

A.No. 391/26

11.05.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Sourav Ghosh, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **01.06.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.05.2026

A.No. 517/25 & 703/25

11.05.2026

Present : Sh. Sarthak Ahuja, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Sanjeet Kumar, proxy counsel for Sh. Prithish Sabharwal, Ld. counsel for respondent in appeal no. 517/25 joined through VC.
Sh. Sanjay Sethi, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 703/25.

Demolition record has already been submitted.

Time sought on behalf of the respondent to submit the sealing record. Let the same be submitted.

Be awaited for the sealing record and arguments on appeal on **15.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.05.2026

A.No. 613/25

11.05.2026

Present : Sh. M. Ahmad, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Ms. Sunita Sevda, Ld. counsel for the respondent joined
through VC.

Record has already been submitted.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the
record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **18.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.05.2026

A.No. 858/25

11.05.2026

Present : Sh. Manav Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **14.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.05.2026

A.No. 103/26

11.05.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent along
with Sh. Subodh Hathwal, AE(B), Shahdara S. Zone.

Notice of the application seeking early hearing was sent
to the MCD itself instead of appellant.

Registry is directed to be careful in future.

Issue notice of this application seeking early hearing to
the appellant and his counsel for **26.05.2026**, the date
already fixed.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.05.2026

A.No. 148/26

11.05.2026

Present : Sh. Saksham Mittal, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Heard on the early hearing application. No ground is made out for early hearing. The application is hereby dismissed.

Put up for arguments on **01.06.2026**, the date already fixed.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.05.2026

A.No. 189/26

11.05.2026

Present : Sh. Anubhav Tyagi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Record has already been submitted.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to go through the status report and to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

Same is opposed. However, one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to advance arguments on the next date of hearing.

At request, put up for arguments on **01.06.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.05.2026

A.No. 221/26

11.05.2026

Present : Sh. Parul Agarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with appellant Dharamraj Sh. Atul Tanwar (joined through VC) and Sh. Vaibhav Sanwal (in person), Ld. counsels for the respondent. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

It is stated by the counsel for the appellant that this appeal is to be withdrawn.

There are two appellants and the appellant no. 2 is not present today.

At request, put up with connected appeal on **14.08.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.05.2026

A.No. 230/26 & 231/26

Shyam Kumar Singh at Shyam Lal Vs. MCD

11.05.2026

Present : Sh. Rajat Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent. Fresh
Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record

Status report is filed by the MCD in both the appeals.

Copy supplied.

Arguments heard.

1. The appellant has challenged the demolition order dated 17.12.2025 in appeal No. 230/26 and sealing order dated 22.01.2026 in appeal No. 231/26 passed in respect of unauthorized construction of ground floor of property No. AB-264, Amarpuri Tel Mill Roade, Nabi Karim, Delhi-110055.
2. As per the appellant neither the show cause notice u/s 344(1) read with Section 343 of the DMC dated 12.08.2025 nor the sealing show cause notice under Section 345A of the DMC Act dated 22.12.2025 was served upon the appellant.
3. I have perused the record. The show cause notice the u/s 344(1) read with Section 343 of the DMC dated 12.08.2025 was issued in the name of Govind/owner/builder and was sent by speed post to Mr. Govind. The sealing show cause notice was sent

...Contd..2/-

: 2 :

and issued in the name of Smt. Maya Aggarwal/Rajat Singh. Record shows that Mr. Rajat Singh was the advocate of the appellant who replied the demolition show cause notice on 26.08.2025. The sealing order is liable to be set aside only on the ground that the show cause notice was issued in the name of one Maya Aggarwal and the counsel for the appellant.

4. Further, the demolition order dated 17.12.2025 states that the entire construction in the property at the ground floor is without valid sanction. The photographs placed on record by the appellant shows that it is in very dilapidated condition and cannot be said to a fresh construction. The appellant rather demolished the first floor of the property as per advisory dated 11.04.2025 issued by the respondent wherein the property was declared dangerous and it was directed that the same should be repaired. There is no unauthorized construction at the ground floor as claimed by the respondent/MCD. The demolition order has been passed without verification and ascertaining the correct facts.
5. In these facts, both the appeals are allowed, the impugned demolition and sealing order passed in respect of property No.AB-264, Amarpuri Tel Mill Road, Nabi Karim, Delhi -110055 are set-aside.

