

A.No. 886/25 & 887/25

09.01.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Rohit Jain and Sh. Gaurav Jain, Ld counsels for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **07.04.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the demolition order dated 08.09.2025. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
09.01.2026

A.No. 10/26

09.01.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Ms. Parul Agarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **22.04.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the demolition order dated 19.12.2025. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
09.01.2026

A.No. 11/26

09.01.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Yogesh Sharma and Ms. Pratima Ravi, Ld counsels  
for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to  
the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the  
presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in  
person along with the record of the proceedings, status  
report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal  
on **22.04.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken  
against the third floor of the property of appellant only in  
pursuance of the demolition order dated 15.12.2025.  
However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the  
public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to  
raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
09.01.2026

A.No. 362/24

09.01.2026

Present : Sh. Naveen Arora, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the respondent seeks one week's time to file status report as to whether the appellant has removed all the non-compoundable deviations in the property or some deviations are left.

Let the status report be filed on or before the next date of hearing.

Put up for further proceedings awaiting status report on **22.01.2026.**

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
09.01.2026

A.No. 1/26 (M)

09.01.2026

Present : Sh. Kamaljeet Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.

File is taken up today on an application for review of the order dated 09.12.2025.

It is stated in this application that this court in another appeal no. 790/25 has granted interim protection to the adjacent neighbor of the appellant and on the ground of parity, relief should be given to the appellant as well.

Issue notice of this application to the respondent for the date fixed i.e. **13.02.2026**.

In the meantime, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the demolition order dated 07.08.2025. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
09.01.2026

A.No. 425/14

09.01.2026

Present : Sh. Rakesh Tikku, Ld. Senior counsel for the appellant through VC and Sh. Krishna Mohan Rai, Ld. counsel for the appellant in person.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

At request made by the counsel for the appellant, put up for further arguments on **20.03.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
09.01.2026

A.No. 990/24

**Salwan Public School & Anr Vs MCD**

09.01.2026

Present : Sh. Rakesh Tikku, Ld. Senior counsel for the appellant through VC and Sh. Krishna Mohan Rai, Ld. counsel for the appellant in person.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Submissions heard.

Status report is filed by the MCD. Appellant has filed copy of the order dated 22.08.2025 passed by the Hon'ble Judicial Committee.

This is an appeal challenging the demolition order passed for the C-Block of the appellant's school. As per the order of Hon'ble Judicial Committee the appellant has under taken to demolish the property within six months from the date of desealing. The property has already been desealed by the respondent subject to it being resealed. Admittedly, Hon'ble Judicial Committee is seized of the matter, the two parallel proceedings cannot continue against one demolition order. The appellant otherwise has already undertaken to demolish the property. It is obvious that it shall be resealed only if it is not demolish within six months from the date of desealing.

Contd....2,

In view of this order this appeal is not maintainable before this Tribunal. Same is dismissed.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
09.01.2026



A.No. 266/15  
A.V.M. (Retd) S.K. Chaturvedi Vs. DDA

09.01.2026

Present : Sh. Rajeshwar K. Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Anupam Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent  
through VC.  
Ms. Madhurima Ghosh, Ld. counsel for the respondent  
no. 2.

Arguments heard at length.

Without going into the merits of the appeal, this appeal is to be allowed only for the simple reason that the hearing was given to the appellant by One Meenakshi Singh, whereas the show cause notice was issued by one Mr. Karamjit Singh and the impugned order of demolition was passed by Sh. Manoj Verma, who never heard the appellant.

The Hon'ble Delhi High court in the judgment dated 22.12.2020 in CM(M) No.500/2020 titled as Sudesh Kumar Vs South Delhi Municipal Corporation held that the order should be passed by the person, who gave personal hearing to the appellant as there is no logic of passing an order by the person, who did not give hearing to the appellant.

In view of this judgment, the impugned demolition order dated 31.03.2015 is set aside with directions to the

respondent to pass fresh orders by the officer who will give personal hearing to the appellant.

The appellant shall appear before the Dy. Director, Building, DDA, on 03.02.2026 at 3.00 pm and the speaking order be passed within 6 weeks of conclusion of the hearing.

Appeal stands disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
09.01.2026

A.No. 86/17

09.01.2026

Present : Sh. Rakesh Lakra, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. H.R. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent  
through VC.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the respondent as he did not receive the copy of the appeal after fresh marking this matter to him.

In the interest of justice one last and final opportunity is granted to the respondent to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **25.03.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
09.01.2026

A.No. 452/17 & 700/19

09.01.2026

Present : Sh. G.K. Kaushik, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Shivam Moudgil, Ld counsel for the respondent in  
appeal no. 452/17.  
None for the respondent in another appeal.

It is stated for the appellant that copy of the status report  
and standard plan of DDA filed on 23.04.2025 has not  
been supplied till date despite directions.

