

A.No. 164/26

26.02.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Shashwat Bhardwaj and Ms. Khushboo Gupta, Ld
counsels for the appellant along with appellant in person.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to
the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the
presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in
person along with the record of the proceedings, status
report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal
with other connected appeals on **03.06.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
26.02.2026

A.No. 171/26

26.02.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Atul K. Sharma and Sh. R.K. Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks some time to file some more documents to show how the third floor of the property is protected under National Capital Territory of Delhi Laws (Special Provision) Second Amendment Act, 2011.

In the meantime, issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

At request, put up for consideration on **09.03.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
26.02.2026

A.No. 172/26

26.02.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Pushkar Arya, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **19.05.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the demolition order dated 24.01.2025. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
26.02.2026

A.No. 173/26

26.02.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **07.04.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the demolition order dated 09.01.2026. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
26.02.2026

A.No. 649/25

26.02.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent along
with Sh. Hemant Singh Negi, AE(B), Civil Line Zone.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with
Registry.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that the appellant
has sold the property and he will move an appropriate
application under Order XXII rule 10 CPC for substitution
of the appellant.

At request, put up for arguments on **29.04.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
26.02.2026

A.No. 710/25

26.02.2026

Present : Sh. Ajeet Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld counsel for the respondent. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Ld. counsel for the respondent seeks some time to file the status report as well as record.

At request, put up for arguments on **20.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
26.02.2026

A.No. 767/25 & 452/22
Kavita Aggarwal Vs. MCD

26.02.2026

Present : Sh. Hardik Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 767/25.
Ms. Aarti Bansal, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 452/22.
Mohd. Asif, AE(B) City SP Zone, in person.

Status report is filed by the MCD in appeal no. 767/25, copy placed on record for the appellant.

Submissions heard.

Appeal no. 452/22 is against a demolition order dated 11.07.2022 booking unauthorized construction at ground to third floor of property no. 4500, Daiwara, Nai Sadak, Delhi with amalgamation of this property with property no. 4365 and 4366, Jogiwara, Nai Sadak, Delhi.

During the pendency of this appeal, the appellant moved an application for addition and alteration against the sanctioned building plan and same was allowed under the SARAL Scheme and new sanctioned building plan was permitted on 20.06.2024. It was done on an online application filed under SARAL Scheme. Since the appellant got the sanctioned building plan purportedly for the earlier booking of 2022, there is no cause of action

...contd.2

: 2 :

left in appeal no. 452/22 as after filing this appeal, fresh sanctioned building plan was obtained making this appeal infructuous and therefore, appeal no. 452/22 is disposed of being without any cause of action. Office record of the respondent of this appeal be tagged with the file of appeal no. 767/25. Appeal file bearing no. 452/22 be consigned to Record Room.

Now coming to the appeal no. 767/25, as per the status report filed today, the regularization application of the appellant is under process being pending before CTP for their comments. The core issue during the arguments arose regarding the amalgamation of the subject property no. 4500, Daiwara, with 4365 and 4366, Jogiwara, Delhi. Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that the appellants are ready to remove this amalgamation as well as non-compoundable deviations to be pointed out by the respondent. It is further stated that the amalgamation could not be removed because of Work Stop Notice of the MCD.

Since the appellant has volunteered to remove the amalgamation, the operation of the Work Stop Notice be stayed till 30.04.2026. Let the amalgamation be removed

....contd.3

: 3 :

by then, but the appellant shall not make any other construction in the property except of removing the amalgamation.

The respondent is also directed to apprise the counsel for the appellant about the non-compoundable deviations and projections if any on Municipal land within 2 weeks from today through e-mail and whats-app. It will be better if the appellant remove those non-compoundable deviations and projection on municipal land by 30.04.2026. MCD is supposed not to take any action till 30.04.2026.

Put up for arguments on **13.05.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
26.02.2026

A.No. 122/26

26.02.2026

Present : Sh. A.K. Trivedi, Ld. counsel for the appellant

File is taken up today on an application of early hearing filed on behalf of the appellant.

