

A.No. 208/26

13.03.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Shivam Chauhan, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **10.04.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
13.03.2026

A.No. 209/26

13.03.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Nitin Sharma, Ms. Charu Nagpal and Ms. Tejashwini,  
Ld. counsels for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **26.05.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
13.03.2026

A.No. 211/26

13.03.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. A. K. Mishra and Sh. Robin, Ld counsels for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **07.04.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
13.03.2026

A.No. 132/23 & 133/23  
**Prarthna Kasana Vs. MCD**

13.03.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta and Ms. Jasleen Kaur, Ld counsels  
for the respondent.

1. Arguments heard on the applications seeking condonation of delay in filing the aforesaid appeals.
2. The appellant has challenged the sealing order dated 15.09.2010 and the demolition order dated 29.07.2010 in these appeals filed in 2023.
3. As per appellant she purchased the subject property in public auction on 12.06.2019 and thereafter was misguided by the lawyers for approaching Monitoring Committee and the Monitoring Committee finally confirmed that it does not have any jurisdiction vide order dated 09.02.2022 and thereafter she approached the respondent to be told that the subject property has been wrongly booked and thereafter she waited for the response of the respondent to desecrate the property and thereafter filed these appeals in March, 2023.
4. The application has been strongly opposed by the respondent on the ground that the appellant was

negligent and deliberately avoided filing these appeals and therefore the delay should not be condoned.

5. Admittedly, the delay on the part of appellant is inordinate and has not been properly explained. However, for the reason that dismissal of this application will amount to permanent sealing of the property and for the reason that in the other appeals of the same locality the sealing and demolition order have been set-aside, the delay in filing these appeals is condoned subject to cost of Rs. 25000/- each in both the appeals. Let the cost be deposited with the registry of this Tribunal.

Put up for arguments on appeals on **16.04.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
13.03.2026

A.No. 663/25

13.03.2026

Present :

Sh. Krishna Kant Tiwari and Sh. Ajay Singh Gosain, Ld counsels for the appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Matter today is taken up after notice of early hearing application.

Today, the appellant has filed another application under Order I rule 10 CPC to implead Delhi Fire Service as respondent no. 2. Along with the application of early hearing, an order passed by the Delhi Fire Services dated 15.01.2026 was annexed which says that no Fire Safety Certificate is required for the subject premises. The same is contrary to the stand taken by the MCD, who claims that Fire Safety Certificate is must for the subject property.

In-facts, the assistance of Delhi Fire Services is essential. Issue notice of this application to the Delhi Fire Service **for 14.05.2026**, the date already fixed and notice must accompany the status report dated 28.01.2026 filed by the MCD as well as the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court passed in W.P.(C) no. 12182/2016, titled as 'Sanjay Singhal vs. State (Govt. of NCT) & ors dated 10.05.2024.

Put up for arguments on **14.05.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
13.03.2026

A.No. 718/25

13.03.2026

Present :

Sh. Shubham Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.

Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record

Status report as well as reply to the application seeking condonation of delay filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Arguments heard on the application seeking condonation of delay.

As per the appellant, the subject property is 251/1 which was never sealed, which is contrary to the office record of the MCD as per which, it was partly sealed on 24.04.2023 at second floor. As per the appellant, action was taken against the property no. 251 for which a writ petition is pending before the Hon'ble High Court.

Let MCD to clarify if property no. 251/1 was sealed or not and to file the status report with photographs showing the numbers of both the properties 251/1 and 251 as well as photographs of sealing of property no. 251/1, on the next date of hearing.

Put up for further arguments on **11.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
13.03.2026

A.No. 720/25

13.03.2026

Present : Sh. Aman Durga, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.  
Sh. Sagar Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent through VC.  
Sh. Karan Wadhwa, Ld. proxy counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied to the clerk who is present in the court.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to go through the status report and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **11.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
13.03.2026

A.No. 864/25

13.03.2026

Present : Sh. Diwanshu Thakur and Sh. Abhay Sharma, Ld counsels for the appellant.  
Sh. S.G. Ashthana, Sh. K.D. Sharma and Sh. Krishan Sharma, Ld. counsels for the respondent/DDA. Memos of appearance filed.  
Sh. Pawan Kumar, AE, Sh. Navneet AE and Sh. Sahil Patwari, from the DDA in person.

Time sought on behalf of the respondent to file the record as well as reply to the application seeking condonation of delay.

At request, put up for arguments on **11.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
13.03.2026

A.No. 2/26 (M)

13.03.2026

Present : Sh. Rakesh Garg, Ld counsel for the appellant.

File is taken up today on an application of appellant seeking temporarily desealing of the property of the appellant for removal of medical and dental equipments from the subject property.

Submissions heard. Application perused.

In these facts, let the property be desealed by MCD for 24 hours on 17.03.2026 at 11.00 am and re-sealed on 18.03.2026 at 11.00 am. The appellant however shall not hand over the possession of the premises to any other person and shall not create any third party interest in the subject property and this permission is only for removal of goods from the property.

