

A.No. 127/26

19.02.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Chaudhary Sh. Rabindra Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **14.07.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the sealing order dated 17.04.2018. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.02.2026

A.No. 135/26

19.02.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Sumit Gaba, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **13.07.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the demolition order dated 22.12.2025. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.02.2026

A.No. 137/26
Uma Malik and Ors. Vs. MCD

19.02.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. B.S. Kharb and Sh. Nitin Yadav, Ld counsels for the
appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld. counsel for the respondent.

Submissions heard. File perused.

The appellant has challenged a vacation notice dated 03.02.2026 issued under Section 349 read with 346 DMC Act. In this vacation notice, the respondent has mentioned about some sealing/demolition order passed by the competent authority.

Ld. counsel for the respondent, who appeared on instructions and after seeking further instructions from the office, has stated that till date, no demolition/sealing order has been passed in this case and only show cause notice u/s 343 DMC Act has been issued on 11.02.2026 and u/s 345-A DMC Act has been issued on 13.02.2026 and the respondent as per law is supposed to provide at least 15 days to the aggrieved person(s) to respond to these show cause notices.

Ld. counsel for the appellant has stated that all the appellants have already given their representations to the concerned Dy. Commissioner on 13.02.2026 and same should be considered.

...contd.2

: 2 :

Though the concerned Dy. Commissioner is supposed to consider the representations stated to be submitted by the appellants, yet they are required to give their reply separately to the show cause notices.

Be that as it may.

The aforesaid appeal is against the vacation notice dated 03.02.2026, which is not appealable before this Tribunal.

The appeal is dismissed with liberty to challenge the demolition/ sealing order as per law.

Appeal stands disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.02.2026

A.No. 138/26

19.02.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Parveen Kumar Duhan, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Also heard on the interim application.

The appellant has claimed that the private respondents are installing the lift in an area more than the one sanctioned to them and same will obstruct the right of way of the appellant.

Admittedly, the construction has not yet been started and this contention is only an apprehension without any basis.

No case for interim protection is made out.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer **as well as to private respondent(s)**.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **18.03.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.02.2026

A.No. 139/26

19.02.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Amanpreet Singh Singh, Ld. Legal Aid Counsel, for
the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to
the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer **as
well to the private respondent no. 2**, for next date of
hearing.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the
presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in
person along with the record of the proceedings, status
report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal
on **09.07.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.02.2026

A.No. 140/26

19.02.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Vipin Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Part submissions heard. File perused.

At request, put up for further consideration on
20.02.2026.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.02.2026

A.No. 1010/24

19.02.2026

Present : Sh. Sagar Nandwani, Ld. counsel for the appellant along with appellant.

File is taken up today on an application of early hearing and another application to amend the appeal, filed on behalf of the appellant.

Issue notice to these two applications to the respondent for the date fixed i.e. **06.07.2026** as early hearing is not possible in view of the heavy pendency.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.02.2026

A.No. 39/25

19.02.2026

Present : Sh. Himanshu Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Anupam Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent
through VC.

It is stated for the appellant that he will return to India in the end of May, this year and will appear before the concerned authority with original ownership documents in first week of June.

Let the appellant to appear accordingly before the concerned authority as mentioned in the order dated 17.02.2026 with original conveyance deed on 04.06.2026 at 2.00 pm.

Put up for arguments on **08.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.02.2026

A.No. 569/25

19.02.2026

Present : Ms. Parul Agarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

The appellant has challenged the sanctioned building plan of the private respondent nos. 2 and 3, but notice was not issued to them. Issue notices to the respondent nos. 2 and 3 for the next date of hearing.

Record as well as status report be filed on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on the appeal on **04.08.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.02.2026

A.No. 594/25

19.02.2026

Present : Sh. Harshil Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. P.K. Jindal, AE(B).

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry.

Part arguments heard.

At request made by the ld. counsel for the appellant, who seeks some time to inspect the status report, put up for further arguments on **29.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.02.2026

A.No. 598/25 & 599/25

19.02.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record

Reply to the application seeking condonation of delay as well as status report filed. Copy supplied.

The record has already been produced and deposited with Registry.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks some time to inspect the status report and record.

At request, put up for arguments on **30.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.02.2026

A.No. 684/25

19.02.2026

Present : Husband of the appellant in person.
Sh. P.K. Jindal, AE(B) in person.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with
Registry.

No counsel has yet been appointed by the respondent in
this case.

Put up for arguments on **02.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.02.2026

A.No. 693/25

19.02.2026

Present : Sh. Atul Sharma, Mohd. Asad and Sh. Kamal Sagar, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. K.K. Sharma, AE(B) City SP Zone.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Part arguments heard.

