

A.No. 12/26

13.01.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Ms. Ritika Babbar and Sh. Rajesh Babbar, Ld counsels for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **13.07.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the revocation order dated 09.12.2025. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.01.2026

A.No. 14/26

13.01.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Ms. Sapna Singh and Sh. R.S. Darasingh, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **29.04.2025**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the demolition order dated 28.11.2025. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.01.2026

A.No. 16/26

13.01.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Suresh Chaudhary and Sh. Madhav, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **12.03.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.01.2026

A.No. 17/26

13.01.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Zafar Abbas and Ms. Nusrat Hossain, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **08.04.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the demolition order dated 29.12.2025. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.01.2026

A.No. 18/26
Sunita And Anr. Vs. MCD

13.01.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. A.K. Vashisht, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **27.01.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the demolition order dated 07.11.2025. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

At request, copy of this order be given dasti.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.01.2026

A.No. 19/26

13.01.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Atul Sharma, Sh. B.S. Tiwari, Sh. Pradeep Kumar and Sh. S.N. Yadav, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **21.04.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the demolition order dated 26.12.2025. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.01.2026

A.No. 714/24

13.01.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Pritish Sabharwal , Ld counsel for the respondent in
appeal no. 714/24.

Main case file has been sent to the Appellate Court.

Be awaited for the main case file for **18.05.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.01.2026

A.No. 926/24

13.01.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
 None for the respondent.

Main case file in connected appeal bearing no. 714/24
has been sent to the Appellate Court.
Be put up with connected appeal awaiting file for
18.05.2026.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.01.2026

A.No. 650/25

13.01.2026

Present : Sh. Pankaj Chawla, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Record has also been submitted.

Though, the sealing order which is silent qua the aspect that the property was sealed as per the directions of the inspection carried out by the Monitoring Committee, should have mentioned this fact in the sealing order as well as show cause notice itself, yet once the office file already submitted records that the inspection was carried out by the Hon'ble Monitoring Committee, this Tribunal does not have the jurisdiction to hear the grievance of the appellant even in respect of the non-service of the show cause notice which otherwise is disputed by the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to make submissions on this aspect.

Put up for arguments on this aspect on **29.01.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.01.2026

A.No. 705/25
Rinku Dewan Vs. MCD

13.01.2026

Present : Sh. Nirmal Kishore and Ms. Apoorva Bhardwaj, Ld. counsels for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellants submits that he has instructions from the appellant to withdraw the aforesaid appeal and he may be permitted to withdraw the aforesaid appeal.

Statement of Ld. counsel for the appellant recorded separately to this effect.

In view of the statement made by the Ld. counsel for the appellants, the aforesaid appeal is disposed off as withdrawn.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.01.2026

A.No. 1136/13

13.01.2026

Present : Ms. Sana Ansarai, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

At request, put up for further arguments on **17.02.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.01.2026

A.No. 843/17

13.01.2026

Present : Sh. Indresh Upadhyay, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.

Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Amit Dhaiya, AA&C/KPZ, MCD and Sh. Balbir Singh, AZI/KPZ, MCD

Part arguments heard.

Ld. counsel for the appellant claims that except one, no other status report was supplied to him. The order-sheets record that all the status reports be supplied. Let the file be inspected by the appellant.

As per the PTR filed by the appellant for the year 2020-21 onwards, there is pucca construction of about 100 sq. mtrs, in the property and the other 100 sq. mtrs, is shown as tin-shed. The appellant is directed to clarify on this aspect on the next date of hearing.

Further, as per the status report dated 15.02.2024, the entire covered area of the property is 130 sq. mtrs, and projection on the Municipal land is about 4.77 sq. mtrs. The assessment filed by the House-tax Office show covered area of 123.22 sq. mtrs., on the basis of reverse calculation. The appellant seeks time to clarify on this aspect.

....contd.2

: 2 :

At request, put up for further arguments on **03.03.2026**.
Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.01.2026

A.No. 42/18

13.01.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi and Sh. Varun Lal, Ld counsels for the appellant.

Sh. Dharamvir Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent.

