

A.No. 407/26

19.05.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Karamvir Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **10.06.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.05.2026

A.No. 410/26 & 411/26

19.05.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Ms. Parul Agarwal, Ld counsel for the appellants.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **24.07.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.05.2026

A.No. 367/15

M/s Aggarwal Sports Vs. MCD

19.05.2026

Present : Sh. Ravish Kumar Goyal, Ld. counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Ms. Nayan, Advocate in person.
Sh. Mohit Sharma, Ld. counsel for the respondent joined through VC along with Sh. Shailender Singh, AE(B) in person.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Arguments heard.

1. As per this status report, MCD has contacted its Standing Counsel Sh. Tusar Sannu Dahiya to file LPA against the order dated 26.02.2026 passed by Hon'ble High Court in W.P.(C) no. 7069/2015. It is submitted by the Id counsel for the respondent that another two weeks time is required to file the LPA and the matter may be adjourned for two weeks. Same is strongly opposed by the Id counsel for the appellant on the ground that three months have passed since the order dated 26.02.2026 and there is no stay against this order and matter should not be adjourned and should be adjudicated in view of the orders of Hon'ble High Court dated 26.02.2026.
2. I have perused the record. The respondent has been seeking adjournment only on the ground that the order

..Contd...2/-

: 2 :

of Hon'ble High Court dated 26.02.2026 is to be challenged in LPA. The same cannot be a ground to adjourn the matter. This present appeal has been filed against the sealing order dated 24.04.2015 passed in respect of property 43, Bara Bazar, Shahdara Delhi-110032 for using the premises from residential to commercial without payment of conversion charges. The Shahdara Chota Bazar Vyapar Sangathan has sought declaration from Hon'ble High Court in W.P.(C) 7069/15 that the shops falling in Chota Bazaar, Bara Bazaar, Anaj Mandi, Farsh Bazaar are not liable to pay conversion charges. The Hon'ble High Court on 26.02.2026 allowed this writ petition holding that MCD cannot demand any conversion charges from the persons doing commercial activities in these markets and the demand of a MCD to pay conversion charges was quashed.

3. This order is yet to be challenged by MCD and almost three months have passed and the LPA is yet to be filed. There is no stay operating against the order of Hon'ble High Court dated 26.02.2026. The present appeal has challenged the sealing order demanding conversion charges from the appellant. Since the

...Contd..3/-

: 3 :

Hon'ble High Court has quashed the demand of MCD to pay the conversion charges, the impugned sealing order dated 24.04.2015 is liable to be quashed.

4. In these facts, the appeal is allowed and the sealing order dated 24.04.2015 is set-aside.
5. Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.05.2026

A.No. 1/26 & 882/25

19.05.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant in appeal no. 1/26.
Sh. H.K. Dhariwal, Ld. counsel for the appellant in appeal no. 882/25 joined through VC.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 1/26 along with Sh. Shailender, AE(B). Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.
Sh. Sagar Kumar, Id. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 882/25 joined through VC.

Status report is filed by the MCD in appeal no. 1/26, copy supplied.

Record of the appeal bearing no. 1/26 has already been deposited in appeal no. 882/25 and both the counsels seeks time to inspect that record.

Ld. counsel for the appellant and respondent in appeal no. 1/26 are permitted to inspect the record and to take certified copy of the record filed in appeal no. 882/25.

At request, put up for arguments on **20.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.05.2026

A.No. 11/26

Naresh Khorwal Vs MCD

19.05.2026

Present : Sh. Yogesh Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report filed by MCD. Copy supplied.

Arguments heard on the interim application.

