

A.No. 434/26

02.06.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Sprash Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **25.09.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the demolition order dated 23.04.2026. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
02.06.2026

A.No. 454/26

02.06.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Shikhar Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with appellant in person.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **10.06.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
02.06.2026

A.No. 457/26

02.06.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Prashant Diwan, Sh. Brajesh Dwivedi and Ms. Ishika Jindal, Ld counsels for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **12.08.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the demolition order dated 04.05.2026. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
02.06.2026

A.No. 458/26

02.06.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Sujeet Kumar Mishra and Sh. Harsh Kumar Pandey,  
Ld. counsels for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **11.06.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
02.06.2026

A.No. 475/26

02.06.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Khushal and Ms. Chaahat Khanna, Ld counsels for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **11.06.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
02.06.2026

A.No. 476/26

02.06.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Rambir Chauhan, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/DDA through concerned Chief Legal Advisor and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **02.07.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
02.06.2026

A.No. 479/26

02.06.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Satinder Singh Chowdhary, Sh. Manish Malik and Sh. Lavish Arora, Ld counsels for the appellant along with appellant in person.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **18.08.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the second floor of the property of appellant bearing no. FA-220, Tagore Garden, New Delhi, in pursuance of the demolition order dated 20.05.2026. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
02.06.2026

A.No. 480/26  
Yogesh Sharma Vs. MCD

02.06.2026  
Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Suraj Lal, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Submissions heard. File perused.  
Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.  
The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.  
Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **02.09.2026**.  
Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. property no. 407-D, Part-I, Gadodia Road, Anand Parbat, New Delhi, in pursuance of the demolition order dated 07.05.2026. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.  
Copy of this order be given dasti as requested.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
02.06.2026

A.No. 484/26

02.06.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Amit Kumar Rohela and Sh. Davinder Singh, Ld  
counsels for the appellant along with appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to  
the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer  
and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the  
presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in  
person along with the record of the proceedings, status  
report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal  
on **14.08.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken  
against the property of appellant in pursuance of the  
demolition order dated 03.11.2025. However, it is made  
clear that no encroachment on the public land is  
protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any  
further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
02.06.2026

A.No. 485/26

02.06.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Virendra Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **03.07.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
02.06.2026

A.No. 486/26

02.06.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. P.S. Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **08.07.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the demolition order dated 24.03.2025. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
02.06.2026

A.No. 424/25

02.06.2026

Present : Sh. Dheeraj Tanwar, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC along with Sh. Vaibhav Sanwal, Advocate in person.

Ld. counsel for the appellant has filed two applications i.e. one under Order VI rule 17 CPC and another application under Order VII rule 14 CPC. Copy already supplied.

By way of application under Order VI rule 17 CPC, the appellant wants to amend the prayer clause by challenging the demolition order dated 12.11.2019 instead of vacation notice. The application is formal in nature and is allowed and the amended appeal is taken on record.

Further, the application under Order VII rule 14 CPC to place on record the additional documents is also allowed and without prejudice to the rights of the respondent, the documents are taken on record.

Both the applications stand disposed of.

It is stated for the appellant that the construction from ground to fourth floor is old and occupied much prior to 01.06.2014 whereas the toilet at the terrace of the fourth floor has been voluntarily demolished by the appellant.

...contd.2

: 2 :

Let the respondent/MCD to file the status report qua the toilet at the terrace of the property on the next date of hearing.

Put up for awaiting the status report and arguments on **31.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
02.06.2026

A.No. 727/25

02.06.2026

Present : Sh. P.S. Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.

This is an application for early hearing.

Let notice of this application be issued to the respondent for **08.07.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
02.06.2026

A.No. 865/25

02.06.2026

Present : Sh. Ganpat Ram, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Paras Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent along  
with Sh. K.K. Sharma, AE(B). Fresh Vakalatnama filed,  
same is taken on record

The record has already been deposited.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the  
record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **24.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of  
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
02.06.2026

A.No. 73/26

02.06.2026

Present : Ms. Mehak, Ld. counsel for the appellant joined through VC.  
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that the respondent no. 2 has expired and his legal heir may be directed to provide the details of all the legal heirs.

