

A.No. 104/26

11.02.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Satyendra Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Arguments heard on the interim application.

The appellant has not filed even a single document except of electricity connection for the ground floor showing date of energization as 11.02.2008 in respect of the property consisting from ground to fourth floor and a mumty on the fifth floor. No case for interim protection is made out.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **06.04.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.02.2026

A.No. 105/26

11.02.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Ayush Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant through
VC.

Heard. File perused.

Powers in respect of the matters of NDMC not yet
received.

Put up for arguments on **21.04.2026**, for awaiting powers.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.02.2026

A.No. 106/26

11.02.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Ramesh Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

This is an appeal challenging the letter dated 08.01.2026 issued by AE(B) seeking deposit of conversion and parking charges within a month and refers to a show cause notice dated 31.12.2020 under Section 345-A of DMC Act alleging misuse of the property.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **21.04.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, operation of the impugned letter dated 08.01.2026 is stayed.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.02.2026

A.No. 108/26

11.02.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. V.K. Mantoo, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **08.05.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the demolition order dated 23.01.2026. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.02.2026

A.No. 109/26

11.02.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Arvind Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **20.05.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the demolition order dated 30.07.2024. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.02.2026

A.No. 110/26

11.02.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Kanhaiya Singhal, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Legal Advisor, DDA.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **18.02.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.02.2026

A.No. 111/26

11.02.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Deepak Grover, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **07.05.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the demolition order dated 22.08.2025. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.02.2026

A.No. 576/25

11.02.2026

Present : Sh. Rishipal Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.
Ms. Radha Rani, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant in person.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Tilak Raj, AE(B).

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks some time to inspect the record and to advance arguments.

At request, put up for arguments on **08.04.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.02.2026

A.No. 628/25 & 629/25

11.02.2026

Present : Sh. Vikram Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant in appeal no. 628/25.
Sh. S.R. Mehta, Ld counsel for the appellant in appeal no. 629/25.
Sh. Rohit Bhati, ALO for the respondent in appeal no. 628/25.
Ms. Sunita Sevda, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 629/25 through VC, along with Sh. Ranjeet Singh, JE(B).

Status report is filed by the MCD in appeal no. 629/25, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry.

An application has been filed by the appellant to place on record the additional documents along with additional documents. Copy supplied. Without prejudice to the rights of the respondent, the documents are taken on record.

It is stated for the appellant that the advisory issued by the respondent has already been complied with by the appellant through their affidavits on 27.11.2025 and further that any misuse in the property has now been stopped except of the basement which is in possession of an advocate and execution seeking possession of the basement against that advocate is subjudice.

....contd.2

: 2 :

The JE(B) has stated that the property is lying locked.

Let the same be verified by the JE(B) and status report be filed on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **03.06.2026**.

Till then, the sealing order dated 24.03.2025 kept in abeyance.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.02.2026

A.No. 778/25

11.02.2026

Present : Sh. Deepak, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent along
with Sh. Subodh Hathwal, AE(B). Fresh Vakalatnama
filed, same is taken on record

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy placed on record.
It is stated that record of this appeal has already been
submitted in appeal no. 727/25, which is now listed for
19.02.2026.

At request, put up this matter with connected appeal on
19.02.2026.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.02.2026

A.No. 847/25

11.02.2026

Present : Sh. Umang Tyagi, Id. counsel for the appellant through VC and Sh. Siddharth Sharma, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant in person.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent through VC.
Ms. Anshika, Id. proxy counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Nitin Bharti, AE(B) in person. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record

File is taken up today on an application of early hearing filed on behalf of the appellant.

The next date of hearing in the matter is 03.03.2026. The appeal is of the year 2025. In view of the heavy pendency, no early hearing is possible. The application is dismissed.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry.

Put up on the date fixed i.e. **03.03.2026** for the purpose fixed. However, Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the impugned order. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.02.2026

A.No. 866/25

11.02.2026

Present : Sh. Abhishek Wadhwa and Ms. Somyaa Gurung, Ld
counsels for the appellant.

File is taken up today on an application of early hearing
filed on behalf of the appellant.