Let notice of this application be issued to the respondent/MCD and private respondents for **02.03.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
26.02.2026

A.No. 364/12, 1222/13 & 120/18
Shanta Kumari Vs. MCD

26.02.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant along with
Sh. Pawan Kumar, son of the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Arguments heard. Files perused.

These are three appeals. Appeal no. 120/18 is against the demolition order dated 03.12.2009, whereas appeal no. 364/12 is against the sealing order dated 04.03.2010, both passed in respect of unauthorized construction in property no. 35, Radhey Shyam Parka, Shahdara, Delhi. The third appeal no. 1222/13 is challenging the rejection of the regularization application rejected vide order dated 14.11.2013.

The appellant is the owner of 40 sq. yards of this property no. 35, Radhey Shyam Parka, Shahdara, Delhi after having purchased the same from Gurdoyal Chug, who was the owner of the 100 sq. yards of the area. It is the case of the appellant that none of the show cause notices and the two demolition and sealing order were not served upon her.

As far as the sealing file is concerned, there is no proof of service of the show cause notice dated 29.12.2009. The

....contd.2

: 2 :

office record as well as the notings are completely silent as to by which mode and upon whom, this notice was served. Same is correct for sealing order dated 04.03.2010.

Coming to the demolition record, the show cause notice was received by one Ashwani Kumar, but there are no office notings to explain as to who he was and how he was related to the appellant or the subject property. Further, demolition order was served through pasting and by speed post, but was issued in the name of owner/builder and not in the name of the appellant. The service is doubtful considering that there are two portions in property no. 35, one owned by the appellant and other owned by her vendor. In these facts, it is clear that appellant was not served and the principles of natural justice were violated.

In these facts, the demolition order and sealing order are set aside with directions to the respondents to pass a speaking order after considering the reply and documents to be submitted by the appellant and after giving personal hearing to the appellant.

The appellant shall appear before the Quasi Judicial Authority on 17.03.2026 at 2.00 pm and the speaking order be passed within 6 weeks of conclusion of the hearing.

: 3 :

Ld. counsel for the appellant has stated that in view of this order, the appellant may be permitted to withdraw her appeal no. 1222/13 with liberty to apply for regularization afresh as per prevailing policy of Prorate FAR.

Statement of Id. counsel for the appellant recorded separately to this effect.

In view of the statement made by the Id. counsel for the appellant, the aforesaid appeal bearing no. 1222/13 is dismissed as withdrawn.

The respondent is directed to desal the property within one week from today.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal files be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
26.02.2026

A.No. 410/15, 285/16, 1056/17, 1067/17, 1068/17, 13/18 & 14/18

26.02.2026

Present : None for the appellant in appeal no. 410/15.
Sh. Rishabh Jain, Ld. counsel for the appellant in appeal no. 285/16
Sh. B.S. Tiwari, Ld. counsel for the appellants in appeals no. 1056/17, 1067/17, 1068/17, 13/18 & 14/18.
Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld. counsel for the respondents in appeal no. 1056/17 through VC.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 410/15.
Ms. Jaishree, Ld. proxy counsel for Ms. Rashmi Srivastava, Ld. counsel for DDA in appeal no. 410/15.
Sh. Jatin Aggarwal, Ld. counsel for the DDA in appeal no. 285/16 through VC.
Sh. H.R. Aggarwal, Ld. counsel for the respondent/MCD in appeal no. 1067/17 and 285/16.
None for the respondent in appeal no. 14/18 and 13/18.

Further arguments heard.

Put up for orders on **27.03.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
26.02.2026

A.No. 874/16 & 875/16

26.02.2026

Present : Sh. Shiv Charan Garg, Sh. Raghav Garg, Sh. Puneet Ashtana and Sh. Devbrat Das, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld. counsel for the respondent through VC.

Arguments heard at length.