There is some ambiguity in respect of covered area of the subject property in PTR of 2009-10 and inspection carried out in 2014.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to clarify on this aspect.

At request put up for further arguments on **15.07.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the impugned order. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.02.2026

A.No. 700/25

19.02.2026

Present : Sh. Ajay Dahiya, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.

Sh. Avishek Kumar, Ld. counsel for the respondent.

VC of the court is not working today.

The record has already been produced.

Put up for arguments on **27.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.02.2026

A.No. 723/25

19.02.2026

Present : Sh. G.M. Farooqui, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks some more time to file application to implead the legal heirs of the deceased appellant.

At request, put up for further proceedings on **22.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.02.2026

A.No. 727/25

19.02.2026

Present : Sh. Ramesh Saraf, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks some time to file the additional documents to show that the construction in the subject property exists prior to 07.02.2007.

At request, put up for arguments on the appeal on **12.05.2026**.

Let the status report in respect of 'Shashi Garden' where the property in question is situated be filed with regard to whether the colony is unauthorized colony or unauthorized regularized colony, on the date fixed.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.02.2026

A.No. 728/25

19.02.2026

Present : Ms. Malika Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Ms. Mithileh Kumari, AE(B), Central Zone.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Part arguments heard.

Put up for further arguments on **02.04.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.02.2026

A.No. 881/25

19.02.2026

Present : Sh. Neeraj Kumar and Ms. Lalita Pandey, Ld counsels for the appellant along with appellant.

Sh. Sagar Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record

Ld. counsel for the respondent seeks some time to file the record. Let the same be filed on or before the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on the appeal on **06.05.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.02.2026

A.No. 14/26 (M)

19.02.2026

Present : Sh. Ashwani Jha, Ld counsel for the appellant.

This is an application seeking compliance of order dated 30.10.2025.

Issue notice of this application to the respondent for **09.03.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.02.2026

A.No. 569/22

19.02.2026

Present : Sh. Rajiv Ranjan, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.
Appellant in person.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellants submits that appellant wants to withdraw the aforesaid appeal and he may be permitted to withdraw the aforesaid appeal.

Statement of the appellant recorded separately to this effect.

In view of the statement made by the appellant, the aforesaid appeal is dismissed as withdrawn.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.02.2026

A.No. 666/22 & 294/24

19.02.2026

Present : Sh. Anuj Kumar Garg and Ms. Parul Verma, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal n. 294/24.
Sh. Avishek Kumar, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 666/22.

Part arguments heard.

The respondent vide letter dated 14.11.2014 gave permission to repair in pursuance to the letter of the appellant dated 13.06.2014. That letter is relevant to ascertain what repairs were sought by the appellant and on which ground. This letter is neither available in MCD record nor filed by the appellant.

Appellant is directed to place on record copy of this letter as well as the photographs filed by the respondent MCD before the Hon'ble High Court.

Put up for further arguments on **13.03.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.02.2026

A.No. 644/23 & 746/23

19.02.2026

Present : Sh. Arjun Malik, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.
Sh. Mohit Sharma , Ld counsel for the respondent
Sh. Adarsh Kumar Lohiya, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh.
Pritish Sabharwal, counsel for the respondent in appeal
no. 644/23 through VC.
Sh. R.K. Jain, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal
no. 746/23.

Part arguments heard.

Ld. counsel for the appellant has stated that vide order of this court dated 19.09.2022 passed in appeal no. 457/2019, this court has remanded the matter back on the ground that show cause notice was not issued in the name of the owner/occupier and on the grounds of parity, the appeals of the appellant should also be remanded back subject to correction in the floor of the appellants in those appeals for which applications have been moved by the appellant herein as well as the appellants of those appeals.

Ld. counsels for the respondent have submitted that they have not yet received the copy of the applications filed by the appellants in A. Misc. no. 71/23 (M) & 73/23 (M).

Let the copies of those applications be supplied to the counsel for the respondent.

.....contd.2

: 2 :

Reply, if any, to these applications be filed with advance copy to the other side.

Put up for arguments on the pending applications, if any, as well as appeal on **17.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.02.2026

A.No., 71/23 (M) & 73/23 (M)

19.02.2026

Present : Sh. Arjun Malik, Ld counsel for the applicant through VC.
Appellant in person through VC.
Sh. Mohit Sharma, Ld. counsel for the respondent in
71/23 (M) & 73/23 (M).

In these miscellaneous applications filed by Satender Singh, the respondent has already filed the reply.

The appellant has also moved applications in the form of Misc. application seeking modification of judgment dated 19.09.2022.

