

A.No. 321/26 & 322/26

21.04.2026

Fresh appeals received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Shahid Ahmad, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

These appeals challenging the vacation notice are not maintainable and were already dismissed vide order dated 19.03.2026 in appeal no. 228/26.

The only grievance of the appellant is that copy of the demolition order/sealing order has not been provided.

Issue notice to the respondent only to the limited aspect of not providing the copies of the demolition/sealing order for the next date of hearing.

Put up for further proceedings on **29.04.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.04.2026

A.No. 632/25

21.04.2026

Present : Sh. Om Prakash along with Sh. Amresh Bind, Ld
counsels for the appellant.

File is taken up today on an application of early hearing
filed on behalf of the appellant.

Let notice of this application be issued to the respondent
for **01.06.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.04.2026

A.No. 793/25 & 851/25

21.04.2026

Present : Sh. Satya Vijay Yadav, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Chetan Hasija, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 793/25. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.
Sh. Tilak Raj, AE(B), in person.

Status report is filed by the MCD in appeal no. 793/25, copy supplied.

Some time sought on behalf of the MCD to file record in appeal no. 851/25 as the particulars of the counsel appointed are not in the knowledge of the AE(B) concerned.

It is also stated that the sealing order was earlier challenged by the appellant in appeal no. 571/24 and the record attached with that appeal has been taken and will be filed in this appeal.

At request, put up for arguments on **03.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.04.2026

A.No. 860/25

21.04.2026

Present : Sh. Raghvender Kumar, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Tarun Sabharwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record

Ld. counsel for the respondent seeks some time to file the status report and record.

At request, put up for arguments on **21.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.04.2026

A.No. 19/26

21.04.2026

Present : Sh. Atul Sharma, Sh. B.S. Tiwari and Sh. Jagminder Rana, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Ms. Sunita Sevda, counsel for the respondent. Copy of the Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Record has already been submitted.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record and to take certified copy and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **14.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.04.2026

A.No. 50/26

21.04.2026

Present : Sh. Ran Vijay Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh
Gupta, counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the respondent seeks some time to file
the status report and record.

At request, put up for arguments on **19.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.04.2026

A.No. 105/26

21.04.2026

Present : Sh. Ayush Gupta , Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent/NDMC along with Sh. Ashok Kumar ASO and Sh. Srikant JE (EBR).
Sh. Manav Mitra, Id. counsel for the intervener.

Ld. counsel for the NDMC accepts notice of this appeal.
Status report is filed by the NDMC. Let the copy be supplied to the appellant.

Let the reply, if any, to this appeal and record be submitted on the next date of hearing.

An application under Order I rule 10 CPC filed on behalf of the intervener. Copy already supplied.

Let the reply, if any, to this application be filed on or before the next date of hearing with advance copy to the applicant.

The appellant has also filed list of documents with documents. Same are taken on record. Copy supplied to the respondent/NDMC.

The Hon'ble High Court has already given interim protection to the appellant till 14.07.2026.

At request, put up for arguments on this appeal on **08.06.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.04.2026

A.No. 106/26
Inder Mohini Vs. MCD

21.04.2026

Present : Sh. Ramesh Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Dharmraj Meena AE(B) and Sh. Ashwini Mathur, JLO.

1. Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.
2. Ld. counsel for the respondent has submitted that this appeal is not maintainable since no order u/s 345A of the DMC Act for sealing the property has been passed. The appellant has only challenged the letter dated 08.01.2026 issued by the respondent asking the appellant to deposit the conversion and parking charges within a month which is not appealable before this Tribunal u/s 347-B of the DMC Act and if the appellant has any grievance against this letter he has to approach Hon'ble High Court in writ or civil court.
3. Ld. counsel for the appellant on the other hand has argued that the show cause notice dated 31.12.2020 was issued u/s 345A DMC Act to stop misuse and pay requisite misuser charges and thereafter this letter dated 08.01.2026 has been issued. The appellant gave reply to this letter and asked in para-10 of the reply that this is not an order passed u/s 345A of the

Contd...2/-

: 2 :

DMC Act as the authority for the same vest with the Dy. Commissioner but the respondent did not clarify on this aspect and therefore this appeal has been filed which is maintainable as the letter dated 08.01.2026 talks about the proceedings going u/s 347 read with section 345A of DMC Act.

