

A.No. 505/26

08.06.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Samaan Vardhan Gautam, Ms. Khushi Sharma and Sh. Priyam Tiwari, Ld counsels for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **10.06.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
08.06.2026

A.No. 508/26

08.06.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Girish Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **15.07.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
08.06.2026

A.No. 509/26

08.06.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Hemant Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **03.07.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
08.06.2026

A.No. 510/26

08.06.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Sunil Choudhary, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

No case for interim protection is made out.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **06.07.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
08.06.2026

A.No. 496/17 & 738/17

08.06.2026

Present : Sh. Sheetesh Khanna, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the3 respondent.

It is stated by the Id. counsel for the respondent/MCD that the property tax record pertaining to the Ward where the subject property is situated was destroyed in a fire and no property tax record of the subject property is available.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that the appellant shall make an effort to trace the record with the erstwhile owners as MCD today has stated that no such record is available.

The appeals are pending since last 9 years.

At request, put up for arguments on **03.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
08.06.2026

A.No. 487/24

08.06.2026

Present : Sh. Deepat Vuttsya, Ld counsel for the appellant.

File is taken up today on an application of early hearing filed on behalf of the appellant.

Let notice of this application be issued to the respondent for **21.07.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
08.06.2026

A.No. 283/26

08.06.2026

Present : Sh. Dinesh Kumar Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. K.K. Sharma, AE(B).

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry.

Arguments heard on the applications seeking condonation of delay in filing the aforesaid appeal. The subject property was sealed on 23.10.2025 and this appeal has been filed on 02.04.2026 and there is a delay of around more than 5 months.

As per the appellant, her husband is have paralysis and is confined to bed since 2023 which resulted in delay. In these facts, the delay is condoned subject to deposit a cost of Rs. 5,000/- to be deposited with the Registry.

The applications stand disposed of.

At request, put up for arguments on appeal on **24.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
08.06.2026

A.No. 298/26

08.06.2026

Present : Sh. Kanwal Chaudhary and Sh. Ankit Kumar, Ld counsels for the appellant.  
None for the respondent.  
Sh. Prashant Batra, Id. counsel for the proposed intervener.

Record has already been submitted. It can be inspected by the Id. counsel for the appellant.

None has appeared for the respondent despite repeated calls. No adverse order is being passed today.

Put up for arguments with connected appeals on **29.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
08.06.2026

A.No. 358/26

08.06.2026

Present : Sh. Bhavya Sethi, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Sh. Abhishek, son of the appellant in person.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. K.K. Sharma, AE(B).

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied to son of the appellant.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that the property has been temporary desealed by an order of Quasi Judicial Authority and let the same be kept desealed till the disposal of this appeal.

That temporary desealing was done to enable the appellant to remove the perishable goods from the sealed premises and same cannot be extended till disposal of this appeal.

Let the property be resealed as per the orders of the temporary desealing passed by Quasi Judicial Authority.

Put up for arguments on the appeal on **19.08.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
08.06.2026

A.No. 361/26

08.06.2026

Present : Sh. Sahib Gurdeep Singh and Simran, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with appellant.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Some time sought to produce record by the next date of hearing.

Let the same be produced by the next date of hearing.

The appellant has prayed for temporary desealing to remove his goods.

Let the property be temporarily desealed for 72 hours from 09.06.2026 at 2.00 pm to 12.06.2026 at 2.00 pm to enable the appellant to remove his goods from the property and same be resealed immediately on 12.06.2026 at 2.00 pm.

The appellant during this period shall not part with the possession to any other person nor will create any third party interest in the subject property.

Put up for arguments on the appeal on **02.09.2026**.

Copy of this order be given dasti, as requested.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
08.06.2026

A.No. 416/26

08.06.2026

Present : Ms. Pariksha Sahay, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.  
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent/MCD along with Sh. Jitender Dabas, AE(B). Memo of appearance filed.  
Sh. Prabhat Ranjan, Ld. counsel for the respondent no. 4 and 5.  
None for the other respondents.