Fresh vakalatnama filed on behalf of the appellant and some time is sought to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **09.04.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.01.2026

A.No. 555/18

13.01.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. R.K. Kashyap, Ld counsel for the respondent through VC.
None has appeared for the appellant despite repeated calls since morning.
The previous counsel for the appellant Sh. S.D. Ansari has passed away. Since last two dates, the clerk of the previous counsel has been appearing in this case.
Infact, issue court notice to the appellant for **10.02.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.01.2026

A.No. 866/18

13.01.2026

Present : None for the appellant No.1.
 Sh. Ranjeet Kumar Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant
 no. 2 and 3 alongwith appellants.
 Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

At request, put up for further arguments on **20.02.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.01.2026

A.No. 14/19 & 81/19

13.01.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

At request, put up for further arguments on **19.02.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.01.2026

A.No. 62/20 & 63/20

13.01.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
 Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld. counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

At request, put up for further arguments on **18.02.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.01.2026

A.No. 649/22

13.01.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

None has appeared for the appellant despite repeated calls since morning.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Put up for arguments on **10.07.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.01.2026

A.No. 755/22

13.01.2026

Present : Sh. Ashotish Tripathi, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Naveen Kumar, Id. counsel for the intervener along with intervener.

Arguments on maintainability of the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC heard. Even if it is presumed that all the averments made in the application is correct, then also in this proceeding between the appellant and the MCD the applicant has no right to participate and he cannot become a party as there is a clear-cut judgment of Delhi High Court in case ***Hardayal Singh Mehta Vs MCD, AIR 1990 Delhi 170*** in which it is held that in the matter between the appellant and the MCD, no third person can join and become a party to such proceedings and in such proceedings the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC is not maintainable. Any dispute between the applicant and the appellant has to be dealt with and to be decided by the Civil Court separately. Accordingly, application moved by applicant under order 1 Rule 10 CPC is hereby dismissed. However, the applicant is permitted to file the documents, if any and to orally argue the matter at the final arguments stage.

...contd.2

: 2 :

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant to advance arguments on the appeal as main counsel is held up before the Rouze Avenue Court, New Delhi.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments on the appeal on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **08.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.01.2026

A.No. 16/23 & 212/23

13.01.2026

Present : Sh. Drone Diwan, Ld counsel for the appellants.
 Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.
 Sh. Abhishek Kaushik, Ld. counsel for the intervener
 along with intervener.

Arguments on maintainability of the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC heard. Even if it is presumed that all the averments made in the application is correct, then also in this proceeding between the appellant and the MCD the applicant has no right to participate and he cannot become a party as there is a clear-cut judgment of Delhi High Court in case ***Hardayal Singh Mehta Vs MCD, AIR 1990 Delhi 170*** in which it is held that in the matter between the appellant and the MCD, no third person can join and become a party to such proceedings and in such proceedings the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC is not maintainable. Any dispute between the applicant and the appellant has to be dealt with and to be decided by the Civil Court separately. Accordingly, application moved by applicant under order 1 Rule 10 CPC is hereby dismissed. However, the applicant is permitted to file the documents, if any and to orally argue the matter at the final arguments stage.

....contd.2

: 2 :

At request, put up for arguments on the appeal on
14.07.2026.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.01.2026

A.No. 512/23

13.01.2026

Present : Sh. D.K. Singhal, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Amit Kumar , Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The regularization application of the appellant has been rejected on 03.04.2024, for which separate appeal has been filed by the appellant listed on 13.05.2026.

At request, put up with connected appeal on **13.05.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.01.2026

A.No. 78/24

13.01.2026

Present : Sh. Subham Jain, son of the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. B.K. Pandey is not available today due to ill-health.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **15.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.01.2026

A.No. 94/24

13.01.2026

Present : Sh. Anwar Masood and Sh. Suroosh Anwar, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Mahender Shukla, Ld. counsel for the respondent.

Ms. Tajender Kaur Kohli, claimed to be one of the owner of the property/intervener in person.

Previous cost of Rs. 10,000/- has been deposited by the appellant .

Ld. counsel for the respondent requests for copy of the appeal along with documents. Let the same be supplied within one week from today.

