

A.No. 382/26

08.05.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. V.K. Mantoo, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **14.08.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.05.2026

A.No. 386/26

08.05.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Ms. Sujata Sirorkar, Ld. LAC (Legal Aid counsel) for the appellant along with appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **08.09.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. Flat no. 282, SFS Flats, Pocket-10, Sector-11, Rohini, Delhi, in pursuance of the demolition order dated 01.02.2017. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.05.2026

A.No. 387/26

08.05.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Ms. Srishti Juneja, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. Property bearing no. 833, Khasra no. 740/496, Village Chirag Delhi, New Delhi-110017, in pursuance of the demolition order dated 12.01.2026. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **22.05.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.05.2026

A.No. 388/26

08.05.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Virendra Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **25.08.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. property bearing no. 7, Gali no. 1, Khasra no. 1214, Rangpuri Village, Delhi in pursuance of the demolition order dated 09.06.2025. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.05.2026

A.No. 389/26

08.05.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Amit Anand, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **02.09.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. Flat no. 342, Pkt. 5, Mayur Vihar, Phase-I, Delhi, in pursuance of the demolition order dated 07.01.2025. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.05.2026

A.No. 868/25 & 869/25

08.05.2026

Present : Sh. Rajesh Raina, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Dr. S.G. Asthana, Ld counsel for the respondent. Memo
of appearance filed.

Ld. counsel for the respondent seeks time to produce the
record. Let the record be produced and after filing the
record, it can be inspected by the counsel for the
appellant.

At request, put up for arguments on **14.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.05.2026

A.No. 108/26

08.05.2026

Present : Sh. V.K. Mantoo, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Record has already been submitted.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

As per the appellant, regularization application dated 09.02.2026 at page no. 48 of the appeal is still pending.

Let the status report qua this regularization application be filed on the next date of hearing.

At request, put up for arguments on **05.06.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.05.2026

A.No. 143/26

Tajinder Pal Singh Vs. MCD

08.05.2026

Present : Sh. H.S. Sodhi, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Ms. Mahima Khera, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Atul Tanwar (who is also appeared through VC) counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Record has already been submitted.

Arguments on the application seeking condonation of delay in filing the appeal heard.

1. The appellant has challenged the sealing order dated 20.01.2023 in this appeal filed on 19.02.2026 and the condonation of delay has been sought on the ground that the appellant after the sealing action approached the respondent for temporary desealing to demolish the non-compoundable structure at ground floor and thereafter applied for permanent desealing after demolishing the non-compoundable structure and thereafter applied for regularization of the ground floor and since the same was not regularized has filed this appeal and the delay should be condoned.
2. Ld counsel for the respondent on the other hand has argued that the appellant had knowledge of the sealing order since the property was sealed on 02.02.2023 and his application for temporary

desealing, permanent desealing and regularization is no reason for not filing this appeal and the delay is of more than 3 years and should not be condoned.

3. I have perused the record.
4. The appellant has filed documents to show that he approached the respondent for temporary desealing on 14.08.2023 to remove unauthorized construction at ground floor and thereafter applied for permanent desealing on 16.10.2023 and later for regularization on 17.01.2025 which was rejected. The ground on which the condonation of delay has been sought are not plausible but for the reason that the appellant shall not get any benefit by not challenging the sealing order in time and for the reason that dismissal of this application shall amount to permanent sealing of the property and by following the law laid down by Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in the judgment titled as **Ram Nath Sao @ Ram Nath Sahu & Ors. Vs. Gobardhan Sao & Ors.**, dated 27.02.2000 in Civil Appeal No. 1704/2002, the delay is condoned subject to cost of Rs. 10,000/- to be deposited with the registry of this Tribunal.

Put up for argument and appeal on **21.08.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.05.2026

A.No. 150/26, 151/26, 152/26, 153/26 & 154/26

08.05.2026

Present : Ms. Minati Murari and Sh. Deepak Grover, Ld counsel for the appellants.
Sh. Avishek Kumar, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 150/26.
Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 152/26 and 154/26. Memo of appearance filed.
Ms. Vasu Singh, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 151/26 and 153/26.
Sh. Rajesh Kumar, ASO, CNZ.

Ld. counsels for the respondents seek time to produce the record.

