

A.No. 175/26

27.02.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Anuj Kumar Garg, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **03.08.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the demolition order dated 29.09.2025. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.02.2026

A.No. 176/26 & 177/26

27.02.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Nitya Sharma and Sh Rajat Sharma, Ld counsels for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **04.05.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the properties of the appellants. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellants are directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.02.2026

A.No. 839/23

27.02.2026

Present : Ms. Deeksha, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Avishek Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks some time to file some additional documents. Let the same be filed with proper list of documents.

At request, put up for further arguments on **22.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.02.2026

A.No. 98/24

27.02.2026

Present : Sh. Kunal Madan, Ld counsel for the appellant.

File is taken up today on an application of early hearing filed on behalf of the appellant.

The next date of hearing in the matter is 16.07.2026. The appeal is of the year 2024. In view of the heavy pendency, no early hearing is possible. The application is dismissed.

Put up on the date fixed i.e. **16.07.2026** for the purpose fixed.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.02.2026

A.No. 595/25

27.02.2026

Present : Sh. Dharamveer Yadav and Ms. Ritu Chaudhary, Ld
counsels for the appellant.
None for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that he has instructions from the appellant to withdraw the aforesaid appeal and he may be permitted to withdraw the aforesaid appeal.

Statement of Id. counsel for the appellant recorded separately to this effect.

In view of the statement made by the Id. counsel for the appellant, the aforesaid appeal is disposed off as withdrawn.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.02.2026

A.No. 600/25

27.02.2026

Present : Sh. Jitender, Ld. counsel for the appellant joined through VC and Sh. Lalit Kumar and Sh. Abhinav, Ld counsel for the appellant in person.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Rajesh Rohilla, AE(B), KB Zone.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry.

Ld. counsel for the appellant that the appellant has already applied for regularization of his property and the same is still shown as pending at the MCD Portal.

Let the status report of this regularization application be filed on the next date of hearing.

At request, put up for arguments on the appeal on **04.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.02.2026

A.No. 616/25

27.02.2026

Present : Sh. Satyendra Kumar, Sh. Saransh Attri and Ms. Kajol Agarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **06.04.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.02.2026

A.No. 709/25

Gurnam Kaur Vs. MCD

27.02.2026

Present :

Sh. Karan Bhambhri, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh Sanjay Sethi, Ld counsel for the respondent through
VC.

1. Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.
2. The record has been produced and the same returned.
3. Arguments heard.
4. The property of the appellant was booked vide show cause notice dated 12.08.2025 in respect of unauthorized construction of rooms at ground floor. This show cause notice dated 12.08.2025 was replied by the appellant on 26.08.2025 and thereafter impugned demolition order dated 08.09.2025 was passed.
5. It is argued for the appellant that property was in dilapidated condition and she only carried out repairs but the respondent on false complaint of one Brij Mohan Arora booked the property. It was argued that no fresh construction was raised and only permissible repairs were carried out under clause 6.4.1 of Building Bye-laws and therefore the impugned order should be revoked.
6. It was argued for MCD that the appellant carried out unauthorized construction at the suit property by raising a room and boundary wall and labours and

Contd....2/-

:: 2 ::

tools were found at site and therefore the unauthorized construction was booked and the appeal should be dismissed.

7. I have perused the record. The photographs filed by the appellant clearly show existence of a boundary wall and rooms in the property in very dilapidated condition. She has also filed Google images showing existence of the property since long. As per the booking the appellant has raised rooms at ground floor. The photographs show that she has repaired only the existing room by replastering and strengthening the wall. The boundary wall was also in poor state which has been repaired and reconstructed. Same are permissible under the category of repairs under Building Bye-laws for which no permission is required. The earlier construction was quite old and as per satellite images much prior 2009.
8. In these facts the appeal is allowed and impugned demolition order dated 08.09.2025 passed in respect of property no. D-222, measuring 100 sq. yards in Darsharat Puri, New Delhi-110045 is set-aside.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.02.2026

A.No. 714/25 & 715/25

27.02.2026

Present : Sh. Parveen Suri, Ld. counsel for the appellant through VC.
Ms. Amol Kaur, Ld. counsel for the appellant in person.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent through VC and Sh. Akash Tanwar, Ld. proxy counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 714/25. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Id. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 715/25 along with Sh. Rajesh Rohilla, AE(B), KB Zone.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks some time to go through the status report and to advance arguments.

