

A.No. 477/26

04.06.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : None for the appellant.

File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **14.07.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
04.06.2026

A.No. 478/26

04.06.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. K.N. Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **05.08.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
04.06.2026

A.No. 487/26

04.06.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Umang Tyagi, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Ms. Khushi Anand, Advocate.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **10.08.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. WZ-92A, Village Todapur, New Delhi, in pursuance of the demolition order dated 21.05.2026. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
04.06.2026

A.No. 489/26

04.06.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Arun Batla and Sh. Puneet Bhatnagar, Ld counsels for the appellant along with appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **01.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
04.06.2026

A.No. 491/26

04.06.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Shashi Pratap Singh and Ms. Laqshyaa Saluja, Ld counsels for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **05.08.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the demolition order dated 25.05.2026. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
04.06.2026

A.No. 497/26

04.06.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Ranjan Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **11.06.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
04.06.2026

A.No. 500/26

04.06.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Saksham Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **02.09.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the basement, ground, first floor and second floor of the appellant's property bearing no. B-205, Subzi Mandi, Azad Pur, Delhi-110033 in pursuance of the impugned demolition order dated 29.05.2026. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question. It is also made clear that no protection is granted to the third floor of the subject property.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
04.06.2026

A.No. 314/25
Manju Grover Vs. MCD

04.06.2026

Present : Sh. G.R. Verma and Sh. H.K. Sharma and Ms. Sonu Lohiya, Ld counsels for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. Sanjeet Kumar, proxy counsel for Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, Ld. counsel for respondent joined through VC.

File is taken up today on an application of early hearing filed on behalf of the appellant.

It is stated that the existing construction in the property of the appellant bearing DDA flat no. B-163, Mount Kailash, East of Kailash, New Delhi, has been regularized vide order dated 22.05.2026. Copy of the regularization order and slip of deposit of regularization charges have been annexed with this application.

In view of this, the appellant submits that the appeal challenging the demolition order of this property has become infructuous.

Since, the existing construction has been regularized, the appeal has now become infructuous and stands disposed of accordingly.

The next date of hearing i.e. 13.07.2026 stands canceled.

...contd.2

: 2 :

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
04.06.2026

A.No. 641/25

04.06.2026

Present : Sh. Abdul Basit, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant in person.

File is taken up today on an application of appellant for urgent interim directions.

Issue notice of this application to all the respondents for the date fixed i.e. **05.08.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
04.06.2026

A.No. 293/26

04.06.2026

Present : Sh. Nitin Kumar, Ld. counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Sanjay Sethi, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC along with Sh. Manoj Kumar, AE(B) in person.

Record has already been deposited.

Ld. counsel seeks pass over in the matter as he is held up in traffic jam. In view of the heavy cause list, the pass over is not possible.

Put up for arguments on **09.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
04.06.2026

A.No. 301/26 & 302/26

04.06.2026

Present : Sh. G.R. Verma, Sh. H.K. Sharma and Ms. Sonu Lohia,
Ld counsel for the appellant.
Ms. Sidhi Arora, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal
no. 301/26. Memo of appearance filed.
Sh. Mukesh Sharma, Ld. counsel for the respondent in
appeal no. 302/26.

Joint status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.
Same is placed in appeal no. 301/26.

Record has already been deposited.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the
record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **14.08.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
04.06.2026

A.No. 359/26

04.06.2026

Present : Sh. Shivansh Srivastava, Ld counsel for the appellant.
None for the respondent/MCD.
Sh. Vijay Jha, Ld. counsel for the intervener along with
intervener Saroj in person.

File is taken up today on an application of urgent hearing filed on behalf of the appellant.

Issue notice of this application to the respondent/MCD with directions to visit the subject property bearing Flat no. C-42, Third Floor, East of Kailash, New Delhi and to file the status report about the present condition of the demolished portion at the roof of the property as the appellant has sought temporary covering of those punctured roof in this applications, for **02.07.2026**.

The copy of the order be sent along with notice to the concerned AE(B) for compliance.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
04.06.2026

A.No. 452/17 & 700/19

04.06.2026

Present : Sh. G.K. Kaushik, counsel for the appellant through VC along with appellant in person.
Sh. Jatin Aggarwal and Sh. Shivam Mudgal, Id. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 452/17.
None for the respondent in appeal no. 700/19.

The subject property falls in Resettlement colony known as Sunlight Colony – II, Ashram, New Delhi.

The respondent is directed to file the guidelines and the standard plan in respect of this Resettlement colony to show how many floors are permitted in the Resettlement Colony namely Sunlight Colony-II, Ashram, New Delhi, on the next date of hearing.

Put up for awaiting the such guidelines/plan and arguments on **05.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
04.06.2026

A.No. 461/17 & 500/17

04.06.2026

Present : Sh. Arjun Anand, Ld. counsel for the appellant along with appellant in person.
Sh. H.R. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Ms. Minakshi Kalra, Id. counsel for the intervener.

