

A.No. 136/26

20.02.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Ms. Vani Garg, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **12.03.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.02.2026

A.No. 141/26

20.02.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Ms. Rajia Sultana along with Sh. Rohit Chauhan, Ld
counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to
the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the
presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in
person along with the record of the proceedings, status
report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal
on **28.07.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken
against the property of appellant in pursuance of the
demolition order dated 05.02.2026. However, it is made
clear that no encroachment on the public land is
protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any
further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.02.2026

A.No. 142/26

20.02.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Ghanshyam Mishra, Ms. Priyanka Verma and Ms. Kusum Sahani, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Copy of the electricity bill filed by the appellant.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **13.07.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the demolition order dated 05.01.2026. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.02.2026

A.No. 144/26

20.02.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Harsimran Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **28.04.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the demolition order dated 09.04.2025. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.02.2026

A.No. 145/26 & 146/26

20.02.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **24.03.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the demolition order dated 04.02.2026. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.02.2026

A.No. 147/26

20.02.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Sunil Lalwani along with Sh. Ritesh Kumar, Ld
counsels for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to
the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the
presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in
person along with the record of the proceedings, status
report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal
on **15.04.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.02.2026

A.No. 148/26

20.02.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Ms. Ira Arora and Sh. Saksham Mittal, Ld counsels for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **01.06.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the Third floor of the property of appellant in pursuance of the demolition order dated 02.02.2026. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.02.2026

A.No. 923/18, 924/18, 184/19 & 335/21
Tarun Kaushik and Ors. Vs MCD

20.02.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent in
appeal no. 923/18 & 924/18.
Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld. counsel for the respondent in
appeal no. 184/19.
Sh. R.K. Kashyap, Ld. counsel for the respondent in
appeal no. 335/21 through VC.

As per the status report filed on the last date of hearing,
the subject properties have been regularized.

In view of the same, these appeals have become
infructuous and the appellant(s) are at liberty to remove
the seal of its own.

The appeals stand disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with
copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record
room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.02.2026

A.No. 566/25

20.02.2026

Present :

Sh. Javed Khan, Sh. Anubhav Chandra and Ms. Anshula Gupta , Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Record has already been submitted.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that the Hon'ble High Court vide order dated 10.07.2025 passed in W.P.(C) no. 9549/2025 in para 19 of this order, directed the MCD to supply copy of all the notices/documents to the appellants herein on e-mail recorded in that order itself, yet the same has not been done till date.

Ld. Proxy counsel for the respondent seeks time to verify this aspect.

Irrespective of that the respondent is directed to supply the copies as mentioned by Hon'ble High Court through e-mail.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record of the MCD.

At request, put up for arguments on the appeal on **06.07.2026.**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.02.2026

A.No. 592/25

20.02.2026

Present : Sh. Ayush Dassi, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **25.05.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.02.2026

A.No. 692/25

20.02.2026

Present : Ms. Neha Bisht, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant through VC.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record

Reply to the interim application filed by the respondent.
Copy placed on record.

No application has been filed by the appellant seeking condonation of delay in filing this appeal. The appellant is directed to take the necessary steps on or before the next date of hearing.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as daughter of main counsel is not well today.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **29.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.02.2026

A.No. 856/25 & 874/25

20.02.2026

Present : Sh. Deepanshu, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh
Gupta, Counsel for the respondent along with Sh.
Lokesh Rathore, JE(B).

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has already been submitted.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as
main counsel Sh. Rishabh Raj is not available due to
some family exigency.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted
to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **03.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.02.2026

A.No. 133/26

20.02.2026

Present : Sh. Afroz Khan and Ms. Sanya Khan, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Ms. Vasu Singh, Ld counsel for the respondent.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to go through the record and to file the certain documents as to how the construction of the said property is protected against any action under DMC Act.

At request, put up for arguments on **12.03.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.02.2026

A.No. 140/26

20.02.2026

Present : Sh. Vipin Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.

Additional documents filed by the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **23.07.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the ground, first and second floor of the property of appellant in pursuance of the demolition order dated 05.02.2025. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.02.2026

A.No. 446/14

20.02.2026

Present : Mohd. Fahad, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. Ankur, Ld. counsel for the appellant through VC.
None for the respondent.

An application for adjournment is filed on behalf of the appellant as main counsel has gone to attend a marriage in his family.

