

A.No. 492/26

05.06.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Rajat Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **13.07.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the demolition order dated 19.05.2026. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
05.06.2026

A.No. 494/26

05.06.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Suraj Lal, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **02.09.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. property no. 407-D, Part-II, Gadodia Road, Anand Parbat, New Delhi in pursuance of the demolition order dated 07.05.2026. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
05.06.2026

A.No. 495/26

05.06.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Mayur Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **29.07.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
05.06.2026

A.No. 496/26

05.06.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Amitesh Gaurav, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **20.07.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
05.06.2026

A.No. 498/26

05.06.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Suraj Kumar Modi, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

The aforesaid appeal is against the show cause notice dated 16.02.2026. The appellant claims that no demolition order has been served upon the appellant and threats are being given to demolish the property.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer for the limited aspect as to whether any demolition order has been passed or not in respect of the subject property. The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **12.06.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
05.06.2026

A.No. 499/26

05.06.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Ajay Kumar Yadav, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **28.07.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
05.06.2026

A.No. 501/26 & 502/26

05.06.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. R.P. Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **19.08.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
05.06.2026

A.No. 503/26

05.06.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Madhu Sudan Bhayana, Sh. Suresh Choudhari and Sh. Naveen, Ld counsels for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **14.09.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. B-318, Sunrise Apartment, Sector-13, Rohini, Delhi, in pursuance of the demolition order dated 15.01.2026. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
05.06.2026

A.No. 504/26

05.06.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Ms. Bandana Kaur, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **17.07.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
05.06.2026

A.No. 507/26
Rajat Jain and Anr. Vs. MCD

05.06.2026
Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Avinash Das, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Submissions heard. File perused.
Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.
The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.
Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **09.09.2026**.
Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. property no. E-96, E block, Preet Vihar, Delhi in pursuance of the demolition order dated 04.05.2026. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.
Copy of this order be given dasti, as requested.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
05.06.2026

A.No. 441/25
Jagdish Lal Vs. MCD

05.06.2026

Present : Ms. Neha Gund, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Ms. Vasu Singh, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that she has instructions from the appellant to withdraw the aforesaid appeal and she may be permitted to withdraw the aforesaid appeal.

Statement of Id. counsel for the appellant recorded separately to this effect.

In view of the statement made by the Id. counsel for the appellant, the aforesaid appeal stands disposed off as withdrawn.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
05.06.2026

A.No. 799/25
Nisha Sharma Vs. MCD

05.06.2026

Present : Sh. V.K. Mantoo, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Ms. Sunita Sevda, Ld counsel for the respondent along
with Sh. Rakesh Brijwal, EE (Bldg-II), City SP Zone.

The record has been produced and perused.

It is the grievance of the appellant that neither the show cause notice under Section 345-A DMC Act nor the sealing order was issued in the name of the appellant, who is the owner of the subject premises bearing shop no. 421, ground floor, Kucha Brijnath, Chandni Chowk, Delhi which at the relevant time was in occupation of her tenant M/s Wadhwa Texteb.

I have perused the record.

The show cause notice and sealing order were issued in the name of M/s Wadhwa Texteb and were served through pasting. The appellant thereafter filed writ petition before the Hon'ble High Court and the property was temporary desealed so that the tenant can remove his goods and was again resealed.

Since, the show cause notice and the sealing order were not issued in the name of the appellant who is the owner, nor were served upon her, the impugned sealing order dated 25.11.2024 is set aside with directions to the

....contd.2

: 2 :

respondents to pass a speaking order after considering the reply and documents to be submitted by the appellant and after giving personal hearing to the appellant.

Let the property be desealed within 2 weeks. The appellant however shall not part with possession nor shall create any third party interest in the subject property of any nature including license and lease till fresh speaking order is passed by the respondent.

The appellant shall appear before the Quasi Judicial Authority on 01.07.2026 at 3.00 pm and the speaking order be passed within 6 weeks of conclusion of the hearing.

The appeal stands disposed of.

Record of the respondent is returned today itself.

Appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
05.06.2026

A.No. 10/26 (M)
Sangeeta Sharma and Ors. Vs. MCD

05.06.2026

Present : Sh. Manoj Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh
Gupta, counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The property of the applicant has been regularized. The
prayer of the Misc. application stands satisfied.