Let the record be filed and after filing the record, it can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

At request, put up for arguments on **07.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.05.2026

A.No. 15/26 (M)

08.05.2026

Present : Sh. Sagar Chauhan, Ld counsel for the appellant.

File is taken up today on an application of early hearing filed on behalf of the appellant.

Let notice of this application be issued to the respondent for **09.06.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.05.2026

A.No. 375/11, 374/11 & 394/13

08.05.2026

Present : Sh. Ashish Kumar Bhagat and Ms. Princy Chaudhary, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Sh. Ravi Ranjan, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC in appeal no. 375/11 & 374/11.
Sh. A.L. Agnihotri, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 394/13 along with Sh. Manish Rajpal, ATP.

Status report filed by the ATP, as per which the subject property cannot be considered to be processed for Policy Enabled the Planned Development of Privately Owned Land 2018 (PLP-2018) since, there is an existing building and the policy is applicable only for vacant land/plots.

Copy supplied.

ATP is directed to specify by next date of hearing as to whether the subject property can be regularized under any other policy.

In the meantime, put up for arguments on all the three appeals on **05.06.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.05.2026

A.No. 697/18
Anil Kumar Gupta Vs. MCD

08.05.2026

Present : Sh. Rohit Goel, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Arguments heart at length.

1. This is an appeal challenging the rejection order dated 01.08.2018 rejecting the regularization plan in respect of property no. 292 (part), Kucha Sanjogi Ram, Naya Bans, Delhi-110006. This regularization was rejected on following grounds :-

- a) "You are only the owner of ground floor (part) as per legal comments on the basis of ownership documents placed opp. in file and you cannot apply for regularization of entire property.
- b) Building is not within the ambit of UBBL-2016 and such application cannot be considered.
- c) The portion which has to be regularized is not shown in red colour."

2. The appellant has challenged this rejection on the ground that the appellant has not raised any fresh construction in the property after purchasing the same on 31.12.2013 and the construction is old and occupied and should have been regularized as per the building bye-laws yet the respondent rejected the

....contd.2

regularization application without cogent reasons and without considering that the property is protected under National Capital Territory of Delhi Laws (Special Provision) Second Amendment Act, 2011. It was also argued that part of the property can be regularized in view of the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court passed in Kamal Sibbal Vs. NDMC.

3. Ld. counsel for the respondent on the other hand has argued that regularization of part of the property cannot be granted and further the same was not within the ambit of UBBL-2016 as was having a fourth floor in the property which is not permissible and therefore, the regularization was rightly rejected.
4. I have perused the record.
5. Protection under National Capital Territory of Delhi Laws (Special Provision) Second Amendment Act, 2011 has no relation with regularization of the property. A property may be protected under this Act being existed much prior to the cut-off date of 08.02.2007/01.06.2014 as the case may be, but the same cannot be a ground to regularize the property. Regularization has to be done in accordance to MPD-2021 and UBBL-2016. The subject property had ground, first, second, third and fourth floor and could

...contd.3

: 3 :

not have been regularized because of more floors than permitted under UBBL-2016. UBBL-2016 permits only four floors in the property and a property consisting of five floors cannot be regularized.

6. Though, it was argued for the appellant that the fourth floor has now been demolished, but that cannot be a ground to set aside the rejection letter which has to be considered on the basis of facts existing on the date of that order and on that day, there were five floors in the property. The appellant claims that the fourth floor has now been demolished, the appellant is at liberty to apply for regularization afresh which shall be considered by the respondent as per law.
7. As far as, this appeal is concerned, I do not find any infirmity in the rejection letter dated 01.08.2018. The appeal is devoid of merits and is hereby dismissed.
8. Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.05.2026

A.No. 662/19

08.05.2026

Present :

Sh. Anuj Kumar Garg, Ld counsel for the appellant.

None for the respondent.

An application to place on record the additional documents arising out of the subsequent events filed by the appellant.

Copy placed on record. Let the same be collected the respondent

As per these documents, the appellant has applied for regularization of the subject property on 16.03.2026.

Let the status report be filed qua this regularization application on the next date of hearing.

Further, the respondent was directed to file the original property tax return of the second and third floor, left hand side portion of the property no. D-8, Jungpura extension, New Delhi, but neither the record has been produced nor any one is present from the house tax department to explain regarding non-filing of the record.