The appellant has filed affidavit in compliance of the order dated 19.12.2023 with photographs and an application for waiver of the cost dated 22.01.2026. Copy supplied.

Heard. In view of the submission made, the cost is reduced to Rs. 15,000/- in each appeal. Let the same be deposited today itself.

Put up for further arguments on **07.04.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
26.02.2026

A.No. 194/17

26.02.2026

Present : Sh. Kumar Jaggi, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. S.K. Nanda, Ld. counsel for the intervener.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Ashok Chhabra, is held up before Hon'ble High Court.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **05.05.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
26.02.2026

A.No. 299/17

26.02.2026

Present : Sh. S.K. Nanda , Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

At request, put up with connected matter i.e. appeal no.
194/17 on 05.05.2026.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
26.02.2026

A.No. 413/20

26.02.2026

Present : Ms. Shobhana Tanwar, Ld. Legal Aid counsel for the appellant through VC.

Appellant in person.

Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. LAC seeks a short accommodation on the ground that she has been recently appointed by the DLSA and has already applied for certified copies, which are yet to be received and the date given for certified copies is 27.03.2026.

Infacts, put up for arguments on **28.04.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
26.02.2026

A.No. 276/22

26.02.2026

Present : Sh. Neeraj Kumar Shah, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Ms. Vasu Singh, Ld counsel for the respondent through VC.

An adjournment is sought by ld. counsel for the appellant as he is not feeling well.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **15.05.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
26.02.2026

A.No. 519/22

26.02.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

A short accommodation is requested by the Id. counsel for the appellant on the ground that he has yet to apply and take certified copies of the record.

At request, put up for arguments on **14.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
26.02.2026

A.No. 528/22

26.02.2026

Present : Sh. Neeraj Kumar Shah, Ld counsel for the appellant
along with appellant.

Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought by ld. counsel for the appellant
as he is not feeling well.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted
to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **15.05.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
26.02.2026

A.No. 1/24

26.02.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
None for the respondent.

Part arguments on behalf of the appellant heard.

None has appeared for the respondent despite repeated calls since morning.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Fresh notice be issued to the MCD for ensuring the presence of the counsel on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **10.03.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
26.02.2026

A.No. 66/24

26.02.2026

Present : Ms. Radhika, Ld counsel for the appellant.
None for the respondent.
Sh. Harish Gambhir, Intervener in person.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks copy of the application under Order I rule 10 CPC moved on the last date of hearing.

Copy was placed on record and should have been collected by the appellant. However, same is supplied today from the record.

Fresh notice be issued to the MCD for ensuring the presence of the counsel on the next date of hearing.

Put up for reply, if any, and arguments on this application as well as appeal on **13.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
26.02.2026

A.No. 86/24, 88/24 & 122/24
Rajeev Trehan and Anr. Vs. MCD

26.02.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Kartik Sharma, Ld. Proxy counsel for the respondent
through VC.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the respondent as main counsel Sh. Anubhav Gupta is un-available today due to some personal difficulty.

On the last date of hearing, time was sought on behalf of the respondent to take instructions in view of the judgment of the Hon'ble Division Bench of the Hon'ble High Court passed in LPA no. 591/23 titled as MCD vs. Sanjeev Malhotra and Anr. No response has been received in this regard.

Further arguments heard. Record perused.

The appeal no. 86/24 is an appeal challenging the revocation order of the sanctioned building plan of the appellant dated 18.01.2024. The respondent is directed to reconsider the said order in view of the aforesaid judgment passed by the Hon'ble Division Bench of the Hon'ble High Court and to pass speaking order. The appellant of course shall be at liberty of being heard while reconsidering the revocation order of the

...contd.2

: 2 :

Sanctioned building plan of the appellant. Let the speaking order be passed within 8 weeks from the date of first hearing i.e. 09.03.2026.

The appellant shall appear before the Quasi Judicial Authority on 09.03.2026 at 2.00 pm and the speaking order be passed within 8 weeks of conclusion of the hearing.