An application under Order VII rule 14 CPC filed by the appellant to place on record the additional documents is pending. Copy supplied.

Without prejudice to the rights of the respondent, the documents are taken on record. The application stands disposed of.

Part arguments heard at length.

At request, put up for further arguments on **28.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
04.06.2026

A.No. 309/20 & 240/22
Mona Rathore Vs. MCD

04.06.2026

Present : Ms. Parul Agarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld. counsel for the respondent in
appeal no. 309/20.
Sh. Paras Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent in
appeal no. 240/22 joined through VC along with Sh.
Saurabh Rana, Advocate in person.

It is stated by the ld. counsel for the appellant that she
has sent a notice on 21.05.2026 to the appellant to
withdraw her vakalatnama. Copy of the postal receipt
and track consignment filed along with copy of this notice.
The appellant has been duly informed about withdrawal
of her vakalatnama.

In these facts, Ms. Parul Aggarwal has discharged from
her vakalatnama.

Court notice has also been duly served on the appellant
on 14.05.2026.

Despite various calls none is appearing on behalf of the
appellant in the Tribunal or through VC.

Put up at 2.00 PM.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD/04.06.26

At 3.15 pm

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld. counsel for the respondent in
appeal no. 309/20. ,,contd.2

: 2 :

Sh. Paras Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 240/22 joined through VC along with Sh. Saurabh Rana, Advocate in person.

None has appeared on behalf of appellant since morning in the Tribunal or through VC despite various calls.

It is already 3.30 PM. It appears that the appellant is not interested in pursuing these appeals. The aforesaid appeals are dismissed in default.

Record of the respondent if any be returned alongwith copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
04.06.2026

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
04.06.2026

A.No. 153/21

04.06.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Arguments heard at length.

Put up for orders on **29.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
04.06.2026

A.No. 200/21
Prithvi Singh Vs. MCD

04.06.2026

Present : Sh. Amit Rathore, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Ms. Vasu Singh, Ld. counsel for the MCD. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Arguments heard. File perused.

The show cause notice dated 10.06.2021 was duly replied by the appellant on 18.06.2021 and the receipt of this reply has been admitted by the respondent in the status report dated 18.01.2024. The impugned demolition order dated 22.06.2021 is completely silent in respect of the reply.

The office record shows that the show cause notice dated 10.06.2021 was sent through speed post on 14.06.2021 and was delivered on 16.06.2021. Though, the period of 3 days to reply a show cause notice in itself is insufficient, even then, the period of 3 days has to be calculated from the date of service and not from the date mentioned on the notice.

The notice was served on 16.06.2021 and was duly replied within 3 days on 18.06.2021 and admittedly before the impugned demolition order dated 22.06.2021. Not only that the reply was not considered, the opportunity of personal hearing was not provided.

....contd.2

: 2 :

In these facts, the demolition order dated 22.06.2021 is set aside with directions to the respondents to pass a speaking order after considering the reply dated 18.06.2021 and documents, if any submitted by the appellant and after giving personal hearing to the appellant.

The appellant shall appear before the Quasi Judicial Authority on 01.07.2026 at 3.00 pm and the speaking order be passed within 6 weeks of conclusion of the hearing.

Appeal stands disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
04.06.2026

A.No. 23/22

Rajeev Kumar Vs. MCD

04.06.2026

Present : Sh. Sharvan Chouhan, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.

Sh. Mohit Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

1. Arguments heard on the application seeking condonation of delay in filing this appeal.
2. The appellant has challenged the sealing order dated 14.12.2010 in this appeal filed on 18.01.2022 and there is a delay of more than 11 years. The property was sealed on 14.12.2010 and the appellant had knowledge of the sealing order since then.
3. In the application seeking condonation of delay it is stated that the appellant could not approach this Tribunal earlier as he was visiting the office of the MCD to collect sealing order which was never served.
4. I have perused the record. The documents filed with this application show that the appellant filed applications/letters with the respondent on 16.03.2011, 14.07.2017 and there is no other document to show that he was approaching respondent to collect the sealing order. Even in these

Contd...2/-

: 2 :

letters the appellant did not request for the copy of the sealing order but requested for desealing of the property. The vacation notice was served on 04.01.2017 which has been filed with the appeal and even thereafter this appeal was not filed in time.

5. The delay in filing the appeal of more than 11 years is inordinate, unexplained and cannot be condoned. The application is dismissed, as a result the appeal is also dismissed. Sealing order is upheld.
6. Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
04.06.2026

A.No. 334/23 & 130/24

04.06.2026

Present : Sh. Shiv Charan Garg, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with appellant in person.
None for the respondent in appeal no. 334/23.
Ms. Praveen Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 130/24 joined through VC.