4. I have perused the record. The appellant in the prayer clause of this appeal has sought setting aside this letter dated 08.01.2026 issued by the AE(B) directing the deposition of conversion and parking charges within a month. This letter has been issued by AE(B) whereas the Dy. Commissioner is authorized to pass sealing order u/s 345A of the DMC Act. This power of sealing for misuse has been given by Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in the case of M.C. Mehta Vs UOI and is not provided under the DMC Act. The orders which are appealable u/s 347B of the DMC Act have been specified under this provision and under Sub section 1(m) of this section the order of sealing is appealable.
5. The impugned letter dated 08.01.2026 is just a request to the appellant to deposit the conversion and parking charges within a month and an appeal against this letter is not maintainable before this Tribunal.

Contd...3/-

: 2 :

6. In these facts the appeal is dismissed being not maintainable.
7. Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.04.2026

A.No. 296/26

21.04.2026

Present : Ms. Manmeet Kaur along with Sh. Gurtejpal Singh, Ld
counsels for the appellant.

File is taken up today on an application of early hearing
and an application u/s 151 CPC filed on behalf of the
appellant.

Let notice of these applications be issued to the
respondent for **04.05.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.04.2026

A.No. 468/22

21.04.2026

Present : None for the parties.

Previous cost has not yet been paid.

None has appeared for the appellant as well as respondent despite repeated calls since morning.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Put up for arguments on **18.08.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.04.2026

A.No. 145/11

21.04.2026

Present : Ms. Ranjana Aggarwal, Ld. Proxy counsel for Ms. Rashmi Singhal, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant. Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Rajender Meena, AE(B).

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Adjournment sought on behalf of the appellant on the ground that main counsel is held up before Karkardooma Courts in some bail application.

This appeal relates to the year 2011. However, in the interest of justice, one last and final opportunity is given to the appellant for arguments on the application under Order VI rule 17 CPC as well as on the appeal on **19.05.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.04.2026

A.No. 375/11, 374/11 & 394/13

21.04.2026

Present : Sh. Ashish Kumar Bhagat and Ms. Princy Chaudhary, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Sh. A.L. Agnihotri and Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld counsels for the respondent in appeal no. 349/13.
Sh. Ravi Ranjan, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC in appeal no. 375/11 & 374/11 through VC.
Sh. Sanjay Kumar, Nodal Officer from DDA.

Ld. counsel for the appellant has placed on record two letters dated 26.08.1966 and 01.11.1988 to show that the land was released by the DDA after acquisition.

I have perused these documents which only reflect that the Delhi Administration vide letter dated 26.08.1966 offered to release the property from the purview of acquisition subject to payment of the development charges. There is no document to show that Sh. Krishan Singh deposited these development charges.

Vide letter dated 01.11.1988 issued by the DDA, it was stated that khasa no. 639/35/1/2, measuring one bigha of village Humanyupur, South of B-4/123, Safdarjung Enclave, stands acquired vide Award no. 1662, but possession was not taken by the DDA due to built up site. ATP wrote a letter to the DDA seeking clarification about the land between plot no. B-4/123 and B-4/156. DDA has

....contd.2

: 2 :

filed status report dated 10.04.2026 in this court stating that this plot between these two properties belongs to the DDA.

The appellants are not staking any claim over this plot between these two properties and are concerned only about the property no. B-4/156 (bearing old No. 79A).

As per the Id. counsel for the MCD, the entire area of this plot measuring 1000 sq. yards is a part of layout plan and not a sub-divided plot and they have to be included in the layout plan and only thereafter, regularization can be accorded to the construction existing at the subject property.

Now since the ownership of the plot in between the B-4/123 and B-1/156 has been clarified by the DDA in status report dated 10.04.2026, ATP is directed to proceed with the process of incorporating this sub-division of bigger plot in the layout plan and to file the status report regarding the regularization application of the appellant positively by the next date of hearing.

Put up filing of status report on **08.05.2026**.

Copy of this order be given dasti to the counsel for the respondent/MCD for compliance.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.04.2026

A.No. 108/24

21.04.2026

Present : Sh. Manoj Kumar, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Rajesh Vashisht, counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Vimal Dhingra, Ld. counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent.

