

A.No. 390/26

12.05.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Appellant in person.

Heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **15.05.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.05.2026

A.No. 392/26

12.05.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Ajay Chaudhary, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **15.07.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.05.2026

A.No. 450/25

12.05.2026

Present : Sh. Anuj Kumar Garg, Ld. counsel for the appellant joined through VC. and Ms. Parul Verma, Ld counsel for the appellant.

File is taken up today on an application of early hearing filed on behalf of the appellant.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that he wants to withdraw this appeal, but today he is not able to come to the court physically.

At request, put up for recording the statement of the counsel qua withdrawal of the aforesaid appeal on **15.05.2026**.

Next date of hearing i.e. 08.09.2026 stands canceled.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.05.2026

A.No. 658/25

12.05.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Ms. Mehvish, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Prithvi Sabharwal,
Ld. counsel for respondent joined through VC.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the respondent as main counsel is out of station.

Ld. proxy counsel for the respondent also seeks some time to file the status report and to produce the record.

At request, put up for awaiting record and arguments on **10.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.05.2026

A.No. 814/25

12.05.2026

Present : Sh. Shashank Sharma and Sh. Vikas Goel, Ld. counsels for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Ms. Somya Gurung and Sh. Abhishek Wadhwa, Ld. counsels for the intervener.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

An application under Order I rule 10 CPC filed on behalf of the intervener. Let the copy be supplied.

At request, put up for connected appeal of the intervener for arguments on **03.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.05.2026

A.No. 848/25

12.05.2026

Present : Sh. Ajay Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant.
None for the respondent.

None has appeared for the respondent despite calls since morning, nor the record/status report filed.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Put up for awaiting record and arguments on **14.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.05.2026

A.No. 220/26
Natthu Singh Vs. MCD

12.05.2026

Present : Ms. Suchita Kumari and Avadh Pratap Singh, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Status report as well as reply to the application/s 5 of Limitation Act filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry.

Ld. counsel for the appellant has filed an application under Order VI rule 17 CPC seeking certain amendments in the appeal. Through this application, apart from making formal changes, the appellant wants to challenge the demolition order as well.

This appeal initial filed against the sealing order only and the demolition order cannot be added in the prayer clause as separate appeal is required to be filed challenging the demolition order.

In these facts, the amendment is allowed as far as formal amendments are concerned, but addition of challenge to the demolition order in the prayer clause is declined, for which the appellant is at liberty to file separate appeal as per law.

The application stands disposed of.

....contd.2

: 2 :

Arguments heard on this appeal.

The show cause notice u/s 354-A DMC Act dated 26.09.2025 was sent through speed post on 03.10.2025 and as per the Track Consignment Report available in the office record, same returned back to the Sender/MCD because of insufficient address. No effort was made thereafter to serve the show cause notice personally or by pasting.

Since, show cause notice was never served, the sealing order is liable to be set aside for violation of principles of nature justice of not providing opportunity of being heard. In these facts, the sealing order dated 18.11.2025 is set aside with directions to the respondents to pass a speaking order after considering the reply/documents to be submitted by the appellant and after giving personal hearing to the appellant.

The appellant shall appear before the Quasi Judicial Authority on 01.06.2026 at 2.00 pm and the speaking order be passed within 6 weeks of conclusion of the hearing.

The appeal stands disposed of.

The property of the appellant be desealed within 2 weeks from today. It is directed that the appellant however,

....contd.3

: 3 :

neither raise any construction nor create any third party interest in the subject property nor part with possession with the subject property.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.05.2026

A.No. 235/26

12.05.2026

Present : Appellant in person.
Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy placed on record.

Let the same be collected.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with
Registry.

Put up for arguments on **01.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.05.2026

A.No. 17/26 (M) & 18/26 (M)
Prahlad Kumar Singhla Vs. MCD

12.05.2026

Present : Sh. Ashwani Sharma, Sh. Yajuvandra Singh, Sh. Divyansh Tyagi, Sh. Lakshit Choudhary and Sh. Sahil Yadav, Ld. counsels for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld. counsel for the respondent along with Sh. P.K. Chauhan, AE(B).

These are two applications seeking compliance of order dated 04.11.25 vide which the appeals were remanded back with directions to the respondent to pass speaking order within 6 weeks.

It is stated that proceedings under Section 344 (1) read with 343 DMC Act have been concluded and demolition order has been passed on 07.05.2026 and has been dispatched. Copy of the said demolition order supplied to the Id counsel for the appellant/applicant today in the court.

