

A.No. 301/26 & 302/26

16.04.2026

Fresh appeals received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. G.R. Verma and Ms. Sonu Lohiya, Ld counsels for the appellant along with appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **04.06.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
16.04.2026

A.No. 307/26

16.04.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Baldev Singh and Sh. Harendra Singh, Ld counsels  
for the appellant along with appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

The impugned demolition order dated 14.11.2025 in respect of unauthorized construction in property no. 164-A, Main Road, CV Raman Marg, Sarai Juliana, New Delhi is under challenge. The Quasi Judicial Authority found merits in the submissions of the appellant that the construction is existing prior to 01.06.2014 and is protected under National Capital Territory of Delhi Laws (Special Provision) Second Amendment Act, 2011 till 31.12.2026.

The appellant however wants to challenge this order on merits irrespective of protection granted under National Capital Territory of Delhi Laws (Special Provision) Second Amendment Act, 2011.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

...contd.2

: 2 :

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **21.08.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
16.04.2026

A.No. 309/26

16.04.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Dr. V.P. Singh and Ms. Simran Pal, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **19.08.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant bearing flat no. 136, First floor, Pkt. 7, Sector-23, Rohini, Delhi, in pursuance of the demolition order dated 07.04.2026. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
16.04.2026

A.No. 310/26 & 311/26

16.04.2026

Fresh appeals received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Puran Chand, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

The appellant has filed only the copies of the show cause notices under Section 344 (1) read with 343 DMC Act and issued under Section 345-A DMC Act, but has not filed the copies of the two impugned orders.

Let the same be filed.

Put up for consideration on **24.04.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
16.04.2026

A.No. 312/26 & 313/26

16.04.2026

Fresh appeals received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Manoj Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **17.04.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
16.04.2026

A.No. 314/26

16.04.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Rajesh Kashyap, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **11.06.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
16.04.2026

A.No. 817/23 & 329/24

16.04.2026

Present : Sh. Mahesh Chand, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Files are taken up today on the applications of early hearing filed on behalf of the appellant.

The next date of hearing in the matter is 12.05.2026. The appeals are of the year 2023. In view of the heavy pendency, no early hearing is possible. The applications are dismissed.

Put up on the date fixed i.e. **12.05.2026** for the purpose fixed.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
16.04.2026

A.No. 634/25

16.04.2026

Present : Sh. Mohit Kumar Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.  
Sh. Krishan Sharma, Parkash Saxena and Ms. Farhat Bano, Ld. Proxy counsels for Sh. Sanjay Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent/DDA

Ld. proxy counsels for the respondent seeks some time to produce the record.

At request, put up for arguments on **21.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
16.04.2026

A.No. 23/26 & 67/26

16.04.2026

Present : Ms. Parul Agarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Kartik Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Combined Record has already been submitted in appeal no. 23/26.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **20.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
16.04.2026

A.No. 214/26

16.04.2026

Present : Ms. Parul Agarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant.

File is taken up today on an application of early hearing filed on behalf of the appellant.

The next date of hearing in the matter is 08.07.2026. The appeal is of the year 2026. In view of the heavy pendency, no early hearing is possible. The application is dismissed.

Put up on the date fixed for the purpose fixed.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
16.04.2026

A.No. 241/26 & 242/26

16.04.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the respondent seeks time to file the status report and record.

At request, put up for arguments on **18.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
16.04.2026

A.No. 17/26 (M) & 18/26 (M)

16.04.2026

Present : Sh. Ashish Pannu, Ld counsel for the appellant/  
applicants.

These are two applications u/s 151 CPC seeking  
compliance of order dated 04.11.2025.

Notice of these applications be issued to the respondent  
for **12.05.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
16.04.2026

A.No. 312/24

16.04.2026

Present : Sh. N.K. Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Ms. Praveen Sharma, Ld. counsel for the respondent.

Previous cost has been deposited by the appellant today only.

Part arguments heard.

At request of the ld. counsel for the respondent, put up for further arguments on **12.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
16.04.2026

A.No. 790/13  
O.P. Aggarwal Vs. NDMC

16.04.2026

Present : Sh. Vikas Bhatia, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Nilesh Sahwani, Ld counsel for the respondent  
through VC along with Sh. Ashok Kumar, ASO, NDMC.

Status report is filed by the respondent copy supplied.

It is stated by the Id. counsel for the appellant that the appellant has deposited the misuser charges as per the directions of this court dated 12.03.2026.

