

A.No. 20/26

14.01.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Devender Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that connected matters of Pink Apartment are listed for 16.07.2026.

At request, put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **16.07.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the demolition order dated 24.07.2020. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.01.2026

A.No. 21/26
Sandeep Rana Vs. MCD

14.01.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Sachin Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

The aforesaid appeal is against the vacation notice dated 18.12.2025, which is not appealable before this Tribunal.

The appeal is dismissed with liberty to challenge the demolition/sealing order as per law.

Appeal stands disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.01.2026

A.No. 22/26

14.01.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Omvir Singh Sisodia, Ld. counsel for the appellant along with appellant in person.

Submissions heard. File perused.

The appellant has not filed the impugned sealing order and it is stated that the copy thereof was never provided and the property has been sealed.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **03.02.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.01.2026

A.No. 417/25 : Sandeep Garg and Ors. Vs. MCD
A.No. 418/25 : Krishan Pal Sharma and Ors. Vs. MCD
A.No. 419/25 : Krishan Pal Sharma and Ors. Vs. MCD
A.No. 420/25 : Sandeep Garg and Ors. Vs. MCD

14.01.2026

Present : Ms. Shachi Jain, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Avishek Kumar , Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that she has instructions from the appellants to state that the appellants have already received an order dated 08.01.2026 (Krishan Pal Sharma) & 11.11.2025 (Sandeep Garg) from the Appellate Court i.e. Ld. Principal District & Sessions Judge, Shahdara, Delhi with directions to appear before the respondent for a personal hearing and she has given an undertaking to withdraw the aforesaid appeals and she submits that she may be permitted to withdraw the aforesaid appeals.

Statement of Ld. counsel for the appellants recorded separately to this effect.

In view of the statement made by the Ld. counsel for the appellants, the aforesaid appeals are disposed off as withdrawn.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.01.2026

A.No. 619/25

14.01.2026

Present : Sh. Pranjali Khanna, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Sagar Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Rinku Yadav, Ld. counsel for the intervener through
VC.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel is not available today due to some personal difficulty.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **22.07.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.01.2026

A.No. 237/14

14.01.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal is disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.01.2026

A.No. 269/19
Sandeep Oberio Vs North DMC
14.01.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
 Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Despite various calls none is appearing on behalf of the appellant in the Tribunal or through VC.

Put up at 2.00 PM.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.01.2026

2.10 PM

Present : None for the appellant.
 Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.
None has appeared on behalf of appellant since morning in the Tribunal or through VC despite various calls.
None had appeared on behalf of the appellant on 09.05.2025 and 14.10.2025 as well.
It is 2.10 PM. The present appeal is dismissed in default.
Record of the respondent if any be returned alongwith copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.01.2026

A.No. 413/20

14.01.2026

Present : Appellant in person.
Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Authority letter of DLSA counsel filed and it is stated that counsel today has a duty at Lok Nayak Jai Prakash Narayan Hospital and is unable to appear before this court.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **26.02.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.01.2026

A.No. 337/22 & 338/22

14.01.2026

Present : Sh. Abhishek Kumar Mishra, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Jai Gupta, Ld. Proxy counsel for the respondent.

Sh. Naveen Sharma, Ld. counsel for the proposed intervener Ms. Shweta Anand.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Neeraj Kumar Singh is not well today.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **25.03.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.01.2026

A.No. 496/23
Meenakshi Sharma Vs. MCD

14.01.2026

Present : Sh. Kshitiz Mahipal, Ld counsel for the appellant.
 Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellants submits that he has instructions from the appellants to withdraw the aforesaid appeal with liberty to approach the Competent Authority for regularization of the property in question and he may be permitted to withdraw the aforesaid appeals.

Statement of ld. counsel for the appellant recorded separately to this effect.

In view of the statement made by the ld. counsel for the appellant, the aforesaid appeals are disposed off as withdrawn with the liberty as prayed as per law.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.01.2026

A.No. 539/23

14.01.2026

Present : Sh. M.S. Khan, Ld. counsel for the appellant with Mohd. Wasim Khan, Advocate along with appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. A.K. Sharma, Ld. counsel for the intervener.

Arguments heard on the appeal.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal is dismissed.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.01.2026

A.No. 701/23

Ved Prakash Sharma Vs. MCD

14.01.2026

Present : Appellant in person.

Sh. Sagar Dhami, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Arguments heard. File perused.

The property of the appellant bearing no. N-68/113, Aruna Nagar, Majnu Ka Tila, Civil Line Zone, Delhi was sealed by the respondent in pursuance to their order dated 02.06.2023 which was passed on the basis of a letter received from the office of ACP, ANTF (Crime Branch), Delhi. The respondent of its own had no material to take action against this property except of this letter of the Crime Branch dated 16.05.2023.

