

A.No. 215/26

18.03.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Sandip Kumar and Sh. Surya Gupta, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

As per appellant his regularization application dated 12.12.2025 is still pending and despite that the impugned demolition order dated 27.02.2026 has been passed.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **21.05.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the demolition order dated 27.02.2026. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.03.2026

A.No. 219/26

18.03.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Sanjay Sharma and Sh. Anshul Sharma, Ld counsels
for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to
the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the
presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in
person along with the record of the proceedings, status
report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal
on **20.03.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.03.2026

A.No. 221/26

18.03.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Ms. Shweta Sahni, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **11.05.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.03.2026

A.No. 225/26

18.03.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Sanjay Verma, Sh. A.P. Verma and Sh. Hariom Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

This appeal is against the show cause notice stated to be issued to the appellant in his Civil Suit where the respondent filed a status report on 26.02.2026 and the appellant was given 15 days to file the reply to the show cause notice. No order has been passed till date as per this status report.

The grievance of the appellant that he has not been provided the copy of the show cause notice, cannot be entertained in this appeal as he has to seek this show cause notice from the respondent's office as per rules.

This appeal is pre-mature and is dismissed.

Copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.03.2026

A.No. 226/26

18.03.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. M.L. Gupta and Sh. Gaurav Kumar, Ld. counsels for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **10.07.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the demolition order dated 18.12.2025. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.03.2026

A.No. 227/26

Nathu Singh Vs. MCD

18.03.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Ms. Sucheta Kumari, Sh. Avadh Pratap Singh, Sh. Pushpendra Singh and Ms. Radha Rani, Ld counsels for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that she has instructions from the appellant to withdraw the aforesaid appeals and she may be permitted to withdraw the aforesaid appeal with liberty to file fresh appeal as per law.

Statement of Id. counsel for the appellant recorded separately to this effect.

In view of the statement made by the Id. counsel for the appellant, the aforesaid appeal is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed subject to law of limitation.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.03.2026

A.No. 56/16

18.03.2026

Present : Ms. Sonu Lohia, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh
Gupta, counsel for the respondent.

Some time sought on behalf of the respondent to file the status report in compliance of the last order as main counsel Sh. Ashutosh Gupta is not available today because of demise of his maternal uncle.

Put up for further proceedings awaiting status report and arguments on **24.04.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.03.2026

A.No. 570/25

18.03.2026

Present : Sh. Shiv Nath Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Sanjeev Sharma, Id.counsel for the proposed intervener.

Ld. counsel for the proposed intervener seeks time to file appropriate application under Order I rule 10 CPC.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **13.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.03.2026

A.No. 614/25

18.03.2026

Present : Sh. Sanjeev, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, Ld. counsel for the respondent
through VC.

Record has already been submitted by the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to go through the
record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **30.07.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.03.2026

A.No. 639/25

18.03.2026

Present : Sh. Punit Srivastava, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Sanjeev Kumar, AE(B), South Zone, Delhi.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Ld. counsel for the respondent seeks time to file the record. Let the same be so filed within 2 weeks.

Private respondent no. 2 whose sanction has been challenged in this appeal, has not been served as notice was not issued to him.

Issue notice to the respondent no. 2 for the next date of hearing.

Put up for appearance of the respondent no. 2 and arguments on **06.07.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.03.2026

A.No. 642/25

18.03.2026

Present : Sh. Dhananjay Sardana and Sh. Gaurav Rana, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Sh. Anupam Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Sanjay Kumar, Nodal Officer for DDA.

Status report along with documents is filed by the DDA, copy supplied.

Ld. counsel for the DDA seeks time to file the record. Let the same be so filed within 3 days.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Vimal Dhingra is not available today being out of station.

Same is strongly opposed.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **04.05.2026**. Date is given at the request of Id. counsel for the appellant.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.03.2026

A.No. 761/25

18.03.2026

Present :

Sh. Daksh Nain, Ld. counsel for the appellant through VC & Ms. Aarti Sharma, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant. Ms. Kritika, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, counsel for the respondent. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has already been submitted.

