

Agno . 298/26

15.04.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Kanwal Chaudhary and Sh. Ankit Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **08.06.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the demolition order dated 19.02.2026. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
15.04.2026

A.No. 300/26

15.04.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Droan Dutt and Sh. Rohit Rawat, Ld. counsels for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **03.08.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the impugned order. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
15.04.2026

A.No. 303/26

15.04.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Ms. Ekta Gambhir, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Copy of the demolition order filed. Same is taken on record.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **12.05.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
15.04.2026

A.No. 304/26

15.04.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Avinash Pandey and Mohd. Mohid, Ld counsels for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

List of documents along with documents filed on behalf of the appellant. Same is taken on record.

The aforesaid appeal is against the vacation notice, which is not appealable before this Tribunal.

Ld. counsel however submits that copy of the demolition order dated 02.07.2019 was never supplied to the appellant and he seeks some time to amend the appeal.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **22.05.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
15.04.2026

A.No. 305/26

15.04.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Mahesh Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **26.05.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
15.04.2026

A.No. 306/26

15.04.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Umang Tyagi and Sh. Zuber Ali, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **13.05.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
15.04.2026

A.No. 308/26

15.04.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Ojas Sachdeva, Ms. Snigdha Birla, Ms. Akshita Bhardwaj and Sh. Gaurav Mehta, Ld counsels for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **17.07.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the demolition order dated 08.02.2023. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
15.04.2026

A.No. 773/25

15.04.2026

Present : None for the appellant.  
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record

Reply to the application for stay filed on behalf of the respondent/MCD. Copy placed on record.

It is stated by the ld. counsel for the respondent that there is no application for condonation of delay in filing the appeal, which is barred by limitation.

Let the record be submitted.

Put up for arguments on **14.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
15.04.2026

A.No. 790/25

15.04.2026

Present : Ms. Vasu Gupta, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.  
Ms. Sidhi Arora, Ld counsel for the respondent. Fresh  
Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Record has already been submitted.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as  
father of main counsel has expired.

At request, put up for arguments on **08.06.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of  
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
15.04.2026

A.No. 56/26

15.04.2026

Present : Sh. Yograj Gullaiya and Sh. Mohit Kumar, Ld counsels for the appellant.

Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record

Reply to the application for stay as well as status report filed on behalf of the respondent/MCD. Copy supplied.

Part arguments heard.

It is stated by the ld. counsel for the respondent that the appeal is barred by limitation and there is no application seeking condonation of delay.

At request, put up for further arguments on **18.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
15.04.2026

A.No. 66/26

15.04.2026

Present : Sh. Akhilesh Kumar Pandey, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Arun Sharma is not well today and is unable to appear.

At request, put up for arguments on **04.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
15.04.2026

A.No. 122/26

15.04.2026

Present : Sh. A.K. Trivedi, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellants.  
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.  
Ms. Sudesh Verma, respondent no. 4 in person.

Appellant has moved an application under Order XXXIX rule 1 and 2 and another application under Order XXVI rule 9 CPC. Copies were sent directly to MCD and private respondents.

Ld. counsel for the respondent/MCD submits that he has not yet received the copies of these application, if any served directly to the MCD. Copy supplied today in the court.

Pass over sought on behalf of the counsel for the private respondent. Because of heavy cause list, the pass over is not possible today.

Let the copy of the reply to the appeal be supplied to the counsel for the appellant in advance.

At request, put up for arguments on the aforesaid applications and appeal on **23.04.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
15.04.2026

A.No. 131/26

15.04.2026

Present : Sh. U.M. Tripathi, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Subodh Hatwal, AE(B), SSZ, for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

At request, put up with connected appeal on **26.05.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
15.04.2026

A.No. 147/26

15.04.2026

Present : Sh. Sunil Lalwani, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
None for the respondent.

An application under Order VI rule 17 CPC filed on behalf of the appellant seeking amendment in the prayer clause of the appeal. Through this application, the appellant wants to insert the prayer to challenge the sealing order dated 27.06.2019, which earlier could not be done.

Since, the amendment sought is formal in nature, the application is allowed and the amended appeal is taken on record.