Let the Dy. Commissioner concerned be present in person in the court with explanation and record for non-complying of the last order, on the next date of hearing.

Put up for further proceedings awaiting status report and record on **23.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.05.2026

A.No. 189/21 & 445/21

08.05.2026

Present : Sh. Brijesh Johar, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
None for the respondent in appeal No.445/21.
Sh. Mohit Sharma, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal No.189/21 joint through VC.

Ld. counsel for the appellant requests for adjournment as he could not prepare for argument because of demise of his uncle.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **04.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.05.2026

A.No. 634/22

08.05.2026

Present : Ms. Neena Malhotra, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant
joined through VC.
Ms. Rani Prajapat, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Syed Adil
Hussain, counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as
main counsel Sh. Sanam Malhotra is not available today
due to toothache.

Similar request for adjournment is made on behalf of the
respondent as main counsel is not available today.

At joint request, put up for arguments on **21.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.05.2026

A.No. 448/23

08.05.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

None has appeared for the appellant despite repeated calls since morning.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Put up for arguments on **09.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.05.2026

A.No. 564/23

08.05.2026

Present : Sh. Manoj Kumar Jha, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that the photographs filed by the appellant along with his repair application dated 27.01.2023 are not available with him, but the appellant has photographs filed by the plaintiff in the Civil Suit against the defendant bearing Suit no. CS SCJ No. 126/2023, titled as Himanshu Arora Vs. Human Singh & Ors., pending in the court of SCJ, South, Saket Courts, New Delhi.

Let the complete record of that civil suit be summoned to ascertain and verify the photographs filed in that suit by both the parties, for next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **11.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.05.2026

A.No. 41/24 & 986/24

08.05.2026

Present : Sh. Charanpreet Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Sh. Akshat Chawla, Advocate in person.
Sh.Ashutosh Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal No.41/24.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld. counsel for respondent in appeal No.986/24.

An adjournment is sought by the ld. counsel for the appellant due to some personal difficulty.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **10.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.05.2026

A.No. 364/24
Joginder Chadha Vs. MCD

08.05.2026

Present : Appellant in person.
Sh. Avishek Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

Appellant submits that he wants to withdraw the aforesaid appeal and he may be permitted to withdraw the aforesaid appeal.

Statement of the appellant recorded separately to this effect.

In view of the statement made by the appellant himself, the aforesaid appeal is disposed off as withdrawn.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.05.2026

A.No. 943/24

08.05.2026

Present : Sh. S.S. Khatri, Ld counsel for the appellant along with
appellant.
None for the respondent.

Further arguments heard on behalf of the appellant.

None has appeared for the respondent despite repeated
calls since morning and awaiting till 2.00 PM.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Fresh notice be issued to the MCD for ensuring the
presence of the counsel on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **25.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.05.2026

A.No. 112/25

08.05.2026

Present : Sh. Jaisal John, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld counsel for the appellant seeks adjournment on the ground that certain document are required to be placed on record which are not in possession of the appellant.

Same is opposed as there was sufficient since last date of hearing to collect and file the purported documents.

Though the grounds of adjournment are not plausible, yet in the interest of justice last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address arguments on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **18.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.05.2026

A.No. 144/25

Vikar Khan Vs. MCD

08.05.2026

Present : Sh. S.P. Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

1. Arguments heard.
2. This is an appeal challenging the sealing order dated 11.02.2025 in respect of property No. C-3, Near Nuh Masjid, Jogabai Extension, Jamia Nagar, Okhla, New Delhi-110020.
3. The brief facts necessary for disposal of appeal are that a show cause notice dated 09.01.2024 under Section 344(1) read with 343 DMC Act in respect of unauthorized construction from ground to second floor of property no. C-3, Near Nuh Masjid, Jogabai Extension, Jamia Nagar, Okhla, New Delhi-110020 was issued and thereafter the demolition order dated 18.01.2024 was passed followed by notice u/s 345A of DMC Act and the sealing order dated 22.02.2024. The appellant challenged the demolition and sealing order in appeal no. 497/24 and later this appeal was confined only against the sealing order dated 22.02.2024. This Tribunal vide order dated 16.10.2024 set-aside the sealing order and remanded the matter back with directions to pass speaking order

..Contd..2/-

: 2 :

after dealing with submissions and reply of the appellant. Subsequent, thereto the appellant appeared before Quasi Judicial Authority and after hearing the appellant the impugned sealing order dated 11.02.2025 has been passed.

