

A.No. 218/26

17.03.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Ms. Parul Agarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **21.05.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
17.03.2026

A.No. 220/26

17.03.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Avadh Pratap Singh, Sh. S.A. Imran, Ms. Sucheta Kumari and Sh. Pushpender Singh Tomar, Ld counsels for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **12.05.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
17.03.2026

A.No. 303/20 & 151/24
Hari Narain Vs. MCD

17.03.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.

File is taken up today on an application of early hearing and for withdrawal of the appeal filed along with photographs, on behalf of the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

In view of the reason given, the application for early hearing is allowed and the files are taken today.

Ld. counsel for the appellant as well as appellant submits that the appellant does not want to pursue the aforesaid appeals as he wants to reconstruct the entire building after obtaining sanctioned building plan as per procedure and he may be permitted to withdraw the appeals and the property be delisted from the list of booked properties on the website of the respondent so that sanctioned building plan can be obtained.

Statement of appellant himself recorded separately to this effect.

In view of the statement made by the appellant, the aforesaid appeals are dismissed as withdrawn.

...contd.2

: 2 :

As and when, the entire construction is demolished and the construction is brought down to zero level, the respondent shall remove the property from the list of booked properties from its website.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
17.03.2026

A.No. 159/23 & 188/23

17.03.2026

Present : Sh. Abhinav Tyagi, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Files are taken up today on applications of early hearing filed on behalf of the appellant.

Heard. Applications perused.

Let notice of the application(s) be issued to the respondent/MCD as well as intervener for **20.04.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
17.03.2026

A.No. 815/23, 821/23 & 822/23

17.03.2026

Present : None for the appellant in appeal no. 815/23.
Ms. Shreya Sharma, Ld. counsel for the appellant in
appeal no. 821/23 and 822/23.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh
Gupta, counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Awnish Kumar, Ld. counsel for the intervener.

It is stated for the appellant that the intervener has not
supplied the documents filed on 17.04.2025 despite
directions of the court.

Ld. counsel for the intervener submits that same have
been supplied, but he does not have the proof of delivery.
The intervener is directed to supply the documents to the
appellant within 2 weeks from today.

At request, put up for arguments on the appeal on
09.07.2026.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
17.03.2026

A.No. 162/26

17.03.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Ms. Monika Maurya, Consultant TP. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record

Time sought on behalf of the respondent to submit the record as same has been received today only in the morning and the counsel himself has to go through the record.

Infacts, let the record be submitted.

At request, put up for arguments on **02.04.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
17.03.2026

A.No. 193/26

17.03.2026

Present : Sh. Jayant Tewatia, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh
Gupta, counsel for the respondent.

Time sought by the respondent to produce the record.

As per the appellant, the regularization application for the
subject property is pending before the respondent.

Let the status report qua this regularization application be
filed on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **14.07.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken
against the property of appellant. However, it is made
clear that no encroachment on the public land is
protected.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
17.03.2026

A.No. 39/16

17.03.2026

Present : Sh. B.K. Pandey, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.
Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent through VC.
Sh. Atul Kumar AE(B) in person.

Regularization application of the appellant is still pending and the concerned AE(B) submits that he has received the file recently and will inspect the property in a day or two.

Infacts, put up for filing of status report in respect of regularization application of the appellant on **05.05.2026**.
Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
17.03.2026

A.No. 750/18 & 916/24

17.03.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellent.
Sh. Sanjeet Kumar, proxy counsel for Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, Ld. counsel for respondent in appeal no. 750/18.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel the respondent in appeal no. 916/24.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the respondent/MCD as main counsel Sh. Ashutosh Gupta is not available because of some bereavement in the family. In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the respondent to address the arguments in the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **19.05.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
17.03.2026

A.No. 504/19
Sharda Prasad Gupta Vs. MCD

17.03.2026

Present : Sh. S.K. Pal, Ld counsel for the appellant along with
appellant.
Sh. H.R. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Arguments heard on the appeal. File perused.