1. It has been argued for the appellant that he is seeking interim protection only in respect of the third floor of property no. 65/78, New Rohtak Road, Karol Bagh, New Delhi. The show cause notice and the demolition order dated 15.12.2025 were never served upon the appellant nor were issued in the name of the appellant and same are liable to be set-aside as opportunity of reply and being heard was not provided to the appellant. It was argued that the sale deed of the appellant dated 26.02.2019 was in respect of entire third floor with terrace rights with further liberty raise construction at the terrace of the third floor and therefore the impugned demolition order should be stayed till disposal of the appeal.
2. Ld counsel for the respondent on the other hand has argued that the regularization application of the appellant has been rejected on 03.03.2026 and construction at fourth floor cannot be regularized for

...Contd..2/-

: 2 :

any reason and the said construction at fourth floor still exist and therefore no interim protection should be granted to the appellant. It was also argued that though show cause notice was duly served by pasting at the subject property yet was also supplied on 13.11.2025 in a civil suit filed by the appellant and he had knowledge of show cause notice at least since 13.11.2025 and demolition order was passed after about a month on 15.12.2025. The appellant has sufficient time at least since 13.11.2025 to reply the show cause notice and all these facts have been concealed in this appeal and therefore the interim application should be rejected.

3. I have perused the record. The show cause notice dated 04.11.2025 was served by way of pasting at the subject property which booked deviation/excess coverage against sanctioned building plan from basement to third floor and unauthorized construction in the shape of part fourth floor. Pasting is appropriate mode of service u/c 444 of DMC Act. Further, as per the order sheet dated 13.11.2025 of the civil suit filed by the appellant against Anil Gupta, the MCD filed status report and stated that the property has been booked through show cause notice dated 04.11.2025 copy of which was annexed with the

...Contd..3/-

: 3 :

status report and was supplied to the counsel for the appellant. The appellant as such has received the show cause notice at least on 13.11.2025. He had sufficient time to reply to this show cause notice till demolition order dated 15.12.2025 was passed. Further, in the same civil suit the MCD on 17.12.2025 informed the court that the demolition order has been passed and action is to be taken. The appellant as such had knowledge of the demolition order since 17.12.2025. All these facts, were concealed in this appeal and it was only mentioned that no proper show cause notice was served upon the appellant. The appellant did not approach the court with clean hands by concealing relevant facts. Though it is correct that the show cause notice and the demolition order were issued in the name of previous owner Smt. Bimla Devi but it is also true that the appellant had received the show cause notice on 13.11.2025 and was also aware about the demolition order since 17.12.2025. The appellant should have approached the respondent immediately after receiving the show cause notice on 13.11.2025 and should have filed the reply or should have raised the objection that the show cause notice has not been issued in the name of the appellant. The appellant now has claimed that the show cause notice was not properly served which is factually incorrect.

...Contd..4/-

: 4 :

4. The construction at the fourth floor otherwise is unauthorized and cannot be regularized and is liable to be demolished. The appellant has not taken any steps to demolish the construction at fourth floor and is trying to seek interim protection for the third floor which is yet to be regularized. There is no prime-facie case in favour of the appellant. The interim application has no merits. The same is dismissed. Put up for argument on appeal on date already fixed i.e. **02.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.05.2026

A.No. 74/26 & 75/26

19.05.2026

Present : Sh. Vijay Sehgal, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD in both the matters.
Copy supplied.

Record has already been deposited. It can be inspected by the ld. counsel for the appellant.

Status reports are silent in respect of regularization application filed by the appellant which as per the appellant is still pending.

Let the status report in respect of the regularization application of the appellant be also filed on the next date of hearing.

At request, put up for arguments on **09.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.05.2026

A.No. 123/26

19.05.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Mukesh Gaur, AE(B). Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **17.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.05.2026

A.No. 161/26

Zakir Hussain and Anr. Vs. MCD

19.05.2026

Present : Sh. S.H. Ansari, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellants.

Sh. Vinod Kumar Dubey, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Status report already filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Record has already been submitted.

Ld. counsel for the appellant has filed an application seeking permission for withdrawal of the aforesaid appeal.

The appellants submits that they want to withdraw the aforesaid appeal and they may be permitted to withdraw the aforesaid appeal.

Statement of the appellants recorded separately to this effect.

In view of the statement made by the appellants themselves, the aforesaid appeal stands disposed off as withdrawn.

The application seeking withdrawal of the appeal also stands disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.05.2026

A.No. 172/26

19.05.2026

Present : Sh. Pushkar Aryan, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Sagar Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC along with Sh. S.P. Dabas, AE(B), KPZ.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Record has already been deposited.

