

A.No. 439/26
Neelam Kohli Vs. MCD

09.06.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Dr. Gaurav Manuja, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.

Submissions heard. File perused.

The aforesaid appeal is against the show cause notice dated 02.04.2026, which is not appealable before this Tribunal.

At this stage, Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks permission to withdraw the aforesaid appeal.

At the oral request made by the Id. counsel for the appellant through VC, the appeal is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to challenge the demolition/ sealing order as per law.

Appeal stands disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
09.06.2026

A.No. 506/26

09.06.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Vikas Chhabra, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **06.10.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the projection on the Govt. Land on each floor in the back lane of property no. D-55, Naraina Vihar, Delhi in pursuance of the demolition order dated 15.04.2026. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
09.06.2026

A.No. 511/26

Gurmeet Kaur Vs. MCD

09.06.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Sohaib Khan and Sh. S.K. Dhaka, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **11.09.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. bearing no. 806, Chhattarpur Pahadi, 100 foota Road, New Delhi in pursuance of the demolition order dated 09.09.2025. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected.

....contd.2

: 2 :

The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question. MCD is at liberty to take action in pursuance to this demolition order against property no. 805, Chhattarpur Pahadi, 100 foota Road, New Delhi

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
09.06.2026

A.No. 512/26 : Monika Mittal Vs. MCD
A.No. 513/26 : Rajesh Mittal Vs. MCD

09.06.2026

Fresh appeals received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Rohit Sharma along with Sh. Nalin Yadav, Ld counsel
for the appellants.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to
the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer
and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the
presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in
person along with the record of the proceedings, status
report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal
on **30.09.2026**.

Concerned AE(B) and EE(B) are directed to remain
present in the court on the date fixed.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken
against the properties of appellants in pursuance of the
impugned rejection order(s). This interim order shall not
come in the way of any order/directions passed by the
Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of

...contd.2

: 2 :

India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
09.06.2026

A.No. 514/26 & 515/26
Made Easy Education Pvt. Ltd. Vs. MCD

09.06.2026

Fresh appeals received. These be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Ayush Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Copy of vacation notices dated 03.06.2026 filed today in both the appeals.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **07.08.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellants i.e. forming part of the khasra no. 340/250, (measuring 2118 sq. yards) Saidulajab Extension, Western Marg, Mehrauli, New Delhi in pursuance of the impugned demolition and sealing order. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High

....contd.2

: 2 :

Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
09.06.2026

A.No. 424/23

Ashutosh Goswami Vs. MCD

09.06.2026

Present : Sh. Mayank Rustagi, Sh. Prem Singh and Ms. Prakriti Kapoor, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Sh. Vijay Tyagi, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC along with Sh. Pradeep Kumar, AE(B), Shahdara South Zone.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

1. This is an application seeking early hearing on behalf of the appellants. As per this application, the respondent has taken partial demolition action on the third floor on 11.05.2026 and therefore early hearing is required for the interim application. In facts early hearing is allowed.
2. Arguments heard on the interim application.
3. The appellants have sought interim protection of the third floor on the ground that the show cause notice dated 14.06.2023 and the demolition order dated 04.07.2023 are silent in respect of the portion of the property which is covering the road widening area at the third floor of the property of the appellants bearing no. D-53, Khasra No. 460, Aruna Park Shakarpur Extension, Delhi which is a three side open property and in the absence of any specific portion which is coming in the road widening area, the impugned

Contd...2/-

: 2 :

order is liable to be stayed till disposal of this appeal. It was argued that show cause notice is devoid of material particulars and is liable to be set-aside. Ld counsels for the appellants has placed reliance on following judgments: -