Issue notice of this application to the respondent for
24.02.2026.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.02.2026

A.No. 25/26

11.02.2026

Present : Sh. Prashant Diwan, Ms. Ishika Jindal and Sh. Tushar Bhatia, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent no. 1 along with Sh. Jitender Rohilla, JLO
Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Sh. Shantanu Parashar and Sh. Harsh Trivedi, Ld. counsels for the respondent no. 2. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Ld. counsel for the respondent no. 2 has raised preliminary objection of limitation. It is argued that as per para 7(v) of the appeal, the appellant came to know about the impugned sanction in favour of the respondent no. 2 in July 2025 and this appeal was filed in January 2026 and there is no application seeking condonation of delay and therefore, the appeal should be dismissed on this ground.

Ld. counsel for the appellant has argued that the month July in this paragraph has been wrongly mentioned instead of December 2025 when the appellant came to know about the impugned sanction through status report dated 11.12.2025 filed by the MCD before the Hon'ble

....contd.2

: 2 :

High Court on 13.12.2025 and this appeal was filed on 15.01.2026 and there is hardly a delay of one day which may be condoned on his oral request.

I have perused the record.

The impugned sanction is dated 26.07.2025 and it cannot be believed that same came to the knowledge of the appellant within July 2025 more so, in view of the entire pleadings of para 7(v) wherein it is mentioned that a permanent wall was further constructed in October 2025. It appears that due to inadvertent error, the month of July was mentioned. Further, the status report filed by the MCD at running page of 153 of the paper-book is dated 11.12.2025 and was received by the appellant as per the appellant on 13.12.2025. In this status report, the MCD disclosed about the sanction plan given to the respondent no. 2 and therefore, the date of knowledge appears to be 13.12.2025. This appeal was filed on 15.01.2026 and there is a delay of around 3 days in filing this appeal which is condoned on oral request.

Arguments heard on the appeal.

It is stated by the Id. counsel for the respondent no. 2 that he may be permitted to file the counter affidavit filed by the respondent no. 2 in the writ petition filed by the

....contd.3

: 3 :

appellant before the Hon'ble High Court and the same may be considered as his reply to the appeal. Copy of the same is already with the counsel for the appellant. Let the same be so filed, if desired.

Infacts, put up for orders on **13.02.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.02.2026

A.No. 573/13

11.02.2026

Present : Sh. Maninder Jeet Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Anupam Sharma, Ld. counsel for the respondent/DDA through VC along with Sh. R.K. Singh, Advocate present in the court.

Part arguments heard.

At request of Id. counsel for the DDA, put up for further arguments on **12.02.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.02.2026

A.No. 100/17 & 281/18

11.02.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. H.R. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 100/17.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 281/18.
Ms. Sakshi Shedha, ATP from the Town Planning Department.

Status report is filed by the Town Planning Department, as per which certain queries were raised by the Addl. Commissioner pertaining to the ownership and land use and those queries have been resubmitted on 12.01.2026 before the Competent Authority i.e. Commissioner for approval of preamble for onward submission to the Standing Committee.

Infacts, be awaited for the report of the outcome of the Standing Committee Meeting, on **24.03.2026**, who is expected to consider the proposal of the Commissioner and to take decision in that meeting.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.02.2026

A.No. 780/17

11.02.2026

Present : Sh. G.R. Verma and Sh. H.K. Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard at length.

Put up for further arguments on **27.03.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.02.2026

A.No. 249/18

11.02.2026

Present : Ms. Sana Ansari, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Sanjay Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent
through VC along with Sh. Dharmbir Singh, Consultant,
NCCM in court.

Powers in respect of the matters of NDMC not yet
received.

Put up for arguments on **10.04.2026**, for awaiting
powers.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.02.2026

A.No. 252/18
Mohd Saleem Qureshi Vs. MCD

11.02.2026

Present : Ms. Sana Ansari, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. H.R. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

1. Arguments heard on the applications seeking condonation of delay of about 2 years in filing the aforesaid appeal. The impugned demolition order is dated 01.04.2016 and this appeal was filed on 17.04.2018. It is stated that the appellant had no knowledge of the impugned demolition order which was received only on 13.04.2018 and for that reason the delay occurred. It was further argued that neither the show cause notice nor the demolition order was issued in the name of the appellant nor served upon the appellant.
2. It is argued for the respondent that the show cause notice and the demolition order were sent through speed post and were duly served and there are no grounds to condone the delay nor any merits in the appeal as the unauthorized construction was booked in continuation of previous booking dated 17.12.2015 and the construction was going on at the time of inspection and the appeal should be dismissed.

