

A.No. 546/26

06.07.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. S.K. Karn, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **09.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.07.2026

A.No. 547/26

06.07.2026

Fresh case received. It be checked and registered.

Present : None for the appellant.

File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **21.08.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.07.2026

A.No. 564/26

06.07.2026

Fresh case received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Himanshu Jawa, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

This appeal has been filed against the demolition order as well as against the order of rejection of regularization application, which is not maintainable against both the reliefs and separate appeal for each relief is required to be filed.

At this stage, Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that he does not press this appeal against the order of rejection of regularization application and this appeal may be treated only against the demolition order and he be given liberty to file separate appeal against that rejection order.

In view of the submissions made by Id. counsel for the appellant, this appeal is treated only against the demolition order. Appellant is at liberty to file appeal against the rejection order as per law.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

...contd.2

: 2 :

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **24.09.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken in respect of rooms at third floor of property no. WZ-14, Gali no. 16, Sant Garh, Khyala, New Delhi of appellant in pursuance of the demolition order dated 22.06.2026. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.07.2026

A.No. 565/26, 566/26 and 567/26
Veena Dang and Ors. vs. MCD

06.07.2026

Fresh appeals received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellants.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **29.09.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the properties of appellant(s) i.e. property bearing no. WZ-25 and WZ-25-A (bearing plot no. C-2 and C-3), Khasra no. 1527, Ratan Park, New Delhi, in pursuance of the demolition order dated 11.05.2026. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)

Addl. District & Sessions Judge

P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD/06.07.2026

A.No. 437/23

06.07.2026

Present : Sh. Taranjit Singh Sawhney, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Ranjeet Padey, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

It is submitted by the Id. counsel for the parties that proceedings have been stayed by the Hon'ble High Court in W.P.(C) no. 9808/2025 and now the matter is fixed before the Hon'ble High Court for 27.08.2026.

In view of above, be awaited for further orders on **13.10.2026.**

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.07.2026

A.No. 1010/24

06.07.2026

Present : Sh. Parveen Suri, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Ms. Jasleen Kaur, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the respondent submits that she has not received the copies of the two applications filed by the appellant seeking early hearing as well as amendment in the appeal.

Though the same were sent along with the notice yet let the soft copy of the same be supplied to the Id. counsel for the respondent on her mobile no. 7827377312.

Reply to the aforesaid application, if desired be filed within 4 weeks with advance copy to the opposite side.

Put up for reply, if any as well as arguments on the said application(s) on **18.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.07.2026

A.No. 332/25

06.07.2026

Present : Sh. Vijay Sehgal, Ld counsel for the appellant along with
Sh. Manish Babbar.

File is taken up today on application of early hearing as well as application for desealing and contempt filed on behalf of the appellant.

Issue notice of these applications to the respondent with directions to the Dy. Commissioner concerned to be present in the court with explanation on the date fixed i.e.

31.07.2026.

Copies of the aforesaid applications as well as copy of this order be sent along with the notice to the respondent for necessary compliance.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.07.2026

A.No. 639/25

06.07.2026

Present : Sh. Puneet Srivastava, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent.
Ms. Chetna, Ld. counsel for the respondent no. 2. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Ld. counsel for R-2 seeks copy of the paper-book and status report, if any filed by the MCD. Copy of the paper-book is supplied today in the court. Let the status report be supplied by the MCD.

Let the reply, if any, be filed within 4 weeks with advance copy to the Id counsel for the appellant.

Record has not been produced by the MCD despite directions.

Let the EE concerned be present in the court with explanation and record on the next date of hearing.

Put up for awaiting record and arguments on **11.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.07.2026

A.No. 188/26

Raj Rani Jain and Ors. Vs. MCD

06.07.2026

Present : Appellant no. 3 in person.
Ms. Jyoti Kumar, Ld. proxy counsel for Ms. Harita Mehta,
counsel for the respondent along with Sh. S.P. Dabas,
AE, KPZ (Building Department)

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

As per the status report, the property of the appellant has
now been regularized.

In view of the above, now nothing remains in the appeal
and it has become infructuous and stands disposed of
accordingly.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with
copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record
room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.07.2026

A.No. 237/26

06.07.2026

Present : Sh. Rajesh Kumar Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Shivang Chaturvedi, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Jitender Kumar Jha, Id. counsel for the respondent no. 2
along with respondent no. 2.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

R-2 seeks two days time to file the hard copy of the reply with vakalatnama. Let the same be so filed. Advance copy of the reply is supplied to the Id. counsel for the appellant.