The appellant has challenged the demolition order dated 24.01.2025 in this appeal filed on 25.02.2026 and there is no application seeking condonation of delay. The demolition order was replied by the appellant in February 2025 which shows that the appellant had knowledge of this demolition order since she submitted her reply.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeking time to file the application seeking condonation of delay in filing this appeal. Let the same be done within 4 weeks.

In view of same, the interim order is hereby vacated.

Put up for arguments on the said proposed application on **22.09.2026.**

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.05.2026

A.No. 277/26

19.05.2026

Present : Ms. Jaya Goyal and Ms. Manpreet Kaur, Ld counsels for the appellant.

None for the respondent.

None has appeared for the respondent despite repeated calls since morning. Record has also not been submitted.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Put up for awaiting record and arguments on **24.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.05.2026

A.No. 383/26, 384/26 & 385/26

19.05.2026

Present : Sh. Dushyant Bhargava, Ld. counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Ms. Bhawna along with appellant Md. Noor Alam and Md. Aftab in person.
Sh. Kamal Kishore Okharwal, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. R.K. Meena, AE(B).

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry in any of the appeal and it can be inspected by the Id. counsel for the appellant.

An adjournment is sought by the counsel for the appellant on the ground that he has gone to Varanasi Court due to some urgent matter.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **09.06.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.05.2026

A.No. 145/11

19.05.2026

Present : Appellant no. 2 in person.
Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Reply to the status report already filed on behalf of the appellant. Copy supplied to ld. counsel for the respondent today.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as the counsel has gone to Noida Court due to some personal family dispute.

The appeal pertains to the year 2011. However, in the interest of justice one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **02.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.05.2026

A.No. 372/16

19.05.2026

Present : Sh. Bhagat Singh, LR of the Late Sh. Jagjeet Singh.
Sh. Kumar Yuvraj, Ld. counsel for the intervener.
Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Piyush Jain, Ld. counsel for the DDA joined through
VC.

Arguments heard on the application under Order I rule 10 CPC filed by Smt. Jatinder Kaur, Sh. Bhupender Singh and Sh. Samarjit Singh seeking their impleadment as appellants.

As per record, the appeal was initially filed by late Sh. Jagjeet Singh for himself and also for these three applicants as their attorney. After the death of Sh. Jagjeet Singh, his legal heirs were impleaded. Now the applicants who have earlier given attorney to late Sh. Jagjeet Singh want to pursue this appeal themselves.

In view of above, the application is allowed and they are impleaded as appellants in the matter. The legal heirs of late Sh. Jagjeet Singh shall also continue to be the appellants along with the applicants more so, when they have been so permitted by the Hon'ble High Court in order dated 01.08.2025 passed in OMP no. 6/2025. (copy placed on record).

.....contd.2

: 2 :

Let the amended memo of parties be filed.

Put up for arguments on the appeal on **04.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.05.2026

A.No. 263/17 & 50/19

19.05.2026

Present : Sh. Vikhyat Oberoi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Jasman Singh Sethi, Ld counsel for the respondent
joined through VC along with Sh. Ashok Kumar, ASO,
NDMC.

Part arguments heard.

Ld. counsel for the respondent submits that a compliance report dated 21.11.2017 was filed along with documents. The certified copy of the said documents from page 1 to 66 is there on record, but there is no compliance report as submitted.

Ld. counsel for the respondent seeks time to inspect the record and flag the said compliance report.

At request, put up for further arguments on **11.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.05.2026

A.No. 536/18 & 803/18

19.05.2026

Present : Sh. Rana Ranjit Singh and Ms. Saiyam Maan, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 536/18.
Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 803/18.
Ms. N. Sahu, Ld. counsel for the applicant Mohd. Saleem.

Documents along with list filed on behalf of the appellant.

Copy already supplied.

Arguments heard at length.

Put up for orders on **13.07.2026**.