This is an appeal seeking removal of the booking of the property from the website of respondent as well as from the physical records. As per the status report and the record submitted, a demolition order of the subject property was passed on 29.08.2017 in respect of unauthorized construction of a porta cabin at the roof of Flat no. 80, new Saraswati CGHS, Sector-09, Rohini.

As per the appellant, that porta cabin has already been removed and therefore, the demolition order does not stand.

There is no noting in the MCD record that the porta cabin has been removed.

The appellant is directed to satisfy as to how this appeal is maintainable on this aspect.

Put up for arguments on the maintainability of the appeal on **18.05.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.03.2026

A.No. 762/25 & 763/25

18.03.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Paras Agarwal, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 762/25. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record
Sh. Sanjay Sethi, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 763/25 through VC.
Sh. Neeraj Dabas, AE(B), Keshav Puram Zone, Delhi.
Sh. Avijeet Kumar, Ld. counsel for the intervener.

Ld. counsel for the intervener has filed an application under Order I rule 10 CPC. Copy supplied.

Joint status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied. Copy placed in the appeal file of 762/25.

Arguments on maintainability of the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC heard. Even if it is presumed that all the averments made in the application is correct, then also in this proceeding between the appellant and the MCD the applicant has no right to participate and he cannot become a party as there is a clear-cut judgment of Delhi High Court in case **Hardayal Singh Mehta Vs MCD, AIR 1990 Delhi 170** in which it is held that in the matter between the appellant and the MCD, no third person can join and become a party to such proceedings and in such proceedings the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC is not maintainable. Any dispute between the applicant and the appellant has to be dealt with and to

....contd.2

: 2 :

be decided by the Civil Court separately. Accordingly, application moved by applicant under order 1 Rule 10 CPC is hereby dismissed. However, the applicant is permitted to file the documents, if any and to orally argue the matter at the final arguments stage.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **12.08.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.03.2026

A.No. 830/25 & 332/25

18.03.2026

Present : Sh. Vijay Sehgal, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Hardik Bedi, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 830/25 through VC.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 332/25.

Cost has already been deposited in appeal no. 830/25.
Status report is filed by the MCD in appeal no. 332/25, copy supplied.

The record has already been submitted.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the respondent as maternal uncle of main counsel Sh. Ashutosh Gupta has passed away.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the respondent to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **22.04.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.03.2026

A.No. 138/26

18.03.2026

Present : Sh. Parveen Duhan, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Ms. Kritika, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. V.K. Aggarwal,
counsel for the respondent/MCD. Fresh Vakalatnama
filed, same is taken on record
Sh. Narender Chauhan, Ld. counsel for the private
respondents no. 3 to 8.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied to the
appellant. Let the same be also supplied to the private
respondents.

Reply with documents filed on behalf of the respondents
no. 3 to 8. Copy supplied to the appellant. Let the same
be also supplied to the counsel for the MCD.

At request, put up for arguments on **08.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.03.2026

A.No. 202/26 & 203/26

18.03.2026

Present : Sh. Charanpreet Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant along with some of the appellants.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the respondent as maternal uncle of main counsel Sh. Ashutosh Gupta has passed away.

At request, put up for arguments on **06.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.03.2026

A.No. 852/16 & 868/16

18.03.2026

Present : Sh. Rajesh Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. R.K. Kashyap, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 852/16 through VC.
Sh. A.L. Agnihotri, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 868/16.

Part arguments heard.

Appellant is directed to produce the original certified copy of inspection report dated 01.04.1995 as well as the receipt of deposit of court fees for obtaining this certified copy.

At request, put up for further arguments on **21.04.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.03.2026

A.No. 150/18, 373/18 & 611/24

18.03.2026

Present : Sh. Abhishek Sharma and Sh. Mayank Ahuja, Ld
counsels for the appellant.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh
Gupta, counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the respondent as
maternal uncle of main counsel Sh. Ashutosh Gupta has
passed away.