As per the office record, an opportunity of personal hearing was granted to the appellant vide letter issued on 27.02.2026 for 10.03.2026 when the appellant did not appear. The appellant claims that this letter dated 27.02.2026 was never received by them.

MCD/respondent is directed to file documents to show that by which mode and when, the letter dated 27.02.2026 was served upon the appellant, on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **13.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.07.2026

A.No. 295/26

06.07.2026

Present : Sh. Puneet Kumar, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.
Mohd. Uwaiz, Ld counsel for the respondent along with
Sh. B. Srinivas, AE(B).

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with
Registry. It can be inspected by the counsel for the
appellant.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as
main counsel Sh. Tarun Garg is not available today due
to bad health.

In the interest of justice one last and final opportunity is
granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the
matter.

Put up for arguments on **09.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.07.2026

A.No. 510/26

06.07.2026

Present : Sh. Sunil Chaudhary, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent.

An application u/s 151 CPC filed by the appellant to place on record the additional documents.

Without prejudice to the rights of the respondent, the documents are taken on record. The application stands disposed of.

Respondent seeks some time to file the record.

At request, be awaited for record and arguments on **18.08.2026.**

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.07.2026

A.No. 292/20

06.07.2026

Present : Sh. Lalit Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Sagar Dhama, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

Arguments heard at length.

Put up for orders on **10.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.07.2026

A.No. 192/20

06.07.2026

Present : Ms. Sapna Gupta, Id. proxy counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC along with Sh. Shiv Chopra, Adv.

Previous cost has not yet been deposited.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks two days' time to deposit the cost. An adjournment is also sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Amit Gupta is not available today due to some personal difficulty.

In the interest of justice one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **07.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.07.2026

A.No. 727/14 & 752/14

06.07.2026

Present : Sh. Lakshya, Ld counsel for the appellant in appeal no. 727/14 along with appellant.
Sh. Himanshu Wadhwa, Id. counsel for the appellant in other appeal bearing no. 752/14 along with appellant.
Sh. Nilesh Sawhney, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Ashok Kumar, ASO, NDMC.
Sh. Sanjay Aggarwal and Sh. Ankit Gupta, Ld. counsels for the respondent no. 2.

Both the appellants seek two month's time to pay the misuser charges and undertaking to stop the misuse.

The appellants are given one last and final opportunity to pay the misuser charges on or before the next date of hearing, failing which appeals shall be heard on merits and it is made clear that no further opportunity shall be granted in this regard.

Put up for further proceedings/arguments on **14.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.07.2026

A.No. 161/18

06.07.2026

Present : Sh. Chirag Jamwal, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ranjeet Pandey, Ld counsel for the respondent
joined through VC.
Sh. Manish Choudhary, Ld. counsel for the respondent
no. 2 to 5 in person along with respondent no. 5 through
VC .

Vide order dated 30.01.2025, MCD was directed to file sanctioned building plan of 1990 and regularization building plan of 2018. More than one and a half years have passed, yet the counsel seeks some more time to file these documents.

Let notice be issued to the Dy. Commissioner concerned to be present in person with documents as well as explanation for not producing the documents till date on the next date of hearing.

Put up for further proceedings/arguments on **20.08.2026**.
Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.07.2026

A.No. 504/18 & 513/18

06.07.2026

Present : Sh. Abhishek Chandel, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ravi Ranjan , Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 504/18 joined through VC.
Sh. Ranjeet Pandey, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 513/18 joined through VC.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as husband of the main counsel has suffered with facial paralysis and she is disturbed because of that.

The appeals pertain to the year 2018. However, in the interest of justice, one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing

Put up for arguments on **09.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.07.2026

A.No. 758/18
Manohar Mani Vs. NDMC

06.07.2026

Present : Ms. Anisha Banerjee, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Sanjay Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent along
with Sh. Ashok Kumar, ASO, NDMC.
None for the applicant HPCL.