Hence, the aforesaid Misc. Application stands disposed
of as satisfied.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with
copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record
room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
05.06.2026

A.No. 326/26

05.06.2026

Present : Sh. Shabnam, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Manish, Ld counsel for the intervener appellant joined through VC.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

An application under Order I rule 10 CPC has already been filed. Let the copy of the said application be supplied to the appellant as well as MCD.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Shahid Ahmad has met with an accident.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **24.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing. However, same shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
05.06.2026

A.No. 352/26
Aishwarya Chhabra and Ors. vs. MCD

05.06.2026

Present : Sh. Kartik Jasra and Sh. Nakul Khanna, Ld counsel for the appellant.

File is taken up today on an application of early hearing and another application seeking permission to remove the non-compoundable deviations filed on behalf of the appellant.

As per this application, the SHO concerned and some neighbours as well as official of MCD are not permitting the appellant to remove the non-compoundable deviations and the regularization application has been rejected on the ground of existence of non-compoundable deviation.

It is stated that the SHO is not permitting to demolish the non-compoundable deviations because of the work stop notice issued by the MCD and directions be issued to MCD and the concerned SHO to permit the appellant to demolish the non-compoundable deviations.

Issue notice of this application to the respondent for the date fixed.

In the meantime, it is directed that the SHO, PS Kirti Nagar shall not cause any hindrance in removal of non-compoundable deviations by the appellant in her property

....contd.2

: 2 :

bearing no. N-60 (Part), Kirti Nagar, New Delhi and shall also ensure that no such obstruction is caused by the neighbor or the persons living in the vicinity. The MCD is also directed to not to obstruct the demolish of non-compoundable deviations in the property.

The appellant however shall only demolish the non-compoundable deviations and will not raise any fresh construction in the property.

Copy of the order be given dasti to be served upon the SHO and MCD physically by the appellant.

The appellant shall be at liberty to apply afresh for regularization after demolishing the non-compoundable deviations.

Put up on the date fixed for the purpose fixed.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
05.06.2026

A.No. 437/26

05.06.2026

Present : Sh. G.S. Suhag, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Ms. Vasu Singh, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the respondent seeks some time to produce the record. Let the same be produced on or before the next date of hearing.

Put up for awaiting record and arguments on **11.06.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
05.06.2026

A.No. 485/26
Neeraj Kumar Gupta and Ors. vs. MCD

05.06.2026

Present : Sh. Virendra Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant.

File is taken up today on an application of early hearing filed on behalf of the appellant.

The next date of hearing in the matter is 03.07.2026. The appeal is of the year 2026. In view of the heavy pendency, no early hearing is possible. The application is dismissed.

Put up on the date fixed for the purpose fixed.

However, till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the demolition order dated 09.06.2025. However, it is made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected.

Copy of this order be given dasti, as requested.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
05.06.2026

A.No. 375/11, 374/11 & 394/13
05.06.2026

Present : Sh. Ashish Kumar Bhagat and Ms. Princy Chaudhary, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Ms. Rohini Pathania, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 349/13.
Sh. Ravi Ranjan, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC in appeal no. 375/11 & 374/11 through VC.
Sh. Manish Rajpal, ATP in person.

It is stated on behalf of the TP Department that regularization of the subject property cannot be done under PLP policy as only vacant lands are considered under this policy, whereas the subject property is a built up property.

Ld. counsel for the appellant has stated that the appellant has filed an application for rectification of the layout plan with Town Planning Department and that application is still pending.

ATP is directed to file the status report qua that rectification application with the Town Planning Department.

Let the ATP consider the application of the appellant for including the subject property in layout plan without the existing structure being demolished, however subject to an affidavit and undertaking to be given by the appellant that the appellant shall demolish the existing structure once the subject property is approved by the Standing Committee to be including in the layout plan.

Contd...2/-

: 2 :

Put up for awaiting the status report and arguments on
20.07.2026.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
05.06.2026

A.No. 555/18
Mohd. Ashraf Vs. MCD

05.06.2026

Present : None for the appellatant.
Sh. Mohit Sharma, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. R.K. Kashyap the respondent joined through VC.
Despite various calls none is appearing on behalf of the appellatant in the Tribunal or through VC.

Put up at 2.00 PM.

(AMIT KUMAR)

Addl. District & Sessions Judge

P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD/05.06.26

At 2.45 pm

Present : None for the appellatant.
Sh. Mohit Sharma, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. R.K. Kashyap the respondent joined through VC.

None has appeared on behalf of appellatant since morning in the Tribunal or through VC despite various calls. None had **appeared on behalf of the appellatant on the previous date** as well.

It is already 2.45 PM. It appears that the appellatant is not interested in pursuing this appeal. The present appeal is dismissed in default.