Let the copies of those applications be supplied to the counsel for the respondent.

Reply, if any, to these applications be filed with advance copy to the other side.

Put up for arguments on all the pending applications on **17.07.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.02.2026

A.No., 70/23 (M) & 72/23 (M)

19.02.2026

Present : Sh. Arjun Malik, Ld counsel for the applicant through VC.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld. counsel for the respondent

In these miscellaneous applications filed by Satender Singh, the respondent has already filed the reply.

Appellants have moved an application seeking their deletion on the ground that they have already sold the property. Copy of the application already supplied.

Ld. counsel for the applicant Satender Singh has requested that the details of the purchaser may be provided so that their Misc. application can be properly prosecuted. The applicant Satender Singh was not party to the main appeal and therefore, there is no locus to seek details of the purchaser and he is required to argue the application on its own merits.

Put up for arguments on **17.07.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.02.2026

A.No. (660/19) 93/24 (M)

19.02.2026

Present : Mohd. Anwar, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Previous cost has not yet been deposited.

Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant seeks some time to deposit the cost. Let the same be deposited, failing which the appeal shall be dismissed for non-prosecution.

Put up for arguments on **04.06.2026**. Date of Thursday is given at the request of ld. proxy counsel and it is made clear that no further adjournment shall be granted on the date fixed.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.02.2026

A.No. 522/24 & 815/24

19.02.2026

Present : Sh. Imran Ahmad, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Varun Goswami, Ld. counsel for the intervener.

An adjournment is sought by the counsel for the appellant on the ground of some personal difficulty. Same is not opposed.

At request put up for arguments on **23.03.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.02.2026

A.No. 712/24

19.02.2026

Present : Sh. V.K. Mantoo , Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent through VC.
Sh. R. Luthra, Ld. counsel for the respondent no. 2 through VC along with respondent no. 2 in person.
Sh. Jitender Khatri, AE(M-II), SNZ in person.
Sh. Atul Kumar, AE(B) Shahdara, North Zone in person.

Status report is filed by the Maintenance Deptt. (MCD) along with photograph. Same is taken on record.

The remaining encroachment on the ground floor can be removed only after the unauthorized construction on the first floor is removed. It is stated by the AE(B) that he has recently joined and seeks some time to inspect the site.

Let the same be inspected and status report be filed on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **17.04.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.02.2026

A.No. 907/24

19.02.2026

Present : Sh. Arjun Malik, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.
Appellant in person.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. R.K. Singh, Ld. Proxy counsel for the DDA.

DDA was directed to verify the letter dated 16.09.1997 to which it was reported that the said record is not traceable as the Enforcement Branch now does not exist. However, in the letter 22.10.2024 issued by Asstt. Director, DDA, it was acknowledged that the letter dated 16.09.1997 was issued by the erstwhile Enforcement Branch. DDA thereafter, was directed to verify as to whether the letter dated 22.10.2024 was issued by the DDA or not.

Ld counsel for the DDA seeks some time to verify this letter.

Put up for arguments on the appeal irrespective of the fact whether the letter dated 22.10.2024 is verified by the DDA or not till next date of hearing, on **04.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.02.2026

A.No. 113/24 (M)

19.02.2026

Present : Sh. Kunban Chanravanshi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

It is stated by the Id. counsel for the respondent that he had instructions when the Misc. application was pending, but after restoration of the appeal, he has to seek instruction and go through the record to argue on the appeal.

In-facts, put up for arguments on the appeal on **02.06.2026.**

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.02.2026

A.No. 379/25

19.02.2026

Present : Sh. Divyam Gaur and Ms. Anshika Sethi, Ld. Proxy
counsels for the appellant.
Sh. Saurav Bhasin, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Manish Yadav, Nodal Officer for DDA.

Part arguments heard.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as
main counsel is not available due to some personal
difficulty.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted
to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for further arguments on **27.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.02.2026

A.No. 539/25 & 540/25

19.02.2026

Present : Sh. Praveen Suri, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant requests for adjournment as he is not available in post-lunch Sessions. It is already 1.30 pm.

At request, put up for arguments on **16.03.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.02.2026

A.No. 778/25

19.02.2026

Present : Sh. Deepak Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.

Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

At request, put up for further arguments on the appeal on **12.05.2026**.

Let the status report in respect of 'Shashi Garden' where the property in question is situated be filed with regard to whether the colony is unauthorized colony or unauthorized regularized colony, on the date fixed.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.02.2026

A.No. 14/19 & 81/19

19.02.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 14/19.
Sh. H. R. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 81/19.

Vide separate common judgment of even date, the aforesaid appeals are dismissed.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.02.2026