Appellant further seeks desealing of the property to bring the same within the permissible compoundable limits.

None has appeared for the respondent despite repeated calls since morning.

Fresh notice be issued to the Dy. Commissioner Concerned with directions to be present in court with record and also for ensuring the presence of the counsel on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **01.06.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
15.04.2026

A.No. 181/26

15.04.2026

Present : Sh. Bhaskar Dongwal Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Atul Tanwar, counsel the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Record has already been submitted.

It is stated for the appellant that in view of the new Gazette Notification, the appellant seeks liberty to get the subject property regularized.

At request, put up for arguments on the appeal on **12.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
15.04.2026

A.No. 290/26

15.04.2026

Present : Sh. Vikas Khatri , Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Varun Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent. Memo of appearance filed.  
Sh. Suresh Jain and Sh. Nadim Malik, Ld. counsel for the respondent no. 3, 4 and 6 along with respondents no. 3 and 4.  
Sh. Satyender Kumar, Ld. counsel for the respondent no. 5. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record

Reply to the appeal and reply to the application seeking condonation of delay along with reply to the interim application filed on behalf of the respondent no. 3, 4 and 6. Copy supplied.

Time sought to file the reply to the appeal by respondent no. 5 and record by the respondent no. 1/MCD. Let the same be so filed with advance copy to the counsel for the appellant by respondent no. 5.

At request, put up for arguments on the applications seeking condonation of delay in filing of this appeal, interim application as well as on the appeal itself on **04.05.2026.**

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
15.04.2026

A.No. 605/14

15.04.2026

Present : Proxy counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Dharmvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Pass over sought on behalf of the appellant on the ground that main counsel is held up before the Patiala House Courts. Pass over is not possible because of heavy cause list.

At request, put up for arguments on **20.05.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
15.04.2026

A.No. 37/15

15.04.2026

Present : Sh. Savit Kumar, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Ankit Verma, Id. LAC for the appellant.  
Sh. K.K. Arora, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Written arguments along with vakalatnama filed for the appellant. Copy supplied.

Ld. LAC seeks discharge in the matter as the appellant has engaged a private counsel. Hence, he is discharged from this case.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel is not available today due to illness of his son.

The appeal pertains to the year 2015. However, in the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **02.06.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
15.04.2026

A.No. 452/17 & 700/19

15.04.2026

Present : Sh. Kamal Kishore Sharma, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant along with Sh. G.K. Kaushik, counsel for the appellant through VC.  
Sh. Jatin Aggarwal, Id. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 452/17.  
Ms. Sudesh Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent in 700/19.

Copy of the standard DDA Plan supplied today to the Id. counsel for the appellant, who seeks time to go through the same and to advance arguments.

At request, put up for arguments on **04.06.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
15.04.2026

A.No.745/17 & 173/22

15.04.2026

Present : Sh. Naresh Kumar, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Nitya Sharma for the appellant.  
Sh. K.K. Arora, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 745/17.  
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Id. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 173/22.  
Sh. Manoj Kumar, Id. counsel for the intervener in appeal no. 745/17.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel has been blessed with a child.

The appeal pertains to the year 2017 and 2022. However, in the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **20.05.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
15.04.2026

A.No. 161/18

15.04.2026

Present : Sh. Chirag Jamwal, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
None for the respondent/MCD.  
Sh. Manish Chaudhary, Ld. counsel for the respondent  
no. 2 to 5 through VC.  
Sh. Rakesh Malviya, respondent no. 5 through VC.

Part arguments heard.

None has appeared for the respondent/MCD despite  
repeated calls since morning.

Fresh notice be issued to the MCD for ensuring the  
presence of the counsel on the next date of hearing.

Put up for further arguments on **06.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of  
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
15.04.2026

A.No. 430/18

15.04.2026

Present : Sh. S.S. Handa, husband of the appellant.  
Sh. Ravi Ranjan, Ld. counsel for the respondent/MCD  
through VC.  
Sh. Sanjay Kumar, Nodal Officer for the DDA.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as  
main counsel has been suffering from viral fever.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted  
to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **22.05.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of  
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
15.04.2026

A.No. 143/22

15.04.2026

Present : Ms. Mudita Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
None for the respondent/MCD.  
Sh. Priyush Sharma, Id. counsel for the intervener.

