

A.No. 165/26

25.02.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Ms. Madhupreet Nanda, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **29.04.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.02.2026

A.No. 166/26 & 167/26

25.02.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. P.C. Arya, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

At request, put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal along with connected appeals bearing no. 742/25 and 743/25 on **11.03.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the impugned order. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.02.2026

A.No. 168/26 & 169/26

25.02.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

At request, put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal with connected appeals on **20.05.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the impugned order(s). However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.02.2026

A.No. 170/26

25.02.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Shrey Tanwar and Sh. Ankit Verma, Ld counsels for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **06.05.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the demolition order dated 30.12.2025. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.02.2026

A.No. 633/25

25.02.2026

Present : Sh. M. Rehman, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Jai Gupta, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta,
counsel the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with
Registry.

The appellant who is the owner of the third floor of the
property states that the show cause notice was duly
replied by the predecessor-in-interest of the appellant on
13.05.2025. This reply is not available in the office record
of the respondent.

Respondent is directed to verify and inform whether the
reply given by Mrs. Sharad Arora dated 13.05.2025 (at
running page 36-46 of the appeal) was received in the
office or not and if yes, whether it was considered by the
Quasi Judicial Authority or not, on next date of hearing.

Put up for further arguments on **29.07.2026**.

Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.02.2026

A.No. 654/25

25.02.2026

Present : Sh. Rohit Kumar Modi, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.
Ms. Anusueya, Ld. counsel for the appellant in person.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Vinod Sharma Ld. counsel for the respondent no. 2.
Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Ld. counsel for respondent no. 2 sought copy of the appeal. Let the copy of the appeal be supplied.

The respondent/MCD has issued work stop notice of the said lift because respondent no. 2 has revoked the NOC for installation of lift. The reason of revocation is water pipe line and sewage/IGL pipe line at the proposed site of lift.

The appellant at page no. 39 and 40 of the appeal has proposed for shifting of the aforesaid pipelines.

The appellant and the respondent no. 2 are directed to first identify about the exact nature of these pipelines as there is some dispute whether one pipe line is sewage pipeline or IGL gas pipeline.

....contd.2

: 2 :

Respondent no. 2 is further directed to inform this court as to what objection the society has if these pipelines are shifted at the cost of the appellant to the proposed site. The respondent no. 2 is at liberty to file the reply to this appeal, if desired, with advance copy to the other side. At request, put up for arguments on **08.04.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.02.2026

A.No. 664/25, 665/25, 666/25 & 708/25

25.02.2026

Present : Sh. Manu Sisodia, Ld counsel for the appellant in appeal nos. 664/25, 665/25 & 666/25.
Sh. Parinav Gupta, Ld. counsel for the appellant in appeal no. 708/25
Sh. Jai Gupta, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel the respondent 664/25 & 666/25 & 708/25 along with Sh. P.R. Meena, AE(B), Karol Bagh Zone, Delhi.
None for the MCD in appeal no. 665/25.

A Joint status report in three appeals bearing nos. 664/25, 665/25 & 666/25 filed and separate status report filed in appeal no. 708/25. Copy supplied.

The record has already been submitted in all the aforesaid appeals.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the respondent as main counsel Sh. Ashutosh Gupta is not well and is not in a position to appear today.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the respondent to address the arguments in the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on all the aforesaid appeals on **21.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.02.2026

A.No. 699/25

25.02.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, counsel for the respondent through VC.
Sh. Akash Tanwar, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Atul Tanwar along with Sh. Manoj Kumar, AE(B) in person.
Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy placed on record.

The record has already been submitted.

None has appeared for the appellant despite repeated calls since morning.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Put up for arguments on **28.07.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.02.2026

A.No. 36/26, 37/26, 38/26, 39/26, 40/26, 41/26, 42/26, 43/26 & 44/26

25.02.2026

Present :

Ms. Nivedita Tiwari, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeals no. 37/26, 40/26 & 42/26 through VC.

Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal nos. 36/26 and 39/26.

Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeals no. 38/26 and 41/26 through VC. Fresh Vakalatnama filed on his behalf through proxy counsel, same is taken on record

Sh. Sanjay Sethi, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeals no. 43/26 & 44/26 through VC.

Status reports are filed by the MCD separately in each appeal. Copy supplied.

