

A.No. 107/26

12.02.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Rahul Malhotra, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **20.04.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the order dated 27.01.2026. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.02.2026

A.No. 112/26

12.02.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Deepak Gupta, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant through VC.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **17.04.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.02.2026

A.No. 113/26

12.02.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Ms. Parul Agarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **14.05.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the ground and first floor of property of appellant in pursuance of the demolition orders dated 03.12.2013 and 21.01.2026. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.02.2026

A.No. 115/26, 116/26, 117/26, 118/26, 119/26 & 120/26

12.02.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Ms. Shachi Jain, Ld counsel for the appellants along with some of the appellants and Sh. Vikas Goswami, Advocate through VC.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **28.05.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.02.2026

A.No. 121/26

12.02.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : None for the appellant.

File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **28.05.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.02.2026

A.No. 98/19

12.02.2026

Present : Sh. Manoj Kumar, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant.
None for the respondent/MCD.
Sh. Mahipal Singh Rajput, Id. counsel for the intervener
along with intervener Sh. Rajinder Singh Tanwar in
person.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as
main counsel has gone to his native village because of
some urgency.

Same is strongly opposed on behalf of intervener as
appellant has been seeking adjournments for several
dates.

However, in the interest of justice one last and final
opportunity is granted to the appellant either to withdraw
the appeal or to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **23.04.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.02.2026

A.No. 1056/24

12.02.2026

Present : Ms. Nisha B, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.

File is taken up today on an application of early hearing filed on behalf of the appellant.

The next date of hearing in the matter is 13.07.2026. The appeal is of the year 2024. In view of the heavy pendency, no early hearing is possible. The application is dismissed.

Put up on the date fixed i.e. **13.07.2026** for the purpose fixed.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.02.2026

A.No. 637/25

12.02.2026

Present : Sh. Vishal Khanna, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent
along with Sh. Sri Niwas, AE(B).

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with
Registry.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the
record and to file certain documents.

At request, put up for arguments on **24.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.02.2026

A.No. 668/25

12.02.2026

Present :

Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Ms. Anshika, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Atul Tanwar (who is also appearing through VC), Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record.

As per letter dated 25.07.2025, the regularization application was rejected as the property falls under the unauthorized colony. Ld. counsel for the appellant has drawn my attention to the documents filed with the appeal which shows that the Raj Nagar, Part-II, Palam Colony is in the list of unauthorized regularized colony and further status report filed in some other case by the respondent mentions that this colony is an unauthorized regularized colony.

Let Id. counsel for the respondent to seek clarification from the department on this aspect and to file report in this regard under the signatures of Dy. Commissioner as to whether Raj Nagar, Part-II, Palam Colony is an unauthorized colony or is an unauthorized regularized colony or is in village abadi.

...contd.2

: 2 :

Put up for arguments on **22.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.02.2026

A.No. 754/25

12.02.2026

Present : Sh. Dheeraj Vats and Sh. Paritosh Saraswat, Ld counsels
for the appellant.
Sh. Anupam Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the DDA submits that he has just received the file and seeks time to address arguments after going through the record and documents.

At request made by Id. counsel for the DDA, put up for arguments on **30.07.2026**.

The appellant is also directed to file the copies of the orders/judgments of the cases mentioned in para 7.14-7.16 of the appeal on or before the next date of hearing.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.02.2026

A.No. 759/25

12.02.2026

Present : Sh. Gautam Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. S.G. Asthana, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Sanjay Sharma, counsel for the respondent. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Ld. counsel for the respondent seeks copies of the documents filed with the appeal as only the copy of the appeal was received with the summons. Let the same be supplied.

At request, put up for arguments on **24.03.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.02.2026

A.No. 766/25

12.02.2026

Present : Sh. Rohit Gupta, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. S. Adil Hussain, Ld counsel for the respondent along
with Sh. Deepak Gulati, AE(B), City SP zone.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with
Registry.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as
main counsel is not available today due to some personal
difficulty.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted
to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **06.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.02.2026

A.No. 857/25

12.02.2026

Present :

Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Yogender Singh, AE(B).

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry.

It is stated for the appellant that he has applied for regularization of the construction in the subject property on 04.02.2026 and since demolition should be the last resort, outcome of the regularization application should be awaited and till then, the property may be protected where part demolition action has been taken on 06.02.2026.

Ld. counsel for the respondent has confirmed that the regularization application has been filed.

In view of the same, till a decision is taken on the regularization application, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the demolition order impugned in this appeal.

Put up for arguments on **09.04.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.02.2026

A.No. 64/26

12.02.2026

Present : Mohd. Azam Ansari and Sh. Afzal Ansari, Ld counsel for the appellants along with appellant no. 23 and 29
Ms. Harita Mehta, Ld counsel for the respondent through VC.
Ms. Jyoti, Ld. proxy counsel for the respondent in person.
Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record

Some time sought on behalf of the respondent to file the status report and the record.

An adjournment is sought by the Id. counsel for the appellant on the ground of illness of his younger brother.