...Contd..3/-

: 3 :

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.05.2026

A.No. 329/26

11.05.2026

Present : Sh. Akash, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant joined through VC.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent.

It is stated by the ld. counsel for the respondent that the record of this property was submitted in appeal no. 322/2020 titled as 'Noor Jahan Vs. MCD' which was dismissed in default on 06.12.2023.

Let the record of the said appeal bearing no. 322/2020 be tagged with this appeal.

Put up for arguments on **10.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.05.2026

A.No. 375/26

11.05.2026

Present : Sh. Shubhanshu Mishra, Ld counsel for the appellant.
None for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. A.K. Sharma is not available today due to illness of his mother in law.

None has appeared for the respondent, nor the record has been produced.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Be awaited for record and arguments on **18.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.05.2026

At this stage, Sh. Sanjay Sethi, counsel for the respondent/MCD has appeared and he is apprised about the today's order.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.05.2026

A.No. 381/26

11.05.2026

Present : Sh. Rajesh Bhatia along with Sh. Abhishek Lakhera, Ld. counsels for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the respondent seeks some time to file the record. Let the same be filed positively before the next date of hearing and it can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Put up for arguments on **09.06.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the demolition order dated 06.04.2026. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.05.2026

A.No. 24/15

11.05.2026

Present : Ms. Savita Malhotra, Ld. counsel for the appellant along with Sh. Chander Kumar, Partner of the appellant in person.
Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld counsel for the respondent/MCD.
Sh. P.K. Rawal and Sh. Vasu Purohit, Ld. counsels for the respondents no. 2 to 4.

Arguments on the application of the respondent no. 2 to 4 seeking dismissal of the appeal on the ground of limitation.

The applicant has filed written submissions today.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks copy of the same. Let the same be supplied within 3 days from today.

Appellant shall be at liberty to file written submissions on this application within a week after receiving the written submission filed by applicant.

Put up for arguments on this application on **03.06.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.05.2026

A.No. 1222/15

11.05.2026

Present : Sh. Vijay Khanna, Ld counsel for the appellant along with son of the deceased appellant. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record
Sh. Sanjay Sethi, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant has filed two house tax receipt along with list of documents. Same is supplied.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks some time to advance arguments in the matter.

The appeal pertains to the year 2015. However, in the interest of justice, one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to advance arguments in the matter on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **17.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.05.2026

A.No. 882/17

11.05.2026

Present : Sh. Sumit Rana and Md. Miskeen, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Some more time sought on behalf of the MCD to produce the office record.

Let the same be produce positively on or before the next date of hearing.

At request, put up for arguments on **03.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.05.2026

A.No. 195/20 & 196/20

11.05.2026

Present : Sh. Rakesh Tiku, Ld. Senior counsel along with Sh. Ashish, Advocate for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Nilesh Sawhney, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Dharmbir Singh, Consultant, NDMC.

A Writ petition is stated to be pending before the Hon'ble High Court and is now listed for 20.08.2026.

At request, put up for arguments as last and final opportunity subject to outcome of the aforesaid writ, on **09.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.05.2026

A.No. 820/23

11.05.2026

Present : Sh. S.S. Wadhwa, Ld. counsel for the appellant joined through VC.

None for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that he has recently been undergone with a surgery and is not ready for arguments today.

Even none has appeared for the respondent today despite repeated calls since morning. No adverse order is being passed today.

At request, put up for arguments on **16.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.05.2026

A.No. 171/24

11.05.2026

Present : Sh. Sachin Kumar, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Anubhav Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Dalip Rana is not available today due to swelling around his teeth.

In the interest of justice, one last opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments on the application seeking condonation of delay in filing the appeal.

