

A.No. 159/26

11.03.2026

Fresh appeal filed. It be checked and registered.

Present : Appellant in person.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **13.04.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
11.03.2026

A.No. 199/26

11.03.2026

Fresh appeal filed. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Arush Kapoor, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **21.05.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
11.03.2026

A.No. 200/26

11.03.2026

Fresh appeal filed. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Arush Kapoor, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **21.05.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
11.03.2026

A.No. 424/25

11.03.2026

Present : Sh. Dheeraj Tanwar, Ld counsel for the appellant.

File is taken up today on an application of early hearing filed on behalf of the appellant.

Let notice of this application be issued to the respondent/MCD for **16.03.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
11.03.2026

A.No. 623/25

11.03.2026

Present : Ms. Suman, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Record of this file has been tagged with the appeal no. 636/25 listed on 15.05.2026 which is in respect of another floor of the property.

This appeal be also listed with that appeal on **15.05.2026**.  
Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
11.03.2026

A.No. 653/25

**Harish Ahuja Vs. MCD**

11.03.2026

Present : Sh. Karan Kaushal, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

It is stated by the Id counsel for the appellant that in view of the status report filed by the respondent that the proceedings u/s 343 and 344 (1) of the DMC Act are still pending before respondent and no order has been passed, the appellant may be permitted to withdraw this appeal with liberty to file fresh appeal as and when any order is passed against the subject property.

In view of the status report that no order has yet been passed in respect of subject property, the appeal is premature and is dismissed.

File be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
11.03.2026

A.No. 721/25

11.03.2026

Present : Sh. V.K. Mantoo, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent along  
with Sh. K.K. Sharma, AE(B).

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with  
Registry.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the  
record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **12.05.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of  
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
11.03.2026

A.No. 742/25 & 743/25

11.03.2026

Present : Sh. B.S. Mathur, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent along  
with Sh. Manoj Kumar, AE(B), South Zone.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy placed on record.  
Let the same be collected.

The record has already been submitted.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the  
record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **01.06.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of  
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
11.03.2026

A.No. 166/26 & 167/26

11.03.2026

Present : Sh. P.C. Arya, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Manoj Kumar, AE(B), South Zone. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Record of these two appeals is common with appeals no. 742/25 and 743/25 and has been filed in those appeals. Since the record is common, these appeals be also heard with those two appeals, but hearing would be separate.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **01.06.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
11.03.2026

A.No. 174/26

11.03.2026

Present : Ms. Pooja Kumari, Ld counsel for the appellant. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record

File is taken up today on an application of early hearing filed on behalf of the appellant.

Arguments on the interim application heard.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the fourth floor of the appellant in pursuance of the demolition order dated 21.01.2026. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected.

Put up on the date fixed i.e. **20.03.2026** for the purpose fixed.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
11.03.2026

A.No. 343/13 & 385/13

11.03.2026

Present : Sh. Nitin Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record  
Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 343/13.  
Sh. Kartik Sharma, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Anubhav Gupta, counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 385/13 through VC. .  
Ms. Urvi Mohan, Ld. counsel, for the intervener.  
Sh. Prabhu Saxena, Ld. proxy counsel for the DDA.

Ld. counsel for the appellant requests for adjournment on the ground that he has recently been engaged in the matter and needs some time to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **28.04.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
11.03.2026

A.No. 944/16

11.03.2026

Present : Ms. Simran Dhuria, grand daughter of the appellant.  
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An application to place on record the additional documents filed on behalf for the appellant. Copy supplied.

An earlier application of the appellant for the same prayer dated 04.07.2022 is also pending.

Submissions heard on that application.

Through that application, the appellant wants to place on record two office orders passed by L&DO office. The documents are taken on record. The application stands disposed of.

Coming to the application filed today. In this application, the appellant apart from seeking permission to place on record annexures A to D to this application, also seeks directions to the respondent to place on record copy of two letters issued by L&DO to Dy. Commissioner, MCD, Central Zone, Delhi along with a survey report of 2002 conducted by L&DO.

As far as the permission to file the documents is concerned, it appears that the appellant is filing repeated applications to place on record the additional documents and the same has to come to an end as because of these

....contd.2

: 2 :

applications, this appeal is pending since 2016. Present application shall be considered as the last application to file the additional documents and the document annexed with this application are taken on record without prejudice to the rights of the respondent to challenge the relevance and veracity of the document.

As far as the request to direct the respondent to place the letters of L&DO and survey report of L&DO is concerned, admittedly these documents originated from the office of L&DO and the survey report is also of L&DO and MCD cannot be asked to produce these documents. Hence, the prayer is declined. Application stands disposed of.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel not available today due to bereavement in the family.