It is further stated for the MCD that hearing under Section 345-A DMC Act is still pending and shall be concluded within a month from today.

Let the same be do done and the sealing order, if any, be served upon the appellant.

In view of the above, both the applications stand disposed of.

...contd.2

: 2 :

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal/misc. file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.05.2026

A.No. 303/26

12.05.2026

Present : Sh. Amal Sukhija , Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Shivang Chaturvedi, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant has filed certain property tax receipts and order dated 27.04.2026 passed by Hon'ble High Court in the writ petition filed by the appellant against the vacation notice and demolition order. Copy supplied.

Ld. counsel for the respondent seeks time to produce the record/status report.

An adjournment is also sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel has gone to Punjab due to bereavement in his family.

At request, put up for awaiting record and arguments on **15.07.2026**. The appellant is given last and final opportunity to advance arguments on the date fixed.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.05.2026

A.No. 284/15, 336/15 & 465/16
Shehnaaz Parveen and Ors. vs. MCD

12.05.2026

Present : Sh. Roman Rashid, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant
Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent in
appeal no. 336/15.
Sh. H.R. Aggarwal, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal
nos. 284/15 and 465/16.

Previous cost has not yet been deposited.

Matter is passed over on the request of ld. Proxy counsel of
the appellant.

Be put up at 2.00 pm.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD/12.5.26

At 3.15 pm

Present : None for the appellant
Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent in
appeal no. 336/15.
Sh. H.R. Aggarwal, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal
nos. 284/15 and 465/16.

None has appeared on behalf of appellant despite various
calls, nor the previous cost has been deposited despite
seeking pass over.

It is already 3.15 PM. The aforesaid appeals are dismissed
for non-deposit of cost as well as in default.

Record of the respondent if any be returned alongwith copy
of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD/12.5.26

A.No. 929/16

12.05.2026

Present : Ms. Kajal, Ld. counsel for the appellant through joined through VC along with Sh. Ananyay Bhardwaj, Adv.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent through VC along with Sh. Vaibhav Sanwal, Adv. in person.

An application for waiver of cost filed on behalf of the appellant. Copy supplied.

Submissions heard.

There are no grounds for waiver of cost. The application is hereby dismissed. Let the cost be deposited with the Registry of this Tribunal within a week from today.

Further adjournment sought on behalf of the appellant on the ground that counsel has held up before the Hon'ble High Court.

In the interest of justice one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **09.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.05.2026

A.No. 74/19

12.05.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Mohit Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent through VC along with Sh. Zahid, ASO (Vigilance) MCD and Sh. K.D.S Tomar, JLO, Vigilance, MCD and Ms. Sakshi Shedha, Planning Assistant.
Sh. Subhash Chand, Ld. counsel for the intervener.

The entire record of vigilance inquiry has been produced by the respondent.

It is further stated that after the last noting at page 6/N dated 09.07.2015. It is further stated that pages 1/N to 6/N are the pages which have been placed in the vigilance file on the correspondence side and are not notings of the vigilance file.

I have perused the record of the vigilance file.

The notings of the vigilance file from page 1/N to 43/N are different from the noting from pages 1/N to 6/N stated to be placed on the correspondence side of the vigilance file from DLO Vigilance and there is no other noting on the correspondence side after 6/N and there is no other file relating to notings at page no. 1/N to 6/N.

It appears that after the pages 6/N dated 09.07.2015 there is no other nothing in continuation of 6/N available in the vigilance file.

...contd.2

: 2 :

Let the copy of vigilance file from page 1/N to 43/N be placed on record.

Put up for arguments on **23.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.05.2026

A.No. 331/21

12.05.2026

Present : None for the appellant no. 1 and 2.
Sh. Kartikey Mittal, Ld counsel for the appellant no. 3 and 4.
Memo of appearance filed.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Memo of appearance filed for the appellant no. 3 and 4 and it is stated that vakalatnama shall be filed in due course.

Further adjournment sought on the ground that the counsel has been engaged two days back.

Same is strongly opposed as the appellants are enjoying the interim protection in this appeal pending since the year 2021.

None has appeared for the appellant no. 1 and 2 despite service of court notice on 23.03.2026.

The other two appellants were served on 22.04.2026 and had sufficient time since then to engage a counsel.