Record shows that in a civil suit filed by the appellant, the deceased respondent no. 2 was the defendant no. 2 and the appellant impleaded his legal heirs in that suit even before filing this appeal and the suit was dismissed on 08.09.2025. This appeal was filed on 29.01.2026. The appellant was well aware on that day that the respondent no. 2 had already passed away and despite that the appeal was filed against a dead person and now the request has been made to provide the details of the legal heirs. Further, the appellant has challenged the regularization dated 12.03.2008 and there is no application seeking condonation of delay in filing this appeal.

...contd.2

: 2 :

The appeal is liable to be dismissed for these two reasons itself.

At this stage, adjournment sought by the counsel for the appellant on the ground that senior counsel is not available today.

At request, put up for arguments on **23.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
02.06.2026

A.No. 102/26  
Parveen Aggarwal Vs. MCD

02.06.2026

Present : Sh. Sona Ram Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.  
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

It is stated by the Id. counsel for the respondent that there is no order passed under Section 345-A of DMC Act against the subject property and the sealing action has been taken as the building was dangerous and a notice dated 08.07.2025 was issued to strengthen the building. The appellant in this appeal has challenged the said notice dated 08.07.2025 and a status report dated 25.08.2025 filed in the civil suit, which are not appealable before this Tribunal. The appeal is dismissed with liberty to seek appropriate remedy as per law.

Appeal stands disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
02.06.2026

A.No. 108/26  
Divya Gupta and Anr. Vs. MCD

02.06.2026

Present : Sh. V.K. Mantoo, Ld counsel for the appellant along with both the appellants in person.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An application under Order I rule 10 CPC has already been filed in the Registry.

Ld. counsel for the appellants submits that appellants who are present in person today, want to withdraw the aforesaid appeal as they are pursuing over regularization application in respect of the subject property and the appellants may be permitted to withdraw the aforesaid appeal.

Statement of the appellants recorded separately to this effect.

In view of the statement made by the appellants themselves, the aforesaid appeal is disposed off as withdrawn. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
02.06.2026

A.No. 198/26

02.06.2026

Present : Sh. Pulkit Arora, Ld counsel for the appellant along with  
Sh. Ashish Chawla, husband of the appellant.  
Ms. Jasleen Kaur, Ld counsel for the respondent along  
with Abhay Chaturvedi, AE(B).

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with  
Registry.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the  
record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments with connected appeal  
on **27.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of  
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
02.06.2026

A.No. 327/26

02.06.2026

Present : Sh. M. Sufian Siddiqui, Sh. Rakesh Bhugra, Mohd. Mazhar Ahmad and Ms. Niyazuddin, Ld counsels for the appellant along with appellant in person.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent/MCD along with Sh. Pradeep Kumar, AE(B) Rohini Zone.  
Sh. Vinod Dahiya, Ld. counsel for the respondent no. 2.  
Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Record has already been filed.

Vakalatnama filed for respondent NO.2, same is taken on record

Time sought to file reply to the appeal. Let the same be filed within 4 weeks and advance copy be supplied to the appellant as well as respondent MCD.

Let the record of previous two appeals Nos.686/22 and 687/22 dismissed on 26.04.2024 be tagged with this appeal.

Put up for arguments on **12.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
02.06.2026

A.No. 339/26 & 340/26  
Prem Prakash Sharma Vs. MCD

02.06.2026

Present : Sh. Rajat Sang, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Ms. Suruchi Gera, Advocate and appellant in person.  
Ms. Jasleen Kaur, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. R.D. Choudhary, AE(B).  
Sh. Anuj Garg, Ld. counsel for intervener joined through VC along with Ms. Parul Verma, Advocate in person.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry.

An application under order I rule 10 CPC has been filed in both the appeals. Copy supplied.

At this stage, Ld. counsel for the appellant submit that the appellant wants to withdraw the appeal no. 340/26 and the appellant may be permitted to withdraw the aforesaid appeals.

Statement of appellant, who is present in the court today, recorded separately to this effect.

