

A.No. 573/26

08.07.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. M.K. Madan, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **07.10.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. Flat no. 9615, C-9, Vasant Kunj, New Delhi in pursuance of the demolition order dated 29.06.2026. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.07.2026

A.No. 575/26

Neelam Kohli Vs. MCD

08.07.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Dr. Gaurav Manuja, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **23.09.2026**.

The appellant is directed to file the copy of the sanctioned building plan on the date fixed.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant bearing no. C-3/309, Janak Puri, Delhi (except of the construction at the fourth floor) in pursuance of the demolition order dated 19.06.2026. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court

...contd.2

: 2 :

as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.07.2026

A.No. 577/26

08.07.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Gaurav Chauhan, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **03.08.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.07.2026

A.No. 649/25
Rajender Singh Vs. MCD

08.07.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that as the subject property has been regularized and the sanction letter for additions/alterations has also been issued by the MCD upon deposit of requisite charges, he has instruction from the appellant to withdraw the present appeal.

Statement of Id. counsel for the appellant recorded separately to this effect.

In view of the statement made by the Id. counsel for the appellant, the aforesaid appeal stands disposed off as withdrawn.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.07.2026

A.No. 727/25 & 486/26

08.07.2026

Present : Sh. P.S. Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 727/25.
Sh. S. Adil Hussain, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 486/26 joined through VC along with Ms. Rani Prajapati, Adv. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Status report is filed by the MCD in 486/26, copy supplied.

In appeal no. 727/25, the appellant along with early hearing application filed an application under Order VI rule 17 CPC seeking amendment in the appeal. Ld. counsel for the respondent submits that copy of the said application and proposed amended appeal and the documents filed along with, have not been supplied to him nor there is any application seeking condonation of delay in filing the original appeal.

The appellant claims that advance copy was supplied. However, to avoid any further delay, let the fresh copy of the said amendment application with all annexures be supplied to the counsel for the respondent within 2 days.

Reply to this application, if desired be filed with advance copy to the opposite side.

The appellant is further required to file application seeking condonation of delay in both the appeals.

...contd.2

: 2 :

Put up for reply and arguments on this application under Order VI rule 17 CPC as well as on the proposed application u/s 5 of Limitation Act (if any filed) on **17.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.07.2026

A.No. 15/26 (M)

08.07.2026

Present : Sh. Sagar Chauhan and Sh. Aditya Pratap, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal and Sh. Om Prakash, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsels for the respondent that only copy of the early hearing was provided and not copy of the restoration application. Let the same be provided today itself.

Put up for reply, if any and arguments on this application on **10.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.07.2026

A.No. 214/26 & 352/26

08.07.2026

Present : Ms. Parul Agarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Avishek Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record

Status report is filed by the MCD in both appeals, copy supplied.

It is stated for the appellant that non-compoundable deviations have already been removed and the appellant will apply for regularization afresh within 2 weeks from today.

Let the same be done and subject to outcome of that proposed regularization application, put up for arguments on **23.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.07.2026

A.No. 427/18

08.07.2026

Present : Ms. Sana Ansari, Ld counsel for the appellant.
None for the respondent.

An application under Order VI rule 17 CPC filed by the appellant seeking amendment in the prayer clause in respect of the address of the subject property is pending. As per this application, the appellant inadvertently mentioned the wrong address of the property as '834, Block F, Joshi Road, Karol Bagh', instead of correct address which is 'T-257, Sher Ka Panja, Quresh Nagar, Delhi-10006. Since the amendment sought is formal in nature, the same is allowed. The amended appeal is taken on record. The application stands disposed of.

At request, put up for arguments on the appeal on **01.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.07.2026

A.No. 607/19

08.07.2026

Present : Sh. Naveen Grover, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. H.R. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Arguments heard at length.

Put up for orders on **18.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.07.2026

A.No. 82/21

08.07.2026

Present : Sh. Sahil Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Saksham Aggarwal, Ld. Proxy counsel for the respondent no. 2.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the respondent no. 2 as main counsel Sh. Rajiv Dalal is not available today due to some personal difficulty.

Ld. counsel for the appellant also seeks some to inspect the record and to advance arguments.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the parties to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **23.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.07.2026

A.No. 37/22

08.07.2026

Present : Sh. Rambir Chauhan and Sh. Suresh Chander Nunwal,
Ld counsels for the appellant.
Sh. H.R. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Previous cost has not yet been deposited.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that the cost will be deposited today itself during the course of the day. Let the same be so done.

Ld. counsel for the appellant requests for some short accommodation to address arguments in the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **24.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.07.2026

A.No. 155/22, 193/22 & 246/22

08.07.2026

Present : Sh. Ashok Rajgopalan, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Adarsh Kumar Lohia, proxy counsel for Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, Ld. counsel for respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the respondent as main counsel Sh. Pritish Sabharwal is unable to join the VC due to heavy rain and poor internet connectivity.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the respondent to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **31.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.07.2026

A.No. 158/22

08.07.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Ms. Parveen Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Naresh K. Daksh, Ld. counsel for the intervener.
joined through VC.