Put up for arguments on the said application on **21.09.2026.**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.05.2026

A.No. 410/24

11.05.2026

Present : Sh. Saurabh Jain and Sh. Jeet Singh Baise, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Sh. Sanjeet Kumar, proxy counsel for Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, Ld. counsel for respondent.

Arguments heard on the application seeking condonation of delay of about 310 days in filing the aforesaid appeals.

As per the appellant, they were trying to partition the property after the sealing order dated 30.06.2023 which resulted in delay in filing this appeal.

Though, the same is not a plausible reason, but the property cannot be kept sealed and endeavour should be made to decide the matter on merits, the delay is condoned subject to deposit a cost of Rs. 10,000 with the Registry.

The applications stand disposed of.

At request, put up for arguments on appeal on **08.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.05.2026

A.No. 792/24

11.05.2026

Present : Ms. Ayushi Aggarwal, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.
None for the intervener.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Naveen Sharma is not available today due to gal-balder stone problem.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **18.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.05.2026

A.No. 799/24, 802/24, 805/24, 806/24 & 807/24
Sandeep Chaudhary Vs. MCD

11.05.2026

Present : Sh. Ayush Gupta, Ld. counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Arguments heard on the application seeking condonation of delay in filing these appeals as well as on the appeals.

1. The brief facts necessary for disposal of these appeals as per the appellant are that the appellant along with Ishwar Singh is the owner of the land measuring 702 sq. yards falling in Khasra no. 478/396/87/1, Village Masood Pur, New Delhi. It is stated that one Sulekh Malik filed writ petition no. 3454/2022 before the Hon'ble High Court alleging unauthorized construction in Khasra no. 68, 84, 58 and 478/396/87/1, Village Masood Pur, New Delhi which was disposed of on 26.05.2023 and from the status report filed by the MCD in that appeal, the appellant came to know that MCD has registered four bookings against this property on 15.02.2018, 23.04.2019, 21.05.2019 and 07.02.2022. The appellant thereafter filed RTI application seeking all the documents pertaining to these bookings and since no documents have been received, these four appeals no. 805/24, 806/24, 807/24 and 802/24 have been

...contd.2

: 2 :

filed as an abandoned caution against the said four bookings. The appellant has also filed the appeal no. 799/24 against the sealing order if any passed by the respondent.

2. The condonation of delay in all these appeals have been sought on the ground that the impugned orders were never served upon the appellant nor are in respect of the subject property and since the appellant never had any knowledge of these orders, the delay should be condoned and the appeals should be allowed with directions to the respondent to provide opportunity of being heard to the appellant. Reliance has been placed on the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India titled as '**Sushil Kumar Dey Biswas Vs. Anil Kumar Dey Biswas and Anr.**' **AIR Online 2014 SC 106.**
3. Ld. counsel for the respondent on the other hand has argued that the property number was taken from the writ petition filed by Sulekh Malik. Further, it was stated that all the show cause notices and the demolition and sealing orders were served through pasting at the subject property and even if, it is presumed that the correct address of the property was not mentioned, there was no ambiguity as far as the

....contd.3

: 3 :

identity of the property is concerned. Further, demolition and sealing actions were taken several times against the property and the appellant had knowledge of the demolition and sealing actions since the same were taken and cannot plead ignorance against these actions. It was further stated that the appellant did not appear nor filed any reply before the Quasi Judicial Authority nor has approached the court in time and therefore, the delay should not be condoned.

4. I have perused the record.
5. The respondent issued all the show cause notices and the orders in respect of property in Khasra no. 84, 85 and 68, Village Masood Pur, Vasant Kunj, New Delhi in the name of owner/builder. The address of the subject property even in the writ petition no. 3454/2022 was Khasra no. 68, 84, 85 and 478/396/87/1, Village Masood Pur, Main Road, opposite Community Centre, New Delhi. The MCD without verifying the correct address of the property simplicitor mentioned the address in the show cause notices and the demolition and sealing orders as only of khasra no. 84, 85 and 68, Village Masood Pur, New Delhi. Even the details of the property given in the

...contd.4

: 4 :

writ petition were not mentioned in the show cause notices and the orders nor the name of the owner/builder was verified despite knowing the names of the respondent no. 3 and 4 in that writ petition. The argument of the Id counsel for the respondent that the show cause notices and the impugned orders were served through pasting is of no help to MCD since the correct particulars of the property were not mentioned. A person is not suppose to respond to a show cause notice though pasted at his property but mentions the particulars of some other property.