The appeal pertains to the year 2016. However, in the interest of justice one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **05.05.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
11.03.2026

A.No. 229/17, 230/17, 231/17, 232/17, 373/23, 374/23, 375/23 & 376/23

11.03.2026

Present : Sh. Jaffar Abbas and Sh. Himanshu Gupta, Ld counsels for the appellant.  
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 229/17 and 230/17.  
Ms. Kanta Chaudhary, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 231/17 and 232/17.  
Ms. Praveen Sharma, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 230/17.  
Sh. Vijay Tyagi, Ld. counsel for the MCD Advocate for MCD in Appeal no. 373/2023 to 376/2023.

Ld. counsel for the appellant has moved applications under Order XXII rule 3 CPC for bringing on record the legal heirs of Sh. Bhagwan Das in appeal no. 231/17 and 376/23. Copy supplied in appeal no. 231/17.

The appellant Bhagwan Das passed away on 17.09.2025 and the applications have been filed on 10.03.2026 when the limitation for impleadment had already expired.

The applications for condonation of delay states that the after the death of the appellant, the widow and the legal heirs were in shock and therefore, could not file the applications in time. In these facts, the delay is condoned and the legal heirs are taken on record. Applications stand disposed of.

Let the amended memo of parties be filed.

...contd.2

: 2 :

Further, arguments heard on the application seeking condonation of delay in filing the appeal no. 231/17 and 376/23. Since, the delay in other six appeals has already been condoned on 07.01.2026, on the grounds of parity and equity, the delay in these two appeals is also condoned subject to cost of Rs. 5,000/- each in these two appeals. The applications stand disposed of.

At request, put up for arguments on the appeal on **07.05.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
11.03.2026

A.No. 438/18 & 439/18  
Rev Singh Vs. MCD

11.03.2026

Present : Sh. Arjun Singh, Rajesh Chaudhary and Sh. Vikas Kumar, Ld counsels for the appellants.  
Sh. Kanta Chaudhary, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 438/18.  
Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 439/18 through VC.

Affidavit of the widow of the deceased appellant seeking permission to withdraw these appeals filed in appeal no. 438/18. Copy of the same placed in other appeal.

The other legal heirs have already given their statements regarding withdrawal of the aforesaid appeals.

In view of the aforesaid affidavit as well as statement made by the legal heirs of appellants, **the aforesaid appeals are disposed off as withdrawn.**

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
11.03.2026

A.No. 391/19

11.03.2026

Present : Ms. Bhumika Kapoor, Ld. counsel for the appellant in appeal no. 391/19 along with appellant no. 3 Mr. Saakaar Sardana.  
Sh. Sahib Gurdeep Singh Mehendru, Ld counsel for the respondent.  
Sh. Rajesh Aggarwal and Ms. Deeksha Aggarwal, Ld. counsels for the intervener along with intervener.

1. Arguments heard on the application under Order I rule 10 CPC and the application seeking joint inspection in appeal no. 391/19. The intervener Ranji Thomas is the occupant of the ground floor rear portion and has sought his implement on the ground that the appellant who is occupant of basement rear portion has carried out unauthorized construction and has removed the load bearing walls which has direct effects on the property of the intervener and therefore he should be impleaded as respondent in this appeal.
2. Same has been opposed by the appellant on the ground that the intervener himself has challenged the impugned demolition order in the appeal no. 318/19 and is only trying to harass the appellant by approaching different forums against rear side basement portion.

Contd...2/-

:: 2 ::

3. Arguments on maintainability of the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC heard. Even if it is presumed that all the averments made in the application is correct, then also in this proceeding between the appellant and the MCD the applicant has no right to participate and he cannot become a party as there is a clear-cut judgment of Delhi High Court in case ***Hardayal Singh Mehta Vs MCD, AIR 1990 Delhi 170*** in which it is held that in the matter between the appellant and the MCD, no third person can join and become a party to such proceedings and in such proceedings the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC is not maintainable. Any dispute between the applicant and the appellant has to be dealt with and to be decided by the Civil Court separately. Accordingly, application moved by applicant under order 1 Rule 10 CPC is hereby dismissed. However, the applicant is permitted to file the documents, if any and to orally argue the matter at the final arguments stage.
4. Coming to the application of the intervener seeking joint inspection of the basement rear side portion, the said inspection has already been carried out by the MCD for which status report along with videography of the joint inspection in which intervener can be seen

Contd....3/-

:: 3 ::

is already on record. Otherwise also the intervener has only limited rights to argue and cannot seek joint inspection at his choice.