Record of the respondent if any be returned alongwith copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)

Addl. District & Sessions Judge

P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD

05.06.2026

A.No. 284/22

05.06.2026

Present : Ms. Dolly Verma, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Sanjay Sethi, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.
Sh. Shlok Saini, Ld. proxy counsel for the private respondent no. 2, 3 and 4 joined through VC.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel is not available today due to some personal difficulty.

In the interest of justice one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **20.08.2026**.

There is no protection in the matter.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
05.06.2026

A.No. 174/23

05.06.2026

Present : Ms. Seema Issar, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. Anubhav Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

Part arguments heard.

At request, put up for further arguments on **30.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
05.06.2026

A.No. 395/23, 401/23 & 402/23

05.06.2026

Present : Appellant Deepak Manmohan (who is also an advocate) in person in appeal no. 395/23 and he is also counsel in appeal no. 401/23.
Sh. Pawan Kumar Sharma, Sh. Malay Swapnil and Ms. Bhumika Aggarwal, Id. counsels for the appellant in appeal no. 402/23 along with appellant in person. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record
Sh. Anupam Sharma, Id counsel for the respondent through VC.

The Registry did not issue the bail-able warrants of the SDM as per the order dated 23.04.2026. Let the same be issued in terms of the previous order for **10.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
05.06.2026

A.No. 764/24

05.06.2026

Present : Sh. Ajit Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Sanjeet Kumar, proxy counsel for Sh. Prithish Sabharwal, Ld. counsel for respondent.

Certified copies of the documents filed by the appellant.

Copy placed on record.

The appellant has also filed list of judgment with judgments. Copy placed on record.

The office record of the MCD has not yet been deposited in this case. Let the same be deposited on order before the next date of hearing.

Part arguments heard.

Put up for further arguments on **25.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
05.06.2026

A.No. 803/24

Smt. Neelu Goyal & Ors. Vs. MCD

Present : None for the parties.

Despite various calls none is appearing on behalf of the appellant in the Tribunal or through VC.

Put up at 2.00.PM.

(AMIT KUMAR)

Addl. District & Sessions Judge

P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD

05.06.2026

At 2.55 PM

Present : None for the parties

None has appeared on behalf of appellant since morning in the Tribunal or through VC despite various calls. None had appeared on behalf of the appellant on 16.01.2026 as well.

It is 2.55 PM. It appears that the appellant is not interested to pursue this appeal. The present appeal is dismissed in default.

Record of the respondent if any be returned alongwith copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)

Addl. District & Sessions Judge

P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD

05.06.2026

A.No. 817/24

Anjana & Ors. Vs. MCD

05.06.2026

Present : Sh. Varun Bala, Ld. counsels for the appellant.
Sh. Mohit Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.
Sh. Anand Kumar Pandey, Ld. Legal aid counsel for the intervener along with intervener Sh. Prabhu Dayal Singh.

Reply filed by the appellant to the application of the intervener under order 1 rule 10 CPC along with documents. Copy supplied.

Arguments on maintainability of the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC heard. Even if it is presumed that all the averments made in the application is correct, then also in this proceeding between the appellant and the MCD the applicant has no right to participate and he cannot become a party as there is a clear-cut judgment of Delhi High Court in case ***Hardayal Singh Mehta Vs MCD, AIR 1990 Delhi 170*** in which it is held that in the matter between the appellant and the MCD, no third person can join and become a party to such proceedings and in such proceedings the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC is not maintainable. Any dispute between the applicant and the appellant has to be dealt with and to be decided by the Civil Court separately. Accordingly, application moved by applicant under order 1 Rule 10

Contd...2/-

: 2 :

CPC is hereby dismissed. However, the applicant is permitted to file the documents, if any and to orally argue the matter at the final arguments stage.

Put up for arguments on appeal on **21.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
05.06.2026

A.No. 32/25

05.06.2026

Present : Sh. S.P. Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant.
None for the respondent.

None has appeared for the respondent despite repeated calls since morning.

No adverse order is being passed today.

One last and final opportunity is granted to the parties to address arguments on the application seeking condonation of delay on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **25.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
05.06.2026

A.No. 336/25

05.06.2026

Present : Sh. Pawan Soni, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant
along with appellant.
Sh. Sagar Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Record has not been deposited by the MCD.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as
main counsel is not available today due to some personal
difficulty.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted
to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for awaiting record and arguments on **09.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
05.06.2026

A.No. 402/25
Amit Kumar Kumra Vs. MCD

05.06.2026

Present : Sh. Puru Saroha, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Sagar Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Arguments heard on the appeal.