The record has already been submitted.

These appeals have been filed against two sealing orders dated 28.02.2022 and 18.04.2022 as well as the demolition order dated 04.01.2022 apart from challenging certain show cause notices and vacation notice.

The appeal against the show cause notice and vacation notice is not maintainable. Further, the appellant is required to press this appeal only against one order as a common appeal challenging three orders is not maintainable.

....contd.2

: 2 :

Ld. counsel for the appellants seeks time to take instructions from the appellants in this regard as to which order is to be assailed out of three orders.

At request, put up for arguments on **15.07.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.02.2026

A.No. 134/26

25.02.2026

Present : Sh. Satyender Chahar, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.

File is taken up today on an application of the appellant under Order I rule 10 CPC to implead the private respondents in this appeal.

Issue notice of this application to the proposed respondent no. 2 and 3 and the respondent/MCD for the date fixed i.e. **27.02.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.02.2026

A.No. 322/17 & 858/17
Naresh Chand Vs. MCD

25.02.2026

Present : Sh. Madhusudan Bhayana, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC
Sh. Chetan, ld. proxy counsel for the appellant along with appellant in person.
Ms. Praveen Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 322/17.
Sh. A.L. Agnihotri, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 858/17 through VC.

Ld. counsel for the appellant as well as appellant, who is present in person, submits that the appellant wants to withdraw the aforesaid appeals and he may be permitted to withdraw the aforesaid appeals.

Statement of the appellant recorded separately to this effect.

In view of the statements made by the appellant himself, the aforesaid appeals are dismissed as withdrawn.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.02.2026

A.No. 427/18

25.02.2026

Present : Ms. Sana Ansari, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. K.K. Arora , Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

The prayer of the appeal is in respect of some other demolition order and a property at Joshi Road, Karol Bagh, Delhi, whereas the property of the appellant is in Quresh Nagar, Sadar Bazar, Delhi.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that it is a case of inadvertent error of copy-pasting and she will take steps to amend the prayer clause of the appeal.

At request, put up for further arguments on **29.04.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.02.2026

A.No. 724/18 & 725/18
Govind Kumar Vs. MCD

25.02.2026

Present : Sh. Manoj Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Ms. Praveen Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent.

1. A common/joint Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.
2. Arguments heard at length.
3. It is the case of the appellant that he duly replied the show cause notice u/s 344 (1) read with 343 of the DMC Act dated 17.11.2017 as well as the show cause notice issued u/s 345A of the DMC Act dated 28.02.2018 but none of his reply were considered and the impugned orders were passed without considering replies of the appellant. Copy of these replies dated 27.11.2017 and 14.03.2018 were filed along with the appeals.
4. Respondent was directed to verify whether these replies were received or not as the same were not available in the office record. The respondent today has filed the status report which says that these replies were received vide diary no. 202 on 06.12.2017 and vide diary no. 366 on 14.03.2018. Copies of both these replies with diary numbers have been filed with the status report. The impugned

orders show that they were passed on the premises that no reply has been received. The respondent therefore passed the impugned orders not only without considering the replies of the appellant but also without giving any opportunity of personal hearing.

5. In these facts, the impugned demolition order dated 29.11.2017 and the sealing order dated 14.09.2014 passed in respect of unauthorized construction in the shape of stilt to third floor of property no. RZ-16D, Main Road, Roshan Mandi, Najafgarh, New Delhi are set-aside with directions to the respondents to pass a speaking order after considering the replies dated 27.11.2017 and 14.03.2018 submitted by the appellant and after giving personal hearing to the appellant. The appellant shall appear before the Quasi Judicial Authority on **16.03.2026 at 2.00 pm** and the speaking order be passed within 6 weeks of conclusion of the hearing.
6. Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.02.2026

A.No. 528/19

25.02.2026

Present : Sh. Abhijat, Ld. senior counsel for the appellant through VC.
Sh. Jai Gupta, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought by the Id. counsel for the appellant as he is struck up in Hon'ble High Court.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **06.05.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.02.2026

A.No. 48/20

25.02.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Jai Gupta, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh
Gupta, counsel for the respondent.

Previous cost dated 06.02.2024 has not yet been
deposited.