Reply to the appeal as well as interim application with documents filed on behalf of the respondent no. 4 and 5. The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry.

Part arguments heard on the interim application.

The proposed lift site shall block the passage on the rear side of the appellant's flat and the appellants shall not be able to access to their flats from this passage.

Till next date of hearing, the respondents are restrained from raising any further construction in respect of the lift at the proposed site. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order(s) passed by Hon'ble High Court and Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.

...contd.2

: 2 :

Notices to the respondent no. 2, 3, 6 and 7 not received back. Issue fresh notices to them for the next date of hearing.

Put up for appearance of other respondents and arguments on **24.07.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
08.06.2026

A.No. 202/17

08.06.2026

Present : Sh. Kapil Tiwari, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.

Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

Put up for further arguments on **31.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
08.06.2026

A.No. 229/17, 230/17, 231/17, 232/17, 373/23, 374/23, 375/23 & 376/23

08.06.2026

Present : Sh. Hemant Sharma, Ld counsels for the appellant through VC in appeal no. 230/17, 231/17, 374/23 & 376/23. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record  
Ms. Shipra Bhuttan, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellants in other appeals along with appellant Sh. Krishan Kumar and Sh. Mahesh Kumar in person.  
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 229/17.  
Ms. Kanta Chaudhary, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 231/17 and 232/17 joined through VC.  
Ms. Praveen Sharma, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 230/17 joined through VC.  
Sh. Vijay Tyagi, Ld. counsel for the MCD Advocate for MCD in Appeal no. 373/2023 to 376/2023 joined through VC.

Part arguments heard.

The appellants wants to get the construction regularized as per the building bye-laws and pray that the property be temporary desealed to enable them to demolish the non-compoundable deviations.

The appellants are required to give separate undertaking that they will not create any third party interest in the property nor will part away with the possession of the property nor will any unauthorized construction in the property during the period of temporary desealing.

...contd.2

: 2 :

On request, put up for recording this undertaking on **31.07.2026.**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
08.06.2026

A.No. 556/22 & 557/22

08.06.2026

Present : Sh. Satvinder Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. H.R. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.  
Ms. Medhavi, Ld. proxy counsel for the intervener/  
applicant Mohd. Zubair.

Arguments on maintainability of the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC heard. Even if it is presumed that all the averments made in the application is correct, then also in this proceeding between the appellant and the MCD the applicant has no right to participate and he cannot become a party as there is a clear-cut judgment of Delhi High Court in case ***Hardayal Singh Mehta Vs MCD, AIR 1990 Delhi 170*** in which it is held that in the matter between the appellant and the MCD, no third person can join and become a party to such proceedings and in such proceedings the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC is not maintainable. Any dispute between the applicant and the appellant has to be dealt with and to be decided by the Civil Court separately. Accordingly, application moved by applicant under order 1 Rule 10 CPC is hereby dismissed. However, the applicant is permitted to file the documents, if any and to orally argue the matter at the final arguments stage.

...contd.2

: 2 :

Part arguments heard.

As per the demolition order, the unauthorized construction in the shape of deviations and excess coverage against sanctioned building plan 09.02.2011 from stilt to third floor and unauthorized construction at fourth and fifth floor were booked. The appellant claims that the conversion charges were paid vide receipt dated 26.02.2011.

MCD is directed to produce the file of sanctioned building plan of this property to ascertain whether the sanction was accorded for a residential building or for a commercial building.

Further, let the status report be also filed in respect of the receipt dated 26.02.2011 at page-220 of this appeal as to under which head these conversion charges were received and whether the building has now been permitted to be used for commercial purpose after deposited of these charges, on next date of hearing.

Further, vide status report dated 10.01.2023 it was stated that fourth and fifth floor booked for unauthorized construction has now been demolished.

The concerned AE(B) is directed to file photographs of this property to show the existence of construction on the fourth and fifth floor of the property as on today.