- a) Amrit Foods Vs. Commissioner of Central Excise, U.P. (2005) 13 SCC 419.
 - b) A.P. Enterprises Vs. Sales Tax Officer Class-II/Avato, Ward-83, Zone-7. 2024 SCC Online Del 5465.
 - c) Naresh Kumar & Co. Pvt. Ltd. Vs. Union of India & Ors. 2013 SCC Online Cal 23124.
 - d) Oryx Fisheries Pvt. Ltd. Vs. Union of India & Ors. (2010) 13 SCC 427.
 - e) Masonic Club Vs. MCD & Anr. 2000 (55) DRJ 602.
 - f) A.K. Trakru Vs. MCD 2005 SCC Online Del 297.
 - g) Sundardas Vs. Union of India & Anr. 2011 (5) Mh. L.J. 225.
 - h) Jaspal Singh Jolly Vs. MCD 2005 SCC Online Del 1187.
4. Ld counsel for the respondent/MCD on the other hand has argued that the sanctioned building plan was obtained under 'Saral Scheme' and on inspection it was noticed that there is unauthorized construction at stilt, ground, first and second floor in the shape of

Contd...3/-

: 3 :

excess coverage and covering of road widening area. The same was booked on 17.11.2022 and demolition order dated 13.12.2022 was passed and thereafter sealing order was passed and the property was sealed at entrance at two points on 18.01.2023. The appellants tempered with seal on 24.01.2023 which was again resealed on 20.02.2023. The appellants have already filed an appeal against the demolition order dated 13.12.2022. This Tribunal vide order dated 07.06.2023 passed in appeal no. 63/23 has stayed that demolition order with liberty to MCD to take action, if the appellant raise further construction. The appellants continued to raise construction and during inspection on 14.06.2023, the third floor with excess coverage was found to be raised and the demolition order dated 04.07.2023 was passed and sealing proceedings were also initiated. The appellants continued with unauthorized construction despite directions of the Court and therefore is not entitled to any interim relief. Further, one Sourabh Gupta filed Contempt Petitions before Hon'ble High Court and High Court vide order dated 21.05.2026 directed the respondent to immediately demolish the

Contd..4/-

: 4 :

unauthorized construction and therefore the demolition action was taken. Copy of the order dated 21.05.2026 passed in that contempt application has been placed on record.

5. I have perused the record. The MCD initially booked unauthorized construction in the shape of excess coverage from stilt to second floor on 17.11.2022 and passed the demolition order dated 01.12.2022. This Court gave interim protection on 07.06.2023 in appeal no. 63/23 filed against that order. The appellants despite this first booking, continued the unauthorized construction which was again booked vide show cause notice dated 14.06.2023 and the impugned demolition order dated 04.07.2023 was passed. The appellants did not stop their act of raising unauthorized construction despite first booking of stilt to second floor. They took law in their hands by continuing with unauthorized construction and now are challenging the said order on technical grounds despite knowing that the construction raised by them is not in accordance to sanctioned building plan. There is no prime-facie case in favour of the appellants. The interim application is dismissed.

Contd...5/-

: 5 :

6. Nothing said hereinabove shall tantamount to have any expression on the merits of the case.

Put up for arguments on date already fixed i.e. **28.07.2026.**

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
09.06.2026

A.No. 1070/24

09.06.2026

Present : Sh. Gaurav Singhal, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Parmeshwar Dayal, Licensing Inspector, MCD
Shahdara South Zone, in person.

The official of the MCD seeks time to trace and produce
the record.

At request, put up for further proceedings on **21.07.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
09.06.2026

A.No. 15/26 (M)

09.06.2026

Present : Sh. Sagar Chauhan, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

File is taken up today on an application of early hearing.

The restoration application is still pending.

No early hearing is made out. The application is dismissed.

Put up on the date fixed i.e. **08.07.2026** for the purpose fixed.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
09.06.2026

A.No. 284/26

09.06.2026

Present : Sh. Rahul Dhama, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. R.K. Jain, Ld counsel for the respondent along with
Sh. Harjeet Singh, AE(B), in person. Copy of
Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with
Registry.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to go through the
status report and to inspect the record and to argue the
matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **11.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
09.06.2026

A.No. 19/26 (M)

09.06.2026

Present : Sh. Ayan Dasgupta, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
None for the respondent.

This is an application seeking compliance of the order dated 16.03.2026. Notice has been duly served on the respondent on 27.04.2026, but none is present for the respondent.