I have gone through this letter available at page no. 1C and 2C of the office record of the respondent. This letter does not mention that any drug trafficking was done from the subject property. In last few line of second page, it records 'during investigation, one JCL r/o Majnu Ka Tila and Rahul @ Judis s/o Vinod Kumar r/o House no. N-68/113, Aruna Nagar, Majnu Ka Tila, Delhi, age about 23, Neha d/o late Vijay Kumar r/o I-36, Aruna Nagar, Majnu Ka Tila, age 20 years were also arrested in this case.' Except of this quoted portion, there is not even a

...contd.2

: 2 :

whisper in this letter of Crime Branch that any recovery was made from the subject property.

Appellant claimed that said Rahul @ Judis was his tenant. Be that as it may, Rahul who was arrested in some Narcotics Case even if was residing in this property does not amount to misuse of the property. The action can be taken against the property only if the property is misused for drug trafficking and not when the alleged drug trafficker was a tenant in the property. Otherwise also there is no material available on record to show that the said Rahul @ Judis was convicted in that FIR no. 65/23, PS Crime Branch. The entire action of the respondent of sealing the property of the appellant is unjustified and without any material and without verifying the contents of the letter of Crime Branch, which was sole basis of taking action against the property of the appellant. The Impugned sealing order dated 02.06.2023 is set aside. The property be desealed within 7 days from today.

Appeal stands allowed.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.01.2026

A.No. 90/23 (M)

14.01.2026

Present : Sh. Deepak Joshi, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Naresh Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent/DDA.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel is not available due to ill-health.

Same is strongly opposed as this appeal of year 2018 was earlier dismissed in non-prosecution and same was restored only on the ground that the counsel for the appellant struck up in the lift and thereafter could not reach the court.

In the interest of justice one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **04.02.2026**. Written arguments be filed, if the Ld. counsel for the appellant will not be able to appear on the next date of hearing for any good reason.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.01.2026

A.No. 264/24, 351/24 & 352/24

14.01.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Ankur, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 264/24.
Sh. Jai Gupta, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent.
Be awaited for the appellant for 11.00 am.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD/14.01.26

At 11.30 am

Present : As before.
None has appeared for the appellant despite repeated calls since morning.
However, the appellant is given one more opportunity to advance arguments in the aforesaid appeals subject to a cost of Rs. 3,000/- in each appeal to be deposited with Registry.
The interim order granted vide order 16.04.2024 is hereby vacated.
Put up for arguments as last and final opportunity to the appellant on **20.07.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.01.2026

A.No. 641/24

14.01.2026

Present : Sh. Chirag Verma, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant through VC.

Sh. Jai Gupta, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Vijay Kasana is un-available today due to some personal family exigency.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **20.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.01.2026

A.No. 8/25

14.01.2026

Present : Sh. Anupam Chandel, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant through VC.

Appellant in person.

Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. H.R. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Sh. Vicky Bhardwaj, Ld. counsel for respondent no. 2 along with SPA of R-2 in person.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel is not available due to some personal difficulty.

In the interest of justice one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **29.04.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.01.2026

A.No. 311/25

14.01.2026

Present : Sh. Sandeep Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant through Vc.
None for the respondent.

This is an early hearing application. Notice of this application has been served. None is present for the respondent

Put up on the date already fixed i.e. **12.03.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.01.2026

A.No. 370/25

14.01.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
 Sh. Avishek Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report filed by the MCD. Copy supplied.

The Hon'ble High Court vide order dated 09.01.2026 passed in W.P.(C) no. 17594/2025 has directed the respondent to decide the regularization application of the appellant within 4 weeks from that date.

Be awaited for outcome of that regularization application for **12.03.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.01.2026

A.No. 680/25

14.01.2026

Present : Sh. Nipun Jain, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent along
with Sh. P.K. Jindal, AE(B), CNZ.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with
Registry.

The appellant in this appeal has challenged the
demolition order as well as rejection of regularization
application and to consider the reply dated 21.08.2025
which was overlooked while passing the rejection order
on 18.09.2025. The appeal can be filed challenging only
one order in one appeal.

Ld. counsel for appellant seeks some time to take
instruction as to which remedy the appellant wants to
pursue in this case.

Put up for further proceedings on **06.02.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken
against the subject property in the matter. However, it is
made clear that no encroachment on the public land is
protected

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.01.2026

A.No. 872/25 & 873/25
Ateeb Raja and Ors. Vs. MCD

14.01.2026

Present : Sh. Imran Ahmad, Ld counsel for the appellant along with
appellant no. 1 (who is also the SPA of other appellants)
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Ld. counsel for the appellants submits that appellant no. 1
(who is also the SPA of other appellants) wants to
withdraw the aforesaid appeals and he may be permitted
to withdraw the aforesaid appeals.

Copy of SPA is already on file with the appeal. (Original
seen and returned)

Statement of appellant no. 1 (who is also the SPA of
other appellants) recorded separately to this effect.

In view of the statement made by the appellant no. 1 for
himself as well as for other appellants as their SPA, the
aforesaid appeals are disposed off as withdrawn.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with
copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record
room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
14.01.2026