Let the same be supplied by Monday i.e. 12.01.2026.

Put up for arguments on **15.04.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of  
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
09.01.2026

A.No. 999/17

09.01.2026

Present : Sh. Vinay Kant Singh, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent through VC.  
Sh. Diwan Singh Chauhan, Ld. counsel for the intervener  
Sh. Rajender Kumar Sharma.  
Ms. Divyanshi Saxena, Ld. counsel for the another applicant/intervener through VC.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel is not available today due to ill-health of his father-in-law.

Appeal pertains to the year 2017. However, in the interest of justice one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on the application under Order I rule 10 CPC as well as appeal on **13.03.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
09.01.2026

A.No. 150/18, 373/18 & 611/24

09.01.2026

Present : Sh. Upendra Patel, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as mother of the main counsel Sh. Abhishek Sharma is hospitalized.

Two of the appeals pertain to year 2018. However, in the interest of justice one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant either to address the arguments orally in the matter or to file written submissions. It is made clear that no further adjournment shall be granted.

Put up for arguments on **18.03.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
09.01.2026

A.No. 515/18

09.01.2026

Present : Ld. counsel for the appellant along with appellant in person.

Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Further arguments heard.

Put up for orders on **12.01.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
09.01.2026

A.No. 602/19 & 107/20

09.01.2026

Present : Sh. Jasmeet Singh and Sh. Gavendra Nath Ld counsel  
for the appellant with appellant in person.  
Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent in  
appeal no. 602/19.  
Sh. Raujas Sharma, Ld. Proxy counsel for the respondent  
in appeal no. 107/20.

Arguments heard.

Put up for orders on 13.02.2026.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
09.01.2026



A.No. 366/21 & 403/22

09.01.2026

Present : Sh. Kapil Goyal and Sh. Karan Singh Uniyal, Ld counsels  
for the appellant.  
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent in  
appeal No. 403/22.  
Sh. Mohit Sharma, Id counsel for the respondent in  
appeal No. 366/21.

Part arguments heard.

Put up for further arguments on **11.02.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of  
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
09.01.2026

A.No. 394/23

09.01.2026

Present : Ms. Anushaka Dagar, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant through VC.  
Sh. Amit Kumar, Ld. Proxy counsel for Ms. Nisha Garg, counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel is not available today due to ill-health.

Similar request is made on behalf of the respondent on the ground of bereavement in the family of the counsel for the respondent.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the parties to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **10.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
09.01.2026

A.No. 443/23 & 727/23

09.01.2026

Present : Sh. Bhupender Singh Saini, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent in  
appeal no. 727/23.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld. counsel for the respondent no. .  
443/23.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied, as per  
which, the construction in property no. 1871, Gali Takhat  
Wali, Sita Ram Bazar, Delhi has been regularized.

Appellant has also filed copy of the said letter dated  
16.05.2025.

Since the property of the appellant has been regularized,  
both the appeals stands disposed of being infructuous.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with  
copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record  
room.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
09.01.2026

A.No. 564/23

09.01.2026

Present : Sh. Manoj, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

Vide letter dated 27.01.2023 placed at page no. 88 of the appeal, the appellant through his son sought permission to repair. Along with this letter, certain photographs were annexed to show the condition of the property as existing on 27.01.2023. Appellant is directed to file those photographs.

At request, put up for further arguments on **08.05.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
09.01.2026

A.No. 747/23

09.01.2026

Present : Sh. Rahul Tyagi, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
None for the respondent.

Affidavit filed by the appellant in compliance to order dated 06.05.2025.

None has appeared for the respondent despite repeated calls since morning. No adverse order is being passed today.

Put up for arguments on **29.05.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the impugned order. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
09.01.2026

A.No. 767/23 & 768/23

09.01.2026

Present : Sh. Mayank Mahendru, Ld counsel for the appellant  
along with appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Sh. Bhuveshwar Tyagi, Id. counsel for the intervener  
along with intervener.

Part arguments heard.

At request, put up for further arguments on **12.02.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of  
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
09.01.2026

A.No. 843/23

09.01.2026

Present : Sh. Gaurav Kumar Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.  
Sh. Sandeep Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent through VC.  
Sh. V.K. Mantoo, Id. counsel for the intervener.

An application of the appellant to place on record the additional documents is pending.

Ld. counsel for the respondent submits that he has not received the copy thereof.

As per order dated 02.06.2025, the same was supplied on that day itself. However, infacts, let the soft copy be supplied afresh to the counsel for the respondent.

Without prejudice to the rights of the parties, the application is allowed and the documents are taken on record.

Put up for arguments on the appeal on **29.05.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
09.01.2026

A.No. 163/24, 164/24 & 165/24

09.01.2026

Present : Sh. V.K. Mantoo, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Id. counsel for the respondent.