Status report filed by the respondent confirmed this fact, but it is stated that the affidavits furnished by the appellant are not proper. Copies of those affidavits have been filed with the status report, wherein in para 3, it has been stated that this Tribunal has directed desealing of the subject property vide order dated 12.03.2026.

Same appears to be an inadvertent mistake. Para 3 of the affidavit may not be read as part of this affidavit. The remaining affidavit/undertaking is accepted.

Since misuser charges have been paid, the appeal is allowed.

The property be desealed within 15 days from today, failing which the appellant shall be at liberty to remove the seal.

...contd.3

: 2 :

The appeal stands disposed of.

The appellant is bound down by his undertaking.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
16.04.2026

A.No. 284/15, 336/15 & 465/16

16.04.2026

Present : Sh. Waseem Khan, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant through VC  
Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 336/15.  
Sh. H.R. Aggarwal, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal nos. 284/15 and 465/16.

Pass over sought on behalf of the appellant for 12.00 noon.

Be awaited for 12.00 noon.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
16.04.2026

At 12.50 pm

Present : None for the appellant.  
Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 336/15.  
Sh. H.R. Aggarwal, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal nos. 284/15 and 465/16.

None has appeared for the appellant despite repeated calls since morning and despite request of pass-over. It is already 12.50 pm.

The appeals pertain to the year 2015/2016. However, in the interest of justice, one last and final opportunity is given to the appellant for arguments subject to deposit of cost of Rs. 10,000/- each in each appeal to be deposited with the Registry.

Put up for arguments on **12.05.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
16.04.2026

A.No. 1089/15

16.04.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld. counsel for the respondent.

Appellant seeks some more time to produce the original rent agreement as directed on the last date of hearing.

At request, put up for purpose fixed on **16.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
16.04.2026

A.No. 596/16  
Mohd. Saleem Qureshi Vs. MCD

16.04.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Ms. Sudesh Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent.  
Ms. Parul Agarwal, Ld. counsel for the intervener.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The subject property bearing no. S-70, Third Floor, Greater Kailash-I, New Delhi has since been regularized, hence this appeal challenging the demolition order has become infructuous as no non-compoundable deviations now exists in the property.

In view of the above, the appellant stands disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

The property be delisted from the list of booked properties on the website of the MCD.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
16.04.2026

A.No. 233/17 & 234/17

16.04.2026

Present : Sh. N.S. Nangia, Ld counsel for the appellant. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 233/17.  
Ms. Praveen Sharma, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 234/17.

Appellant intends to place on record certain fresh documents and seeks pass-over to move that application. Ld. counsel for the respondent submits that he has to rush to Hon'ble High Court and is not available in afternoon.

Infacts, let the application be filed within 2 weeks with advance copy to the counsel for the respondent.

Put up for arguments on the proposed application and appeal on **10.06.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
16.04.2026

A.No. 636/17 & 737/17  
**Harbir Singh Vs. MCD**

16.04.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Ravi Ranjan, Ld counsel for the respondent through  
VC.

1. Arguments heard at length.
2. These are two appeals challenging the demolition order dated 27.04.2017 in appeal no. 636/17 and demolition order dated 01.02.2017 in appeal no. 737/17 passed in respect of unauthorized construction in property no. 178, Village Jasola, New Delhi-110044.
3. The appellant has challenged these two orders on the ground that the show cause notice dated 20.01.2017 and the demolition order dated 01.02.2017 in appeal no. 737/17 were never served on the appellant and in the other appeal the show cause notice dated 17.04.2017 and the demolition order dated 27.04.2017 have been passed in respect of property no. 178 yet the respondent was carrying out demolition action in the property of the appellant bearing no. 73, Village Jasola which is a different property. On behalf of the respondent, it was argued that the identification of the property is undisputed as the electricity connection relied by the appellant is

Contd...2/-

: 2 :

installed in the subject property and the property numbers given in Village Jasola are private numbers given by the residents which keep on changing. It was further stated that show cause notices and the demolition orders were served by speed post and pasting and there was a proper service.

4. I have perused the record. In appeal no. 737/17, the show cause notice dated 20.01.2017 and the demolition order dated 01.02.2017 were sent only through speed post and the envelopes returned with the report that the address is incomplete. No other mode of service of show cause notice was done by the respondent for the service of appellant. Once the envelopes returned back unserved, the respondent was required to serve the same through some mode provided u/s 444 of the DMC Act. No such effort was done. Since, neither show cause notice nor demolition order was served, the principles of natural justice were violated. The appeal therefore is allowed and the impugned demolition order dated 01.02.2017 is set-aside.
5. Coming to other appeal, the show cause notice and the demolition order were passed in respect of house no. 178, Village Jasola in the name of appellant. His

Contd...3/-

: 3 :

father Mr. Baljeet Singh also replied to the show cause notice. The appellant claims the municipal number of his property being 73 Village Jasola and the documents of ownership filed by the appellant show that the property number of the appellant is 73 and not 178. However, the reply given by the father of the appellant to the show cause notice is relevant. The father of the appellant in the reply admitted that the property no. is 178 and he did not dispute the property number as argued by the counsel of the appellant.