Arguments on maintainability of the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC heard. Even if it is presumed that all the averments made in the application is correct, then also in this proceeding between the appellant and the MCD the applicant has no right to participate and he cannot become a party as there is a clear-cut judgment of Delhi High Court in case ***Hardayal Singh Mehta Vs MCD, AIR 1990 Delhi 170*** in which it is held that in the matter between the appellant and the MCD, no third person can join and become a party to such proceedings and in such proceedings the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC is not maintainable. Any dispute between

...contd.2

: 2 :

the applicant and the appellant has to be dealt with and to be decided by the Civil Court separately. Accordingly, application moved by applicant under order 1 Rule 10 CPC is hereby dismissed. However, the applicant is permitted to file the documents, if any and to orally argue the matter at the final arguments stage.

At the request of son of the appellant, put up for arguments on the appeal on **22.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.01.2026

A.No. 129/24
Shashi and Ors. Vs. MC

13.01.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
 Sh. V.K. Aggarwal , Ld counsel for the respondent.
 Sh. H.S. Mudgal and Sh. Bhagwan Sahu, Ld. counsels
 for the respondent no. 2 Sh. Om Prakash.
 Despite various calls none is appearing on behalf of the
 appellant in the Tribunal or through VC.
 Put up at 2.00 pm.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.01.2026

At 3.10 pm
Present :

None for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal , Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. H.S. Mudgal and Sh. Bhagwan Sahu, Ld. counsels
for the respondent no. 2 Sh. Om Prakash.

None has appeared on behalf of appellant since morning
in the Tribunal or through VC despite various calls.

None had appeared on behalf of the appellant on the
previous date i.e. 25.08.2025 as well.

It is already 3.10 PM. It appears that the appellant is not
interested in pursuing this appeal. The present appeal is
dismissed in default.

...contd.2

: 2 :

Record of the respondent if any be returned alongwith
copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record
room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.01.2026

A.No. 199/24

13.01.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
None for the respondent.

None has appeared for the respondent despite repeated calls since morning.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Put up for arguments on **15.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.01.2026

A.No. 222/24

Sh. Suresh Chand & Anr. vs. MCD

13.01.2026

Present : None for the appellant.

Sh. Madan Sagar , Ld counsel for the respondent.

Despite various calls none is appearing on behalf of the appellant in the Tribunal or through VC.

Put up at 2.00 pm.

(AMIT KUMAR)

Addl. District & Sessions Judge

P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD/13.01.26

At 3.15 pm

Present :

None for the appellant.

Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

None has appeared on behalf of appellant since morning in the Tribunal or through VC despite various calls.

None had appeared on behalf of the appellant on the last two consecutive dates as well.

It is already 3.15 PM. It appears that the appellant is not interested in pursuing this appeal. The present appeal is dismissed in default.

Record of the respondent if any be returned alongwith copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)

Addl. District & Sessions Judge

P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD

13.01.2026

A.No. 358/24

13.01.2026

Present : Sh. Abhishek Rai, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant through VC.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant on the ground that he has freshly been engaged and needs some time to inspect the record.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **27.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.01.2026

A.No. 496/24

13.01.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
 Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

The regularization application of the appellant is still pending before the MCD.

At request, put up for arguments on **09.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.01.2026

A.No. 647/24
Sandeep Jain vs. MCD

13.01.2026

Present : Sh. A.K. Nigam, Ld. counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Id. counsel for the respondent.
Further arguments heard.

The aforesaid appeal is against the vacation notice dated 12.06.2024, which is not appealable before this Tribunal. Otherwise also, there are no merit in the appeal as page 3 of the Lease Deed relied upon by the appellant records that the property has been leased out to the appellant on 04.10.2018 i.e. much after the cut-off date of 07.02.2007 for constructing Satsung Bhawan on the roof of the property. The appellant as per material available in the office file was raising construction on the first floor of the property which was also partially demolished. Be that as it may, the appeal is not maintainable and is dismissed with liberty to challenge the demolition/sealing order as per law.