5. The application is dismissed.
6. Put up for arguments on all these appeals on **09.07.2026**.
7. Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
11.03.2026

A.No. 318/19, 320/18 & 321/19

11.03.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant in appeals no. 318/19, 320/19 & 321/19.  
Sh. Sahib Gurdeep Singh Mehendru, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Put up for arguments with connected matter on all these appeals on **09.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
11.03.2026

A.No. 144/20

11.03.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.  
Sh. Abhishek Sharma, Ld. counsel for the intervener.

Time sought on behalf of the MCD to file the status report of the regularization application directed to be open vide last order dated 09.12.2025.

Ld. counsel for the appellant also seeks time to file the PTR of the entire property prior to 08.02.2007.

At request, put up for compliance of the last order, awaiting the status report and arguments on **13.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
11.03.2026

A.No. 407/20 & 295/21

11.03.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent in  
appeal no. 407/20.  
None for the respondent in appeal no. 295/21.

Ld. counsel for the respondent seeks some time to file  
the status report regarding regularization application of  
the appellant.

At request, put up for further proceedings awaiting the  
status report on **13.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of  
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
11.03.2026

A.No. 30/23, 440/24 & 291/25

11.03.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Sandeep Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 30/23 through VC.  
Sh. H.R. Aggarwal, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 440/24.  
Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent in 291/25.  
Sh. Shiven Banga, Id. counsel for the intervener.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

As per the status report, there exists encroachment at ground floor and non-compoundable deviations at first, second and third floor in the form of stair-case which are projection on municipal land.

The appellant is ready to demolish the non-compoundable deviation at the third and entire fourth floor to bring her property within compoundable limits. However, even if, the same is done, the construction at third floor shall not be regularized because of projection on municipal land which exists from first floor to third floor in the form of stairs and the entire property starting from first floor shall become inaccessible because of demolition of projection on municipal land.

....contd.2

: 2 :

The respondent was required to book the entire unauthorized construction on the property when it booked the third and fourth floor belonging to the appellant and cannot choose only the third and fourth floor while ignoring the encroachment at ground floor and projection on municipal land on the entire property.

The AE(B) concerned is directed to explain as to why the entire unauthorized construction in the property was not booked and only third and fourth floor were booked. The explanation with status report to be filed by the AE(B) is directed to be forwarded by the Dy. Commissioner concerned, so that action can be taken against the person concerned.

Put up for filing of the explanation and status report by the MCD and arguments on **26.05.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
11.03.2026

A.No. 122/23 & 123/23

11.03.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.  
Ms. Sangeeta, Id. counsel for the respondent no. 2.

Part arguments heard.

Ld. counsel for the respondent no. 2 seeks some time to inspect the record and to address further arguments.

At request, put up for further arguments on **28.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
11.03.2026

A.No. 832/24

11.03.2026

Present : Sh. Dinesh Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.

Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Arguments heard on the applications seeking condonation of delay of about 14 days in filing the aforesaid appeals. Though, there is no plausible ground to condone the delay, yet with an endeavour to decide the appeal on merits, the delay is condoned. The applications stand disposed of.

Arguments also heard on the application u/s 80 CPC of the appellant. This application is without any cause of action as two months prior notice contemplated under Section 80 CPC is meant for suits and not for appeals before this Tribunal. Same is dismissed.

There is one more application seeking exemption from filing certified copy of the civil suit. Same is allowed subject to any further order of this court seeking certified copy of the same.

Part arguments heard on the interim application.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks some time to file the documents to show that the construction in the property exists prior to 07.02.2007.

...contd.2

: 2 :

At request, put up for further arguments on the interim application as well as on appeal on **11.08.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
11.03.2026

A.No. 62/25

11.03.2026

Present : Sh. Kunal Kalra, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. V.K. Aggrawal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

The appellant is directed to file property tax return of 2006-07.

At request, put up for further arguments on **17.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
11.03.2026

A.No. 178/25

11.03.2026

Present : Sh. R.K. Singla, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.

None for the respondent.

None has appeared for the respondent despite repeated calls since morning.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Put up for arguments on **31.07.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the impugned order.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
11.03.2026

A.No. 309/25 & 310/25  
**Anita Arora Vs. MCD**

11.03.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

1. Arguments heard at length.
2. These are two appeals challenging the demolition order dated 26.02.2024 and sealing order dated 11.11.2024 passed in respect of private shop no. 8 in property no. A-2/39, Rajouri Garden, New Delhi.
3. The appellant has challenged these two orders on the ground that show cause notice u/s 345A DMC Act was never served and in the demolition case the reply of the appellant was not considered nor the demolition order specified the portion reconstructed by the appellant after earlier demolition action taken in 2010.
4. Ld counsel for the respondent on the other hand argued that show cause notice u/s 345A DMC Act was duly served by pasting for which photographs were also taken and further the demolition order has clearly mentioned that the appellant reconstructed the demolished portion of the shop and therefore both the appeals should be dismissed.