None has appeared for the appellant despite repeated
calls since morning. It is already 12.35 pm.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Put up for arguments on **22.05.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.02.2026

A.No. 298/21

25.02.2026

Present : Sh. Sanjay Sharma, Ld. counsel for the appellant along
with appellant in person.
Sh.Umesh Burnwal, Ld counsel for the respondent joined
through VC.

Arguments heard at length.

Put up for orders on **12.03.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.02.2026

A.No. 541/22

25.02.2026

Present : Ms. Parul Agarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal , Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

At request, put up for further arguments on **06.04.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.02.2026

A.No. 183/24 & 184/24

25.02.2026

Present : Sh. Manoj Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant.
None for the respondent.

None has appeared for the respondent despite repeated calls since morning.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Fresh notice be issued to the MCD for ensuring the presence of the counsel on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **16.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.02.2026

A.No. 743/24

25.02.2026

Present : Sh. Ravindra Kumar, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant through VC.

None for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as there is a marriage in the family of the main counsel Sh. L.P. Singh.

Even none has appeared for the respondent today.

Fresh notice be issued to the MCD for ensuring the presence of the counsel on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **05.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.02.2026

A.No. 768/24

25.02.2026

Present : Appellant in person.
Sh. Jai Gupta, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta,
counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as wife of the counsel Sh. Shubham Gupta is admitted in the hospital.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **06.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.02.2026

A.No. 816/24

25.02.2026

Present : Sh. Sudhkar Tiwari, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Jai Gupta, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta,
counsel for the respondent.

It is stated by the Id. counsel for the appellant that Civil Court has appointed as LC to visit the subject property to ascertain the extent of construction on the roof of the second floor i.e. the third floor of the subject property and that LC is yet to inspect the property. He seeks time to file the report of the LC as and when he visits the subject property and seeks adjournment for today.

The report of that LC is not relevant for the subject dispute as the appellant claims protection of the third floor under National Capital Territory of Delhi Laws (Special Provision) Second Amendment Act, 2011.

Infacts, put up for arguments as last and final opportunity on **05.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.02.2026

A.No. 1042/24

25.02.2026

Present : Sh. Mandeep Singh, Sh. Vinay Kumar and Sh. Dheeraj Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

Appellant has filed copy of PTR of 2009-10 along with PTR form and Tax deposit receipt of the year 2005-06 to 2009-10. Copy supplied.

The respondent is directed to verify these documents and to file the status report in this regard.

Put up for further arguments on **10.07.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the impugned order. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.02.2026

A.No. 1065/24

25.02.2026

Present : Sh. Gaurav Rathore, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Aditya Raj, Ld. counsel for the respondents no. 2 and 3.

Matter did not settle between the parties till date as they failed to sit.

Appellant submits that he is ready to bear 1/3rd of the cost of installment of the lift.

Private respondents are directed to bring on record all the bills relating to the entire construction cost of the lift.

Put up for further proceedings on **25.03.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.02.2026

A.No. 244/25

25.02.2026

Present : Sh. Naushad, Sh. Mohit Singh and Sh. Shubham, Ld counsels for the appellant along with appellant in person.
Sh. Sagar Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Vimal Dhingra, Ld. counsel for the intervener through VC.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks some more time to file the complete chain of ownership documents.

Same is strongly opposed as more than three months have been passed since last date of hearing when the time was sought to file these documents.

In these facts, the appellant is given one last and final opportunity to file these documents and the arguments shall be heard positively irrespective of these documents, on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **23.07.2026**.

Interim orders to continue till the next date of hearing, however, same shall not come in the way of any order passed by the Hon'ble High Court and Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.02.2026

A.No. 437/25 & 438/25

25.02.2026

Present : Sh. D.K. Chaudhary, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant along with appellant Ms. Parvesh Devi in person.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel is not available today due to illness.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **27.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.02.2026

A.No. 349/20 & 386/20

25.02.2026

Present : Sh. Shayak Kumar and Ms. Muskan, Ld counsel for the appellant in person along with son of the appellant through VC.
Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, Ld counsel for the respondent through VC.

Arguments heard.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal is dismissed.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.02.2026

A.No. 205/21 & 259/21

25.02.2026

Present : Ms. Parul Agarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Mohit Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Vide separate common judgment of even date, the aforesaid appeals are dismissed.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
25.02.2026