It is stated by Sh. Vimal Dhingra that since the appellant has engaged a new counsel, he may be discharged from the vakalatnama. Infacts, Sh. Vimal Dhingra, advocate is discharged from his vakalatnama.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that he has applied for certified copy of the record, which has not yet been provided and he will argue after the certified copy would receive.

Infacts, put up for arguments on the application seeking condonation of delay and appeal on **10.06.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.04.2026

A.No. 625/16, 492/19 & 35/20

21.04.2026

Present : Appellant Satish Kumar in person in appeal nos. 625/16 & 492/19.
None for the appellant in appeal no. 35/20.
Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 625/16 and 35/20.
None for the respondent in appeal no. 492/19.
Ms. Khushboo, Ld. counsel for the intervener.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant Satish Kumar as main counsel Sh. Anmol Singh is unavailable today due to ill health.

In the interest of justice one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

None has appeared for the appellant in appeal no. 35/20 despite repeated calls since morning. No adverse order is being passed today.

At request, put up for arguments on **11.06.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.04.2026

A.No. 852/16 & 868/16

21.04.2026

Present : Ms. Bhoomika and Ms. Chahat Dua, Ld. Proxy counsels for the appellant.
Sh. R.K. Kashyap, Ld counsel for the respondent in 852/16.
Sh. A.L. Agnihotri, Id. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 868/16.

It is stated for the appellant that the appellant no. 1 has passed away a month before and some time is required to file appropriate application to implead his legal heirs.

At request, put up for further proceedings on **07.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.04.2026

A.No. 232/19 & 484/19

21.04.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Ranjeet Pandey, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 232/19 through VC.
Sh. Ravi Ranjan, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 484/19 through VC.
Sh. Ishan Jain, Ld. counsel for the intervener.

List of documents along with certain documents filed by the intervener. Soft copy has already been supplied.

None has appeared for the appellant despite repeated calls since morning.

However, in the interest of justice one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments on the application seeking condonation of delay as well as appeal on the next date of hearing subject to cost of Rs. 5,000/- to be deposited with Registry.

Put up for arguments on **09.07.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.04.2026

A.No. 390/19

21.04.2026

Present : Sh. Gaurav Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. R.K. Kashyap, Ld counsel for the respondent through
VC.

Part arguments heard.

The property tax record of the subject property bearing property no. C-2/2, Rana Pratap Bagh, Delhi-110007 is relevant for adjudicating whether the construction existing in the property is prior to 08.02.2007.

The respondent is directed to produce the entire original property tax report of this property on the next date of hearing.

Put up for further arguments on **20.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.04.2026

A.No. 633/19 & 200/24

21.04.2026

Present : Sh. Mehul Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 633/19.
Sh. Harsh, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Mahender Shukla, counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 200/24.

Previous cost has been deposited in appeal no. 633/19 and it is stated that cost in the other appeal shall be deposited today itself.

It is further stated for the appellant that they want to get the property regularized by demolishing the non-compoundable deviations/construction.

The appellant is directed to approach the MCD in this regard.

Put up for further proceedings/arguments on **24.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.04.2026

A.No. 88/20 & 89/20

21.04.2026

Present : Sh. Naveen K. Sharma and Sh. Raj Kumar Yadav, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Ashish Upadhayay and Sh. Siddharth Kaushik, Ld. counsels for the intervener.

Ld. counsel for the appellant has placed on record the copy of the site plan of the third floor which was a part of the sale deed. Same is taken on record.

Part arguments heard.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the Ld. counsel for the respondent being busy before the Hon'ble High Court.

At request put up for further arguments on **13.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.04.2026

A.No. 448/21

21.04.2026

Present : Sh. Rohit Jain, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC and Sh. Govind Kumar, Advocate in person.
Sh. Sanjay Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent through VC along with Sh. Ashok Kumar, ASO, NDMC.

Arguments heard on behalf of the appellant.

Ld. counsel for the respondent seeks time to file the written submissions.

Both the parties are at liberty to file the written submissions.

Put up for further arguments on **17.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.04.2026

A.No. 51/22

21.04.2026

Present : Sh. B.S. Saini, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. A.L. Agnihotri, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

Record of this file was tagged with appeals no. 387/21 and 11/22, which have already been decided on 16.12.2025.

Let the record if not yet collected by the respondent be tagged with this file and if has already been collected, be submitted afresh on or before the next date of hearing.