4. This order has been challenged on the ground that the respondent did not consider that the construction is old and occupied prior to 01.06.2014 and is protected under National Capital Territory of Delhi Laws (Special Provision) Second Amendment Act, 2011. The documents of the appellant in the form of adhar card, electricity bills, passport etc. and the affidavits of neighbors were ignored and the impugned sealing order was passed which should be set-aside. It was argued that there is no material with the respondent to conclude that the construction was raised after 01.06.2014 and the booking itself records that the construction is old and occupied, yet the sealing order was passed.
5. Ld counsel for the respondent on the other hand argued that the sealing order was passed after hearing the appellant and after considering all the documents. There is no document to show that the construction from ground to second floor is prior to

...Contd...3/-

: 3 :

01.06.2014 and therefore the appeal should be dismissed.

6. I have perused the record.
7. Admittedly, this is second round of challenge to the sealing order. The appellant was provided ample opportunity of personal hearing and thereafter this sealing order after remand was passed. The appellant has claimed that the construction is prior to 01.06.2014 in subject property but has not filed any document to show that. The affidavits of the neighbors cannot be considered as a document to show that the construction is prior to 01.06.2014 and to what extent. Similarly, neither adhar card nor passport nor electricity bill can establish as to what was the extent of construction prior to 01.06.2014. Contrary to it, the electricity bills show that the date of energization of the electricity at the ground floor is 07.03.2017 which is later to 01.06.2014. The passport is of 26.02.2020 and 18.06.2021. The Election ID Card is 19.03.2021. These documents are of no help to the appellant. Only the adhar Card show the date of issue as 17.12.2012, but the address on the adhar card can always change and cannot be said that the adhar card was originally issued on

...Contd..4/-

: 4 :

17.12.2012 from the address of the subject property and otherwise also the same does not reflect the extent the construction.

8. If the appellant is claiming benefit and protection under National Capital Territory of Delhi Laws (Special Provision) Second Amendment Act, 2011, the onus is of the appellant to show that the construction is protected under this Act being prior to 01.06.2014. There is no material on record to show the same and therefore the benefit of this Act is not available to the appellant and to the subject property.
9. Therefore, the appeal is devoid of merits and is dismissed and the sealing order dated 11.02.2025 is upheld.
10. Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.05.2026

A.No. 296/25

08.05.2026

Present : Sh. S.P. Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks adjournment on the ground that he has not filed his file today.

At request, put up for further arguments on **08.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.05.2026

A.No. 162/25 & 648/25

08.05.2026

Present : Sh. Parul Agarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant in appeal no. 162/25
Sh. G.D. Mishra, Id counsel for the appellant in appeal no. 648/25 joined through VC.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 162/25.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 648/25.

Part arguments heard.

At request, put up for further arguments on **06.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.05.2026

A.No. 305/25

08.05.2026

Present : Sh. Nitesh, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
None for the respondent/MCD.
Sh. Tejveer Sharma, Id. counsel for the respondent no. 2, 4, 5 and 6.
Sh. M.D. Tanvir, Id. counsel for the respondent no. 3.
Fresh Vakalatnama filed for the respondent no. 3, same is taken on record.

Affidavit/NOC filed on behalf of the respondent no. 2, 4, 5 and 6 in support of the appeal that they have no objection to installation of the lift.

Ld. counsel for the respondent no. 3 seeks time to file reply to the appeal as he is opposing the installation of the lift. Let the reply be filed within 2 weeks with advance copy to the counsel for the appellant.

Put up for arguments on the appeal on **01.06.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.05.2026

A.No. 316/25

08.05.2026

Present : Sh. P.K. Gupta, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. K.D. Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Record has already been produced. Let the same be inspected.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. A.H. Khan is not well today.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **09.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.05.2026

A.No. 367/25

08.05.2026

Present : Ms. Muskan, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. Chetan Hasija, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Amit Kumar, Ld. counsel for the intervener.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Tarun Kumar is not available today due to construction going on in his office.

Same is strongly opposed.

In the interest of justice one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to file the reply to the application under Order I rule and 10 CPC and address the arguments in the matter subject to payment of cost of Rs. 5,000/- to be deposited with Registry of this Tribunal.