6. Further, record shows that none out of the four show cause notices relating to demolition proceedings and none out of the four relating to the sealing proceedings were served upon the appellant. Record shows that all the show cause notices relating to demolition proceedings dated 15.02.2018, 23.04.2019, 21.05.2019 and 07.02.2022 as well as the demolition orders dated 23.02.2018, 02.05.2019, 30.05.2019 and 15.02.2022 are reported to be served through pasting, but neither there is any photograph of the alleged pasting nor there are any witnesses to the said pasting.

....contd.5

: 5 :

7. The Commissioner, MCD in its circular itself has given certain directions for service through pasting vide circular dated 15.04.2010 Which is as under :-

“It is observed that the service of show cause notice upon owner is found unsatisfactory and unreliable and, as such, most of the appeals, filed against the demolition orders, are allowed by the courts for lack of service of show cause notice upon owner. It has, therefore, been decided that pasting of notice should be supported by photographic evidence. The JE concerned will ensure the photographic evidence of pasting of notice and such evidence will form part of U/C and sealing files. EE(B) of respective zone shall ensure the uploading of information regarding unauthorized construction on MCD website soon after the passing of the necessary orders for demolition”.

Even another circular bearing No.LAW/SOUTHDMC/ 2016/1227 Dt. 06.12.2016 was by the Chief Law Officer, SDMC which is reproduce as *below:-*

‘It has been observed that the Executive Engineers of SDMC are passing demolition order/sealing order without verifying the service of show cause notice. The Appellate Tribunal has also observed that this is very careless attitude or some deliberate act to give benefit to the property owner as they knew very well that case will not stand to the scrutiny of the Court
....contd.6

: 6 :

on this ground alone. Therefore, the Quasi Judicial Authority should ensure that service has been done properly before passing any order under DMC Act.

Further the service, as far as possible should be done by way of speed post and a copy of internet delivery report with postal receipt should be kept on record and where service is not possible and pasting is to be done, photograph of pasting be taken and signatures of witness be obtained with their name and address.

In number of cases, the service of show cause notice of demolition or sealing is done by way of pasting or speed post, but necessary proof with regard to its service by pasting and signatures of two witnesses is not taken by the department. In case of service by speed post, the tracking report showing the service of the same upon the owner/builder is not placed in the u/c file, with the result the stay order are passed and finally appeals are allowed.

All concerned are hereby requested to kindly take note of the aforesaid orders and to ensure the compliance of such orders.”

8. The respondent therefore, did not serve the show cause notices and the demolition orders as required under the rules.

....contd.7

: 7 :

9. Similarly, even in the four sealing show cause notices dated 12.04.2018, 10.05.2019, 27.05.2019 and 23.11.2022 and the sealing orders of these four show cause notices, there is no service of the show cause notices and the sealing orders.
10. The limitation for filing appeals against the orders has to start from the date of service of the impugned orders and not from the date of demolition/sealing action. Though, it is correct that once the demolition and sealing actions are taken against the property, the appellant had knowledge of some action against the property, but the limitation shall start from the date of the knowledge of the orders and not from the date of action. The appellant should have been vigilant to seek appropriate remedy once the demolition Action was taken against the subject property and there is negligence on the part of the appellant in not approaching the court in time and also in not seeking the copy of the impugned orders from the MCD under RTI or otherwise. However, the appellant being negligent in not seeking these remedies, cannot be a ground to reject these appeals on the ground of limitation once the record shows that none of the impugned orders were served upon the appellant. The service through pasting as claimed by the MCD

...contd.8

: 8 :

is not shown in the record. In these facts, the delay has to be condoned subject to terms. The delay is condoned subject to cost of Rs. 5,000/- each in these five appeals.