Copy of this order be given dasti.

Put up on 10.04.2026, the date already fixed for the purpose fixed.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
13.03.2026

A.No. 191/26

**Uma Malik & Ors. Vs. MCD**

13.03.2026

Present : Sh. B.S. Kharab and Sh. Nitin Yadav, Ld counsels for the appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent.

1. Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.
2. The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry.
3. Arguments heard on the interim application. As per appellants they were not given any opportunity of being heard nor the show cause notice nor the demolition order was served nor their applications for regularization were considered and therefore they should be protected from any conceive action till disposal of this appeal. Reliance has been placed on the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India passed in the case of **Rajinder Kumar Barjatya Vs. UP Awas Evam Vikas Parishad 2024 INSC 990**.
4. The Ld counsel for the respondent on the other hand argued that the appellants filed their representation after the show cause notice seeking regularization of their properties which was not considered as was not filed as per rules through Registered Architect.

Contd.....2/-

:: 2 ::

Further, the construction is non-compoundable as there are 32 dwelling units on four floors in this building which cannot be regularized as only maximum 8 dwelling units are permitted in a building.

5. I have the record. The appellants prior to this appeal filed appeal no. 137/26 which was disposed of on 19.02.2026 as till that date only show cause notice was issued. It was noted in that order that the respondent shall consider the representation submitted by the appellants and the appellants were directed to give their separate replies to the show cause notice. Office record shows that the property was booked vide show cause notice dated 11.02.2026 recording unauthorized construction of the entire building from stilt to third floor. The appellants gave their representation on 13.02.2026 seeking regularization of this building. The same was not done as per rules through Registered Architect on online portal. The respondent later passed the demolition order dated 03.03.2026. The appellants were given sufficient opportunity to reply the show cause notice dated 11.02.2026 not only by the respondent but also by this Tribunal on 19.02.2026 in appeal no. 137/26. The appellants after 9.02.2026

Contd...3/-

:: 3 ::

gave another representation on 27.02.2026 for regularization of the property but did not give reply to the show cause notice. Admittedly, the construction in the property has been raised after 01.06.2014 which is the cut of date for protection under National Capital Territory of Delhi Laws (Special Provision) Second Amendment Act, 2011. The judgment relied upon by the appellants is not applicable to the facts since in the present case the appellants were given sufficient time to reply show cause notice which they did not do and further the regularization application was not as per rules. One joint application was filed by all the 16 appellants without any document for regularization. There is no prima-facie case made out in favour of the appellants. The interim application is dismissed.

Put up for arguments on the appeal on **14.08.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
13.03.2026

A.No. 886/17  
Maheshwar Dayal Mathur V. MCD

13.03.2026

Present : Sh. Shubham Asri and Sh. Anmol Sharma, Ld counsels  
for the appellant.  
Ms. Praveen Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Arguments heard on the appeal. File perused.

Vide impugned demolition order dated 15.09.2017, the respondent has passed demolition order in respect of extended balcony with steel beams, channel at back of first floor. The documents filed by the appellant, which are property tax documents, show that since 2004-05, the appellant has been depositing property tax for this additional construction of 31.79 sq. mtrs., apart from the existing construction of 52.28 sq. mtrs, in all of 84.07 sq. mtrs.

Since, this additional construction which has been booked, is in existence much prior to 08.02.2007 which is the cut-off date of protection under National Capital Territory of Delhi Laws (Special Provision) Second Amendment Act, 2011 for the subject property, the demolition order cannot be executed till this law is in force. Hence, the impugned order is kept in abeyance in respect of property of the appellant till this Act is in force.

...contd.2

: 2 :

The respondent is at liberty to take action once the Act ceases to be in force. Appeal stands disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
13.03.2026

A.No. 999/17

13.03.2026

Present : Sh. Vinay Kant, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld. counsel for the respondent  
through VC.  
Intervener Rajender Kumar Sharma in person.  
None for other intervener.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Abhinav Tyagi has been suffering from high fever with symptoms of typhoid and is not available today.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **15.05.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
13.03.2026

A.No. 346/19 & 710/22

13.03.2026

Present : Ms. Kanwaljit Kochar, Ld. senior counsel for the appellant through VC.  
Sh. Utkarsh, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant along with appellant Simran in person.  
Sh. Kartik Sharma, Ld counsel for Sh. Abhinav Gupta, counsel for the respondent through VC in appeal no. 346/19.  
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Id. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 710/22.

Time sought on behalf of the respondent to file the status report regarding the regularization application of the appellant filed after last order.

Three months have already been passed since then.

Let the status report in this regard be file on or before the next date of hearing positively.

Put up for arguments on **13.04.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
13.03.2026

A.No. 566/19

13.03.2026

Present : Sh. Roopansh Purohit, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Sh. Ankur Kahsyap, Sh. Aman Bajaj, Sh. Purushartha Singh and Sh. Siddharth Dua, Ld. counsels for the respondent no. 2 through VC.