Parties are at liberty to file written submissions, if desired, with advance copy to each other at least one week prior to the date fixed.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.05.2026

A.No. 750/18 & 916/24
Madan Lal Vs. MCD

19.05.2026

Present :

Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Sanjeet Kumar, proxy counsel for Sh. Prithish Sabharwal, Ld. counsel for respondent in appeal no. 750/18.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel the respondent in appeal no. 916/24.
Sh. Vikram, son of the applicant/intervener.

Part arguments heard.

1. The appeal No.916/24 is against the revocation of sanctioned building plan order dated 09.09.24. The sanctioned building plan of the appellant obtained under "Saral Scheme" has been revoked for three reasons.

A. Need to establish the sub-division prior to cutoff date.

B. Valid ownership.

C. Access to property from public road.

2. The appellant with this appeal has filed copy of the Will of his mother and sale deed to show that the property was sub-divided prior to 08.02.2007. Further the finding given by Sh. A.K. Aggarwal, the then Civil Judge (West) dated 24.02.2016, that the appellant does not have any title documents and does not have any legal right to sell, transfer or alienate any third party interest in the property was set aside in the appeal RCA No.5743/16 vide judgment dated 27.01.2017.

...Contd..2/-

: 2 :

3. The respondent failed to appreciate the documents of the appellant showing that his mother bequeath the property to the appellant vide her Will dated 30.12.1979. The appellant further sold parts of this property twice prior to 08.02.2007 and the sub-division took place before 08.02.2007. Admittedly, there is access to the property from public road.

4. In these facts, the revocation order dated 09.09.2024 is set aside and the matter is remanded back to the respondent with directions to consider and appreciate the title documents of the appellant and to consider that sub-division took place prior to cutoff date of 08.02.2007 and thereafter pass fresh speaking order after considering these documents and after giving personal hearing to the appellant. The appellant shall appear before the Quasi Judicial Authority on 03.06.2026 at 2.00 p.m. and the Quasi Judicial Authority shall pass fresh order within 8 weeks from 03.06.2026. The appeal No.916/24 stand disposed off.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

...Contd..3/-

: 3 :

Put up for status report in compliance of this order on the next date of hearing in appeal No.750/18 on **05.08.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.05.2026

A.No. 118/20
Ravinder Singh Vs. MCD

19.05.2026

Present : Sh. Sahil Jain, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Written submissions filed on behalf of the appellant. Let the copy of the same be supplied to the counsel for the respondent.

Arguments heard. File perused.

The show cause notice dated 13.10.2017 in respect of property of the appellant in village and post office Khaira, Najafgarh, New Delhi, was sent by the speed post to the appellant on 17.10.2017 and was replied on 23.10.2017. The said reply filed by the appellant with this appeal as Annexure A-4 is not there in the MCD record which has a noting that 'no reply has been received.' The demolition order was passed on 23.10.2017 whereas the reply was received on the same day. It reveals that the reply was not considered nor opportunity of being heard was given to the appellant.

In these facts, the demolition order dated 23.10.2017 is set aside with directions to the respondents to pass a speaking order after considering the reply dated

...contd.2

: 2 :

23.10.2017 and documents to be submitted by the appellant and after giving personal hearing to the appellant.

The appellant shall appear before the Quasi Judicial Authority on 08.06.2026 at 2.00 pm and the speaking order be passed within 6 weeks of conclusion of the hearing.

Appeal stands disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.05.2026

A.No. 385/22, 702/24 & 703/24

19.05.2026

Present : Appellant in person.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal No.703/24.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal No.385/22.
None for the respondent in appeal No.702/24.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel is not available today due to bereavement in his family.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **23.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.05.2026

A.No. 430/22

19.05.2026

Present : Ms. Usha Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Avishek Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent joined
through VC.

Part arguments heard.

At request, put up for further arguments on **24.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.05.2026

A.No. 159/23 & 188/23

19.05.2026

Present : Sh. Abhinav Tyagi, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 159/23.
Ms. Praveen Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 188/23 joined through VC.
Sh. ABhishek Grover, Id. counsel for the intervener.

It is stated by the Id. counsel for the intervener that despite applying in time as per the last order, the certified copy has not yet been supplied to him.