Part arguments heard.

At request, put up for further arguments on **21.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
04.06.2026

A.No. 47/23 (M)

04.06.2026

Present : Ms. Shreya Gupta, Ld.proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Sandeep Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent
joined through VC along with Sh. P.K. Chauhan, AE(B).

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel is not available today due to some personal difficulty. In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **20.08.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
04.06.2026

A.No. 764/23

04.06.2026

Present : Sh. Arun Verma, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Sanjeet Kumar, proxy counsel for Sh. Prithish Sabharwal, Ld. counsel for respondent joined through VC.

Fresh Vakalatnama filed on behalf of the appellant and adjournment sought on the ground that previous counsel has passed away and a new counsel has been engaged today only.

At request, put up for arguments on **07.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
04.06.2026

A.No. 85/24

04.06.2026

Present : Sh. Jitender Sharma and Sh. M.P Sharma, Ld counsels
for the appellant.

None for the respondent.

Sh. Tejram, Naib Nazir in person along with summoned
record from court no. 28. Record perused and returned.
He is discharged.

Part arguments heard.

Put up for further arguments on **07.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
04.06.2026

A.No. 303/24

04.06.2026

Present : Sh. Naveen K. Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Ms. Parveen Sharma, Ld. counsel for the respondent
joined through VC.

Part arguments heard.

Ld. counsel for the respondent seeks some more time to
advance further arguments.

At request, put up for further arguments on **06.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
04.06.2026

A.No. 93/24 (M)

04.06.2026

Present : Sh. R.K. Pandey with Ms. Shreya Gupta, Ld counsel for
the appellant.

Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Restoration cost has been deposited.

Let the appeal be restored to its original number.

At request, put up for arguments on appeal on
20.08.2026.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
04.06.2026

A.No. 840/24
Parveen Vs. MCD

04.06.2026

Present : Sh. M.N. Jha, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Ms. Vasu Singh, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Arguments heard. File perused.

This is an appeal challenging the sealing order dated 25.06.2024 passed in respect of the unauthorized construction of fourth floor at property no. 5182, Gali Sultan Yaar Khan, Ballimaran, Delhi-110006.

The appellant has assailed this order on the ground that the property was construction up to fourth floor when it was purchased by her on 07.02.2011 and she has not carried any further construction except of some repairs on her roof which was damaged as the building material of adjoining property, which was under construction, fell on the roof of the subject property.

Ld. counsel for the appellant has relied upon the certain property tax record to substantiate his contentions.

Ld. counsel for the respondent on the other hand has argued that even as per the sale deed dated 07.02.2011, the appellant purchased the third floor with roof rights which show that there was no constructions on the terrace of the third floor and any construction raised

....contd.2

: 2 :

thereafter without sanctioned building plan is unauthorized and is not protected under National Capital Territory of Delhi Laws (Special Provision) Second Amendment Act, 2011 as the cut off date for the relevant area is 08.02.2007.

I have perused the record.

The sale deed of the appellant *exfacie* show that there was no fourth floor in the property on 07.02.2011 as she purchased the third floor with roof rights. Further, on an application of the appellant moved before Assistant Assessor and Collector on 02.07.2024, an Assessment Order dated 23.07.24 was passed. In her application, the appellant claimed that the structure of the building was extended with fourth floor in the year 2011. The appellant herself admitted that the fourth floor was constructed in or after 2011. The subject property is in special area and the cut-off date for protection for unauthorized construction is 08.02.007 under National Capital Territory of Delhi Laws (Special Provision) Second Amendment Act, 2011.

In these facts, there are no merits in the appeal and same is dismissed. Sealing order is hereby upheld.

...contd.3

: 3 :

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
04.06.2026

A.No. 967/24
Kusumlata Vs. MCD

04.06.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. S. Adil Hussain, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Despite various calls none is appearing on behalf of the appellant in the Tribunal or through VC.
Put up at 2.00 PM.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD/04.06.26

At 3.00 pm

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. S. Adil Hussain, Ld counsel for the respondent.

None has appeared on behalf of appellant since morning in the Tribunal or through VC despite various calls. None had appeared on behalf of the appellant on the previous date as well.
It is already 3.00 PM. It appears that the appellant is not interested in pursuing this appeal. The present appeal is dismissed in default.
Record of the respondent if any be returned alongwith copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
04.06.2026

A.No. 76/25

04.06.2026

Present : Ms. Garima Saxena, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.

Ms. Rohini Pathani, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent/MCD.

Sh. K.D. Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent/DDA.

Arguments heard.

Put up for orders on **10.06.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
04.06.2026

A.No. 95/25 & 164/25

04.06.2026

Present : Sh. MPS Kasana and Ms. Nidhi Jindal, Ld. counsels for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 95/25.
Ms. Vasu Singh, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 164/25.
Applicant/Intervener in person along with her son.