6. In these facts, there is no force in the argument of the appellant that correct property number was not mentioned in the show cause notice and demolition order. As per status report dated 23.02.2023, the electricity meter relied by the appellant is installed at the subject property. Generally the house numbers are not permanent in villages and keep on changing and therefore the identity of the subject property was not disputed by the father of the appellant in his reply to the show cause notice.
7. However, the reply given to the show cause notice was not considered. The demolition order dated 27.04.2017 mentions that no reply has been received

Contd...4/-

: 4 :

despite the said reply submitted on 27.04.2017 is available in the office record. The show cause notice dated 17.04.2017 was sent by speed post on 21.04.2017 and at earliest must have been received by the appellant on 22.04.2017. The reply was given on 27.04.2017 which was in reasonable time from the date of service of the show cause notice and should have been considered while passing the demolition order dated 27.04.2017. Further, the opportunity of personal hearing was not given.

8. In these facts, both the appeals are allowed.
9. In these facts, the demolition order dated 01.02.2017 and 27.04.2017 are set aside with directions to the respondents to pass a speaking order after considering the reply dated 27.04.2017 submitted by the father of the appellant and after giving personal hearing to the appellant. The appellant shall appear before the Quasi Judicial Authority on 04.05.2026 at 2.00 pm and the speaking order be passed within 6 weeks of conclusion of the hearing.
10. Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD/16.04.2026

A.No. 290/20, 291/20 & 394/20

16.04.2026

Present : Sh. I.S. Chouhan and Sh. Deepak Grover, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, Ld counsel for the respondent through VC.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks some time to file an application seeking permission to file certain documents on record.

Same is opposed by the respondent as multiple adjournments have been sought by the appellant to delay the matter.

The appeals pertain to the year 2020 and there was sufficient time since last date to move appropriate application as prayed. However, infacts, the request is allowed subject to cost of Rs. 2,000/- in each appeal to be deposited with Registry.

Purported application be filed within 2 weeks from today with advance copy to the opposite side.

At request, put up for arguments on the proposed application and appeal on **17.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
16.04.2026

A.No. 145/21, 146/21 & 147/21

16.04.2026

Present : Sh. Kunal Khanna and Ms. Sonia Dhariwal, Ld counsels for the appellant.  
Ms. Manjusha Jha, Ld counsel for the respondent through VC.

Arguments advanced by the Id. counsel for the appellant at length.

Ld. counsel for the respondent despite login on VC is not responding to the arguments and has given a message in the chat box that because of connectivity issue, she is not able to address arguments.

The respondent is given one last and final opportunity to address arguments on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **30.07.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
16.04.2026

A.No. 5/23

16.04.2026

Present : Sh. Sheikh Imran Alam, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Ms. Praveen Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent.  
Sh. Sharib Khan, Ld. counsel for the intervener.

Arguments on maintainability of the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC heard. Even if it is presumed that all the averments made in the application is correct, then also in this proceeding between the appellant and the MCD the applicant has no right to participate and he cannot become a party as there is a clear-cut judgment of Delhi High Court in case ***Hardayal Singh Mehta Vs MCD, AIR 1990 Delhi 170*** in which it is held that in the matter between the appellant and the MCD, no third person can join and become a party to such proceedings and in such proceedings the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC is not maintainable. Any dispute between the applicant and the appellant has to be dealt with and to be decided by the Civil Court separately. Accordingly, application moved by applicant under order 1 Rule 10 CPC is hereby dismissed. However, the applicant is permitted to file the documents, if any and to orally argue the matter at the final arguments stage.

.....contd.2

: 2 :

Arguments heard on the appeal at length.

Put up for orders on **13.05.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
16.04.2026

A.No. 37/23 & 38/23

16.04.2026

Present :

Sh. Piyush Chaudhary , Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.

Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 37/23.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 38/23.

Part arguments heard on the applications seeking condonation of delay in filing these appeals.