Arguments heard at length.

Put up for orders on **12.08.2026**. Parties are at liberty to file the written submission/arguments, if desired with advance copy to the other side, at least a week prior to the date fixed.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.07.2026

A.No. 739/22

08.07.2026

Present :

Sh. Rajeev Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant no. 1 in person.

Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent no. 1.

Sh. Nishant Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent no. 2 to 4 joined through VC along with Sh. Ajay Jain.

Arguments heard on the application seeking condonation of delay of about 20 days in filing the aforesaid appeal. Though, no plausible reason is given to condone the delay, yet with an endeavour to decide the appeal on merits, the delay is condoned subject to deposit a cost of Rs. 2,000/- with the Registry of this Tribunal. The application stands disposed of.

Arguments heard on the application under Order VI rule 17 CPC filed by the appellant, who is seeking formal amendment in the provision under which this appeal has been filed. Instead of appeal u/s 343 (2) of DMC Act, the appellant wants to change is 347 of DMA Act. Since the amendment sought is formal and is not opposed, the same is allowed. The amended appeal is taken on record. The application stands disposed of.

Arguments on appeal heard at length.

Put up for orders on **13.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.07.2026

A.No. 755/22

08.07.2026

Present : Sh. Somnath Bharti, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with appellant in person.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Intervener in person.

Part arguments heard.

Put up for remaining arguments on **09.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.07.2026

A.No. 14/23

08.07.2026

Present : Sh. Bhaavan Mahajan, Ld. counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.
Regularization application of the appellant has been rejected on 22.07.2025.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Tushar Mahajan is not available today due to some personal difficulty.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **08.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.07.2026

A.No. 524/23

08.07.2026

Present :

Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Ranjeet Pandey, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

Sh. Rajiv Ahuja, intervener (who is also an advocate) joined through VC.

An application filed by Sh. Rajiv Ahuja, Advocate in his personal capacity under Order I rule 8-A CPC is pending. Arguments heard on this application.

The court is empowered to implead a person under Order I rule 8-A CPC if a question of law of Public interest is involved in the matter.

This is an appeal against a demolition order and no question of law as argued by the applicant of public interest is involved in this appeal. The application is dismissed.

Arguments heard at length.

Put up for orders on **14.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.07.2026

A.No. 536/23

08.07.2026

Present : Sh. Anish Chawla and Ms. Suhasini Singh, Ld counsels
for the appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld. counsel for the respondent.

Sh. Sanjeev Sindhvani, Ld. Senior counsel along with
Ms. Shalini Kapoor, Ms. Divyanshi Saxena and Sh. Udit
Bhatiani, advocates for the respondent no. 2.

Arguments heard at length.

Put up for orders on **17.08.2026**. Parties are at liberty to
file the written submission/arguments/case laws, if
desired, with advance copy to the other side, at least a
week prior to the date fixed.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.07.2026

A.No. 679/23, 680/23 & 340/25

08.07.2026

Present : Sh. Jaspreet Singh, Ld counsel for the appellants.
Ms. Praveen Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent in
appeals no. 679/23 and 680/23.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld. counsel for the respondent in
appeal no. 340/25.
Sh. Rajiv Sharma, Id. counsel for the intervener in appeal
no. 679/23 and 680/23.

Part arguments heard.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as
main counsel has suffered with a fracture.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted
to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **06.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.07.2026

A.No. 158/24
Rakesh Yadav Vs. MCD

08.07.2026

Present : Ms. Aditi Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that she has no instructions in the matter from the appellant.

Perusal of the record reveals that the appellant has been seeking repeated adjournments in the matter since last many dates.

It appears that the appellant is not interested in pursuing this appeal. Hence, the present appeal is dismissed in default as well as for non-prosecution.

Record of the respondent if any be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.07.2026

A.No. 407/24, 408/24 & 409/24,

08.07.2026

Present : Ms. Parul Agarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent.
None for the intervener.

The respondent seeks some more time to file the photographs of the sealing action taken on 03.11.2017 which was with the assistance of PS Kalyan Puri.

The then JE is also directed to be present in the court on the next date of hearing.

At request, put up for awaiting the said photographs and arguments on **22.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.07.2026

A.No. 603/24, 613/24 & 69/25

08.07.2026

Present : Sh. Rajesh Sharma, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant
along with appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as
main counsel Sh. Sunil Chauhan is stated to be held up
before Hon'ble High Court.

In the interest of justice, one last and final opportunity is
granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the
matter.

Put up for arguments on **14.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.07.2026

A.No. 683/24, 841/24 & 842/24

08.07.2026

Present : Sh. Saksham Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.
Sh. H.R. Aggarwal, counsel for the respondent in appeal No.683/24.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent 841/24 & 842/24.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that he has recently been engaged and seeks time to go through the record and seeks adjournment in the matter.