Ld. counsel for the respondent has submitted that arguments on the interim application may be heard as the order dated 28.10.2025 has protected the appellant from coercive action and therefore, either the order be clarified or the interim application may be decided.

Arguments heard at length.

Put up for orders on **16.03.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.02.2026

A.No. 882/25

27.02.2026

Present : Sh. H.K. Dhariwal, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.

File is taken up today on an application of early hearing and review of the order dated 05.01.2026 filed on behalf of the appellant.

The next date of hearing in the matter is 08.04.2026. The appeal is of the year 2025. In view of the heavy pendency, no early hearing is possible. The application is dismissed.

Put up on the date fixed i.e. **08.04.2026** for the purpose fixed.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.02.2026

A.No. 2/26 (M)

27.02.2026

Present : Sh. Rakesh Garg, Ld. counsel for the appellant.

File is taken up today on an application of early hearing filed on behalf of the appellant.

The next date of hearing in the matter is 10.04.2026. The appeal is of the year 2025. In view of the heavy pendency, no early hearing is possible. The application is dismissed.

Put up on the date fixed i.e. **10.04.2026** for the purpose fixed.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.02.2026

A.No. 89/26

27.02.2026

Present : Sh. Dewan Aehsas Puri and Sh. Kushagra Bali, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent through VC and Sh. Akash Tanwar, Ld. proxy counsel for the respondent. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The appellant has challenged the sanction for lift, but the persons, who have obtained the sanction, have not been impleaded as party and they have every right of being heard.

The appellant is directed to implead those private persons as respondents in the matter.

Further, the appellant has been filed this appeal on the basis of SPA, but the SPA is only on plain paper being notarized without any stamp duty being paid for executing this SPA. The appellant is also required to rectify this error.

Put up for arguments on **11.03.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.02.2026

A.No. 132/26

27.02.2026

Present : Sh. Devraj, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Appellant has also placed on record house tax receipts.
Same are taken on record.

The sale deeds of the appellant show that the site plan of the subject property was a part of the sale deeds. Let the entire sale deeds along with their site plans be filed on or before the next date of hearing with copy to the other side.

At request, put up for arguments on **20.04.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.02.2026

A.No. 155/26

Sunil Kumar Vs. MCD

27.02.2026

Present : Sh. R.K. Lamba and Sh. Mohit Sehrawat, Ld counsels for the appellant.

Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent through VC and Sh. Akash Tanwar, Ld. proxy counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 714/25.

1. Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.
2. The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry.
3. Arguments heard on the appeal as well as interim application.
4. The property of the appellant was booked vide show cause notice dated 07.01.2026 in respect of unauthorized construction from ground to fourth floor of property No.32, Village Pochan Pur, New Delhi. The same was duly replied by the appellant wherein he stated that no construction has been raised after November, 2023 because of paucity of funds.
5. It was argued for the appellant he tried to apply online for sanction building plan earlier and later for regularization but the portal of respondent did not open nor accepted the regularization application of the appellant and therefore, the impugned order should

be set aside and directions should be given to regularize the construction of the building.