Contd...2/-

:: 2 ::

5. I have perused the record. The show cause notice u/s 345A DMC Act dated 22.10.2024 was issued on 29.10.2024 and as per photographs available in the office file was served by pasting. There is no noting in the office file as to why it was pasted at the first instance itself. The photographs show that the subject property was open when the concerned MCD officials visited for service of show cause notice. If the shop was open than what was the requirement or circumstances under which it was pasted. Without any notings and reasons, the notice should not be pasted at the first instance and efforts should be made to serve it personally.
6. In these facts there was no proper service of the show cause notice and the sealing appeal is allowed for this reason alone. The property be desealed within 2 weeks from today. The matter is remanded back.
7. Coming to the demolition appeal the impugned order dated 26.02.2024 records that the demolition action was taken at the said shop for unauthorized construction in 2010 and on inspection it was found that owner/occupier has repaired some portion of the demolished portion. No record of the action taken in 2010 was produced to ascertain as to what action of

Contd.....3/-

:: 3 ::

demolition at the subject property was taken in 2010. Nor it is mentioned in impugned order as to which portion has been reconstructed. The appellant was within the rights to repair the property when some portion was demolished being unauthorized in 2010 including the facade of the property.

8. As per sale deed of 2003 of the subject property the size of the shop was 12X32 feet. The respondent has failed to clarify as to what unauthorized construction was carried out in the subject shop after 08.02.2007. The demolition order does not contain any details of these facts. Therefore, this appeal is allowed and the demolition order is set-aside.
9. As far as the sealing order is concerned, the appellant shall appear before the Quasi Judicial Authority on 25.03.2026 at 2.00 pm with reply and documents and the speaking order be passed within 6 weeks of conclusion of the hearing.
10. Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
11.03.2026

A.No. 411/25

11.03.2026

Present : Sh. Sanjeev Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellatant through VC.  
Sh. Vishal Kaushik, Id. counsel for the appellatant.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellatant has filed certain documents along with list. Same are taken on record. Copy supplied.

At request, put up for arguments on **21.05.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
11.03.2026

A.No. 446/25

11.03.2026

Present : Ms. Parul Agarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Record of this file was tagged with the appeal no. 415/25, which was disposed of on 29.10.2025 and the record was directed to be sent back.

Let the record be produced by the respondent on or before the next date of hearing.

At request, put up for arguments on **03.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
11.03.2026

A.No. 447/25

11.03.2026

Present : Sh. G.D. Mishra , Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Record has not yet been filed by the respondent.

Let the same be filed before the next date of hearing so that same can be inspected by the ld. counsel for the appellant, failing which, let the concerned EE(B) be present in person on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **24.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
11.03.2026

A.No. 89/26

**Kamlesh Kundra & Anr. Vs. MCD**

11.03.2026

Present : Sh. Aehsas Puri, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC and Sh. Kushagra Bali, Ld. counsel for the appellant in person.  
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent through VC.  
Ms. Surbhi Mehta, Id. counsel for the newly impleaded private respondents no. 2 to 7.

Fresh Vakalatnama filed for respondents no. 2, 4, 6 and 7 and memo of appearance filed for respondent no. 3 and 5 and it is stated that respondent no. 3 is out of station and respondent no. 5 is out of country. Same are taken on record.

Ld. counsel for the respondents seeks copy of the duly signed and attested appeal. Let the fresh copy be supplied.

Appellant has filed an application under Section 151 CPC to place on record the amended memo of parties. Same is taken on record. Let the copy be supplied to the counsel for the MCD.

MCD is also directed to supply copy of the status reports to the Id. counsel for the private respondents

Appellant has also filed an application to bring on record fresh Special Power of Attorney executed by the appellant no. 1 and 2. Same is taken on record.

...contd.2

:: 2 ::

Reply, if any, by the private respondents be filed within 3 weeks with advance copy to the other sides.

Counsel for the appellant has pressed for interim relief.

Ld counsel for the private respondents submit that she has not been provided the attested copies of appeal.

Put up for arguments on interim application on **16.03.2026.**

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
11.03.2026

A.No. 753/24, 791/24 & 814/24

11.03.2026

Present : None for the appellant.  
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 814/24.  
Sh. Mohit Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 753/24.  
Ms. Mehak Arora, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 791/24.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal is disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
11.03.2026

A.No. 106/19

11.03.2026

Present : Sh. Anshul Gupta and Sh. Rishabh Darira, Ld counsel for  
the appellant.

None for the respondent.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal  
is allowed.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with  
copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record  
room.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
11.03.2026