Contd... 2/-

:: 2 ::

3. I have perused the record. The show cause notice dated 17.03.2016 was sent by speed post on 21.03.2016 in the name of Ahad Shabbur. Similarly, the demolition order dated 01.04.2016 was sent on 07.04.2016 in the name of Mr. Ahad. Record further shows that the building department of the respondent issued a notice dated 31.05.2013 in the name of the appellant stating that the building from ground to second floor is in dilapidated/dangerous condition. This clearly shows that it was to the knowledge of the respondent that the appellant is the owner/occupier of the property No.T-367, Ahata Kidara, Delhi-110006. Despite that the show cause notice and demolition order for three properties No.T-365, T-366 and T-367 was issued in the name of Mr. Ahad instead of appellant Salim Qureshi. It is settled law that the show cause notice and the orders should be issued in the name of the owner/occupier and in the present case none of these were issued in the name of the appellant despite knowledge that he is the owner/occupier at least of property no. T-367.
4. In these facts, the appeal is allowed and the matter is remanded back with the directions to the appellant to file reply and documents to the show cause notice

Contd....3/-

:: 3 ::

dated 17.03.2016 within 15 days from today. The appellant shall appear before the Quasi Judicial Authority on 26.02.2026 at 2.00 pm and the speaking order be passed within 6 weeks of conclusion of the hearing. Appeal stands disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.02.2026

A.No. 74/19

11.02.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Mohit Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Subhash Chander, Ld. counsel for the applicant/
intervener.
Ms. Sakshi Shedha, ATP, from Town Planning
Department.

Respondent seeks some more time to identify pages 7N to 9N stated to be available on record vide status report dated 19.02.2025 filed by one Manish Rajpal. Let the same be done by tomorrow as requested.

At request, put up for further arguments on **10.03.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.02.2026

A.No. 98/19

11.02.2026

Present : Sh. Markandey Gupta, Ld. counsel for the appellant through VC.

None for the respondent/MCD.

Sh. Mahipal Singh Rajput, Id. counsel for the intervener along with intervender Sh. Rajinder Singh Tanwar in person.

Ld. counsel for the appellant wants to withdraw this appeal, but he is not available today.

At request, put up on **12.02.2026** for recording the statement qua withdrawal of the appeal.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.02.2026

A.No. 278/19

11.02.2026

Present : Sh. K.K. Upadhyay, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent along
with Sh. Rahul, JSA.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Arguments heard. Report perused.

From the status report, the list of rural villages which have been urbanized is clear, but still it is not clear whether the subject property falls in Burari or Burari Extension as the land of Village Burari is in category H, whereas of Burari Extension is in category 'G'. The appellant has filed G-8 receipt dated 12.08.2019 vide which property tax for the year 2004-05 to 2018-19 was deposited under Self Assessment Amnesty Scheme. Apart from this receipt, the PTR form has not been filed, which as per the appellant, was not filled by him and this amount of tax was calculated by the Property Tax Department.

The respondent is directed to produce the Property tax record of the subject property specifically of this receipt no. 33644 dated 12.08.2019 of property owned by Ashok Kumar Tyagi, Khasra no. 564/1, Village Burari, Delhi, on the next date of hearing.

...contd.2

: 2 :

Put up for further augments on **05.05.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.02.2026

A.No. 331/21

11.02.2026

Present : Sh. Achin Mittal, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta,, counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that he wants to withdraw his vakalatnama and has already informed the appellant in this regard.

Same is required to be done in writing. However, infacts, issue court notices to all the four appellants for **12.05.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.02.2026

A.No. 366/21 & 403/22

11.02.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent in
appeal no. 403/22.
Sh. Mohit Sharma, Ld. counsel for the respondent in
appeal no. 366/21.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal
is disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with
copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record
room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.02.2026

A.No. 63/23, 424/23, 532/23 & 106/24

11.02.2026

Present : Sh. Mayank Rustgi and Sh. Prem Singh, Ld. counsels for the appellant.
Sh. Vijay Tyagi, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeals no. 63/23 & 424/23 through VC.
Sh. Anubhav Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 532/23 through VC.
None for the respondent in appeal no. 106/24.