11. Let the cost be paid within a week.
12. Coming to the appeals, since neither the show cause notices nor the impugned orders were served, impugned four demolition and four sealing orders are set aside with directions to the respondents to pass a speaking order after considering the reply and documents to be submitted by the appellant and after giving personal hearing to the appellant. The appellant shall appear before the Quasi Judicial Authority on 26.05.2026 at 2.00 pm and the speaking order be passed within 6 weeks of conclusion of the hearing.
13. However, in the facts and circumstances, the property shall remain sealed till the fresh decision of the Quasi Judicial Authority.
14. Appeals stand disposed of.
15. Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.05.2026

A.No. 855/24, 856/24, 857/24, & 858/24

11.05.2026

Present : None for the appellants.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

None has appeared for the appellant despite repeated calls since morning.

No adverse order is being passed today.

In the interest of justice, one last opportunity is granted to the appellants to address the arguments in the matter on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **11.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.05.2026

A.No. 997/24

11.05.2026

Present : Sh. K.N. Singh and Sh. Prabhnoor Singh, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Nitish, Ld. counsel for the proposed intervener. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Copy of the application and appeal sought by the intervener.

The appellant is directed to provide the complete paper book to the proposed intervener within 3 days. Let the reply, if any be filed by the intervener along with advance copy to the counsel for the appellant as well as MCD on or before the next date of hearing.

At request, put up for arguments on this application for impleadment of the proposed intervener on **01.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.05.2026

A.No. 194/25
Sarika Mehta Vs. MCD

11.05.2026

Present : Sh. Gurmit Singh Hans, Ld. counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that he has instructions from the appellant to withdraw the aforesaid appeal and he may be permitted to withdraw the aforesaid appeal.

Statement of Id. counsel for the appellant recorded separately to this effect.

In view of the statement made by the Id. counsel for the appellant, the aforesaid appeal is disposed off as withdrawn.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.05.2026

A.No. 328/25
Himanshu Gupta Vs. MCD

11.05.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Chetan Hasija, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.
Despite various calls none is appearing on behalf of the appellant in the Tribunal or through VC.
Put up at 2.00 PM.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.05.2026

At 2.55 pm

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Chetan Hasija, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.
None has appeared on behalf of appellant since morning in the Tribunal or through VC despite various calls.
None had appeared on behalf of the appellant on the previous date of hearing as well.
It is already 2.55 PM. It appears that the appellant is not interested in pursuing this appeal. The present appeal is dismissed in default.
Record of the respondent if any be returned alongwith copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.05.2026

A.No. 534/25, 549/25 & 550/25

11.05.2026

Present : Ms. Sonia Mendiratta, Ld. counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent in
appeal no. 534/25.
Ms. Jyoti Kumar, Ld. Proxy counsel for Ms. Harita Mehta,
ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 549/25 &
550/25.

Status report is filed by the MCD in appeal no. 534/25,
copy supplied.

Part arguments heard.

At request made by the ld. counsel for the appellant and
proxy counsel for the respondent, put up for further
arguments on **08.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.05.2026

A.No. 579/25

11.05.2026

Present : Sh. Gaurav, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant along with appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant seeks pass over, which is not possible today due to heavy cause list.

Put up for arguments on **02.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.05.2026

A.No. 617/25

11.05.2026

Present : Sh. Murari Tiwari, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with appellant in person.
Sh. Sanjeet Kumar, proxy counsel for Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, Ld. counsel for respondent.

At joint request, put up for argument on **05.08.2026**, the date already fixed.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.05.2026

A.No. 783/25 & 784/25

11.05.2026

Present : Sh. V.K. Mantoo, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.

Sh. Sanjay Sethi, Ld counsel for the respondent.

It is stated by the ld. counsel for the respondent that the record shall be submitted during the course of the day. Let the same be submitted.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **15.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.05.2026

A.No. 610/25

11.05.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Umang Mittal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the aforesaid appeal stand disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
11.05.2026