In these facts, subject to cost of Rs. 5,000/- each on all the four appellants to be deposited with the Registry, one last and final opportunity is given to the all the appellants to advance arguments in the matter on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on 13.07.2026.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.05.2026

A.No. 336/22

12.05.2026

Present : Appellant in person.
Sh.H.R. Aggarwal , Ld counsel for the respondent.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal stand disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.05.2026

A.No. 362/23

12.05.2026

Present : Sh. Ashish Singh, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
None for the respondent/MCD.
Sh. Prashant Singh Ld. counsel for the respondent no. 2 joined through VC along with husband of the R-2.

The matter did not settle between the appellant and respondent no. 2.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Arvind Kumar Gupta is not well today.

None has appeared for the respondent/MCD despite repeated calls since morning. No adverse order is being passed today.

Fresh notice be issued to the MCD for ensuring the presence of the counsel on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **22.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.05.2026

A.No. 1046/17

12.05.2026

Present : Sh. Nitin Sharma and Ms. Charu Nagpal, Ld. counsels for
the appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

At request, put up for further arguments on **05.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.05.2026

A.No. 817/23 & 329/24

12.05.2026

Present : Appellant no. 1 Seema in person.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 817/23.
Sh. Ravi Ranjan, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 329/24 through VC.

Appellant seeks pass over as her counsel is on the way.
Pass over is not possible due to heavy cause list and request for pass over is declined.

Put up for arguments on **14.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.05.2026

A.No. 31/24

12.05.2026

Present : Sh. Shubham Srivastava, Ld counsel for the appellant
joined through VC.
Sh. Sandeep Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent
joined through VC.

Arguments heard on the application seeking condonation of delay in filing this appeal filed on 16.01.2024 against the demolition order dated 11.05.2023.

As per the appellant, he received the copy of the impugned order on 24.08.2023 only through writ petition filed against the appellant. Further, his previous counsel his Sh. P.K. Srivastava was not keeping well and passed away on 20.02.2024 which resulted in delay in filing the appeal.

There is delay of about 5 months from the alleged date of knowledge of 24.08.2023. In the facts and circumstances, the delay is condoned subject to cost of Rs. 5,000/- to be deposited with Registry of this Tribunal.

Put up for arguments on the appeal on **18.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.05.2026

A.No. 99/24
Anjali Sharma Vs. MCD

12.05.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Chetan Hasija, Ld counsel for the respondent along with
Sh. Subodh Hathwal, AE(B), Shahdara S. Zone.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy placed on record.

Despite various calls none is appearing on behalf of the
appellant in the Tribunal or through VC.

Put up at 2.00 PM.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD/12.5.26

At 2.35 pm

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Chetan Hasija, Ld counsel for the respondent joined
through VC.
None has appeared on behalf of appellant since morning in
the Tribunal or through VC despite various calls. None had
appeared on behalf of the appellant on the previous three
consecutive dates i.e. 19.02.25, 10.06.25 and 01.12.25 as
well.

It is already 2.35 PM. It appears that the appellant is not
interested in pursuing this appeal. The present appeal is
dismissed in default.

Record of the respondent if any be returned alongwith copy
of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.05.2026

A.No. 248/24

12.05.2026

Present : Sh. Rashi Aggarwal Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Jugal Bagga and Sh. Sumit Kaushik, Ld. counsels for the intervener along with intervener Sh. Chet Ram Kaushik.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Ms. Vaishali Gupta is not available today due to hospitalization of her mother.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **18.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.05.2026

A.No. 249/24

12.05.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
None for the respondent.
Ms. Ashi Nigam, Id. counsel for the intervener.

Arguments on maintainability of the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC heard. Even if it is presumed that all the averments made in the application is correct, then also in this proceeding between the appellant and the MCD the applicant has no right to participate and he cannot become a party as there is a clear-cut judgment of Delhi High Court in case ***Hardayal Singh Mehta Vs MCD, AIR 1990 Delhi 170*** in which it is held that in the matter between the appellant and the MCD, no third person can join and become a party to such proceedings and in such proceedings the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC is not maintainable. Any dispute between the applicant and the appellant has to be dealt with and to be decided by the Civil Court separately. Accordingly, application moved by applicant under order 1 Rule 10 CPC is hereby dismissed. However, the applicant is permitted to file the documents, if any and to orally argue the matter at the final arguments stage.