Status report dated 17.04.2026 and 04.06.2026 filed by the MCD, copy supplied. It is stated that the status report dated 17.04.2026 could not be filed earlier inadvertently.

An application under Section 151 CPC filed by the appellant to place on record the additional documents in appeal no. 164/25. Without prejudice to the rights of the respondent, the documents are taken on record. The application stands disposed of.

Applicant/intervener has filed an application u/s 11 and 12 of the Contempt of Court Act in appeal no. 164/25. Copy supplied.

Arguments on maintainability of the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC heard. Even if it is presumed that all the averments made in the application is correct, then also in this proceeding between the appellant and the MCD the applicant has no right to participate and he cannot become a party as there is a clear-cut judgment of

...contd.2

: 2 :

Delhi High Court in case ***Hardayal Singh Mehta Vs MCD, AIR 1990 Delhi 170*** in which it is held that in the matter between the appellant and the MCD, no third person can join and become a party to such proceedings and in such proceedings the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC is not maintainable. Any dispute between the applicant and the appellant has to be dealt with and to be decided by the Civil Court separately. Accordingly, application moved by applicant under order 1 Rule 10 CPC is hereby dismissed. However, the applicant is permitted to file the documents, if any and to orally argue the matter at the final arguments stage.

At request made by Id. counsel for the appellant, put up for arguments on the aforesaid contempt application and appeal on **18.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
04.06.2026

A.No. 237/25

04.06.2026

Present :

Sh. G.R. Verma, Sh. H.K. Sharma and Ms. Sonu Lohia, Ld counsels for the appellant.

Ms. Rohini Pathani, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent/MCD.

Sh. Shahrukh Inam, Ld. counsel for the intervener joined through VC along with intervener in person.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Arguments on maintainability of the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC heard. Even if it is presumed that all the averments made in the application is correct, then also in this proceeding between the appellant and the MCD the applicant has no right to participate and he cannot become a party as there is a clear-cut judgment of Delhi High Court in case ***Hardayal Singh Mehta Vs MCD, AIR 1990 Delhi 170*** in which it is held that in the matter between the appellant and the MCD, no third person can join and become a party to such proceedings and in such proceedings the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC is not maintainable. Any dispute between the applicant and the appellant has to be dealt with and to be decided by the Civil Court separately. Accordingly, application moved by applicant under order 1 Rule 10 CPC is hereby dismissed. However, the applicant is permitted to file the documents, if any and to orally argue the matter at the final arguments stage.

....contd.2

: 2 :

Put up for arguments on **25.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
04.06.2026

A.No. 253/25

04.06.2026

Present : Sh. Chinmay Jain, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.

Sh. Anshul Bedi, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Arguments heard on the applications seeking condonation of delay of about 20 days in filing the aforesaid appeals. Though, there is no plausible explanation for condonation of delay, yet with an endeavour to decide the appeals on merits, the delay is condoned.

The applications stand disposed of.

At request, put up for arguments on appeal on 08.09.2026.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
04.06.2026

A.No. 378/25
Sh. Ashish Gupta Vs. MCD

04.06.2026

Present : Sh. Prem Shankar Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Ms. Vasu Singh, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that he has instructions from the appellant to withdraw the aforesaid appeals and he may be permitted to withdraw the aforesaid appeal.

Statement of Id. counsel for the appellant recorded separately to this effect.

In view of the statement made by the Id. counsel for the appellant, the aforesaid appeal stands disposed off as withdrawn.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
04.06.2026

A.No. 441/25

04.06.2026

Present : Sh. Amit Rana, Ld. counsel for the appellant.
Ms. Vasu Singh, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks permission to withdraw the aforesaid appeal and submits that as his vakalatnama is not on record, one short accommodation may be granted to him.

At request, put up for recording the statement of the appellant/his counsel qua withdrawal of the appeal on **05.06.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
04.06.2026

A.No. 838/25
Rahul Khatri Vs. MCD

04.06.2026

Present : Ms. Akansha Maheshwari, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that she has instructions from the appellant to withdraw the aforesaid appeals and she may be permitted to withdraw the aforesaid appeal.

Statement of Id. counsel for the appellant recorded separately to this effect.

In view of the statement made by the Id. counsel for the appellant, the aforesaid appeal stands disposed off as withdrawn.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
04.06.2026

A.No. 147/20 & 148/20

04.06.2026

Present : Ms. Parul Agarwal , Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal is allowed.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
04.06.2026

A.No. 625/23

04.06.2026

Present : Sh. Gagan Gandhi and Sh. Vijay Kumar, Ld counsels for the appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Written arguments filed. Copy already supplied.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal is disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
04.06.2026