1. Two applications one under Order I rule 10 CPC filed by HPCL and another application under Order VI rule 17 CPC filed by the appellant are pending.
2. None is appearing for the intervener/HPCL since last five years. The application under Order I rule 10 CPC is dismissed for non-prosecution.
3. Now coming to the application of the appellant under Order VI rule 17 CPC, the appellant through this application intends to correct the date of show cause notice from 05.09.2018 to 05.10.2018 and it is stated that because of inadvertent error, the wrong date was mentioned. Further, another prayer challenging the demolition order dated 12.11.2018 is to be added since that order was passed subsequent to filing of this appeal on 10.10.2018.
4. Same has been opposed by the respondent on the ground that this application seeking amendment was

Contd...2/-

: 2 :

filed on 17.07.2023 whereas the demolition order is of 12.11.2018 and an application seeking amendment of a time barred relief cannot be entertained.

5. Ld counsel for the appellant has argued that this Court passed status quo order on 28.11.2018 and for that reason, the counsel did not advice this amendment earlier and the delay was on account of legal advice given by the previous counsel to the appellant and therefore there is no delay and if there is a delay, the same should be condoned.
6. I have perused the record. As far as the amendment seeking correction in the date of show cause notice is concerned, the same is formal amendment and is allowed. The date of show cause notice be read as 05.10.2018 instead of 05.09.2018 wherever mentioned in the appeal. Coming to the second amendment seeking amendment in the prayer clause to challenge the demolition order dated 12.11.2018, this application was filed on 17.07.2023 after a delay of more than 4 years from the date of order. The record filed by MCD show that the demolition order was served personally under his signature upon the appellant on 12.11.2018 itself. This Court passed

Contd...3/-

: 3 :

status quo order on 28.11.2018 which was only in respect of not to demolish the property. The demolition order dated 12.11.2018 was already passed and was duly served upon the appellant. The appellant did not choose to amend the appeal for more than 4 years and filed this application when the remedy was already time barred. An amendment seeking a time barred relief cannot be allowed more so when no plausible ground is mentioned. The legal advice given by counsel is no ground to condone the delay. This amendment seeking prayer to challenge the demolition order is barred by limitation and cannot be allowed. The application seeking amendment in the prayer clause to the effect of challenging the demolition order dated 12.11.2018 is rejected.

7. The only prayer now left in this appeal is a challenge to the show cause notice dated 05.10.2018. The show cause notice is not appealable and therefore this appeal challenging the show cause notice is not maintainable. Even otherwise, it is not disputed by the appellant that he is no longer in possession of the kiosk measuring 8 X 6 feet at HPCL Petrol Pump, Niti Marg, New Delhi.
8. In these facts, the present appeal is dismissed being not maintainable.

Contd...4/-

: 4 :

9. Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.07.2026

A.No. 114/20

06.07.2026

Present : Appellant in person.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Appellant submits that he is not aware about the authority in whose name the misuser charges are to be deposited and he has brought the misuser charges amount in cash today in the court.

It is stated by the Id. counsel for the MCD that a DD can be prepared in the name of 'Commissioner MCD'.

The appellant is directed to bring the DD of the said misuser charges in the name of 'Commissioner MCD' for an amount of Rs. 1,20,000/- on **09.07.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.07.2026

A.No. 270/22

06.07.2026

Present : Ms. Vinita Khanna for the appellant SPA holder.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent/MCD.
Sh. Paras Jain, Ld. counsel for the respondent no. 6 joined through VC.
Sh. Subhash Chawla, Ld counsel for the respondent no. 7.
Ms. Aditi Gupta, Id. counsel for the respondent no. 8.
Memo of appearance filed.
None for other respondents.

An application under Order XLI rule 27 CPC filed by the respondent no. 7 to place on record the additional documents. Copy supplied.

Appellant has no objection if these documents are taken on record.

Without prejudice to the rights of the parties, the documents are taken on record. The application stands disposed of.

Appellant has also filed original regularization plan dated 26.07.2021. Copy has already been supplied to all the respondent. Same is taken on record.

Ld. counsel for R-6 seeks two days' time to file reply as same could not be signed by the respondent no. 6, who was out of country. Let the same be filed within a week with advance copy to the appellant.

...contd.2

: 2 :

Ld. counsel for R-8 also seeks copy of the appeal and also some time to file reply. Hard copy of the appeal supplied without annexures. Let the soft copy of the annexures be supplied through e-mail to the counsel for the appellant, who has shared her email address with the appellant.

Let the reply be filed within 4 weeks by the R-8 with advance copy to the appellant.

No further opportunity shall be given to the respondent for reply.