The show cause notice dated 08.08.2018 was sent through speed post on 11.08.2018. As per the office record of the MCD, the same was replied by the appellant on 20.08.2018 and thereafter, the impugned demolition order dated 21.08.2018 was passed. It shows that the show cause notice was replied a day prior to passing of the demolition order, though was delayed. However, the respondent was bound to consider the reply of the appellant which was submitted a day prior to the demolition order. The demolition order is completely silent in respect of the reply submitted by the appellant nor it considered the merits of the reply submitted by the appellant on 20.08.2018.

In these facts, the demolition order dated 21.08.2018 is set aside with directions to the respondents to pass a speaking order after considering the reply as well as documents to be submitted by the appellant and after

...contd.2

: 2 :

giving personal hearing to the appellant. The appellant is also at liberty to file a fresh reply and documents. The appellant shall appear before the Quasi Judicial Authority on 07.04.2026 at 2.00 pm along with reply/documents and the speaking order be passed within 6 weeks of conclusion of the hearing.

Appeal stands allowed.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
17.03.2026

A.No. 255/21, 256/21 & 446/21

17.03.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 255/21.
Sh. Parmesh Bali, Ld. counsel for the respondent in other two appeals i.e. 256/21 & 446/21
Sh. Rishabh Jain, Ld. counsel for the intervener.

Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Id. counsel for the respondent seeks adjournment on the ground that matter was assigned to him at later stage and he does not have the copy of the paper book and he has already requested the counsel for the appellant to supply the same.

In these facts, put up for arguments on all the aforesaid appeals on **13.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
17.03.2026

A.No. 227/23
Asha Rani Bansal Vs. MCD

17.03.2026

Present : Sh. Puran Singh Mahal, Ld. LAC for the appellant along with Sh. Raj Kumar Bansal, SPA holder of the appellant.
Sh. H.R. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Ms. Lakshmi, Ld. proxy counsel for the respondents no. 2 and 3.

An application under Order VI rule 17 CPC filed on behalf of the appellant is pending.

Arguments heard on this application.

By virtue of this application, the appellant wants to withdraw prayer clause 10.2 of the original appeal through which directions were sought to demolish encroachment and illegal construction at the ground floor. Apart from this, the appellant wants to add a fresh prayer clause to challenge and set aside the abeyance order dated 17.10.2022 of demolition order dated 07.02.2022.

It is stated that inadvertently this prayer could not be made in the original appeal and further the appellant has already filed a civil suit qua prayer no. 10.2 and therefore, the amendment is necessary.

...contd.2

: 2 :

Same is opposed on the ground that the amendment, if permitted, shall be barred by limitation as the order dated 17.10.2022 can be challenged only within 30 days and not after more than 3 years from the date of the order.

It is a settled law that an amendment seeking time barred relief cannot be allowed. The appellant at this stage seeks permission to withdraw this appeal with liberty to file the fresh appeal challenging the abeyance order dated 17.10.2022.

Statement of SPA holder of the appellant recorded separately to this effect.

In view of the statement made by the SPA holder, the aforesaid appeal is dismissed as withdrawn. The liberty if any shall be subject to law of limitation.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
17.03.2026

A.No. 419/23

17.03.2026

Present : Sh. Akhil Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel the respondent.
Sh. R.K. Singh, Ld. proxy counsel for the DDA along with Sh. Sanjay Kumar, Nodal Officer for the DDA.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the respondent/ MCD as main counsel Sh. Ashutosh Gupta is not available today because of some bereavement in the family.

At request, put up for arguments on **21.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
17.03.2026

A.No. 1046/17

17.03.2026

Present : Ms. Tejswani, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant along with son of the appellant.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent.