At request, put up for arguments on **29.04.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.03.2026

A.No. 169/19, 170/19, 171/19, 172/19, 173/19 & 174/19

18.03.2026

Present : Sh. Ravi Kapoor, Ld. counsel for the appellant.
Ms. Parul Agarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant in appeal no.174/19 through VC.
Ms. Kritika, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 169/19 & 174/19.
Sh, Pulkit Garg, Id. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeals no. 170/19.
Sh. Nahar Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 171/19 and Ld. proxy counsel for Ms. Kanta Choudhary, counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 172/19.
None for the respondent in appeal no. 173/19.
Ms. Priyanka Agarwal, Ld counsel for the intervener.
Sh. Subodh Hathwal, AE(B), Shahdara S. Zone in person.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the respondent in appeal no. 170/19 as maternal uncle of main counsel Sh. Ashutosh Gupta has passed away.

Adjournment also sought on behalf of the respondent in appeals no. 169/19 & 174/19 as main counsel Sh. V.K. Aggarwal is held up before the Rohini Court.

At request, put up for arguments on **20.05.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.03.2026

A.No. 681/23 & 682/23
18.03.2026

Present : Sh. Gourav Kumar and Sh. Dhananjay, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Sh. Avishek Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Subodh Hathwal, AE(B), SSZ Zone.
Part arguments heard.

Ld. counsel for the appellant has filed a copy of the order passed by Ld. DRT dated 27.01.2026 (Copy of the order supplied to the counsel for the respondent today) and it is stated that vide this order, the possession has been directed to be restored back to the applicants therein, who were the appellant, her husband Jaipal and one M/s The Prompt Co Coloadng Services Pvt. Ltd.

The DRT has directed the restoration of the possession to these three entities, but only one of them is appellant before me.

Whether the possession has been handed over to the appellant and of which portion of the subject property is necessary to ascertain whether the appellant has come in possession of entire property or a portion thereof.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to clarify on this aspect.

At request, put up for further arguments on 24.07.2026.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.03.2026

A.No. 193/24

18.03.2026

Present : Sh. Ajay M. Lal, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Avishek Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Subodh Hathwal, AE(B), SSZ Zone.
Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Id. counsel for the respondent no. 2 and 3.

Status report is filed by the MCD in compliance to the order dated 27.05.2025. Copy supplied.

Fresh Vakalatnama filed on behalf of the respondents no. 2 and 3 and time sought to inspect the record and it is stated that the appellants have no locus to file this appeal as none of the grounds contemplated in Section 338 of DMC Act exists in the present case.

It is stated for the appellant that the counsel inspected the record filed by the MCD, but same does not contain any orders or notings of the department, but only has the documents submitted by the respondents no. 2 and 3 while obtaining the sanctioned building plan.

It is stated for the MCD that entire record has been submitted as the process for obtaining the sanctioned building plan is now online and no other record lies with the respondent for the sanctioned building plan of the subject property.

...contd.2

: 2 :

Infacts, put up for arguments on 06.08.2026.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.03.2026

A.No. 918/24

18.03.2026

Present : Sh. Sunil Lalwani, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Pulkit Gagg, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh
Gupta, counsel for the respondent.
Intervener in person.

It is stated for the appellant that regularization application of the appellant is still pending. As per the last status report filed by the MCD on 04.08.2025, the file was sent to the Town Planning department, who sought some clarifications from the MCD about the private passages shown at site.

MCD is directed to file the fresh status report about this regularization application on the next date of hearing.

Put up for further proceedings on **12.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.03.2026

A.No. 991/24 & 992/24

18.03.2026

Present : Sh. R.K. Verma, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld. counsel for the respondent with Sh.
Akash Tanwar and Sh. Vaibhav Sanwal, Advocates

Arguments heard.

Put up for orders on **22.04.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.03.2026

A.No. 1012/24

18.03.2026

Present : Ms. Vanshika Sharma, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Pulkit Gagg, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent.