Appeal stands disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.01.2026

A.No. 697/24

13.01.2026

Present : Appellant in person.
Sh. Sanjeev Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent through VC.

Written arguments filed by the appellant. The appellant has not yet supplied the copy of the affidavit and the site plan filed on 10.09.2025 to the respondent. Copy placed on record. Let the same be collected.

At request, put up for arguments on **10.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.01.2026

A.No. 836/24
Surinder Kaur Vs. MCD

13.01.2026

Present : Sh. Maneesh Gumber and Sh. Tarun Gumber, Ld
counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. Approv Sisodia, Ld counsel for the respondent
through VC.

The aforesaid appeal is against the vacation notice dated
22.07.2024, which is not appealable before this Tribunal.
The appeal is dismissed with liberty to challenge the
demolition/ sealing order as per law.

Appeal stands disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with
copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record
room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.01.2026

A.No. 876/24

13.01.2026

Present : Ms. Meenakshi Garg, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.

Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent through VC

Sh. Vishnu Dutt Sharma and Sh. Ravi Bhushan, Ld. counsel for the respondent no. 2 and 3.

Reply filed by the respondent no. 2 and 3 to the appeal. Copy placed on record for the appellant. Let the same be collected.

Ld. counsel for the MCD submitted that he has not yet been supplied the complete copy of the appeal after page no. 38. Let the same be supplied within a week from today.

Put up for arguments on the appeal on **15.07.2026**.
Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.01.2026

A.No. 880/24 & 278/25
Mohd. Imran vs. MCD

13.01.2026

Present : Sh. S.A. Khan , Ld counsel for the appellant.
 Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.
 Sh. Dhruv Malik, Ld. counsel for the applicant/intervener.

Ld. counsel for the intervener has filed an application under Order I rule 10 CPC. Copy supplied.

Appellant has also filed an application under Order I rule 10 CPC to implead his sister Rabia Arif as respondent being the owner of the property. The appellant has already filed a attorney of her sister in his favour which is sufficient to pursue this appeal. There is no need to implead his sister. The application stands dismissed.

At this stage, Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that he is ready to withdraw the appeals, if the one room of the property is desealed on the undertaking of the appellant that same shall be restored to its original condition.

Let the appellant file such application before the Dy. Commissioner, MCD, who will pass orders on the merits of the application.

....contd.2

: 2 :

At this stage, Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that in view of the aforesaid order, he may be permitted to withdraw the aforesaid appeals.

Statement of Id. counsel for the appellant recorded separately to this effect.

In view of the statement made by the Id. counsel for the appellants, the aforesaid appeals are disposed off as withdrawn.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.01.2026

A.No. 921/24

13.01.2026

Present : Sh. Hemant, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that he has newly been engaged and seeks time to file Vakalatnama and to inspect the record.

At request, put up for arguments on **22.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.01.2026

A.No. 969/24 & 1054/24

13.01.2026

Present : Sh. Devender Kasana, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Ajay Gaur, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel is not available today due to some medical emergency.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **15.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.01.2026

A.No. 1058/24
Sajid Ali & Anr. Vs. MCD

13.01.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Despite various calls none is appearing on behalf of the appellant in the Tribunal or through VC.
Put up at 2.00 pm.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD/13.01.26

At 3.25 pm
Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

None has appeared on behalf of appellant since morning in the Tribunal or through VC despite various calls.
None had appeared on behalf of the appellant on the previous date i.e. 18.08.2025 as well.
It is already 3.25 PM. It appears that the appellant is not interested in pursuing this appeal. The present appeal is dismissed in default.
Record of the respondent if any be returned alongwith copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.01.2026

A.No. 417/25, 418/25, 419/25 & 420/25

13.01.2026

Present : Ms. Shachi, Ld. counsel for the appellant through VC.
Sh. Avishek Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that the appellants have also received protection from the Appellate Court and as such, she wants to withdraw the aforesaid appeals. It is further submitted that due to personal reason, it is not possible for her to come to the court today and the matter be fixed for tomorrow for recording statement for withdrawal.

At request, put up for recording the statement qua withdrawal of the aforesaid appeals on **14.01.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
13.01.2026