Issue show cause notice to the Dy. Commissioner through CLO with directions to the DC to be present in the court with explanation as to why the order dated 16.03.2026 passed in appeal no. 248/25 titled as 'Meetu Jain Vs. MCD' has not been complied with, for **01.07.2026**.

Copy of this order be also sent with the show cause notice for the date fixed.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
09.06.2026

A.No. 335/26

09.06.2026

Present : Sh. Laksya Yadav, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent along
with Sh. S.P. Dabas, AE(B), KPZ.
Sh. Abhishek Grover, Ld. counsel for the intervener.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

An application under Order I rule 10 CPC along with
certain documents filed on behalf of the proposed
intervener. Copy supplied.

Reply, if any, to this application be filed with advance
copy to the other party.

Record has already been submitted and it can be
inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as
main counsel Sh. Mohit Chaudhary is out of country.
Same is opposed.

Today's date was fixed in the presence of Sh. Mohit
Chaudhary and he should have informed this court that
he will not be available today. The grounds for
adjournment are not plausible. However, in the interest
of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant
to address the arguments in the matter subject to cost of
Rs. 10,000/- to be deposited with Registry.

...contd.2

: 2 :

Put up for arguments on the application under Order I rule 10 CPC as well as appeal on **21.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
09.06.2026

A.No. 343/26

09.06.2026

Present : Sh. Amitanshu Satyarthi, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant along with appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. K.K. Sharma, AE(B).

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Record has already been submitted. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Arguments heard on the interim application.

The office record shows that the unauthorized construction alleged to be raised by the appellant at his second floor flat starts from the first floor going up to the third floor having different owners for the first and third floor flat, but no action has been taken against the first and third floor whereas the selective action has been taken against the second floor of the appellant.

In these facts, except of the balcony at the second floor flat of the appellant visible in photograph at page no. 19/C of the office record, the impugned demolition order is stayed in respect of flat no. S-14, Turkman Gate, Delhi. MCD shall be at liberty to demolish this balcony.

At request, put up for arguments on the appeal on **09.10.2026.**

...contd.2

: 2 :

Let the MCD to file the status report for any action being taken against the first floor which is below the flat of the appellant and the third floor which is above the flat of the appellant on the date fixed.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
09.06.2026

A.No. 370/26

09.06.2026

Present : Ms. Sana Ansari, Ld counsel for the intervener/applicant.

File is taken up today on an application of early hearing filed on behalf of the intervener.

The next date of hearing in the matter is 21.09.2026. The appeal is of the year 2026. In view of the heavy pendency, no early hearing is possible. The application is dismissed.

Put up on the date fixed for the purpose fixed.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
09.06.2026

A.No. 378/26

09.06.2026

Present : Sh. Piyush Chhabra, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. R.M. Jha, Ld. counsel for the respondents no. 2 to 5.

Reply along with documents filed on behalf of the respondent no. 2 to 5. Copy supplied.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Appellant has filed an application to add additional grounds and facts to the appeal along with some photographs and fresh vakalatnama.

Let the hard copy of this application as requested be supplied to ld. counsel for the respondent no. 2 to 5, who seeks time to file the reply after receiving the application.

Reply, if desired, be filed within 6 weeks after receiving the copy with advance copy to the other side.

Put up for arguments on all the pending applications as well as appeal on **24.08.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
09.06.2026

A.No. 406/26

09.06.2026

Present : Sh. Rajat Sang, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Anuj Kumar Garg and Sh. Pulkit Arora, Ld. counsels
for the proposed intervener. Fresh Vakalatnama filed,
same is taken on record.

An application under Order I rule 10 CPC along with
documents filed on behalf of the proposed intervener.
Copy supplied.

Reply, if desired, be filed to this application within 6
weeks with advance copy to the opposite side.