The appeal pertains to the year 2014 and even on the last date of hearing, adjournment was sought. However, in the interest of justice one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **23.03.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.02.2026

A.No. 39/16

20.02.2026

Present : Sh. B.K. Pandey, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that regularization application of the appellant is still pending.

Let the status report in respect of regularization application of the appellant be filed on the next date of hearing.

Put up for further proceedings on **17.03.2026** awaiting the outcome of said application.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.02.2026

A.No. 151/17 & 237/17
Sampat Raj Chordia Vs MCD
20.02.2026

Present : Sh. Abhishek Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Jai Gupta, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta,
counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Id. counsel for the intervener.

1. These are two appeals challenging the sealing order dated 22.02.2017 in appeal No.151/17 and the demolition order dated 05.09.2016 in appeal No.233/17 in respect of property bearing No.106/339, extended abadi, Village Khera Kalan, Delhi-82.
2. As per appellant he is owner in possession of this property by virtue of sale deed dated 02.05.1996 and his name is duly recorded in the revenue record and the Lal Dora Certificate. It is also stated that property tax is duly paid by the appellant for this property. However, on 27.09.2016 notice under section 343 and 344 (1) of DMC Act was received by him which was duly replied. Further on 02.03.2017 order u/s 345-A of the DMC Act was found pasted on the outer wall of the premises and the property was sealed. The appellant has challenged these two orders on the ground that no opportunity of being heard was provided to him and further the action was taken on the false compliant of one Karamvir Rana who alleges to be the owner of the property.

Contd....2...

3. The intervener Sudhir Singh Rana filed application under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC seeking impleadment and also questioned the maintainability of these appeals. It was stated by the intervener that he is the rightful owner of the property. The allotment of the subject property to the appellant was withdrawn vide resolution No.155 dated 16.09.2010 by the concerned Consolidation Officer as well as vide order dated 01.09.2010 passed by the Settlement Officer, Narela. The appellant lodged cases before Financial Commissioner in case No.397/2010, before Hon'ble High Court in LPA No.355/2017 and also before the Civil Court in CS No.536238/2016 and has no right, title or interest in the subject property and therefore, appeal is not maintainable. It was further stated that the appellant has already been allotted another piece of land in lieu of subject property and therefore, the appeals should be dismissed.
4. Ld. counsel for the appellant on the other hand argued that the sale deed in favour of the appellant remains un-challenged till date. The property was sealed when it was in possession of the appellant and therefore, he being aggrieved by the impugned orders has every right to file these two appeals.

Contd....3...

5. I have perused the record. The appellant purchased the subject property on 02.05.1996 by virtue of registered sale deed executed by Sh. Lal Chand. Thereafter, the same was mutated in his name in the revenue record and in the Extended Abadi Deh Certificate. Subsequent to the consolidation proceedings of village Khera Kalan, the subject property was allotted to him after consolidation proceeding. However, the allotment of this plot in favour of the appellant was withdrawn vide resolution No.155 dated 16.09.2010 as he was not the original resident of village Khera Kalan and in lieu of the subject property he was allotted alternate land. The appellant challenged this resolution No.155 before Financial Commissioner but lost the appeal on 07.10.2016. The Financial Commissioner recorded that the appellant being not original 'Bhumidhar' of Village Khera Kalan, he is not entitled to plot inside extended abadi. Therefore, the allotment of subject property to the appellant after consolidation proceedings stood withdrawn. The appellant in the meantime also filed a civil suit before Senior Civil Judge, Rohini and the same was dismissed on

Contd...4....

28.11.2018. The Ld. Civil Court observed that the appellant in his affidavit of evidence prepared on 24.10.2017 concealed the fact that his appeal before the Financial Commissioner has already been dismissed on 07.10.2016. Further, the appellant challenged the order of the Financial Commissioner before the Hon'ble High Court in LPA No.455/17 but the same was also dismissed. It is relevant to note that even in these two appeals which were filed on 06.04.2017 and 07.03.2017, the appellant concealed the order of the Financial Commissioner.

6. The appellant is left with no right, title or interest in the subject property once the allotment in his favour in consolidation proceedings was withdrawn and further when he was allotted alternate land in lieu of the subject property. Though it is correct that the sale deed in favour of the appellant remains unchallenged till date but the same is of no use since in the consolidation proceedings the appellant has been allotted alternate property in lieu of the subject property. Not only that the appellant has no right, title or interest in the subject property, he cannot be said to be an aggrieved person also as he now has an

Contd....5....

alternate property in lieu of the subject property and sealing of the subject property does not interfere in his rights in the alternate allotted property. Whether the possession of alternate property has been received by the appellant or not is not a question to be decided by this Tribunal as the appellant has separate remedy in that regard. These appeals therefore, are not maintainable as the appellant does not have any right, title or interest in the subject property nor is a person aggrieved.