6. Ld. counsel for the respondent on the other hand argued that this appeal challenging not only the demolition order but also seeks regularization of construction and common appeal for these two reliefs is not maintainable. It was further argued that admittedly construction has been raised without sanctioned building plan after 01.06.2014 and protection under National Capital Territory of Delhi Laws (Special Provision) Second Amendment Act, 2011 is not available.
7. I have perused the record. This Court cannot direct MCD to regularize the construction of the appellant as in Appellate jurisdiction only orders and notices can be challenged. The appellant can seek that relief only, if the regularization application is rejected. Admittedly, the same has not yet been filed through registered Architect as required and therefore, this Tribunal cannot give any direction to regularize the construction.
8. Coming to the challenged the demolition order. As per the title documents of the appellant he was gifted this property on 20.06.2020 and he raised construction thereafter. The Gift Deed does not show that the property is constructed up to 4th floor as shown in the photographs filed by the appellant. The

construction has been raised after 20.06.2020 without sanctioned building plan and is not protected under National Capital Territory of Delhi Laws (Special Provision) Second Amendment Act, 2011. The appellant can get it regularized only as per UBBL-2016. The submissions made by the appellant that the MCD Portal did not accept the regularization application is of no help to him as same has to be done through licensed Architect and not personal. The appeal is therefore, devoid of merits same is dismissed.

9. Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.02.2026

A.No. 5/14

27.02.2026

Present : Sh. Prashant Katara, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.
Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent through VC along with Ms. Sarita Gaur, ALO and Sh. H.D. Bhardwaj, AE(B).

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied to the clerk of the counsel.

As per this status report, the appellant has demolished the front stair case and has also removed the excess coverage in the basement. The appellant has further applied for regularization of the *mumty*, but the same has not been done as prescribed. He is required to file proper application through registered architect for regularization of the *mumty*. Let the same be done within 2 weeks.

Be awaited for further status report in respect of the regularization application, for **09.04.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.02.2026

A.No. 37/15

27.02.2026

Present : Sh. Ankit Verma, Ld. Legal Aid counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
None for the respondent.

An application under Order VII rule 14 CPC filed by the appellant to place on record the additional documents. Copy placed on record.

Without prejudice to the rights of the respondent, the documents are taken on record. The application stands disposed of.

None has appeared for the respondent despite repeated calls since morning.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Fresh notice be issued to the MCD for ensuring the presence of the counsel on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **20.03.2025**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.02.2026

A.No. 478/15

27.02.2026

Present : Sh. Anuj Garg, Ld. counsel for the appellant joined through VC. with Ms. Payal Verma, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Ranjeet Pandey, counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought by the Ld. counsel for the appellant as he is busy before the Hon'ble High Court.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **24.04.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.02.2026

A.No. 1089/15

27.02.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

The appellant has relied upon a rent agreement dated 01.04.2005 at running page 116 of the appeal. It is a photocopy.

Let the original of this rent agreement, if available with the appellant be produced on the next date of hearing.

Put up for further arguments on **16.04.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.02.2026

A.No. 1092/15 & 375/17

27.02.2026

Present : Sh. Anuj Garg, Ld. counsel for the appellant joined through VC. with Ms. Payal Verma, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh.Mohit Sharma , Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought by the Ld. counsel for the appellant as he is busy before the Hon'ble High Court.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **10.04.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.02.2026

A.No. 566/19

27.02.2026

Present : Sh. Roopansh Purohit, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent/MCD.
Sh. Aman Bajaj, Ld. counsel for the respondent no. 2 through VC and Sh. Siddharth Dua, Advocate in person.

Arguments advanced on behalf of the appellant and MCD and part arguments by respondent no.2.

Ld. counsel for the respondent no. 2 seeks some time to conclude his arguments.

At request, put up for further arguments **13.03.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.02.2026

A.No. 14/20

27.02.2026

Present : Sh. Gavendra Nath, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that matter between the appellant and the private respondent(s) is pending before the Mediation Centre, Hon'ble Delhi High Court and is now fixed on 11.03.2026. and same will have bearing on the appeal, which relates to sanction.

At request, put up for awaiting outcome of the aforesaid mediation on **24.04.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.02.2026

A.No. 202/20

27.02.2026

Present : Sh. Mukesh Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

SDM, Narela was directed to verify the Aaadi Deh Certificate relied upon by the appellant, but the same has not been done till date.

Let Notice be issued to the SDM Narela to be present in the court on the next date of hearing along with verification report of this certificate, copy of which should be annexed with the notice.