The appellant propose to demolish non-compoundable
deviations at the two floors which are not sealed by the
MCD. Let him to demolish the same within 4 weeks from
today and the demolition order is stayed till next date of
hearing with directions to MCD to file the status report on
the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on the pending application(s) and
appeal with connected appeal on **07.08.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
09.06.2026

A.No. 438/26

09.06.2026

Present : Sh. S. Surender and Sh. Lakshit Raj Gaur, Ld counsels
for the appellant.
Sh. Umesh K. Burnwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Time sought to produce the record.

Let the same be produced on or before the next date of
hearing.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken
against the property of appellant i.e. 41-E, Ashoka
Avenue, Sainik Farm, New Delhi-110062 in pursuance of
the impugned order. This interim order shall not come in
the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble
High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.

It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public
land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise
any further construction in the property in question.

Put up for awaiting the record and arguments on
04.08.2026.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
09.06.2026

A.No. 27/26 (M)

09.06.2026

Present : Sh. Alamgir, Ld counsel for the appellant.

This is an application seeking restoration of the appeal.
Let notice of this application be issued to the respondent
for **18.08.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
09.06.2026

A.No. 142/14 & 143/14

09.06.2026

Present : Sh. Rahul Kumar, Ld. counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Nilesh Sahwney, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Yashpal, AE(M), NDMC and Sh. Dharmbir Singh, Consultant, NDMC.

Photographs along with calculation already existing in the office record at page no. 99 filed by the respondent. Copy supplied.

Further arguments heard.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks liberty to file the written arguments. Let the same be done with advance copy to the other side.

Put up for further arguments on **03.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
09.06.2026

A.No. 150/22

09.06.2026

Present : Sh. Manu Sisodia, Ld counsel for the appellant.
None for the respondent/MCD.
Sh. Rupesh Sharma, Ld. counsel for the intervener along with intervener.

Arguments on maintainability of the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC heard. Even if it is presumed that all the averments made in the application is correct, then also in this proceeding between the appellant and the MCD the applicant has no right to participate and he cannot become a party as there is a clear-cut judgment of Delhi High Court in case ***Hardayal Singh Mehta Vs MCD, AIR 1990 Delhi 170*** in which it is held that in the matter between the appellant and the MCD, no third person can join and become a party to such proceedings and in such proceedings the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC is not maintainable. Any dispute between the applicant and the appellant has to be dealt with and to be decided by the Civil Court separately. Accordingly, application moved by applicant under order 1 Rule 10 CPC is hereby dismissed. However, the applicant is permitted to file the documents, if any and to orally argue the matter at the final arguments stage.

...contd.2

: 2 :

None has appeared for the respondent despite repeated calls since morning.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Fresh notice be issued to the MCD for ensuring the presence of the counsel on the next date of hearing.

At request, put up for arguments on **28.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
09.06.2026

A.No. 724/22, 725/22 & 726/22

09.06.2026

Present : Sh. Deepak Tyagi, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. H.R. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Davinder N. Grover and Sh. Nakul Nagar, Ld. counsel for the intervener along with intervener.

It is stated for the appellant that the sole appellant has passed away and an application is to be moved to implead her legal heirs.

Ld. counsel for the intervener submits that the appellant passed away three months before and the application should have been filed by now and the only intention is to delay the appeal.

At request, put up for further proceedings awaiting such application on **01.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
09.06.2026

A.No. 94/23

09.06.2026

Present : Ms. Somya Sharma, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Shashi Pratap Singh, Ld counsel for the respondent
joined through VC along with Ms. Anamika and Sh.
Dharmbir Singh, Consultant, NDMC.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as
main counsel Sh. Rishabh Yadav is held up before the
Saket District Court.

Same is opposed.

In the interest of justice one last opportunity is granted to
the appellant to address the arguments in the matter
subject to cost of Rs. 5,000/- to be deposited with the
Registry of this Tribunal.

Put up for arguments on **02.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
09.06.2026

A.No. 756/24

09.06.2026

Present : Sh. Vikas Goel, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An application seeking waiver of cost is filed on behalf of the appellant. No ground is made out for waiver of cost. Let the same be deposited before the next date of hearing.