...contd.3

: 3 :

Put up for further arguments on **19.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
08.06.2026

A.No. 329/23

08.06.2026

Present : Sh. Arjit Benjamin and Ms. Kashish Jain, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Ms. Praveen Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.  
Ms. Surabhi Arora, Ld. proxy counsel for the intervener joined through VC.

Previous cost has not yet been deposited.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that he will deposit the cost today itself. Let the same be done today itself.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the intervener as the main counsel is out of town.

At request, put up for arguments on **02.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
08.06.2026

A.No. 417/23

08.06.2026

Present : Sh. Suraj Kumar Modi, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.  
Sh. Umesh Burnwal, Ld. counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that he has recently been engaged in the matter and has already applied for regularization of the subject property and needs some time to go through the record and to advance arguments.

At request, put up for arguments on **28.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
08.06.2026

A.No. 201/24

08.06.2026

Present : Sh. G.R. Verma, Sh. H.K. Sharma and Ms. Sonu Lohia,  
Ld counsels for the appellant along with appellant.  
None for the respondent.

None has appeared for the respondent despite repeated calls since morning.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Fresh notice be issued to the MCD for ensuring the presence of the counsel on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **30.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
08.06.2026

A.No. 1023/24

08.06.2026

Present : Sh. Neeraj Yadav, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant  
joined through VC.  
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel is not available today due to bereavement in the family.

In the interest of justice one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **08.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
08.06.2026

A.No. 242/25

08.06.2026

Present : Sh. Tejas Gupta, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as the appellant intends to engage a senior counsel to argue this matter and senior counsels are not available because of summer vacations.

Same is strongly opposed as the appellant has been seeking adjournments since last two dates on the ground of counsel being held before the Hon'ble High Court.

Though the counsel is available today and stated to be in Extension Block, but he has no instructions to argue as a Senior counsel is to be engaged.

In the interest of justice, one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter subject to deposit a cost of Rs. 5,000/- to be deposited with the Registry.

Put up for arguments on **14.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
08.06.2026

A.No. 618/25  
Sajjida Begum Vs. MCD

08.06.2026

Present : None for the appellant.  
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Despite various calls none is appearing on behalf of the appellant in the Tribunal or through VC.

Put up at 2.00 PM.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD/08.06.26

At 2.35 pm  
Present :

None for the appellant.  
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

None has appeared on behalf of appellant since morning in the Tribunal or through VC despite various calls. None had appeared on behalf of the appellant on the previous two consecutive dates as well.

It is already 2.35 PM. It appears that appellant is not interested in pursuing this appeal. The present appeal is dismissed in default.

Record of the respondent if any be returned alongwith copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
08.06.2026

A.No. 753/25

08.06.2026

Present :

Sh. Samaan Vardhan Gautam, (appeared through VC) along with Ms. Khushi Sharma and Sh. Priyam Tiwari, Ld counsels for the appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent.

An application filed by the appellant to place on record the additional documents. Copy supplied.

Without prejudice to the rights of the respondent, the documents are taken on record. The application stands disposed of.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as he want to inspect or obtain certified copies of the MCD record.

Same is opposed as there was sufficient time since last date to do the needful which was more than 4 months before.

In the interest of justice, subject to a cost of Rs. 5,000/- to be deposited with the Registry of this Tribunal, one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **11.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
08.06.2026

A.No. 790/25

08.06.2026

Present : Ms. Vasu Gupta, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.  
Ms. Sidhi Arora, Ld counsel for the respondent.

It is stated by the Id. counsel for the respondent that the medical documents filed by the appellant on the basis of which condonation of delay has been sought are not legible from page no. 65 to 81 of the appeal.

Let the legible copies be supplied to the Id. counsel for the respondent today itself.

At request, put up for arguments on the pending application(s) as well as appeal on **22.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
08.06.2026

A.No. 794/25

08.06.2026

Present : Sh. Sushil Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Shiv Chopra, proxy counsel for Sh. Pritish Sabharwal,  
Ld. counsel for respondent.  
Sh. Rudrashish Bhardwaj, Ld. counsel for the intervener.