The counsel, whose vakalatnama is filed today, had already been appearing for the appellant in the matter earlier, however, in the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on the next date of hearing subject to cost of Rs. 2,000/- in each appeal to be deposited with the Registry of this Tribunal.

Put up for arguments on **13.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.07.2026

A.No. 39/25

08.07.2026

Present : Sh. Himanshu Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Akhil Sabharwal, Ld. counsel for the appellant
through VC along with Sh. Shivam, Adv. Fresh memo of
appearance filed.
None for the respondent/DDA.

Since fresh memo of appearance has been filed for the
appellant, the previous counsel Sh. Himanshu Sharma
seeks discharged. He is discharged from his
vakalatnama.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks adjournment on the
ground that he has recently been engaged and he needs
some time to go through the record.

At request, put up for final arguments on **27.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.07.2026

A.No. 135/25 & 136/25

08.07.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Adarsh Kumar Lohia, proxy counsel for Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, Ld. counsel for respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the respondent as main counsel Sh. Pritish Sabharwal is unable to join the VC due to heavy rain and poor internet connectivity.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the respondent to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **08.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.07.2026

A.No. 348/25

08.07.2026

Present : Ms. Parul Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.

Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

At request, put up for further arguments on **07.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.07.2026

A.No. 445/25, 534/25, 549/25 & 550/25

08.07.2026

Present : Sh. Manik Mahto and Sh. Ashmeet Singh, Ld. proxy counsels for the appellants along with appellant through VC.
Sh. Adarsh Kumar Lohia, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 445/25.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 534/25.
Ms. Harita Mehta, Id. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 549/25 & 550/25 joined through VC.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel has been bitten by one Monkey on Friday and is not available today.

In the interest of justice, one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **11.09.2026**. The date is given as per the convenience of the counsel.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.07.2026

A.No. 576/25

08.07.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
 Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

None has appeared for the appellant despite repeated calls since morning.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Put up for arguments on **15.10.2026.**

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.07.2026

A.No. 667/25

08.07.2026

Present : Sh. Pooran Chand, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashok Kumar Khosla, Ld counsel for the respondent
joined through VC.

Regularization application of the appellant has been rejected as requisite permission from National Monument Authority has not been obtained by the appellant nor the subject property is part of layout plan.

Appellant seeks time to take steps in this regard.

Subject to that, put up for arguments on **15.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.07.2026

A.No. 818/25

08.07.2026

Present : Sh. Ashish, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

Record has not yet been produced. Let the same be produced by the next date of hearing.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel has been suffering with some eye problem. In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **07.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.07.2026

A.No. 31/25 (M)

08.07.2026

Present : Appellant/applicant in person.
 None for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought by the appellant as his counsel is not available today.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant/applicant to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **09.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.07.2026

A.No. 105/26

08.07.2026

Present :

Sh. Ajay Arora, Ld Senior counsel along with Sh. Ayush Gupta and Sh. Vansh Luthra, Advocates for the appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Ashok Kumar, ASO, NDMC.

Sh. Udian Sharma, Ld. counsel for the intervener joined through VC along with Sh. Manav Mitra, Adv. in person.

Arguments on maintainability of the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC heard. Even if it is presumed that all the averments made in the application is correct, then also in this proceeding between the appellant and the MCD the applicant has no right to participate and he cannot become a party as there is a clear-cut judgment of Delhi High Court in case ***Hardayal Singh Mehta Vs MCD, AIR 1990 Delhi 170*** in which it is held that in the matter between the appellant and the MCD, no third person can join and become a party to such proceedings and in such proceedings the application under order 1 Rule 10 CPC is not maintainable. Any dispute between the applicant and the appellant has to be dealt with and to be decided by the Civil Court separately. Accordingly, application moved by applicant under order 1 Rule 10 CPC is hereby dismissed. However, the applicant is permitted to file the documents, if any and to orally argue the matter at the final arguments stage.

...contd.2

: 2 :

Arguments also heard at length on appeal also.

Put up for orders on **09.07.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.07.2026

A.No. 138/26

08.07.2026

Present : Sh. Sonia Garg, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.
Sh. Narender Chauhan, Ld. counsel for the respondent no. 3 to 8.

It is stated by the parties that the talks of settlement are going on between them excluding the MCD.

At request, put up for arguments on **17.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.07.2026

A.No. 103/25 & 161/25

08.07.2026

Present : Sh. Deepak Grover, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent in
appeal no. 161/25.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent in
appeal no. 103/25.

Further arguments heard.

It is stated for the appellant that they have applied for
regularization of the subject property on 05.07.2026.

In view of the same, let the MCD to file status report in
respect of regularization application on the next date of
hearing i.e. on 09.09.2026.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
08.07.2026