In view of the statement made by the appellant himself, the appeal bearing no. 340/26 is disposed off as withdrawn. The application under Order I rule 10 CPC filed in this appeal also stands disposed of.

Appeal file bearing no. 340/26 be consigned to record room.

.....contd.2

: 2 :

So far as the appeal bearing no. 339/26 is concerned, Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record and to argue the matter and he also seeks time to file the reply to the aforesaid application under Order I rule 10 CPC.

Let the reply, if any, be filed within 4 weeks with advance copy to the other side.

At request, put up for arguments on the application under Order I rule 10 CPC as well as on appeal on **07.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
02.06.2026

A.No. 400/26

**Ganga Mukherjee and Anr. Vs. MCD**

02.06.2026

Present : Sh. Abhimanyu Lal, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Chetan Hasija, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Ravi Kumar, AE(B), WZ. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Record has already been deposited.

Ld. counsel for the appellant has filed an application under Order VI rule 17 CPC. Copy supplied.

1. Vide this application, the appellant wants to amend the date of receiving demolition order in para-7 (II) of the appeal. In the appeal it is mentioned that this demolition order was received on 17.03.2026 during the proceedings of the civil suit filed by Sunny Jain against the appellant. Through this application this date is to be changed to 17.04.2026. The appellant has shown the copy of the order sheet dated 17.04.2026 of that civil suit where the MCD filed action taken report on 17.04.2026 and the copy was supplied to the appellant. In view of the same the application is allowed and the date be read as 17.04.2026 in place of 17.03.2026 in para-7 (II) of the appeal the amended appeal is taken on record.

Contd...2/-

: 2 :

2. Arguments heard on the interim application.
3. As per appellant, the show cause notice dated 25.02.2026 was never served upon the appellant and the opportunity of being heard was not provided. It was argued for the appellant that the respondent deliberately did not serve the show cause notice and passed the impugned demolition order and there is prima-facie case in favour of the appellant as the opportunity of reply and personal hearing was not provided.
4. Ld. counsel for the MCD on the other hand argued that the show cause notice was served through pasting and the appellant choose not to reply the same and thereafter the demolition order was passed. There are photographs to show ongoing unauthorized construction in the third floor of the property and therefore, the interim application should be dismissed.
5. I have perused the record. The property was booked for unauthorized construction in the shape of part third floor in property No.KG-1/276, Vikas Puri New Delhi on 25.02.2026 and the show cause notice was sent through speed post which returned with the report 'insufficient address'. The same thereafter was served through pasting as per office record. The photographs at page 80/C show that it was served

Contd...3/-

: 3 :

through pasting on 02.03.2026. The appellant has claimed that it was not pasted at his property as the Geo-coordinates are not mentioned in this photographs nor the property is visible.

6. In the office record there are no office notings to show that when and why the show cause notice was served through pasting. The office record is completely silent in respect of the alleged pasting. Further, the Geo-coordinates of the property where it was pasted are not mentioned. The respondent has failed to establish that the show cause notice was duly served upon the appellant. The opportunity of being heard was not provided to the appellant. In these facts, not only the interim application but even the appeal is bound to be allowed.
7. In these facts, the demolition order dated 02.03.2026 is set aside with directions to the respondents to pass a speaking order after considering the reply and documents to be submitted by the appellant and after giving personal hearing to the appellant. The appellant shall appear before the Quasi Judicial Authority on 17.06.2026 at 2.00 pm and the speaking order be passed within 6 weeks of conclusion of the hearing.

Contd...4/-

: 4 :

8. No adjournment shall be allowed by the Quasi Judicial Authority to the appellant. Let the status report about the hearing be filed before this Tribunal on **31.07.2026**.
9. Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
02.06.2026

A.No. 415/26

**Tushar Mehta Vs. MCD**

02.06.2026

Present : Sh. Vinay Jaidka and Ms. Aakriti Maheshwari, Ld counsels for the appellant along with appellant, who had joined through VC.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry.

Documents alongwith list filed by the appellant, copy supplied.

Arguments heard on the interim application.