As per the appellant, he received the copy of the impugned orders only on 12.01.2023 during hearing of Civil Suit filed by the appellant against the respondent bearing Suit no. 688/2022 titled as 'M/s Dalmia Latex Pvt. Ltd. vs. EDMC' which was subsequently withdrawn on 14.03.2023 from the court of ASCJ, Shahdara, KKD Courts, Delhi.

Let the record of that file be summoned from the concerned court/record room to ascertain the series of events as to when the copy of the impugned orders were supplied to the appellant, for next date of hearing.

Put up for further arguments on the aforesaid application seeking condonation of delay on **17.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
16.04.2026

A.No. 132/23 & 133/23

16.04.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Arguments heard at length.

Put up for orders on **30.04.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
16.04.2026

A.No. 139/23

16.04.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Arguments heard at length.

Put up for orders on **14.05.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
16.04.2026

A.No. 189/24, 324/24 & 439/24

16.04.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report not filed as per order dated 11.03.2025 despite several opportunities sought and given.

Let the concerned Dy. Commissioner shall be present in the Court along with status report on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **06.05.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
16.04.2026

A.No. 197/24  
Hinesh Taneja Vs. MCD

16.04.2026

Present : Sh.Rajesh Baweja and Ms. Poonam Kandari, Ld counsels for the appellant.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta and Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsels for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that he has instructions from the appellant not to press the present appeal at this stage with the liberty to file fresh appeal/apply afresh and he may be permitted to not to press this appeal. Statement of Id. counsel for the appellant recorded separately to this effect.

In view of the statement made by the Id. counsel for the appellants, the aforesaid appeal is disposed off as not pressed and resultantly being withdrawn.

As far as the liberty to file fresh appeal/apply afresh is concerned, the fresh application for regularization can always be filed being a matter of right. As far as fresh appeal is concerned, the appellant can always challenge any fresh order as per law, for which no liberty is required.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
16.04.2026

A.No. 898/24

16.04.2026

Present : Ms. Mansi Shukla, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, Ld proxy counsel for the  
respondent joined through VC.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as  
main counsel Sh. Arvind Kumar Gupta is un-available  
today due to bad health.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted  
to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **19.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of  
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
16.04.2026

A.No. 1066/24, 1067/24, 457/25 & 458/25

16.04.2026

Present : Sh. S.B. Pandey, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, Ld counsel for the respondent  
through VC.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

As per this status report, the appellant was not present at the subject property for joint inspection as directed by this court on 10.02.2026 and the property was found locked.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that appellant is a permanent resident of West Bengal and was out of Delhi since last date of hearing because of on-going SIR in the State of West Bengal and undertakes to get the joint inspection done preferably after 06.05.2026 when the election in the State of the West Bengal are scheduled.

I have perused the record.

This court on 01.08.2025 while giving interim protection to the appellant directed joint inspection which was volunteered by the appellant himself. Since that date, joint inspection could not be carried out despite specific directions on 10.02.2026. More than two months have passed since last date of hearing and almost 8 months since 01.08.2025 and it appears that the appellant is deliberately avoiding joint inspection after interim order in

...contd.2

: 2 :

his favour. In these facts, let the joint inspection now be carried out as per the last order on 07.05.2026 at 12.00 noon. The terms and conditions of the inspection shall remain same as per the order dated 10.02.2026. However, an adjournment cost of Rs. 5,000/- each is imposed in four appeals i.e. Rs. 10,000/- each on both the appellants to be deposited with Registry.

Put up for filing of status report of the joint inspection and arguments on appeals on **07.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
16.04.2026

A.No. 117/25

16.04.2026

Present : Ms. Ritika Silmana, Ld. Proxy counsel for Ms. Parul Agarwal, counsel for the appellant.  
None for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks pass over in the matter, which is not possible due to heavy cause list.

Put up for arguments on **21.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
16.04.2026

A.No. 265/25, 269/25, 270/25, 271/25 & 272/25

16.04.2026

Present : Sh. Harish Narang, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. R.K. Arora,  
counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel has been suffering from viral fever and is not in a position to come today.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **24.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
16.04.2026

A.No. 394/25

16.04.2026

Present : Sh. Vipin Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Regularization application is still pending.

At request, put up for filing of further status report of the regularization application and arguments on **13.08.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
16.04.2026

A.No. 706/14

16.04.2026

Present : Sh. , Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. H.R. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.  
Intervener in person.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal is dismissed.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
16.04.2026

A.No. 89/26

16.04.2026

Present : None for the appellant.  
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent.  
None for the respondents no. 2 to 7.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the aforesaid appeal is dismissed.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
16.04.2026

A.No. 162/26

16.04.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal is allowed.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
16.04.2026