...contd.2

: 2 :

Ld. counsel for the appellant has placed on record the copy of the order dated 20.11.2024 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in W.P.(C) no. 9125/2022 filed by the intervener.

None has appeared for the respondent/MCD despite repeated calls since morning. No adverse order is being passed today.

Fresh notice be issued to the MCD for ensuring the presence of the counsel on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on the appeal on **22.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.05.2026

A.No. 407/24, 408/24 & 409/24

12.05.2026

Present : Ms. Parul Agarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Ashish Sharma, Ld. counsel for the intervener.

Ld. counsel for the intervener wants to withdraw the application under Order I rule 10 CPC. He is permitted to do so the same. The application(s) under Order I rule 10 CPC stand disposed of as withdrawn.

Part arguments heard on the applications seeking condonation of delay in filing the appeal Nos.407/24 and 408/24. Record shows that the sealing action was taken against the subject property as per notings on 10.10.2017 and 03.11.2017 and the photographs of the sealing action were taken. The photographs of the sealing action dated 10.10.2017 are on record of the sealing file at page No.9/C to 12/C where the date of photographs has been mentioned in hand as 10.10.2017. The photographs of the sealing action taken on 03.11.2017 with the assistance of PS: Kalyan Puri are not on record.

Let the same be also placed on record by the MCD.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks some time to inspect the office record.

Contd....2.

-2-

Put up for further arguments on **08.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.05.2026

A.No. 102/24 (M)
Rishabh Kumar Jain and Anr. vs. MCD

12.05.2026

Present : None for the applicant/appellant.
Ms. Rani Parajapati, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. S. Adil Hussain, Ld. counsel for the respondent.

Despite various calls none is appearing on behalf of the applicant/appellant in the Tribunal or through VC.

Put up at 2.00 PM.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD/12.5.26

At 3.25 pm

Present : None for the applicant/appellant.
Ms. Rani Parajapati, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. S. Adil Hussain, Ld. counsel for the respondent.

None has appeared on behalf of appellant/applicant since morning in the Tribunal or through VC despite various calls. None had appeared on behalf of the applicant/appellant on the previous date of hearing as well.

It is already 3.25 PM. It appears that the applicant/appellant is not interested in pursuing this application/petition. The present contempt petition is dismissed in default.

Record of the respondent if any be returned alongwith copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.05.2026

A.No. 988/24

12.05.2026

Present : Sh.Sanjay Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant along with
appellant in person.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

At request, put up for further arguments on **07.07.2026**.

Both the parties are at liberty to file the written
submissions, if desired, with advance copy to the each
other.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.05.2026

A.No. 1092/24 & 1093/24

12.05.2026

Present : Sh. C.M. Grover, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC
along with Sh. Shivam Upadhyay, Adv. in person.
Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

It is submitted on behalf of the appellant that he has already applied for regularization of the subject property and the Hon'ble High Court vide order dated 04.07.2025 passed in W.P.(C) no. 9098/2025 has directed that no coercive action be taken by the MCD till the regularization application filed by the appellant is pending.

Let the status report qua the regularization application of the appellant be filed on the next date of hearing.

Put up for awaiting the status report and arguments on **06.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.05.2026

A.No. 103/25 & 161/25

12.05.2026

Present : Sh. G.R. Verma, Sh. H.K. Sharma and Ms. Sonu Lohia,
Ld counsels for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent in
appeal no. 161/25.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld. counsel for the respondent in
appeal no. 103/25.

Part arguments heard.

At request, put up for further arguments on **08.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.05.2026

A.No. 211/25

12.05.2026

Present : Sh. Rishabh Kapoor , Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Chetan Hasija, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report along with site map and sanctioned building plan of the society filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Record has already been produced. Same can be inspected.

The appellant states that she will like to get the deviations compounded, if are compoundable or else will voluntarily demolish the same.

Let the application for regularization be filed within four weeks from today.

MCD is directed to file the status report qua the said regularization application and if the regularization application is not so filed, the matter will be heard on merits.

Put up for further arguments on **08.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.05.2026

A.No. 307/25 & 308/25
Renu Batra & Ors. Vs. MCD

12.05.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Sanjay Sethi, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Umang Tyagi, Ld counsel for the intervener Ms. Anjali Gupta joined through VC along with Sh. Zuber Ali, Advocate.