The appellant has filed an application under Order VI rule 17 CPC along with proposed amended appeal except in appeal no. 424/23, where proposed amended appeal has not been filed, as well as an application under Order XLI rule 27 CPC along with site plan also filed.

Copies for the respondent placed on record. Let the same be collected.

Appellant is directed to file the proposed amended appeal in 424/23.

At request, put up for reply and arguments on both the applications on **28.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.02.2026

A.No. 572/23 & 278/24

11.02.2026

Present : Sh. S.S. Das, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent in
appeal no. 572/23.
None for the respondent in appeal no. 278/24.

An adjournment is sought by the ld. counsel for the
appellant as he is not available in post-lunch sessions
and it is already 1.30 pm.

At request, put up for arguments on **29.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.02.2026

A.No. 470/24

11.02.2026

Present : Sh. Rishi Manchana, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Pritish Sabharwal and Sh. Sanjeet Kumar, Ld.
counsels for respondent through VC.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main arguing counsel is not available due to some personal difficulty.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **21.05.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.02.2026

A.No. 1068/24

11.02.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant along with
appellant no. 4 and 5.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellants submits that he has instructions from the appellants to withdraw the aforesaid appeal as the appellants wants to file regularization application with the respondent and he may be permitted to withdraw the aforesaid appeal.

Statement of Id. counsel for the appellants recorded separately to this effect.

In view of the statement made by the Id. counsel for the appellants, the aforesaid appeal is disposed off as withdrawn.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.02.2026

A.No. 1/25 (M) & 2/25 (M)

11.02.2026

Present : Sh. Kamla Prasad, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant/applicants.
None for the respondent.

This is an application seeking restoration of the appeal dismissed in default on 21.03.2022. The present application was filed on 10.01.2025 after almost 3 years and condonation of delay has been sought through separate application on the ground that the appellant is a 70 years old senior citizen and his counsel did not appear in the matter nor informed the appellant.

Though, there is enormous delay in moving the restoration application, yet only with a reason to not to condemn the appellant unheard, the delay is condoned and the appeal is restored to its original number subject to a cost of Rs. 5,000/- each in both the appeals, to be deposited with the Registry of this Tribunal.

The applications disposed of.

Put up for arguments on the appeal as last and final opportunity to the appellant on **26.05.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.02.2026

A.No. 63/25

11.02.2026

Present : Sh. Yogendra Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.
None for the respondent.

Arguments heard on the application seeking condonation of delay in filing the aforesaid appeal.

As per the appellant, he had no knowledge of the impugned sealing order dated 01.08.2017 till part of the property was sealed on 16.12.2024 and thereafter, the counsel was engaged, who inspected the record on 09.01.2025 and thereafter, this appeal was filed.

Without going into the merits of the submissions and with an endeavour to decide the appeals on merits, the delay is condoned subject to deposit a cost of Rs. 5,000/- in appeal with the Registry.

The applications stand disposed of.

At request, put up for arguments on appeal on **15.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.02.2026

A.No. 253/25

11.02.2026

Present : Sh. Chinmay Jain, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Mayank Upredi, Ld. Proxy counsel for the respondent.

It is stated by the ld. counsel for the appellant that partial action of demolition was taken by the respondent on 01.09.2025 without any vacation notice.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the respondent as there is marriage of main counsel himself in the next week.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the respondent to address the arguments in the matter.

At request, put up for arguments in the matter on **04.06.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.02.2026

A.No. 537/25

11.02.2026

Present : Sh. S.M. Pandey, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.

Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied. As per the status report, the regularization application of the appellant was rejected on 02.05.2024.

The appellant thereafter, applied for reopening of the regularization application on 20.05.2025, but it is stated that yet the processing fees of Rs. 200/- has not been deposited so far.

The appellant is directed to do the needful and also to comply with Invalid Notice dated 21.04.2025 and thereafter, pursue her regularization application.

At request, put up for further proceedings on **18.05.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.02.2026