1. It has been argued for the appellant that the show cause notice was not served upon the person at whose instance the construction was raised as provided u/s 343 of the DMC Act. Further, the appellant did not raise any construction in the property and the construction existing was raised by the previous owner. The appellant carried out only repairs and renovation as permitted by the Civil Court. The respondent without ascertaining as to when and by whom the said construction was raised at the terrace of the third floor of flat No.935, Sector-A, Pkt-B & C Vasant Kunj, New Delhi passed the impugned demolition order dated 28.04.2026. The contentions raised by the appellant in his reply was not dealt with

Contd...2/-

: 2 :

and decided while passing the impugned order and therefore, the interim protection should be granted till disposal of this appeal.

2. Ld. counsel for the respondent on the other hand has argued that the appellant deliberately did not file the complete sale deed before this Tribunal which show that only third floor was in existence when the appellant purchased this flat on 06.05.2025. The appellant did not file the legible copy of the sanction accorded to the previous owner for constructing a barsati room at the terrace of the third floor and has admitted in his reply that the construction was raised by the previous owner in the year 2012-2013 and therefore, the same is not protected under National Capital Territory of Delhi Laws (Special Provision) Second Amendment Act, 2011 and there is no prima-facie case in favour of the appellant.
3. I have perused the record. The show cause notice dated 22.08.2025 was duly replied by the appellant and the appellant in that reply stated that the erstwhile owner has raised construction of a room and washroom at the terrace of the third floor in the year 2012-2013. It was claimed that this construction was duly approved by the MCD.

Contd...3/-

: 3 :

4. I have seen this sanction obtained by the previous owner in the office record. The predecessor of the appellant was permitted to construct only a barsati room on the terrace of the third floor but either he or the appellant constructed two rooms and a toilet. Whether, the same was raised by the appellant or by his predecessor makes no difference since the unauthorized construction was raised after obtaining sanction in the year 2012-2013 and the same is not protected under National Capital Territory of Delhi Laws (Special Provision) Second Amendment Act, 2011 being raised after 08.02.2007. The appellant himself admits that this construction was raised after 08.02.2007.
5. Coming to the aspect of service of show cause notice upon the person at whose instance erection has commenced, it is sufficient to note that the section 444 of the DMC Act provides service of show cause notices and it is required to be served on the owner or occupier of the land or building as the case may be. The appellant is owner as well as the occupier as on date. The MCD is not supposed to serve notice on the predecessor of the appellant who otherwise has passed away and the construction alleged to be raised in the year 2012-2013.

Contd...4/-

: 4 :

6. The respondent dealt with all the contentions of the appellant in the impugned order which is a speaking order. The appellant deliberately did not file copy of the complete sale deed and only first four pages were filed as the remaining pages reflect that only third floor was in existence when the property was purchased by the appellant.
7. There is no prima-facie case in favour of the appellant. The interim application is dismissed.

Put up for arguments on appeal on **13.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
02.06.2026

A.No. 26/26 (M)

02.06.2026

Present : Sh. Manoj Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant.

This is an application seeking revival of the appeal which was adjourned sine die on 08.11.2010.

Let notice of this application be issued to the MCD/respondent for **01.07.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
02.06.2026

A.No. 446/14

02.06.2026

Present : Appellant in person.  
Sh. Sandeep Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent  
joined through VC.

Status report is filed by the MCD through one proxy  
counsel, copy supplied.

Regularization application of the appellant has been  
rejected on 15.05.2026 because of amalgamation of the  
two original plots.

Counsel for the appellant is not available today.

At request, put up for arguments on **15.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of  
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
02.06.2026

A.No. 37/15

02.06.2026

Present : Appellant in person.  
Sh. K.K. Arora, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Appellant has again approached Legal Aid and a new LAC has been appointed to him on 22.05.2026 and the LAC has sought some time to argue.

This case is of the year 2015 and the appellant first approached DLSA and then give up the LAC for a private counsel and then again seek Legal Aid.

Written arguments filed by his private counsel are already on record.

LAC is given one last and final opportunity to advance arguments in the matter on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **15.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
02.06.2026

A.No. 102/18

02.06.2026

Present : Sh. Kunal Kalra, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.

Sh. K.K. Arora, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks adjournment on the ground that the certified copy of the record has not yet been provided to him after he has lost his file and same is likely to be provided in first week of July.