At request put up for arguments on **30.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.02.2026

A.No. 573/13

12.02.2026

Present : Sh. Sunil Kumar Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent through
VC.
Sh. Anupam Sharma, Ld. counsel for the respondent/
DDA.

Arguments heard at length.

Put up for orders on **23.02.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.02.2026

A.No. 5/14

12.02.2026

Present :

Sh. Prashant Katara, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.

Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld. counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to remove excess coverage in the basement of 5.19 sq. mtrs and also to remove the stair-case in front portion of the basement to bring the property within sanctioned building plan.

It is also stated that the appellant shall apply for regularization for 13.01 sq. mtrs., on the terrace i.e. the roof of the first floor as it is in compoundable limit.

The same has been said on the basis of status report filed by the respondent on 10.07.2025.

Though ideally same should have been done by now in this appeal pending since the year 2014, however, the appellant is given one opportunity which shall be the last, to comply with the submissions made today, failing which the appeal shall be decided on merits on the next date of hearing.

Put up for further proceedings/arguments on **27.02.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.02.2026

A.No. 317/17, 318/17, 319/17, 320/17, 343/17, 344/17, 345/17 & 346/17

12.02.2026

Present : Sh. Rohit Jain and Sh. Gourav Jain, Ld counsel for the appellant with appellant.
Ms. Manjusha Jha, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeals no. 317/17 and 345/17.
Sh. Mohit Sharma, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeals no. 343/17 and 320/17 along with Sh. Sri Niwar, AE(B).
Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeals no. 319/17 and 346/17.
Sh. Avishek Kumar, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal nos. 318/17 and 344/17.
Sh. Parul Agarwal, Ld. counsel for the intervener.

Part arguments heard at length.

Put up for further arguments on **06.03.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.02.2026

A.No. 240/19
Shakuntala Devi Vs MCD

12.02.2026

Present : Sh. Aditi Aggarwal , Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Sachin Mittal, Ld. counsel for the Intervener.

1. Argument heard on the application seeking condonation of delay in filing this appeal.
2. The demolition order dated 06.10.2016 has been challenged and the appeal was filed on 16.04.2019. In this application it is stated that the delay of 843 days occurred as neither the show cause notice nor the demolition order was ever served. It was never pasted as claimed by the respondent since neither there is any photograph of pasting nor there are any witnesses for this pasting.
3. Ld. counsel for the respondent on the other hand argued that demolition action in the property has been taken since October 2016 in the presence of appellant yet she did not file the appeal which is highly belated. It was further stated that service through pasting is proper service under Section 444 of the DMC Act and therefore, the application should be dismissed. Reliance has been placed on the following judgments.

Contd...2/-

- i) Paramjeet Kaur Vs MCD 1994 (56) DLT 720.
- ii) Narender Pratap Dueby Vs Union of India 1999 (81) DLT 378.
- iii) Hari Dutt Vashistha Vs MCD 1978 (2) ILR (Delhi) 28.
- iv) Usha Devi Sharma Vs MCD 220 (271) DLT 76

4. I have perused the record. The respondent served the show cause notice and the demolition order through pasting as none was found at site despite visits. Pasting is proper mode of service if the person is found not available at site. Record further shows that partial demolition action was taken in the subject property on 30.08.2018 and 18.03.2019. The appellant herself in para-7.7 of the appeal has stated that demolition action was taken in October 2016 and 18.03.2019. The appellant therefore, had knowledge of the action of demolition taken by the respondent since October 2016. She did not bother to obtain the demolition order if it was not served as per her case. There is no material on record to show that the appellant approached respondent after October 2016 to obtain the copy of the demolition order. The delay

Contd...3/-

-3-

of 843 days is enormous and un-explained. In these facts the application seeking condonation of delay is dismissed. Accordingly, appeal is also dismissed being barred by limitation.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.02.2026

A.No. 369/20 & 370/20

12.02.2026

Present : Sh. Sanam Malhotra, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

These two matters were remanded back by the Ld. Appellate Court on 07.01.2025. The record of the respondent probably has been sent back to the Department which is required to appreciate the documents of the respondent.

Let the record of the respondent be produced on the next date of hearing.

Put up for further arguments on **02.06.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.02.2026

A.No. 153/21

12.02.2026

Present : Sh. Mukesh Sharma, Id. proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. D.K. Santoshi is held up before Hon'ble High Court.

The appeal pertains to the year 2021. However, in the interest of justice, one last and final more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **04.06.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.02.2026

A.No. 740/22

12.02.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

The appellant was directed on the last date to file the completion certificate and the plan of the building as handed over to the appellant by the predecessor-in-interest of the appellant.

Ld. counsel for the appellant has stated that the completion certificate is already on record at page no. 77 and further the building plan as provided to the appellant is at page on 119 of the appeal and no sanctioned building plan was provided to the appellant by the seller.

The appellant has not filed any property tax return of the property prior to 2004-05. The documents with the respondent show that the property tax was deposited for second and third floor from the year 2004-05 to 2020-21 in one go. No property tax return has been filed by the parties prior to 2004-05.

The respondent is directed to produce the entire original record of Property Tax Return of this property bearing plot no. 1/21, Asaf Ali Road, Delhi, available with it on the next date of hearing.