None has appeared for the respondent despite repeated calls since morning.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Fresh notice be issued to the MCD for ensuring the presence of the counsel on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **09.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
15.04.2026

A.No. 466/22

15.04.2026

Present : Sh. Mehul Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.  
None for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought by the counsel for the appellant on the ground that during shifting of the office, he has misplaced his file.

None has appeared for the respondent despite repeated calls since morning.

Fresh notice be issued to the MCD for ensuring the presence of the counsel on the next date of hearing.

At request, put up for arguments on **30.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
15.04.2026

A.No. 630/23, 632/23, 655/23 & 656/23, 338/24 and 339/24

15.04.2026

Present : Sh. P.K. Bhardwaj, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.  
Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, Ld. counsel for respondent in appeals no. 630/23 & 632/23 through VC.  
Ms. Beena Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeals no. 655/23 & 656/23.  
None for the respondent in appeal no. 338/24 and 339/24.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that he inspected the file, but the sealing record has not been submitted by the respondent and he needs some time to inspect the said record.

Record shows that same is attached with appeal no. 656/23 filed since 18.10.2023 and ld. counsel probably did not inspect sincerely.

However, in the interest of justice one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **11.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
15.04.2026

A.No. 679/23, 680/23 & 340/25

15.04.2026

Present : Sh. Jaspreet Singh, Ld counsel for the appellants through VC.

Ms. Praveen Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeals no. 679/23 and 680/23.

None for the respondent in appeal no. 340/25.

Sh. Rajiv Sharma, Id. counsel for the intervener in appeal no. 679/23 and 680/23 along with interveners Ms. Konica and Sh. Anshuman Chowdhury, through VC.

Arguments heard partly.

Record of the regularization application has been produced. Let the same be deposited with Registry.

Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 340/25 is not available.

Put up for further arguments on **08.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
15.04.2026

A.No. 693/23

15.04.2026

Present : Proxy counsel for the appellant.  
Ms. Jasleen Kaur, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Mohd. Asif, AE(B).  
Sh. Surender Pal, Ld. counsel for the intervener along with intervener Azhar Saifi in person.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Dalip Rastogi is held up before Patiala House Court.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **13.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
15.04.2026

A.No. 433/24

15.04.2026

Present : Sh. Danish Khan, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel is not available today due to some personal difficulty.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **21.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
15.04.2026

A.No. 644/24

15.04.2026

Present : Sh. Hitaksh Puri, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.  
Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld counsel for the respondent/MCD.  
Sh. Shresth Jain and Sh. Nadeem Malik, Id. counsels for the respondent no. 4.

Arguments heard at length.

Put up for orders on **17.04.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
15.04.2026

A.No. 735/24

15.04.2026

Present : Sh. Dhruv Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Atul Tanwar along with Ms. Mahima Khira, Ld  
counsels for the respondent.

An application under Order VI rule 17 CPC filed on behalf of the appellant seeking certain amendments in the prayer clause of the appeal.

Copy supplied.

In the initial appeal, the appellant has impugned the vacation notice dated 28.08.2024 and since, appeal against the vacation notice is not maintainable, amendments have been sought to challenge the demolition order.

Dismissal of this application will amount to one more appeal challenging the demolition order. In fact, the application is allowed subject to cost of Rs. 5,000/- to be deposited with Registry and the amended appeal is taken on record.

At request, put up for arguments on appeal on **06.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
15.04.2026

A.No. 736/24 : Yogesh Sulkhlan Vs. MCD  
A. No.737/24 : Sangeeta Kumari Vs. MCD  
A. No.738/24 : Ahmed Ali Vs. MCD  
A. No. 739/24: Vidyavati Devi Vs. MCD  
A. No. 740/24: Vasudev Barthwal Vs. MCD  
A. No. 741/24 : Usha Rani Vs. MCD  
A. No. 742/24 :Chandi Ram Vs. MCD

15.04.2026

Present : Sh. Mukesh Shukla, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant through VC.  
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeals no. 736/24, 739/24, 740/24, 741/24 & 742/24  
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 737/24 & 738/24.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel is not available today due to some personal difficulty.