Put up for arguments on the application under Order I rule 10 CPC as well as on appeal on **30.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.05.2026

A.No. 383/25
Shiv Kumar Grover and Anr. vs. MCD

08.05.2026

Present : Sh. Varun Bhandari, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Arguments heard.

The appellant has filed two applications to place on record copy of the civil suit and to place on record certain property tax returns of the subject property no. 8, Hemkunt Colony, G.K.-I, New Delhi. Copy already supplied.

Without prejudice to the rights of the respondent, the documents are taken on record. The applications stand disposed of.

1. The appellant has challenged the demolition order dated 19.05.2025 vide which the Quasi Judicial Authority directed to demolish the unauthorized construction booked in the show cause notice which was excess coverage/deviations against sanctioned building plan in basement, ground floor, first floor, further unauthorized construction in the shape of conversion of *barsati* into regular floor (second floor) and third floor (only two rooms of porta cabin/temporary structure at third floor).
2. The appellants are concerned only about basement to second floor and the owner/occupier of the third floor has not challenged the demolition order.

: 2 :

3. Admittedly, the documents filed and taken on record today, were not placed before the Quasi Judicial Authority and as such, there was no occasion to appreciate these documents by the Quasi Judicial Authority.
4. In these facts, the demolition order dated 19.05.2025 passed in respect of property no. 8, Hemkunt Colony, G.K.-I, New Delhi is set aside in respect of basement to second floor with directions to the Quasi Judicial Authority to consider the documents of the appellants filed today and to pass fresh speaking order after considering their documents.
5. The appellants shall appear before the Executive Engineer on 25.05.2026 at 3.00 pm and the speaking order be passed within 6 weeks of conclusion of the hearing.
6. With the above observation, the appellant stands disposed of.
7. Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.05.2026

A.No. 19/25 (M)

08.05.2026

Present : Sh. Jayant Garg, Ld counsel for the appellant/applicant through VC.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

None is appeared in this case for the MCD since the service of notice on 18.07.2025.

Sh Ashutosh Gupta, advocate, who is present in another matter of MCD, accepts the notice on behalf of the respondent.

Let the copy of this application be supplied to him through e-mode on mobile no. 9891826827 and on e-mail ashutosh_402@hotmail.com within 3 days from today.

Put up for arguments on this application on **10.07.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.05.2026

A.No. 448/25
Sunita Sisodia Vs. MCD

08.05.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Despite various calls none is appearing on behalf of the appellant in the Tribunal or through VC.

Put up at 2.00 PM.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.05.2026

At 2.45 pm

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

None has appeared on behalf of appellant since morning in the Tribunal or through VC despite various calls. None had appeared on behalf of the appellant on the previous date of hearing as well.

It is 2.45 PM. It appears that the appellant is not interested in pursuing this appeal. The present appeal is dismissed in default.

Record of the respondent if any be returned alongwith copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.05.2026

A.No. 626/25

08.05.2026

Present : Sh. Harish Singh, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. B.S. Saini is held up before the Hon'ble High Court.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **18.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.05.2026

A.No. 46/26

08.05.2026

Present : Ms. Parul Agarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks some more time to comply with the last order as the appellant is not contacting her.

At request, put up for further proceedings/arguments on **17.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.05.2026

A.No. 4/26 (M), 5/26 (M) & 6/26 (M)

08.05.2026

Present : Ms. Ankita, SPA holder of the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

These are applications seeking review of the order dated 05.12.2025 wherein ld. counsel for the appellant/applicant submitted that appellant wants to apply for regularization of the compoundable deviation and is ready to demolish the non-compoundable deviation and to seek regularization and therefore, vide the said order, the impugned sealing and demolition orders were upheld and the appeals were disposed of.

Now the appellant submits that no such instructions were given to the counsel and the appeals should be restored to be heard on merits.

Ld. counsel for the respondent has opposed these applications as the duly appointed LAC for the appellant made submissions on behalf of the appellant on her instructions.

Though the submissions made in the applications under consideration have not been supported by any material, yet the appeals are restored to their original numbers.

...contd.2

: 2 :

The review applications stand disposed of.

Put up for arguments on the appeal on **02.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.05.2026

A.No. 449/24, 564/24 & 501/25

08.05.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Vide separate common judgment of even date, all the three appeals are disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.05.2026