At request, put up for arguments on **22.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
02.06.2026

A.No. 369/20 & 370/20

02.06.2026

Present : Sh. Sanam Malhotra, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **07.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
02.06.2026

A.No. 328/22

02.06.2026

Present : Ms. Simran Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Sh. Sanjeet Kumar, proxy counsel for Sh. Prithish Sabharwal, Ld. counsel for respondent joined through VC.

Part arguments heard.

At request made by ld. proxy counsel for the respondent, put up for further arguments on **24.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
02.06.2026

A.No. 672/22 & 673/22

02.06.2026

Present : Ms. Parul Agarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
None for the respondent.

None has appeared for the respondent despite repeated calls since morning and since last many dates.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Fresh notice be issued to the MCD to appoint a new counsel and for ensuring the presence of the counsel on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **11.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
02.06.2026

A.No. 557/23 & 642/23

02.06.2026

Present : Appellant in person.  
Sh. Jasman Singh Sethi, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC along with Sh. Ashok Kumar, ASO, NDMC.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. S.P. Tyagi is not well today.

In the interest of justice one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **15.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
02.06.2026

A.No. 113/24 (M)

02.06.2026

Present : Sh. Anuj Goswami, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant  
joined through VC.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as  
main counsel is not available today due to ill- health.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted  
to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **18.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of  
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
02.06.2026

A.No. 1084/24 & 1095/24

02.06.2026

Present : Sh. Himanshu, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.  
None for the respondent in appeal no. 1084/24.  
Sh. Sanjeet Kumar, proxy counsel for Sh. Prithish Sabharwal, Ld. counsel for respondent in appeal no. 1095/24.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as father of the main counsel is not well and counsel is busy in taking care of him.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter. None has appeared for the respondent in appeal no. 1084/24 despite repeated calls. No adverse order is being passed today. Fresh notice be issued to the MCD for ensuring the presence of the counsel on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **29.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
02.06.2026

A.No. 94/25

02.06.2026

Present : Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Dalip Rastogi is out of station.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **07.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
02.06.2026

A.No. 256/25

02.06.2026

Present : Ms. Manju Pandey, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. K.D. Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent. Memo  
of appearance filed.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as  
main counsel is not well today.

Similar request for adjournment is made on behalf of the  
respondent on the ground that he has recently been  
engaged.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted  
to the parties to address the arguments in the matter on  
next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **13.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of  
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
02.06.2026

A.No. 395/25

02.06.2026

Present : Sh. Abhishek Sharma, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.  
Ms. Jasleen Kaur, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. R.K. Singh is not available today due to bereavement in the family.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **05.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
02.06.2026

A.No. 433/25 & 434/25

02.06.2026

Present : Sh. Charanpreet Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant  
joined through VC along with Sh. Akshat Chawla,  
Advocate in person.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the respondent seeks some more time to  
file the status report.

Let the same be filed on or before the next date of  
hearing with advance copy to the counsel for the  
appellant.

Put up for awaiting status report and arguments on  
**24.09.2026.**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of  
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
02.06.2026

A.No. 455/25

02.06.2026

Present : Sh. Sachin Choudhary, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.  
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.  
Sh. Shiv Kumar Sharma, Ld. counsel for the intervener.

Status report is filed by the MCD, as per which, the MCD has no record of any appeal filed by the husband of the appellant against the impugned order. The husband of the appellant Sh. Vimal Pandey is also present in the court and submits that he did not file any appeal against this demolition order.

Ld. counsel for the intervener seeks time to apprise this Tribunal about that appeal.

At request, put up for arguments on **05.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
02.06.2026

A.No. 624/25

02.06.2026

Present : Ms. Parul Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.  
Sh. Pawan Rawat, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. K.K. Varshney, AE(B), Central Zone. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Virender Kumar Sharma is not well today.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **01.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
02.06.2026

A.No. 99/18, 100/18 & 101/18

02.06.2026

Present : None for the appellant.  
Sh. V. K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Vide separate judgment of even date, all the aforesaid appeals are disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
02.06.2026