Registry is directed to supply the certified copy latest by 22.05.2026 and the same be collected by the intervener.

Put up for arguments on **10.06.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.05.2026

A.No. 623/23

19.05.2026

Present : Sh. Kishan Gupta, appellant no. 2 through VC along with employee of appellant in person.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

The appellant has filed copy of the notification dated 06.04.2026.

Part arguments on behalf of the respondent heard.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as his previous counsel has returned the brief and he needs some time to engage a new counsel.

This appeal is pending since the year 2023 and the appellant has been seeking adjournments on one or other grounds. There is a delay of almost 4 years in filing this appeal against the sealing order dated 20.06.2019.

Subject to cost of Rs. 10,000/- to be deposit with the Registry of this Tribunal, one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments on the application seeking on delay on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on the said application on **06.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.05.2026

A.No. 245/24

19.05.2026

Present : Sh. Muddassir Daiyyam, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought by Id counsel for the appellant on the ground that he has recently been engaged.

In the interest of justice one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **29.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.05.2026

A.No. 271/24

19.05.2026

Present : Sh. Anubhav Chandel, Id. proxy counsel for the appellant
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Pranav Sharma, Ld. counsel for the intervener along
with intervener.

Arguments on maintainability of the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC heard. Even if it is presumed that all the averments made in the application is correct, then also in this proceeding between the appellant and the MCD the applicant has no right to participate and he cannot become a party as there is a clear-cut judgment of Delhi High Court in case ***Hardayal Singh Mehta Vs MCD, AIR 1990 Delhi 170*** in which it is held that in the matter between the appellant and the MCD, no third person can join and become a party to such proceedings and in such proceedings the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC is not maintainable. Any dispute between the applicant and the appellant has to be dealt with and to be decided by the Civil Court separately. Accordingly, application moved by applicant under order 1 Rule 10 CPC is hereby dismissed. However, the applicant is permitted to file the documents, if any and to orally argue the matter at the final arguments stage.

....contd.2

: 2 :

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Manoj Kumar is not well today.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **29.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.05.2026

A.No. 287/24, 289/24 & 288/24

19.05.2026

Present : Sh. Paran Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel has gone to Hon'ble High Court at Chandigarh.

In the interest of justice one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **28.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.05.2026

A.No. 695/24

19.05.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent.

At request made by the ld. counsel for the respondent,
put up for arguments on **30.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.05.2026

A.No. 834/24

19.05.2026

Present : Sh. Netrapal Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent.

An application under Section 151 CPC filed by the appellant to place on record the additional documents is pending.

Submissions heard on the said application.

Without prejudice to the rights of the respondent, the documents are taken on record. The application stands disposed of.

At the request made by the Id. counsel for the appellant on personal grounds, put up for arguments on **29.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.05.2026

A.No. 872/24
Urvashi Singh vs. MCD

19.05.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Ms. Mehak Arora, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Rahul Malhotra, Id. counsel for the intervener.
Despite various calls none is appearing on behalf of the appellant in the Tribunal or through VC.
Put up at 2.00 PM.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD/19.5.26

At 3.15 pm
Present :

None for the appellant.
Ms. Mehak Arora, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Rahul Malhotra, Id. counsel for the intervener.

None has appeared on behalf of appellant since morning in the Tribunal or through VC despite various calls. None had appeared on behalf of the appellant on the previous two consecutive dates as well. Even the cost imposed vide order dated 05.06.2025 has not yet been deposited.

It is already 3.15 PM. It appears that appellant is not interested in pursuing this appeal. The present appeal is dismissed in default.

Record of the respondent if any be returned alongwith copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.05.2026

A.No. 152/25

19.05.2026

Present : Sh. Sparsh Dham, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Madan Sagar and Sh. Saurabh Pandey, Ld. Proxy
counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as
arguing counsel Sh. Arun Bali is not well today.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted
to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **06.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.05.2026

A.No. 223/25

19.05.2026

Present : Sh. Nishu Tyagi, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.
None for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel is not available today due to some personal difficulty

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

None has appeared for the respondent despite repeated calls since morning. No adverse order is being passed today.