Arguments on maintainability of the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC heard. Even if it is presumed that all the averments made in the application is correct, then also in this proceeding between the appellant and the MCD the applicant has no right to participate and he cannot become a party as there is a clear-cut judgment of Delhi High Court in case ***Hardayal Singh Mehta Vs MCD, AIR 1990 Delhi 170*** in which it is held that in the matter between the appellant and the MCD, no third person can join and become a party to such proceedings and in such proceedings the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC is not maintainable. Any dispute between the applicant and the appellant has to be dealt with and to be decided by the Civil Court separately. Accordingly, application moved by applicant under order 1 Rule 10 CPC is hereby dismissed. However, the applicant is permitted to file the documents, if any and to orally argue the matter at the final arguments stage.

...contd.2

: 2 :

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the respondent as the main counsel is not available today due to some personal difficulty.

At request, put up for arguments on **29.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
08.06.2026

A.No. 807/25  
Naresh Devi Vs. MCD

08.06.2026

Present : None for the appellant.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Despite various calls none is appearing on behalf of the appellant in the Tribunal or through VC.

Put up at 2.00 PM.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD/08.06.26

At 2.45 pm  
Present :

None for the appellant.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

None has appeared on behalf of appellant since morning in the Tribunal or through VC despite various calls. None had appeared on behalf of the appellant on the previous date i.e. 10.04.2026 as well.

It is already 2.45 PM. It appears that appellant is not interested in pursuing this appeal. The present appeal is dismissed in default.

Record of the respondent if any be returned alongwith copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
08.06.2026

A.No. 52/26

08.06.2026

Present : Sh.Surender Kumar Bhasin and Sh. Sanjay Kumar Ld  
counsel for the appellant along with appellant.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

At request, put up for further arguments on **31.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of  
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
08.06.2026

A.No. 72/26

08.06.2026

Present : Sh. Ashok Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent along  
with Sh. B.K. Sah, EE(B)-I and Sh. G.S. Meena, AE(B).

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

As per this status report, the Second floor of the property  
is having protection from this Tribunal in appeal no.  
446/25. Copy of the order dated 09.07.2025 passed in  
that appeal has been annexed.

Put up this appeal with that appeal bearing no. 446/25 on  
**03.08.2026.**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of  
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
08.06.2026

A.No. 101/26

08.06.2026

Present : Sh. Sumit Gaba, Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

It is stated by the Id. counsel for the appellant that the certified copies applied on 13.05.2026 has not yet been provided to him and he will argue once the certified copies be received.

At request, put up for arguments on **11.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
08.06.2026

A.No. 105/26

08.06.2026

Present : Sh Ayush Gupta. , Ld counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent along  
with Sh. Dharmbir Singh, consultant, NDMC  
Ms. Harsha Sadhwani, Ld. counsel for the intervener.

Record has already been submitted.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the  
record and to argue the matter.

It is further stated that no reply is to be filed to the  
application of the intervener.

Ld. counsel for the intervener seeks time to argue on this  
application.

At request, put up for arguments on the pending  
application(s) as well as appeal on **08.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of  
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
08.06.2026

A.No. 257/26

08.06.2026

Present : Sh. Nakul Chaudhary, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel is not available today due to some personal difficulty.

In the interest of justice one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments on the maintainability of the appeal.

Put up for arguments on maintainability of the appeal on **14.08.2026.**

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
08.06.2026

A.No. 473/13, 1014/13, 1015/13 & 1016/13

08.06.2026

Present : None for the appellant.  
Sh. Dharmvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 473/13.  
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent/MCD in appeals no. 1014/13, 1015/13 & 1016/13.  
Sh. Anupam Sharma, Ld. counsel for the respondent/ DDA.

Written arguments filed on behalf of the DDA.

Vide separate judgment of even date, all the aforesaid appeals are allowed.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal files be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)  
Addl. District & Sessions Judge  
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD  
08.06.2026