...contd.2

: 2 :

Put up for further arguments on **09.04.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.02.2026

A.No. 94/23

12.02.2026

Present : Sh. Abhishek Kumar, Ld. counsel for the appellant through VC.
Sh. Gopal Agonia, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant.
None for the respondent/NDMC.

Powers in respect of the matters of NDMC not yet received.

Put up for arguments on **09.06.2026**, for awaiting powers.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.02.2026

A.No. 505/23

12.02.2026

Present : Ms. Sana Ansari and Sh. I. Ahmed, Ld. counsels for the appellant.

Sh. Shitij Vats, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought by the ld. counsels for the appellant as they are not available in post-lunch sessions and it is already 1.30 pm.

There is no interim protection in this case.

At request, one last and final opportunity is given to the appellant to address arguments on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **24.07.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.02.2026

A.No. 209/24

12.02.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Pritish Sabharwal and Sh. Sanjeet Kumar, Ld
counsels for the respondent. Fresh Vakalatnama filed,
same is taken on record.
Sh. Malaya Chand Kumar, Ld. counsel for the intervener.

Arguments on the application under Order I rule 10 CPC
heard.

Put up for order on this application on **17.02.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.02.2026

A.No. 632/24

12.02.2026

Present : Sh. Shoryajeet Chakravorty, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An application filed by the appellant to place on record the additional documents. Copy supplied.

The Development plan of Mahipal Pur Village of 1975 is of no assistance to decide this appeal as it is not disputed by the respondent that the property exists and further the ground floor has already been protected under National Capital Territory of Delhi Laws (Special Provision) Second Amendment Act, 2011. Same is returned. However, the photographs are taken on record without prejudice to the rights of the respondent. The application stands disposed of.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks some more time to file more documents. Same opportunity was sought on the last date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **18.05.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.02.2026

A.No. 678/24

12.02.2026

Present : Sh. Manish Kumar, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Pritish Sabharwal and Sh. Sanjeet Kumar, Ld
counsels for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as
main counsel is hospitalized.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted
to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **23.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.02.2026

A.No. 49/25

12.02.2026

Present : Sh. K.S. Kashyap, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.

Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellants submits that appellant wants to withdraw the aforesaid appeal as he wants to approach to the appropriate authority for redressal of his grievance and the appellant may be permitted to withdraw the aforesaid appeals.

Statement of appellant recorded separately to this effect.

In view of the statement made by the appellant, the aforesaid appeal is dismissed as withdrawn.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.02.2026

A.No. 6/25 (M)

12.02.2026

Present : Sh. Jayant Garg, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Pritish Sabharwal and Sh. Sanjeet Kumar, Ld
counsels for the respondent.

Arguments heard on the application seeking restoration of the appeal dismissed in default on 03.11.2022 as well as application seeking condonation of delay in moving the restoration application filed on 19.03.2025.

As per the appellant, she was suffering from various ailments and could not pursue her appeal diligently and therefore, it was dismissed and the delay occurred.

Same is opposed as the medical documents do not show that she was confined to bed or admitted in the hospital for the entire period.

Though the medical documents do show that the appellant was not well, but are not sufficient to show that she was admitted to the hospital for the entire period.

The period of admitted were limited to 13 days in May 2023 followed by a month in 2024 and apart from these, there is no other admission. The documents however show that she was constantly not keeping well.

...contd.2

: 2 :

Considering the fact that she is a widow and was suffering from ailments all along, the delay is condoned and the appeal is restored to its original number subject to cost of Rs. 5,000/- to be deposited with the Registry of this Tribunal.

The applications stand disposed of.

Put up for arguments on the appeal on **06.07.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.02.2026

A.No. 267/25

12.02.2026

Present : Sh. Gaganpreet Singh, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Ranjeet Pandey , Ld counsel for the respondent through VC.

It is submitted by the Id. counsel for the respondent that part action has already been taken against the property.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel is held up before Hon'ble High Court.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **14.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.02.2026

A.No. 495/25

12.02.2026

Present : Sh. Manoj Kumar, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant.
Ms. Anshika, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Atul Tanwar (who is also appearing through VC), Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel has gone to his native village due to some urgency.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **23.04.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.02.2026

A.No. 798/25

12.02.2026

Present : Sh. Pawan Kumar, Ld. counsel for the appellant along with Appellant.

None for the respondent.

Matter today is listed on early hearing application. None is present for the respondent despite service.

The Hon'ble High Court has protected the appellant till today vide order dated 06.12.2025. As per this order, regularization application of the appellant is pending before the respondent.

In view of the same, let the status report of that regularization application be filed on the next date of hearing i.e. **07.04.2026**, the date already fixed.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.02.2026

A.No. 767/23 & 768/23

12.02.2026

Present : Sh. Mayank Mahendru along with Sh. Siddhant Banga,
Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Bhuvneshwar Tyagi, Ld. counsel for the intervener.

Vide separate common judgment of even date, both the
aforesaid appeals are dismissed.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with
copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record
room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.02.2026