Matters are listed on the aspect of maintainability since 09.12.2024 and the appellant has neither satisfied about the maintainability and nor has amended the appeal.

The aforesaid appeals are against the vacation notice(s), which are not appealable before this Tribunal. The aforesaid appeals are dismissed with liberty to challenge the demolition/sealing order as per law.

Appeals stand disposed of. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD/15.04.26

A.No. 1/25

15.04.2026

Present : Ms. Suruchi Aggarwal, Sr. counsel along with Sh. Ankit Kumar, counsels for the appellant.  
None for the respondent.

An application under Section 151 CPC has been filed by the appellant seeking notification issued under Section 507 of DMC Act relating to village Khampur Raiya with detailed boundary description and layout forming part of the such notification. Copy for the opposite side placed on record. Let the same be collected.

None has appeared for the respondent despite repeated calls since morning.

In view of the same, the cost imposed on the appellant on the last date of hearing is waived.

MCD is directed to produce the said notification and layout/boundary description of the said village Khampur Raiya, if any.

Put up for arguments on appeal on **21.05.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
15.04.2026

A.No. 203/25

15.04.2026

Present : None for the parties.

None has appeared either for the appellant or the respondent despite repeated calls since morning.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Put up for arguments on **14.08.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
15.04.2026

At this state, the appellant himself has appeared and he is apprised about the today's order.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
15.04.2026

A.No. 847/25

15.04.2026

Present : Sh. Umang Tyagi, Sh. Zuber Ali, Sh. Siddharth Sharma and Ms. Khushi Anand, Ld counsels for the appellant.  
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent.  
Sh. Sadik, Ld. counsel for the respondent no. 2. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that the subject property is situated in the list of colonies notified by the Government on 08.04.2026 for regularization 'as and where basis' and appellant seeks some time to apply for regularization application once the portal is opened on 26.04.2026.

Ld. counsel for the respondent no. 2 seeks some time to file the reply. Let the reply, if desired, be filed with advance copy to the other side.

At request, put up for further proceedings on **21.08.2026**.  
Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
15.04.2026

A.No. 4/26 & 5/26

15.04.2026

Present : Sh. Manoj Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Proxy counsel for the respondent.  
Sh. Mridul Jindal and Sh. Mohit Agnihotri, Ld. counsel for  
the intervener Anil Kumar.

Status report filed in both the appeals. Copy supplied.

Record has already been submitted.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the  
record and to argue the matter.

Intervener has filed an application seeking impleadment  
along with annexures and an application for referring  
Contempt of Court against the appellant as well as MCD.  
Copy supplied to the appellant. Let the same be also  
supplied to the MCD/respondent today.

At request, put up for arguments on these two  
applications and interim application(s) on **15.05.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of  
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
15.04.2026

A.No. 89/26

15.04.2026

Present : Sh. Gaurav Puri, Aehsas Puri and Sh. Kushagra Bali, Ld counsels for the appellant.

Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ms. Surbhi Mehta, Id. counsel for the respondents no. 2 to 7.

Reply filed by the private respondents 2 to 7 to the appeal and to the interim application along with documents. Copy supplied.

Appellant has filed an application under Order VII Rule 14 CPC to place on record additional documents. Copy supplied.

Arguments heard on this application, without prejudice to the rights of the respondent to challenge the veracity of the documents, the same are taken on record.

Arguments heard on the appeal

Put up for orders on **16.04.2026** at 4.00 pm.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
15.04.2026

A.No. 881/17, 910/17, 566/18 & 138/23

15.04.2026

Present : Sh. H. Rehman, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Dharmvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent in  
appeal no. 910/17 and 566/18.  
Sh. V.B. Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondent/Delhi  
Waqf Board in appeal nos. 881/17 and 910/17.

Vide common judgment of even date, the aforesaid  
appeals are dismissed.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with  
copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record  
room.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
15.04.2026