12.03.2025. It has also been stated that all other shops in the property have already been desealed by the respondent granting relief under National Capital Territory of Delhi Laws (Special Provision) Second Amendment Act, 2011.

In view of the same, let the property of the appellant be also desealed within 2 weeks from today. However, the appellant shall not raise any unauthorized construction or encroach upon the public land in future.

The sealing order however, in these facts is upheld but shall not be executed now after the removal of encroachment by the appellant.

Compliance report of the desealing be filed within 2 weeks.

At request, put up for further proceedings on **12.01.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
19.12.2025

A.No. 295/23  
Jai Prakash Gupta Vs. MCD

19.12.2025

Present : Sh. Raghav Garg, Ld. counsel for the appellant along with appellant in person.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.  
Sh. Nishant Awana, Ld. counsel for the respondent no. 2 through VC.  
Sh. Suraj Kundu, Ld. counsel for the respondent no. 2 in person.

Submissions heard. File perused.

As per the status report filed by the MCD on 24.07.2025, the permission for renewal of telecommunication tower which is under challenge, has been rejected by the respondent/MCD.

The sole prayer of the appellant was seeking rejection of this permission. Since, the same has now been rejected, the appeal stands satisfied and has become infructuous and is therefore, disposed of as satisfied.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
19.12.2025

A.No. 596/23

19.12.2025

Present : Sh. Suraj Soni, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Naval Kautsa,  
counsel for the appellant.  
None for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel has met with an accident and got fracture in his leg.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **10.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
19.12.2025

A.No. 21/24

19.12.2025

Present : Ms. Salma Khan, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.  
Sh. Chetan Hasija, Ld counsel for the respondent.  
Sh. K.P. Singh, Id. counsel for the respondent no. 2  
Telecommunication Tower Company.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant on the ground that her father-in-law has expired.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **02.03.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
19.12.2025

A.No. 204/24  
Mohd. Irfan Vs. MCD

19.12.2025

Present : None for the appellant.

Sh. Avishek Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Despite various calls none is appearing on behalf of the appellant in the Tribunal or through VC.

Put up at 2.00 PM.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
19.12.2025

At 2.30 pm

Present : None for the appellant.

Sh. Avishek Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

None has appeared on behalf of appellant since morning in the Tribunal or through VC despite various calls.

None had appeared on behalf of the appellant even on the two previous consecutive dates.

It is already 2.30 PM. It appears that the appellant is not interested in pursuing this appeal. The present appeal is dismissed in default.

Record of the respondent if any be returned alongwith copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
19.12.2025

A.No. 525/24

19.12.2025

Present : Sh. Ashutosh Deol, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant.  
None for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel has been suffering from fever and is not in a position to appear today.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **13.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
19.12.2025

A.No. 728/24 & 50/25  
19.12.2025

Present : Sh. Bhupender Singh Saini, Ld counsel for the appellant in appeal no. 728/24 & 50/25.  
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent through VC in appeal no. 728/24.  
Sh. Akash, Ld. proxy counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 728/24 in person.  
Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 50/25.

One common application under Section 151 CPC has been filed in both the appeals by the appellant alongwith documents showing that the construction in the property i.e. the first, second and third floor of property No.C-2, Lajpat Nagar-I has been compounded and regularized.  
Copy supplied.

It is stated that in view of this regularization the present appeal may be disposed of.

Coming to the demolition appeal No.728/24, since the unauthorized construction has been regularized the demolition appeal has become infructuous as no unauthorized construction now exist in the property. The same is also disposed of.

Since the construction existing in the property has been regularized, the appeal challenging the impugned order of

rejection of the regularization plan has become infructuous the same is also disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
19.12.2025

A.No. 78/25

19.12.2025

Present : Sh. S.N. Choudhari and Ms. Dipti Sareen, Ld. counsels for the appellant in appeal no. 78/25.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Id. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 78/25.

An application under Section 151 CPC has been filed by the appellant alongwith documents showing that the construction in the property i.e. the first, second and third floor of property No.C-2, Lajpat Nagar-I has been compounded and regularized. Copy supplied.

It is stated that in view of this regularization the present appeal may be disposed of.

Since the construction existing in the property has been regularized, the appeal challenging the impugned order of rejection of the regularization plan has become infructuous, the same is disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
19.12.2025

A.No. 761/24 & 762/24

19.12.2025

Present : Sh. Naveen Kumar Choudhary, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

At request, put up for arguments on **13.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
19.12.2025

A.No. 27/25

19.12.2025

Present : Sh. Varun Bala, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.  
Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, Ld counsel for the respondent through VC.

At request made by the Id. counsel for the appellant, put up for arguments on **15.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
19.12.2025

A.No. 109/25, 110/25 & 111/25

19.12.2025

Present : Sh. Charanpreet Singh, Ld. counsel for the appellant through VC.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent.

An application of the appellant under Order I rule 10 CPC to implead his wife as appellant no. 2 being co-owner of the property and to implead respondents who are other residents of this property is pending.

Arguments heard on this application.

As far as the wife of the appellant is concerned, she being the co-owner of the property is impleaded as appellant no. 2. The other prayer to implead seven private respondent on the ground that they are the other residents of the property is declined for the reason that if those residents have chosen not to challenge the demolition/sealing order, it is not required that they should be asked to put their defense to these orders.

The application qua that prayer is dismissed.

Amended memo be filed afresh as per this order.

At request, put up for arguments on the appeal on  
**24.02.2026.**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
19.12.2025

A.No. 256/25

19.12.2025

Present : Sh. Vibhash Kumar Jha, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.  
Appellant in person.  
Sh. Raujas Sharma, Ld. Proxy counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments on behalf of the appellant heard.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the respondent as main counsel Sh. Ajay Gaur is un-available today due to some personal difficulty.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the respondent to address the arguments in the matter.

At request, put up for further arguments on **02.06.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
19.12.2025

A.No. 22/25 (M)

19.12.2025

Present : Sh. Amit Vashisht, Ld counsel for the applicant/ intervener.

Case file is taken up today on an application seeking permission to apply the certified copies of the documents/record.

The applicant was not a party to this litigation and the application under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC was dismissed on 11.01.2017 with liberty to argue and file documents.

This appeal was withdrawn on 21.02.2025. In this application the applicant has sought certified copies of certain orders passed in this appeal, status report with documents filed by MCD on 03.10.2024, notice issued to Anil Kumar Tewatia dated 28.11.2024 and all the documents filed on 21.02.2025 with application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC. The documents filed with the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC on 21.02.2025 are the title documents. The applicant being stranger cannot be provided these documents. Further the notice issued to Mr. Anil Kumar Tewatia by the Court cannot be provided. The Registry however, is directed to provide certified copy of the orders and status report filed by the MCD dated 03.10.2024.

File be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
19.12.2025

A.No. 534/25

19.12.2025

Present : Ms. Sonia Mendiratta and Sh. Ashmeet Singh Saib, Ld  
counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent.

Record has not been received from the MCD.

Respondent /MCD is directed to file the record before the  
next date of hearing.

Ld. counsel for appellant is at liberty to inspect the same  
Put up for arguments on **11.05.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of  
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
19.12.2025

A.No. 454/12

19.12.2025

Present : Sh. J.V. Rana, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal is dismissed.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
19.12.2025

A.No. 589/15

19.12.2025

Present :                   Sh. Zakir Hussain, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
                                  Ms. Praveen Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent.  
                                  Sh. Manish Yadav, Nodal Officer, DDA.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal is allowed.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
19.12.2025