Put up for further arguments on **20.03.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.02.2026

A.No. 115/21

27.02.2026

Present : Sh. Arpan Rathore , Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Saurav Bhasin and Ms. Shubhra Parashar (joined through VC), Ld counsels for the respondent/DDA.
Sh. Manish Yadav, Nodal Officer for the DDA.
Sh. Pratap Suman, JE(B) for the DDA.

Sanctioned building plan of the flats of the subject society has been produced on behalf of the respondent. Let the copy be placed on record.

Further site inspection report filed in respect of Flat no. B-702 and it is stated that the flat no. B-703 could not be inspected as it was found locked. Appellant is directed to ensure that the flat no. B-703 be duly inspected in the presence of the appellant on 02.03.2026 at 11.00 am.

Let the status report be filed on or before the date fixed.

Put up for arguments on **26.05.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.02.2026

A.No. 713/22

27.02.2026

Present : Sh. Rajinder Juneja, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Paramdeep Singh, Id. counsel for the non-applicant along with non-applicant.

Part arguments heard.

At request, put up for further arguments on **08.04.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.02.2026

A.No. 238/23
Ritu Devi Vs. MCD

27.02.2026

Present : Sh. Rakesh Kumar Burman, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. H.R. Agarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that he has instructions from the appellant to withdraw the aforesaid appeal and he may be permitted to withdraw the aforesaid appeal.

Statement of Id. counsel for the appellant recorded separately to this effect.

In view of the statement made by the Id. counsel for the appellant, the aforesaid appeal is disposed off as withdrawn.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.02.2026

A.No. 123/14

27.02.2026

Present : Sh. Anupam Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent
through VC.

Part arguments heard.

Put up for further arguments on **09.04.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.02.2026

A.No. 694/24

27.02.2026

Present : Appellant in person.
Sh. Mohit Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent through
VC.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as
his counsel Sh. Manoj Kumar is not well today.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted
to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **07.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.02.2026

A.No. 833/24

27.02.2026

Present : Sh. Atishay Sethi, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant through VC.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel is held up in some personal matter before the Hon'ble High Court.

Same is strongly opposed.

Vide order dated 23.10.2024, it was observed that appellant is seeking adjournments after interim protection and if it is not argued on the next date i.e. 10.01.2025, this court may be considered the interim protection granted vide order dated 08.10.2024. Despite this specific observation, the appellant sought adjournments on 10.01.2025, 23.07.2025 and 17.10.2025.

Interim protection is hereby vacated and one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **22.05.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.02.2026

A.No. 1077/24

27.02.2026

Present : Sh. Fanish K Jain and Sh. Abhishek Shokeen, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Ms. Vasu Singh, Ld counsel for the respondent No.1
Sh. R.K. Singla, Ld. counsel for respondent No. 2
Sh. Rohit Kumar Modi, Ld. counsel for the society joined through VC.
Ms. Anusueya, Ld. counsel for the society in person.
Sh. Dinesh Kumar Sharma, Ld. counsel for the TPDDL along with Sh. Shubham Saxena, Zonal Manager, TPDDL.

Further arguments heard.

Put up for orders on **23.03.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.02.2026

A.No. 396/25 & 399/25

27.02.2026

Present : Sh. Vidit Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.
Ms. Vasu Singh, Ld counsel for the respondent along with
Sh. K.K. Yadav, AE(B), Civil Line Zone along with Ms.
Sarita Gaut, ALO.

Two separate status reports are filed by the MCD, copy placed on record. Let the same be collected.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to go through the status report as well as record and to advance arguments.

At request, put up for arguments on **07.08.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.02.2026

A.No. 404/25 & 405/25

27.02.2026

Present : Ms. Ruchika Rathi, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.
Sh. Aatish, Ld. counsel for the appellant in person
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Part arguments heard.

The record produced by the respondent does not contain any proof of service on either of the appellants nor the documents submitted by appellant Amita Goswami with her reply though same have been mentioned in para 4 of the impugned order.