In view of the same, **appeal no. 86/24 stands disposed of.**

The record of other two appeals no. 88/24 and 122/24 show that the property was booked for unauthorized construction only for the reason that the sanctioned building plan has been revoked making the existing construction as unauthorized. Since, the respondent has been directed to reconsider the revocation order in view of the judgment of the Hon'ble Division Bench of Hon'ble High Court as referred hereinabove, these two orders do not stand and there is no cause of action left for these two appeals. Both the appeals are disposed of.

The respondent is directed to deseal the property within 2 weeks, if lying sealed.

The respondent shall be at liberty to book the property afresh subject to outcome of the reconsideration of the revocation order.

....contd.3

: 3 :

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
26.02.2026

A.No. 392/24
Balram Chaudhary Vs. MCD

26.02.2026

Present : Sh. Anil Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant along with
appellant .
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent.

Arguments heard in the appeal. Record perused.

This is an appeal challenging the rejection of regularization application. As per the status report dated 28.10.2025, the property is in unauthorized colony, for which neither sanctioned building plan can be given nor the existing construction can be regularized.

In view of this, the appeal is meritless and is hereby dismissed.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
26.02.2026

A.No. 787/24

26.02.2026

Present : Mohd. Talib, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Atul Sharma is not well and is unable to appear today in the court.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **04.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
26.02.2026

A.No. 925/24

26.02.2026

Present : Sh. Vimal Dhingra, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ajay Gaur, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought by the Id. counsel for the appellant as he is not feeling well today.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **23.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
26.02.2026

A.No. 1051/24

26.02.2026

Present : Ms. Menka, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Paras Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent no. 1
and 2.
Respondent no. 3 in person.

An application seeking adjournment is filed on behalf of the appellant as main counsel is out of station due to illness of his father, who is around 85 years old.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **10.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
26.02.2026

A.No. 323/25

26.02.2026

Present : Sh. Sagar Mishra, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant
along with Appellant.

Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as
main counsel Sh. Ashutosh Mishra is not available today
due to bad health.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted
to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **28.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
26.02.2026

A.No. 397/25

26.02.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

None has appeared for the appellant despite repeated calls since morning.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Put up for arguments on **06.08.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
26.02.2026

A.No. 436/25

26.02.2026

Present : Sh. Habib Ahmad and Mohd. Ismile, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant has filed an application to place on record the documents relating to his application for regularization of the subject property. Copy supplied. Same are taken on record.

In this appeal, apart from sealing order dated 06.05.2025, (wrongly typed as 06.05.2015) the appellant has challenged certain show cause notices. The appeal against the show cause notices is not maintainable and will be considered only against the sealing order.

It has been stated that no other appeal has been filed by the appellant till date against the demolition order.

At request, put up for arguments on **29.07.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
26.02.2026

A.No. 311-A/23

26.02.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
None for the respondent.

None has appeared for the respondent no. 1/MCD today as well as on the previous date of hearing.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Fresh notice be issued to the MCD for ensuring the presence of the counsel on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **14.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
26.02.2026

A.No. 56/16

26.02.2026

Present :

Ms. Sonu Lohia, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Further arguments heard.

The appellant in the written submission has sought protection under National Capital Territory of Delhi Laws (Special Provision) Second Amendment Act, 2011. However, two different dates of protection have been mentioned.

As per point 3 of the written arguments, the cut-off date for protection has been mentioned as 08.02.2007 whereas at page 7 of the same point, it is mentioned that the cut-off date is 01.06.2014.

The property is situated in Madanpur Khadar, New Delhi. The respondent is directed to file status report about the nature of the locality as to whether the same is a village abadi, unauthorized regularized colony or unauthorized colony to ascertain the date of protection of the property under National Capital Territory of Delhi Laws (Special Provision) Second Amendment Act, 2011.

Let the status report be filed by **18.03.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
26.02.2026