Since, the regularization application of the appellants have been directed to be reopened, let these appeals be listed after 12 weeks awaiting the outcome/result of the regularization applications.

Put up for arguments on **06.05.2026**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
09.01.2026



A.No. 653/24 : Sarita Mittal Vs. MCD  
A. No. 654/24 : Avant Mittal Vs. MCD  
A. No. 655/24 : Shiv Mittal Vs. MCD

09.01.2026

Present : Sh. V.K. Mantoo, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 655/24.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Id. counsel for the respondent in other appeals.  
Ms. Aditi Aggarwal, Id. counsel for the intervener.

Objections filed by the appellants to the application of intervener u/s 151 CPC are taken on record.

These are the three appeals challenging the rejection of the regularization application vide order dated 15.07.2024.

In view of the latest policy of the respondent, floor-wise regularization is permissible irrespective of non-compoundable deviations existing on the other floors of the property owned by some other persons and without paying the charges for the compoundable deviations in the other floors owned by the other persons.

In view of this policy, the respondent is directed to reopen the regularization applications of the appellants on their applications and decide the same afresh after giving opportunity to appellants to comply with any further requirement through a proper valid notice.

...contd.2

: 2 :

The intervener in appeal no. 655/24 has raised the issue of structural stability of the building. The appellants and the intervener have relied upon two separate reports given by separate architects about the stability of the building. The respondent shall also consider these reports and will regularize the building only after verifying the stability of the building.

Let the same be decided within 12 weeks from the date of applications of re-opening of the regularization application filed by the appellants.

In view of above, the aforesaid appeals stand disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
09.01.2026

A.No. 493/24

09.01.2026

Present : Sh. Ankit Kumar, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.  
Sh. Kamal Sethi, Ld. counsel for the intervener through  
VC.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as paternal uncle of the main counsel for appellant is not well and is in critical condition.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **09.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
09.01.2026

A.No. 667/24

09.01.2026

Present : Sh. Yashdeep Sethi, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
None for the respondent.

The aforesaid appeal is against the vacation notice dated 09.07.2024, which is not appealable before this Tribunal. Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks some time to amend the appeal in view of the demolition order already passed by the respondent.

At request, put up for further proceedings on **04.05.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
09.01.2026

A.No. 681/24 & 682/24

09.01.2026

Present : Appellant in person.  
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as his counsel is not available today.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **05.05.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
09.01.2026

A.No. 913/24

09.01.2026

Present : Ms. Aditi Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.  
Sh. V.K. Mantoo, Id. counsel for the intervener.

Part arguments heard.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to file the chart stated to be handed over to the respondent along with reply to show cause notice.

At request, put up for further arguments on **06.05.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
09.01.2026

A.No. 1096/24

09.01.2026

Present : Ms. Shanu Khan, Ld proxy counsel for the appellant  
along with appellant.  
Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant has filed list of documents  
along with documents as per order dated 01.04.2025.  
Copy supplied.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as  
main counsel is un-available today due to some personal  
difficulty.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted  
to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **29.05.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of  
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
09.01.2026

A.No. 1097/24  
Jitender Kumar Vs. MCD

09.01.2026

Present : None for the appellant.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.  
None has appeared for the appellant despite repeated calls since morning.  
Put up for 2.00 pm.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
09.01.2026

At 3.20 pm

Present : None for the appellant.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.  
None has appeared on behalf of appellant since morning in the Tribunal or through VC despite various calls.  
None had appeared on behalf of the appellant on two consecutive dates i.e. 09.09.25 & 22.4.25 as well.  
It is 3.20 PM. It appears that the appellant is not interested in pursuing this appeal. The present appeal is dismissed in default.  
Record of the respondent if any be returned alongwith copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
09.01.2026



A.No. 248/25

09.01.2026

Present : Sh. Gurpal Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.

Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

Ld. counsel for the respondent seeks time to go through their own record which was supplied to him by the office today morning.

At request, put up for further arguments on **16.03.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
09.01.2026

A.No. 383/25

09.01.2026

Present : Sh. Varun Bhandari, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

At request of the counsel for appellant, put up further arguments on **08.05.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the impugned order.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
09.01.2026

A.No. 465/25

09.01.2026

Present : Ms. Deepmala Kumari, Ld counsel for the appellant along with brother of the appellant.  
Sh. Saurav Bhasin, Ld counsel for the respondent.

It is stated for the appellant that copy of the reply filed by the respondent on the last date has not been supplied.

Ld. counsel for the respondent has shown the acknowledgment of receiving by the appellant himself.

This shows that appellant has received the copy and must have been provided to the counsel.

Appellant is given one more opportunity for arguments.

Put up for arguments as last and final opportunity on **15.05.2026.**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
09.01.2026