Put up for arguments on **08.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.07.2026

A.No. 772/23, 774/23, 775/23 & 776/23

06.07.2026

Present : Sh. Sumit Gauba and Ms. Chandrika Samwal, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Sh. Ranjeet Pandey, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

Part arguments heard.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that he applied for certified copy of the record in some other file, which is tagged with appeal no. 772/23 and seeks some time to take the certified copy of the record.

Let the same be applied and obtained before the next date of hearing.

At request, put up for further arguments on **15.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.07.2026

A.No. 638/24

06.07.2026

Present : Sh. Sunil Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the respondent as main counsel Sh. Ashutosh Gupta is not well today.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the respondent to address the arguments in the matter on the next date of hearing.

At request, put up for arguments on **13.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.07.2026

A.No. 652/24

06.07.2026

Present : Sh. R.K. Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Sanjay Sethi, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Appellant has filed copy of the status report filed by the MCD in a Civil Suit relating to the ground floor. Copy supplied.

Arguments heard at length.

Put up for orders on **11.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.07.2026

A.No. 934/24

06.07.2026

Present :

Sh. Ashish Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.

Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

The appellant has relied upon one collaboration agreement dated 02.08.2006 relating to construction of the fourth and fifth floor which have been booked by the MCD as well as an notice of Assessment and Collection Department dated 09.11.2006 relating to additions/ alteration of the fourth and fifth floor of property no. 29, Shivaji Marg, New Delhi.

The appellant is directed to produce the property tax receipts vide which the property tax of the fourth and fifth floor as mentioned in this notice dated 09.11.2006 was paid, on next date of hearing.

The appellant is also directed to produce the proof of the payment made to the builder namely Vijay Kumar as agreed in the collaboration agreement dated 02.08.2006 on next date of hearing.

MCD is directed to produce the property tax record of the fourth and fifth floor of this property no. 29, Najafgarh Road, Shivaji Marg, New Delhi on the next date of hearing.

....contd.2

: 2 :

Put up for further arguments on **02.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.07.2026

A.No. 146/25

06.07.2026

Present : Sh. Nitin Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. Adarsh Kumar Lohia, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, counsel for the respondent along with Sh. S.P. Dabas, AE-IV, KPZ, Building Department.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

As per this report, the ground and first floor have also been booked and demolition order has also been passed against both the floors, but action could not be taken due to non-availability of the police force.

Ld. proxy counsel for the respondent seeks pass over in the matter as the main counsel is held up before the Hon'ble High Court. In view of the heavy cause list, the pass over is not possible.

At request, put up for arguments on **24.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.07.2026

A.No. 8/25 (M) : Appeal no. 748/23
Sutapa Barik and Anr. vs. MCD

06.07.2026

Present : Sh. Vasu Dev, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. Adarsh Kumar Lohia, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, counsel for the respondent.

Cost has now been deposited and the appeal is restored to its original number i.e. appeal no. 748/23.

At this stage, Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that he has instructions from the appellant to withdraw the aforesaid appeal and he may be permitted to withdraw the aforesaid appeal with liberty to file the fresh appeal.

Statement of Id. counsel for the appellant recorded separately to this effect.

In view of the statement made by the Id. counsel for the appellant, the aforesaid appeal stands disposed off as withdrawn with liberty as prayed subject to law of limitation.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.07.2026

A.No. 243/25

06.07.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
 Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh
 Gupta, counsel for the respondent.

None has appeared for the appellant despite repeated calls since morning.

However, in the interest of justice, one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on the next date of hearing subject to deposit of cost of Rs. 5,000/- with the Registry.

Put up for arguments on **14.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.07.2026

A.No. 387/25
Kulvinder Kaur Vs. MCD

06.07.2026

Present : Sh. Parmod Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Avishek Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent. Fresh
Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that he has
instructions from the appellant to withdraw the aforesaid
appeal and he may be permitted to withdraw the
aforesaid appeal.

Statement of Id. counsel for the appellant recorded
separately to this effect.

In view of the statement made by the Id. counsel for the
appellant, the aforesaid appeal stands disposed off as
withdrawn.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with
copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record
room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.07.2026

A.No. 492/25

06.07.2026

Present : Sh. G.D. Mishra, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with appellant in person.
Sh. Sanjay Sethi, Ld counsel for the respondent.

File is in an appeal stated to be filed by the appellant, which is now listed on 31.07.2026 before concerned Ld. Principal District & Sessions Judge, Delhi.
Be awaited for file for **18.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.07.2026

A.No. 497/25

06.07.2026

Present : Sh. Yogesh Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. B. Srinivas, AE(B) in person.