Ld. counsel for the intervener has filed copies of the orders passed by the Hon'ble High Court dated 09.04.2024, 11.03.2024, 12.09.2024 in W.P.(C) 3581/24 and order dated 04.11.2020 in W.P.(C) 6411/2020, both filed by the intervener Anjali Gupta.

Arguments heard. Record perused.

Vide common judgment of even date, the aforesaid appeals stand disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.05.2026

A.No. 333/25

12.05.2026

Present : Sh. Ankit Hooda, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC along with Sh. Vaibhav Sanwal, Adv.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy placed on record.
Let the same be collected.

The regularization application of the appellant has been rejected on 08.05.2026.

At request made by Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant, put up for arguments on **07.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.05.2026

A.No. 655/25

12.05.2026

Present : Sh. Rohit Saini, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. Sanjay Sethi, Ld counsel for the respondent.

The appellant has filed certified copy of the written statement and the status report filed by the MCD in Civil suit no. 1855/2024. Copy supplied.

The documents are taken on record.

Arguments heard on the application under Order VI rule 17 CPC seeking amendment in the appeal by challenging the demolition order.

The appellant initially challenged the vacation notice which is not appealable and this application has been filed to amend the prayer to challenge the demolition order.

The application is formal in nature and same is allowed.

Amended appeal is taken on record.

Put up for arguments on **21.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.05.2026

A.No. 721/25

12.05.2026

Present : Sh. V.K. Mantoo, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Record has not been produced.

Let the same be produced.

At request, put up for arguments on **13.05.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.05.2026

A.No. 727/25, 778/25 and 839/25

12.05.2026

Present : Sh. Ramesh Saraf, Ld counsel for the appellant in appeal no. 727/25 along with appellant.
None for the appellant in appeal no. 778/25.
Sh. Prem Chandra, Id. counsel for the appellant in appeal no. 839/25.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report filed by the respondent in appeal no. 727/25 and 778/25 to the effect that Shashi Garden is unauthorized regularized colony. Copy supplied.

Reply also filed by the respondent to the application seeking condonation of delay and to the interim stay in appeal no. 839/25. Copy supplied.

In none of the appeals, the demolition orders and the sealing orders have been challenged and the appeals are only against the vacation notice/show cause notice which are not appealable.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to amend the appeal. Let the same be done within 4 weeks.

None has appeared in appeal no. 778/25.

Put up for arguments on **17.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.05.2026

A.No. 728/25

12.05.2026

Present : Ms. Mallika Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant through VC along with proxy counsel in person.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant has filed copy of the judgment.

Part arguments heard.

Put up for further arguments on **09.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.05.2026

A.No. 736/25

12.05.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Sagar Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Status report is filed by the MCD. As per the status report, the demolition action has already been taken against the property in pursuance of the directions of the Hon'ble High Court.

Copy placed on record. Let the same be collected.

None has appeared for the appellant despite repeated calls since morning.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Put up for arguments on **05.06.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.05.2026

A.No. 9/26

M/s Mittal Electronic Vs. MCD

12.05.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Arguments heard.

1. The appellant has challenged the sealing order dated 16.10.2024 vide which the basement of property bearing No. 38, SSI Co-operative Industrial Estate G.T Karnal Road, Delhi-110033 was directed to be sealed for doing commercial activities in the name and style as Gym/other activities (Garment Shop) at the instance of owner /occupier. Prior to this, the property was booked vide show cause notice dated 02.09.2024 for violation of provisions of MPD-2021 clause 15.7.2 for misusing the property for commercial purposes instead of industrial purpose.
2. Neither the show cause notice nor the sealing order is sustainable since clause 15.7.2 of MPD-2021 relates to misuse of residential property for commercial purposes and this clause is not meant for industrial properties used for commercial purposes.
3. Further, the show cause notice does not specify as to what commercial activity is being carried out in the

...Contd.2/-

: 2 :

subject premises. The sealing order in para-1 records that it is being used for Gym and thereafter states it to be used for running a garment shop. The order is cryptic in nature and has been passed without ascertaining the exact nature of violation.

4. In these facts, the sealing order dated 27.09.2024 and sealing action dated 16.10.2024 in respect of basement of property bearing No.38, SSI Co-operative Industrial Estate G.T Karnal Road, Delhi-110033 is set aside. Let the property be desealed within a week from today. The respondent shall be at liberty to issue fresh show cause notice as per law after ascertaining actual misuse, if any, found in the property.
5. Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
12.05.2026