Put up for further arguments on **27.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.04.2026

A.No. 83/22, 381/25 & 382/25

21.04.2026

Present : Sh. Abhishek Parihar, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 381/25 & 382/25.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Id. proxy counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 83/22.

An adjournment is sought by the Id. counsel for the appellant on the ground that his file has been misplaced. In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **19.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.04.2026

A.No. 539/22

21.04.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Ranjeet Pandey, Ld. counsel for the respondent through VC.

Despite various calls none is appearing on behalf of the appellant in the Tribunal or through VC.

Put up at 2.00 PM.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.04.2026

At 2.30 PM

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Ranjeet Pandey, Ld. counsel for the respondent through VC.
None has appeared on behalf of appellant since morning in the Tribunal or through VC despite various calls. None had appeared on behalf of the appellant on the previous date as well.

It is 2.30 PM. The present appeal is dismissed in default.

Record of the respondent if any be returned alongwith copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

Copy of the order be sent to AE(B) concerned.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.04.2026

A.No. 292/24

21.04.2026

Present : Sh. Ankit Sharma, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. R.K. Singh, Ld. Proxy counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. S.B. Sharma is not available today due to food poisoning.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **21.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.04.2026

A.No. 1027/24

21.04.2026

Present : Sh. Mukesh, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.
None for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel is not available today due to hospitalization of his mother. In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

None has appeared for the respondent despite repeated calls since morning. No adverse order is being passed today.

Fresh notice be issued to the MCD for ensuring the presence of the counsel on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **01.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.04.2026

A.No. 1070/24

21.04.2026

Present : Sh.Gaurav Singhal, Ld counsel for the appellant.
None for the respondent.

Heard. File perused.

The aforesaid appeal is against the show cause notice, which is not appealable before this Tribunal.

The only grievance of the appellant is that copy of the sealing order has not been provided.

Issue notice to the respondent only to the limited aspect of not providing the copies of the sealing order for the next date of hearing.

Put up for further proceedings on **09.06.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.04.2026

A.No. 36/25

21.04.2026

Present : Sh. Vaibhav Sharma, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant through VC.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent.

Previous cost has not yet been deposited.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel is held up before the Hon'ble NCLT.

Adjournment was sought by the appellant on the last date as well.

Subject to payment of cost dated 14.05.2025 and a further cost of Rs. 10,000/- for today to be deposited with Registry of this Tribunal, one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **10.06.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.04.2026

A.No. 123/25
Mohd. Sultan Vs. MCD

21.04.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh
Gupta, counsel for the respondent.

Despite various calls none is appearing on behalf of the
appellant in the Tribunal or through VC.

Put up at 2.00 PM.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.04.2026

At 2.25 pm
Present :

None for the appellant.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh
Gupta, counsel for the respondent.

None has appeared on behalf of appellant since morning
in the Tribunal or through VC despite various calls.
None had appeared on behalf of the appellant on the
previous date as well.

It is 2.25 PM. It appears that the appellant is not
interested in pursuing this appeal. The present appeal is
dismissed in default.

Record of the respondent if any be returned alongwith
copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record
room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.04.2026

A.No. 678/25 & 810/25

21.04.2026

Present : Sh. Mohit Bedi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Rajender Meena, AE(B).
Sh. Manish Sharma, Id. proxy counsel for the MCD/respondent through VC in 810/25.
Sh. Anil Kumar Bhargav, Id. counsel for the intervener through VC.

Status report is filed by the MCD in appeal no. 810/25, copy supplied.

Written arguments filed by the intervener in appeal no. 678/25. Copy supplied.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks interim relief of temporary desealing of one room. Same is strongly opposed.

At request, put up for arguments on the interim application as well as appeal on **02.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.04.2026

A.No. 83/26

21.04.2026

Present : Sh. Akshay Bhatia, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Hemant Singh Negi, AE(B).

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **02.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.04.2026

A.No. 424/25

21.04.2026

Present : Sh. Dheeraj Tanwar, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.

Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

The matter is listed for orders. However, ld. counsel for the appellant submits that appellant wants to amend the prayer clause of the appeal by challenging the demolition order dated 12.11.2019.

In these facts, orders are deferred.

Let steps be taken within a week to amend the appeal.

Put up for further proceedings on **02.06.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
21.04.2026