6. In view of the above facts, both the appeals are dismissed.
7. Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.02.2026

A.No. 202/17

20.02.2026

Present : Sh. Kapil Tiwari, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

It is submitted by the ld. proxy counsel for the appellant that the appellant no. 1 has passed away and he needs some time to take steps to implead his legal heirs.

At request, put up for further proceeding on **17.04.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.02.2026

A.No. 427/18

20.02.2026

Present : Sh. I. Ahmad, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.
Sh. K.K. Arora, Ld counsel for the respondent.

A short accommodation is sought by the ld. counsel for the appellant as he is held up before the Hon'ble High Court.

Record shows that appellant has been seeking adjournments in this case since long and a cost of Rs. 3,000/- was imposed on 31.08.2022, Rs. 5,000/- on 11.05.2023, Rs. 5,000/- on 06.11.2023 and despite that appellant has not advanced arguments.

Ld. counsel for the respondent has drawn my attention to the fact that as per the appeal, the appellant is owner of T-257, whereas the impugned order is for the property no. T-256 and this appeal is not maintainable.

I have perused the record.

The demolition order dated 15.06.2018 was passed in respect of property no. T-256, Quresh Nagar, Delhi-06. The appellant has specifically stated in the appeal that he is concerned about the property no. T-257. The prayer clause however says that the impugned order dated 03.02.2014 received on 27.03.2014 in respect of property no. 834, Block B, Joshi Road, Karol Bagh, be set aside.

....contd.2

: 2 :

All these facts are sufficient to dismiss this appeal as the demolition order is only against the property no. T-256 whereas the appellant is concerned only about the property no. T-257.

This appeal is lingering since 2018. However, the counsel for the appellant is given only one last and final opportunity to satisfy how this appeal is maintainable.

Put up for arguments on this aspect on **25.02.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.02.2026

A.No. 111/21

20.02.2026

Present : Appellant joined through VC.
Ms. Diya Jain, Ld. proxy counsel for Ms. Jaya Goyal,
counsel for the respondent/DDA.
Sh. Manish Yadav, Nodal Officer for the DDA.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel is not available due to some personal difficult.

Similar request is made on behalf of the respondent.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the parties to address the arguments in the matter on the next date of hearing.

At request, put up for arguments on **30.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.02.2026

A.No. 105/22

20.02.2026

Present : Sh. A.K. Vashishta, Ld counsel for the appellat through VC.
Sh. Jai Gupta, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellat seeks some short accommodation due to some personal difficulty.

At request, put up for arguments on **04.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.02.2026

A.No. 231/22, 275/22, 256/24 & 257/24

20.02.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Avishek Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Puneet Goyal, Ld. counsel for the intervener.

Ld. counsel for the appellant has placed on record the copy of the order dated 06.12.2025 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in W.P.(C) no. 18545/2025 preferred by the appellant against the order of this court dated 03.12.2025. The Hon'ble High Court after noting that the regularization application of the appellant is pending before the MCD gave directions to decide the regularization application within 8 weeks from 06.12.2025. The Hon'ble High Court further directed that no coercive action be taken till the pendency of regularization application.

In view of the same, the respondent is directed to file the status report qua this regularization application which was directed to be disposed of within 8 weeks from 06.12.2025.

The intervener has filed application under Section 379 BNSS in appeals no. 231/22 and 275/22.

Issue notice of this application to the appellant for next date of hearing. Let the copy be supplied to the appellant.

....contd.2

: 2 :

In the meantime, the record of appeal no. 58/24 and 59/24 titled as Ashok Kumar Taneja vs. MCD decided on 07.03.2024 be also summoned for the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on this application as well on 07.05.2026.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.02.2026

A.No. 270/22

20.02.2026

Present : SPA Holder of the appellant in person.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Subhash Chawla, Ld. counsel for the respondent Ajay Kapoor.
Sh. Vishal Bansal, Ld. counsel for the respondent Annan Deep Singh along with respondent. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record
Sh. Uttam Panwar, Ld. counsel for the Vinod Khanna, Rekha Khanna and Monika Khanna, through VC.