The appellant whose concern is the first floor of the property no. 37-A, Block A, Ramdutt Enclave, Uttam Nagar, New Delhi has challenged the demolition order dated 27.05.2025 on several grounds including a non-speaking order.

The impugned demolition order dated 27.05.2025 records that the reply received but found not satisfactory. It is a non-speaking order and violates the directions of the Hon'ble High Court in the case of **Jaspal Singh Jolly Vs MCD 125 (2005) DLT 592** wherein it has been held that the impugned order saying reply was unsatisfactory is bad in law and is liable to be quashed. The impugned order does not disclose the grounds or the reasons on which the reply was found unsatisfactory. The respondent being a Quasi Judicial Authority is required to pass speaking order to make the affected person aware about the reasons of the impugned order.

...contd.2

: 2 :

In these facts, the demolition order dated 27.05.2025 is set aside with directions to the respondents to pass a speaking order after considering the reply and documents submitted by the appellant and after giving personal hearing to the appellant.

The appellant shall appear before the Quasi Judicial Authority on 01.07.2026 at 3.00 pm and the speaking order be passed within 6 weeks of conclusion of the hearing.

Appeal stands disposed of .

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
05.06.2026

A.No. 443/25

05.06.2026

Present : Sh. Krishan Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC along with Sh. Vaibhav Sanwal, Advocate in person.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to go through the detailed status report filed today.

At request, put up for arguments on **04.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
05.06.2026

A.No. 494/25, 515/25 & 777/25

05.06.2026

Present : Ms. Priyanka Ld counsel for the appellant through VC
Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent
along in appeal no. 494/15 and 515/25. Memo of
appearance filed in appeal no. 515/25.
None for the respondent in appeal no. 777/25 (as notice
has not yet been issued)

Submissions heard.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that she does not
want to amend the appeal no. 777/25.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to
the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer
and also to the private respondent(s), if any, in appeal no.
777/25.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the
presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in
person along with the record of the proceedings, status
report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and
appeals on **07.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
05.06.2026

A.No. 736/25

Smt. Saroj Devi Vs. MCD

05.06.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Sagar Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Despite various calls none is appearing on behalf of the appellant in the Tribunal or through VC.

Put up at 2.00.PM.

(AMIT KUMAR)

Addl. District & Sessions Judge

P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD

05.06.2026

At 2.50 PM

Present : None for the appellant.

Sh. Sagar Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

None has appeared on behalf of appellant since morning in the Tribunal or through VC despite various calls. None had appeared on behalf of the appellant on 12.05.2026 as well.

It is 2.50 PM. It appears that the appellant is not interested to pursue this appeal. The present appeal is dismissed in default.

Record of the respondent if any be returned alongwith copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)

Addl. District & Sessions Judge

P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD

05.06.2026

A.No. 831/25

05.06.2026

Present : Mohd. Amaan Shoaib, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Sagar Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel, who was present in the court in the morning hours, but has left the court due to ill health.

In the interest of justice, one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **06.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
05.06.2026

A.No. 881/25

05.06.2026

Present : Sh. Neeraj Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Sagar Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Rakesh Kumar, JSA.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **17.08.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
05.06.2026

A.No. 122/26

05.06.2026

Present : Sh. Jatin Arora, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Ld. Proxy counsel for the private respondent no. 2 to 7

Written arguments filed on behalf of the appellant. Copy supplied.

Oral arguments heard for the appellant and respondent/ MCD.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the private respondents as main counsel Sh. Dalip Rastogi is out of town.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the private respondents to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **14.07.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
05.06.2026

A.No. 201/26

05.06.2026

Present : Ms. Mehak Sharma, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Kamal Kishore Okharwal, Ld counsel for the
respondent joined through VC.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as
main counsel Sh. Satyakam Saini is held up in some
other court.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted
to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **19.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
05.06.2026

A.No. 488/24 & 2/25

05.06.2026

Present : Sh. B.S. Mathur and Sh. Rajat Mathur, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC along with Sh. Vaibhav Sanwal, Advocate in person in appeal no. 488/24.
Ms. Rohini Pathania, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 2/25.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the aforesaid appeals are disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
05.06.2026

A.No. 893/24 & 894/24

05.06.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh
Gupta, counsel for the respondent.

Vide separate common judgment of even date, the
present appeals are dismissed.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with
copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record
room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
05.06.2026