,An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Nitin Sharma is held up before Hon'ble High Court

An adjournment is also sought on behalf of the respondent/ MCD as main counsel Sh. Ashutosh Gupta is not available today because of some bereavement in the family.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the parties to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **12.05.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
17.03.2026

A.No. 461/24 & 1081/24

17.03.2026

Present : Sh. Simarpal Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh.Pulkit Garg, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh
Gupta, counsel the respondent in appeal no. 461/24
None for the respondent in appeal no. 1081/24.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the respondent/
MCD as main counsel Sh. Ashutosh Gupta is not
available today because of some bereavement in the
family.

None has appeared for the respondent in appeal no.
1081/24 despite repeated calls since morning. Fresh
notice be issued to the MCD for ensuring the presence of
the counsel Ms. Bhavya on the next date of hearing.

At request, put up for arguments on **14.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
17.03.2026

A.No. 464/24

17.03.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Raujas Sharma, Ld. Proxy counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the respondent as main counsel Sh. Ajay Gaur, is not available due to some family exigency.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the respondent to address the arguments in the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **21.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
17.03.2026

A.No. 906/24
Rajesh Garg Vs. MCD

17.03.2026

Present : Appellant in person.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent through VC and Sh. Vaibhav Sanwal, proxy counsel, for the respondent in person.

Heard. File perused.

The aforesaid appeal is against the vacation notice dated 26.09.2024, which is not appealable before this Tribunal. The appeal is dismissed with liberty to challenge the demolition/sealing order as per law.

Appeal stands disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
17.03.2026

A.No. 909/24, 910/24, 911/24 & 912/24

17.03.2026

Present : Sh. B.K. Wadhwa, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.
Ms. Jasleen Kaur, Ld. counsel for the respondent in
appeals no. 909/24 and 912/24.
Sh. Apporav Sisodia, Ld. counsel for the respondent in
appeals no. 910/24 and 911/24 through VC.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as
main counsel Sh. Chander M. Maini is un-available today
due to some personal difficulty.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted
to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **21.07.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
17.03.202

A.No. 1004/24 & 1005/24

17.03.2026

Present : Sh. Manish Tanwar , Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the respondent/ MCD as main counsel Sh. Ashutosh Gupta is not available today because of some bereavement in the family.

At request, put up for arguments on **03.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
17.03.2026

A.No. 444/25 & 449/25

17.03.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel the respondent.

None has appeared for the appellant despite repeated calls since morning.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the respondent/ MCD as main counsel Sh. Ashutosh Gupta is not available today because of some bereavement in the family.

At request, put up for arguments on **13.08.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
17.03.2026

A.No. 497/25

17.03.2026

Present : Sh. S.K. Jha, Id. counsel for the appellant through VC
along with appellant in person.
Sh. Sri Niwas, AE(B) in person.

File perused.

Notice in this case was directed to be issued to the respondent on 24.07.2025, but same was never dispatched as the original notice is still available in the file.

Even the AE submits that no counsel has been appointed in this case. It appears that the notice was never dispatched by the registry.

Fresh notice be issued to the MCD/respondent for ensuring the presence of the counsel as well as for filing the status report, record and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **06.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
17.03.2026

A.No. 676/25

17.03.2026

Present : Sh. Rajesh Kumar Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the respondent/ MCD as main counsel Sh. Ashutosh Gupta is not available today because of some bereavement in the family.

Ld. counsel for the appellant also seeks time to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **15.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
17.03.2026

A.No. 10/26 (M)

17.03.2026

Present : Sh. Manoj Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh
Gupta, counsel the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the respondent as
main counsel Sh. Ashutosh Gupta is not available
because of some bereavement in the family.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted
to the respondent to address the arguments in the matter.
Put up for arguments on **25.03.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
17.03.2026

A.No. 459/23 & 460/23

17.03.2026

Present : Appellant in person.
Sh. Sanjeet Kumar, proxy counsel for Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, Ld. counsel for respondent.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal is allowed.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
17.03.2026