No counsel has yet been appointed in this case despite the service of notice twice on the MCD on 24.07.2025 and 21.05.2026.

At this stage, Sh. Atul Tanwar, Advocate appears and accepts the notice on behalf of the MCD.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **08.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.07.2026

A.No. 566/25

06.07.2026

Present : Sh. Javed Khan, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent.

The appellant has applied for certified copy of the record and documents directed to be supplied to the appellant by Hon'ble High Court and are likely to receive by him in two weeks and Id. counsel submits that he will be ready to argue the matter as and when he receives the complete record.

At request, put up for arguments on **07.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.07.2026

A.No. 591/25 & 734/25

06.07.2026

Present : Ms. Azka Ahmad, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 591/25
Sh. Sagar Kumar, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 734/25 joined through VC.

An adjournment is sought by ld. counsel for the appellant as she has been blessed with a child last month.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **13.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.07.2026

A.No. 608/25
Saima Begum Vs. MCD

06.07.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Atul Tanwar and Sh. Vaibhav Sanwal, Ld counsels
for the respondent.

Despite various calls none is appearing on behalf of the
appellant in the Tribunal or through VC.

Put up at 2.00 PM.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.07.2026

At 2.40 pm

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Atul Tanwar and Sh. Vaibhav Sanwal, Ld counsels for
the respondent.

None has appeared on behalf of appellant since morning
in the Tribunal or through VC despite various calls.
None had appeared on behalf of the appellant on the
previous date of hearing as well.

It is 2.40 PM. It appears that the appellant is not
interested in pursuing this appeal. The present appeal is
dismissed in default.

Record of the respondent if any be returned alongwith
copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record
room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.07.2026

A.No. 701/25

06.07.2026

Present : Sh. Vikram Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

As per the status report and photographs annexed with it, it is clear that appellant has reconstructed the demolished portion without any permission of this tribunal or Quasi Judicial Authority.

The appeal is liable to be rejected on this ground alone. However, in these facts, the interim order is hereby vacated to the extent that the MCD is directed to demolish the reconstructed portion.

Ld. counsel for the appellant however seeks time to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **06.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.07.2026

A.No. 766/25

06.07.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
 Sh. S. Adil Hussain, Ld counsel for the respondent.

None has appeared for the appellant despite repeated calls since morning.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Put up for arguments on **28.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.07.2026

A.No. 831/25

06.07.2026

Present : Mohd. Hasan, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Sagar Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent joined
through VC.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as
main counsel has met with an accident and is on wheel-
chair.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted
to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter
on next date of hearing.

At request, put up for arguments on **28.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.07.2026

A.No. 202/26 & 203/26

06.07.2026

Present : Sh. Charanpreet Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Sh. Akshat Chawla, Adv.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the respondent as main counsel Sh. Ashutosh Gupta is not available today due to bad health.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the respondent to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

At request, put up for arguments on **28.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.07.2026

A.No. 275/26

06.07.2026

Present : Sh. Ashwani Jha, Sh. Suraj and Ms. Kanchan Kumari Jha, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Sh. Atul Tanwar and Sh. Vaibhav Sanwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

As per the impugned order, the appellant has a sanctioned building plan obtained under Saral Scheme and the order says that the concerned AE(B) shall look into the aspect of sanctioned building plan.

Let the respondent apprise about the status of this sanctioned building plan obtained by the appellant under Saral Scheme and to file the status report in this regard on next date of hearing.

Put up for awaiting status report and arguments on
06.08.2026.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.07.2026

A.No. 296/26

06.07.2026

Present : Ms. Manmeet Kaur and Sh. Gurtej Pal Singh, Ld counsel
for the appellant.
Sh. Anupam Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent
joined through VC.

Arguments heard at length.

Put up for order on **07.07.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.07.2026

A.No. 162/25 & 648/25
Ravi Singhania Vs. MCD

06.07.2026

Present : None for the appellant in appeal no. 162/25.
 Sh. G.D. Mishra, Ld. counsel for the appellant joined
 through VC.
 Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent in
 appeal no. 162/25.
 Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh
 Gupta, counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 648/25.

Vide separate common judgment of even date, the
aforesaid appeals are dismissed.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with
copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record
room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
06.07.2026