Reply has been filed by the respondent Ajay Kapoor.
Copy already supplied.

Copy of the order dated 07.02.2026 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in CM No. 7067, 7068 in CM No. 76137 of 2025 in which, the Order of this court dated 13.11.2025 was challenged by the appellant has been placed on record by the appellant. Same is taken on record.

Ld. counsel for the respondent Annan Deep Singh seeks copy of the appeal.

Record shows that earlier also counsel appeared for him on 24.04.2024 and 23.07.2024 and Vakalatnama was filed for him. Thereafter the respondent who at that time was only a proposed respondent chose not to appear.

Contd....2...

-2-

He was impleaded as respondent later on, on 03.11.2025 and when the notice of the appeal was issued to him for 16.01.2026, the same was refused by him. In these facts he is required to obtain the certified copy of the appeal and the reply, if any, be filed with advance copy to the opposite party/appellant before next date of hearing.

Appellant is directed to file the amended memo of parties in view of the order dated 03.11.2025.

In view of the these, put up for arguments on **07.04.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.02.2026

A.No. 396/22

20.02.2026

Present : Ms. Ishika, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant along with
Sh. Ramesh Chand.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal , Ld counsel for the respondent.
Ms. K. Kiran Sharma, Ld. counsel for the intervener.

Arguments on maintainability of the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC heard. Even if it is presumed that all the averments made in the application is correct, then also in this proceeding between the appellant and the MCD the applicant has no right to participate and he cannot become a party as there is a clear-cut judgment of Delhi High Court in case ***Hardayal Singh Mehta Vs MCD, AIR 1990 Delhi 170*** in which it is held that in the matter between the appellant and the MCD, no third person can join and become a party to such proceedings and in such proceedings the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC is not maintainable. Any dispute between the applicant and the appellant has to be dealt with and to be decided by the Civil Court separately. Accordingly, application moved by applicant under order 1 Rule 10 CPC is hereby dismissed. However, the applicant is permitted to file the documents, if any and to orally argue the matter at the final arguments stage.

....contd.2

: 2 :

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant for arguments on the appeal as main counsel Sh. Manu Sisodia is not available due to back to back demise in his family.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **10.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.02.2026

A.No. 218/23 & 219/23

20.02.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

None has appeared for the appellant despite repeated calls since morning.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Put up for arguments on **22.07.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.02.2026

A.No. 82/24

20.02.2026

Present : Sh. Harvinder Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.
Sh. H.R. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Arguments heard on the applications seeking condonation of delay of about 165 in filing the aforesaid appeal. The appellant in earlier appeal No. 319/23 challenged the show cause notice dated 28.02.2022 issued under Section 345-A of the DMC Act and that appeal was dismissed being not maintainable on 21.09.2023 with liberty to take appropriate remedy. As per the appellant, he received the copy of the sealing order only on 27.07.2023. Even from that day, there is unexplained delay in filing this appeal. However, with an endeavour to decide the appeals on merits, the delay is condoned subject to deposit a cost of Rs. 5,000/- in each appeal with the Registry.

The applications stand disposed of.

At request, put up for arguments on appeal on **05.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.02.2026

A.No. 565/24

20.02.2026

Present : Sh. Kuldeep Singh Grewal, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.
Appellant in person.
None for the respondent.

As none has appeared for the respondent today as well as on the last date of hearing, issue fresh notice to the respondent for ensuring the presence of the counsel for arguments on **30.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.02.2026

A.No. 1076/24

20.02.2026

Present : Sh. Ujjawal Tripathi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent through
VC.

An affidavit filed on behalf of the appellant in compliance to the order dated 20.12.2024. Copy placed on record for the opposite side.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel is held up before the Hon'ble High Court.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **05.08.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.02.2026

A.No. 245//25, 246/25 & 247/25

20.02.2026

Present : Sh. Hari Shankar Prasad, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Avishek Kumar , Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Ms. Parul Agarwal has been suffering from fever and is not in a position to appear today.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **06.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.02.2026

A.No. 341/25

20.02.2026

Present : Appellant in person.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel is held up before Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **06.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.02.2026

A.No. 866/18

20.02.2026

Present : Ms. Garima Aggarwal, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.

Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld. counsel for the respondent.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal is allowed and the matter is remanded back to the Quasi Judicial Authority for deciding the same afresh.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.02.2026