Arguments heard at length.

Put up for orders on **13.04.2026**. Parties are at liberty to file the written submissions, if desired, with advance copy to each other.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
13.03.2026

A.No. 666/22 & 294/24

13.03.2026

Present : Sh. Anuj Garg, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 294/24.  
Sh. Avishek Kumar, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 666/22.

Documents filed by the appellant along with copy of the letter dated 13.06.2014 which was directed on the last date of hearing.

It is further stated that the photographs were not filed before the Hon'ble High Court and were only shown during the proceedings.

Copy supplied.

Ld. counsels for the respondent seeks time to verify these documents. Let the same be verified before the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **30.04.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
13.03.2026

A.No. 771/22 & 772/22

13.03.2026

Present : Ms. Somyaa, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.  
None for the respondent

Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant undertakes to deposit the previous cost today. Let the same be deposited.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Ms. Muskan Gupta, is un-available today because of accident of a family members.

Even none is present for the respondent today.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the parties to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **15.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
13.03.2026

A.No. 789/22

13.03.2026

Present : Sh. Vikas Dudeja, Ld. counsel for the appellant.  
None for the respondent.

The appellant has already deposited the previous cost.  
None has appeared for the respondent despite repeated calls since morning.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Put up for arguments on **15.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
13.03.2026

A.No. 222/23

13.03.2026

Present : Sh. Rajat Aneja, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC along with Sh. Rishabh Jain.  
Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, Ld counsel for the respondent/ MCD through VC.  
Sh. Rohit Goel along with Sh. Avdadh Rai, Ld. counsels for the interveners/applicants along with interverns Sh. Rajat Poddar and Ms. Harsh Lata Verma.

Arguments concluded by Ld. counsel for the appellant.

At request, put up for arguments of the respondent and intervener on **13.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
13.03.2026

A.No. 277/23

13.03.2026

Present : Sh. Wahid Ali, Ld. counsel for the appellant along with appellant.

Sh. Chetan Hasija, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel has gone for Friday Namaj.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **03.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
13.03.2026

A.No. 534/24 & 535/24

13.03.2026

Present : Sh. Manoj Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Arguments heard at length.

Put up for orders on **20.04.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
13.03.2026

A.No. 978/24

13.03.2026

Present : Sh. V.K. Mantoo, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought by the ld. counsel for the appellant.

At request, put up for arguments on **17.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
13.03.2026

A.No. 24/25 & 25/25

13.03.2026

Present : Sh. Narender Bhandari, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Vineet Kumar Mishra, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Akhil Mittal, standing counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the respondent as main counsel is un-available today being out of station.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the respondent to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **22.04.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
13.03.2026

A.No. 93/25

13.03.2026

Present : Sh. Puran Chand, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

There is no merit in the application seeking permission for repair of the property for marriage of cousin brother. Same is dismissed.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks some time to file the additional documents.

At request, put up for further arguments on **10.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
13.03.2026

A.No. 471/25  
Aarti Gupta Vs. MCD

13.03.2026

Present : Ms. Parul Agarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Sanjay Sethi, Ld counsel for the respondent through  
VC.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that she has instructions from the appellant to withdraw the aforesaid appeal and she may be permitted to withdraw the aforesaid appeal.

Statement of Id. counsel for the appellant recorded separately to this effect.

In view of the statement made by the Id. counsel for the appellant, the aforesaid appeal stands disposed off as withdrawn.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
13.03.2026

A.No. 776/25

13.03.2026

Present : Sh. Manuj Aggarwal, Sh. Harish Kaushik and Sh. Khushank Singh, Ld counsels for the appellant.  
Sh. K.D. Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent/DDA.

Put up for arguments with connected matter on **11.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
13.03.2026

A.No. 3/26

13.03.2026

Present : Sh. Ankit Verma, Ld. LAC counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Arguments heard on the applications seeking condonation of delay in filing the aforesaid appeals. As per the appellant, she approached the Hon'ble High Court after coming to know about the demolition order and the Hon'ble High Court vide order dated 10.11.25 permitted the appellant to approach this Tribunal and protected the subject property for 6 weeks. Thereafter, the appellant approached DLSA and LAC was appointed, who filed this appeal after winter vacation. In view of these facts, the delay is condoned.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record and to argue on appeal.

At request, put up for arguments on **18.05.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
13.03.2026

A.No. 18/26

13.03.2026

Present : Sh. A.K. Vashishtha, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.

Ms. Vasu Singh, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought by the Ld. counsel for appellant as he is out of station.

At request, put up for arguments on 29.05.2026.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
13.03.2026

A.No. 289/25

13.03.2026

Present : None for the appellant.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal is allowed.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
13.03.2026

A.No. 100/26

13.03.2026

Present : Sh. Hemant Kumar & Sh. Paramveer Chauhan, Ld counsels for the appellant.  
Sh. K.D. Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Sajay Kumar, Nodal Officer, DDA.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal is dismissed.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
13.03.2026