The respondent is directed to check if any other record of this booking is available and if yes, to file the same. The concerned AE(B) be also present before the court on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **03.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.02.2026

A.No. 490/25

27.02.2026

Present : Sh. Simran Narang, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.
Through VC
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel is not available today due to some personal difficulty. In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **07.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.02.2026

A.No. 559/25

27.02.2026

Present : Sh. Vinod Sharma Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant no. 1 in person.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Rishabh Gupta, Ld. counsel for the intervener along with intervener.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Reply to the application under Order I rule 10 CPC filed on behalf of the appellant.

Arguments on maintainability of the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC heard. Even if it is presumed that all the averments made in the application is correct, then also in this proceeding between the appellant and the MCD the applicant has no right to participate and he cannot become a party as there is a clear-cut judgment of Delhi High Court in case ***Hardayal Singh Mehta Vs MCD, AIR 1990 Delhi 170*** in which it is held that in the matter between the appellant and the MCD, no third person can join and become a party to such proceedings and in such proceedings the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC is not maintainable. Any dispute between the applicant and the appellant has to be dealt with and to be decided by the Civil Court separately. Accordingly, application moved by applicant under order 1 Rule 10

....contd.2

: 2 :

CPC is hereby dismissed. However, the applicant is permitted to file the documents, if any and to orally argue the matter at the final arguments stage.

Arguments on the interim application and appeal heard. The appellant has relied upon an House-Tax Assessment notice dated 10.03.1998 to claim protection under National Capital Territory of Delhi Laws (Special Provision) Second Amendment Act, 2011. The appellant is directed to produce the original of this document as well as the proof/receipt that after this notice the tax accordingly was deposited.

At request, put up for further arguments on **15.05.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.02.2026

A.No. 732/25

27.02.2026

Present : Sh. Raj, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Appellant seeks some more time to file certain documents. Let the same be filed along with proper list and copy be also supplied to the other side.

The ld. counsel for the appellant also submits that he will also take instructions to get the property regularized.

At request, put up for further arguments on **07.08.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.02.2026

A.No. 134/26

27.02.2026

Present : Sh. Satyender Chahar, Ld counsel for the appellant.
None for the respondent/MCD.
Sh. Manish Shukla, Ld. counsel for the intervener along with Mrs. Sunita Kataria and Mrs. Binu Sharma.

Fresh Vakalatnama filed for the private respondents and time sought to file the reply. Vakalatnama taken on record. Reply, if desired be filed with advance copy to the other side.

None has appeared for the respondent/MCD, who was served yesterday only.

The appellant has filed certain photographs and representations sent to the Municipal Councilor of the area.

Ld. Counsel for the appellant has argued and prayed for interim relief.

Prior to this appeal, the appellant approached the Civil Court in CS-SCJ No. 33/2026 wherein MCD filed status report and in para 3 of the report, it was stated that site has been physically inspected and construction was found to be in consonance of the plan approved by the department.

...contd.2

: 2 :

In view of the same, no case for interim relief is made out.
Put up for awaiting the appearance on behalf of the MCD
and report of the MCD as well as for arguments on
17.04.2026.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.02.2026

A.No. 886/24

27.02.2026

Present : Sh. Sourav Ghosh, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal is dismissed.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.02.2026

A.No. 362/25

27.02.2026

Present : Sh. Lakshay Jain, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent.

Fresh Vakalatnama filed on behalf of the appellant and time sought to argue. Though the counsel should have been ready for arguments, however, in the interest of justice put up for arguments on **12.03.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.02.2026

A.No. 179/26
27.02.2026

Present Sh. Arjeet Gaur, Ld. counsel for the appellant.

This file has been taken up on oral request as 2nd and 3rd March **have been** declared holiday by the Hon'ble High Court. This appeal is listed for Monday which is holiday. Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **20.03.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the demolition order dated 27.05.2025 in respect of property No.667, Duliya Colony Village Alipur, Delhi However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

Copy of the order be given dasti.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.02.2026