There is a delay in filing this appeal of about 40 days and no application has been filed seeking condonation of delay.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to move that application. Let the same be filed within 4 weeks with advance copy to the Ld. counsel for respondent.

Put up for arguments on such application on **18.09.2026**.
Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
09.06.2026

A.No. 611/25

09.06.2026

Present : Sh. K.P. Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant along with son of the appellant.
Sh. Sagar Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

It is stated by the Ld. counsel for the respondent that regularization application of the appellant was rejected on 29.09.2025. The appellant claims that he was never communicated about this rejection order.

Let the record of the regularization file be produced on the next date of hearing.

Put up for awaiting such file and arguments on **11.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
09.06.2026

A.No. 631/25

09.06.2026

Present : Sh. Sanjay Kathuria, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.

Sh. Dharmvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks adjournment on the ground that he has been recently engaged and will file vakalatnama within a week.

Reply to the application seeking condonation of delay filed on behalf of the respondent. Copy placed on record. Same can be collected.

Put up for arguments on the said application as well as appeal on **13.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
09.06.2026

A.No. 795/25 & 796/25

09.06.2026

Present : Sh. Rajiv Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Shubham Bajaj, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that appellant wants to file PTR of first and second floor to show that the construction is protected under National Capital Territory of Delhi Laws (Special Provision) Second Amendment Act, 2011. Let the same be so filed.

Put up for further arguments on **16.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
09.06.2026

A.No. 859/25

09.06.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Registry was directed to tag the record of the appeal no. 153/19 with this file. Same has not been done.

Let the same be complied with before the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **09.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
09.06.2026

A.No. 290/26

09.06.2026

Present : Sh. Vikas Khatri, Ld counsel for the appellant along with some of the appellant.
Sh. Varun Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent/MCD.
Sh. Shreshta Jain and Ms. Suksham Kwatra, Ld. counsels for the respondents no. 3, 4, 6 and 7 along with respondents no. 3 and 4 along with President of the Society.
Sh. Satender Kumar, Ld. counsel for the respondent no. 5 joined through VC.

Reply filed on behalf of the respondent no. 7. Copy supplied.

Arguments heard at length.

Put up for orders on **12.06.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
09.06.2026

A.No. 341/26

09.06.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. V.K Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC along with Sh. Subodh Hathwal, AE(B) Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy placed on record.
Same be collected by the Id. counsel for the appellant.

Record has already been submitted and it can be inspected.

None has appeared for the appellant despite repeated calls since morning.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Put up for arguments on **15.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
09.06.2026

A.No. 381/26

09.06.2026

Present : Sh. R.S. Shukla, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent along
with Sh. Hemant Singh Negi, AE(B).

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with
Registry.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as
main counsel Sh. Rajesh Bhatia is held up in some other
court.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted
to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **28.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
09.06.2026

A.No. 383/26, 384/26 & 385/26

09.06.2026

Present : Sh. Dushyant Bhargava, Ld. counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with appellant Md. Noor Alam and Md. Aftab in person.
Sh. Kamal Kishore Okharwal, Ld counsel for the respondent. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

On request of Ld. counsel for the appellant matter is passed over for 2.00 PM

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
09.06.2026

At 2.20 PM

Present: Appellant Md. Noor Alam and Md. Aftab in person.
Sh. Kamal Kishore Okharwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Despite awaiting till 2.20 PM Ld. counsel for appellant has not turned up.

At this stage, appellants request for short adjournment.

Put up for arguments on **25.08.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
09.06.2026

A.No. 351/18, 352/18, 290/18, 291/18 & 292/18

09.06.2026

Present : Sh.Satendra Sharma , Ld counsel for the appellant in appeal No.352/18.

Appellants in person in appeal Nos. 351/18, 290/18, 291/18 & 292/18

Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 351/18 & 352/18.

Sh. H. R. Aggarwal, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 290/18.

Sh. S. Adil Hussain, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 291/18 & 292/18.

Vide separate common judgment of even date, all the aforesaid appeals are disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeals files be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
09.06.2026