Fresh notice be issued to the MCD for ensuring the presence of the counsel on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **05.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.05.2026

A.No. 303/25 & 304/25

19.05.2026

Present : Sh. Nishu Tyagi, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel is not available today due to some personal difficulty

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant(s) to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **05.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.05.2026

A.No. 431/25

19.05.2026

Present :

Sh. Gurjot Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Ms. Vasu Singh, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC along with Sh. Sunil Chauhan, AE(B).
Md. Hafizuddin Khan, Id. counsel for the intervener Rahimuddin.

Reply to the application under Order I rule 10 CPC filed on behalf of the appellant. Copy supplied.

Arguments on maintainability of the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC heard. Even if it is presumed that all the averments made in the application is correct, then also in this proceeding between the appellant and the MCD the applicant has no right to participate and he cannot become a party as there is a clear-cut judgment of Delhi High Court in case ***Hardayal Singh Mehta Vs MCD, AIR 1990 Delhi 170*** in which it is held that in the matter between the appellant and the MCD, no third person can join and become a party to such proceedings and in such proceedings the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC is not maintainable. Any dispute between the applicant and the appellant has to be dealt with and to be decided by the Civil Court separately. Accordingly, application moved by applicant under order 1 Rule 10 CPC is hereby dismissed. However, the applicant is permitted to file the documents, if any and to orally argue the matter at the final arguments stage. ...contd.2

: 2 :

The respondent/MCD is directed to file the status report as per the order dated 23.07.2025, on the next date of hearing.

Put up for awaiting the status report and arguments on **08.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.05.2026

A.No. 548/25

19.05.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Raj Kamal Singh, Ld. proxy counsel for the intervener along with intervener.

Application under Order I rule 10 CPC is yet to be adjudicated. The appellant seeks some time to file the reply to this application.

The proposed intervener in between has moved two more applications u/s 151 CPC to place on record additional documents and an order of Hon'ble High Court dated 09.02.2026. Copy not yet supplied to the appellant and the MCD. Let the same be supplied today itself.

Vide order dated 09.02.2026, Hon'ble High Court has directed this Tribunal to dispose of this appeal expeditiously as early as possible. More than 3 months have been passed from the date of this order, yet neither same was communicated nor brought to the notice of this Tribunal either by the Registry of Hon'ble High Court or by the intervener at whose instance, this order was passed.

....contd.2

: 2 :

Main counsel for the intervener is not available today.

At request, put up for reply and arguments on the application under Order I rule 10 CPC and appeal on **10.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.05.2026

A.No. 556/25

19.05.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought by the counsel for the appellant due to some personal difficulty.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **29.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.05.2026

A.No. 764/25

19.05.2026

Present : Sh. Govind Kumar, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel is not available today due to some personal difficulty.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **13.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.05.2026

A.No. 797/25
Ashok Kumar Yadav vs. MCD

19.05.2026

Present : Sh. Kanwar Anshuman, Ld counsel for the appellant
joined through VC.
Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Arguments heard. File perused.

I have already recorded in the interim order dated 16.12.2025 that the show cause notice dated 18.03.2025 was not served upon the appellant. The same is sufficient ground to set aside the sealing order dated 18.03.2025 passed in respect of property No.WZ-244, ground floor, Madipur Village, New Delhi.

In these facts, the sealing order dated 18.03.2025 is set aside with directions to the respondents to pass a speaking order after considering the reply/documents to be submitted by the appellant and after giving personal hearing to the appellant.

The appellant shall appear before the Quasi Judicial Authority on 06.07.2026 at 2.00 pm and the speaking order be passed within 6 weeks of conclusion of the hearing.

.....contd.2

: 2 :

The appeal stands disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.05.2026

A.No. 328/14

19.05.2026

Present : None for the parties.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal is dismissed.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.05.2026

A.No. 145/15

19.05.2026

Present : None for parties.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal is allowed.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.05.2026

A.No. 802/14

19.05.2026

Present : None for the parties.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal is disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
19.05.2026