An application under Order VII rule 14 CPC filed by the appellant to place on record the additional documents is pending.

Without prejudice to the rights of the respondent, the documents are taken on record. The application stands disposed of.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the respondent as maternal uncle of main counsel Sh. Ashutosh Gupta has passed away.

At request, put up for arguments on 07.08.2026.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.03.2026

A.No. 146/25

18.03.2026

Present : Sh. S.P. Sharma, Ld. counsel for the appellant joined through VC with Sh. Nitin Kumar, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant present in Court.
Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, Ld counsel for the respondent through VC.

Part arguments heard.

Let the AE(B) concerned to appear in person on next date of hearing to explain why the property of the appellant was booked when the show cause notice and the demolition order says that the same is old and occupied and what action was taken against the properties at ground and first floor for which wife of the appellant lodged a complaint and fresh unauthorized construction in the form of photograph at ground and first floor are available in the office record from page 1/C to 30/C.

Put up for further arguments on **06.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.03.2026

A.No. 597/25

18.03.2026

Present : Sh. Vinod Kumar, Ld. counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Akash Tanwar and Sh. Vaibhav Sanwal, Ld counsel
for the respondent along with Sh. Avinash JE(B).

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that vide order dated 05.02.2026 passed by the Hon'ble High Court W.P.(C) No.1645/26, the Hon'ble High Court has held that the rejection of regularization application of the appellant on the ground that title documents have not been produced is erroneous and has set aside the rejection order dated 23.01.2026 and directed the respondent to consider the regularization application in terms of earlier orders of the Hon'ble High Court dated 17.11.2025 and further that till the said application is decided no coercive action be taken against the property. Copy of the order placed on record.

For MCD it is stated that the regularization application once rejected cannot be reconsidered and appellant is required to file fresh application for regularization which shall be considered as per law.

I have perused the order of Hon'ble High Court dated 05.02.2026 where there is no direction to the appellant to apply afresh for regularization nor any such submission

Contd...2..

-2-

was made by the Ld. counsel for the appellant before the Hon'ble High Court. The respondent as such is required to consider the application of regularization as directed by the Hon'ble High Court vide order dated 05.02.2026.

Put up for arguments on **07.07.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.03.2026

A.No. 702/25

18.03.2026

Present : Sh. Sourav Ghosh, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, Ld counsel for the respondent through VC.
Sh. M.S.I. Israli, Ld. counsel for the intervener.

Ld. counsel for the appellant has placed on record an order dated 03.02.2026 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in W.P.(C) no. 1463/2026 vide which the appellant herein have been given an opportunity to apply for regularization in prescribed format and same shall be considered within 4 weeks by the respondent.

Along with this order, the appellant has also filed the receipt showing that they have applied for regularization on 05.03.2026.

Let the respondent to file the status report of this regularization application on next date of hearing.

At request, put up for arguments on the appeal on **13.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.03.2026

A.No. 662/19

18.03.2026

Present :

Ms. Parul Verma, Ld counsel for the appellant.
None for the respondent.

Matter is listed for orders today.

It is stated for the appellant that appellants have already applied for regularization of the subject property and till then, the orders on this appeal be deferred.

Same is no ground to defer the orders.

I have perused the record.

The appellants have claimed protection under National Capital Territory of Delhi Laws (Special Provision) Second Amendment Act, 2011 while relying upon the property tax return. The Property Tax receipts in the name of one Dilshad Khan are of second and third floor left hand side portion of property no. D-8, Jangpura Extension, New Delhi. However, the property tax record filed by the respondent show that the tax was deposited only for the second floor.

In these facts, let the original property tax record of the second and third floor left hand side portion of property no. D-8, Jangpura Extension, New Delhi be called and deposited and the concerned AZI (House Tax Department) be also present for clarifications in this regard, on the next date of hearing.

Put up for further arguments on this aspect on **08.05.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
18.03.2026