

A.No. 594/26
Phool Wati Vs. MCD

15.07.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Sanjay Dewan, Senior counsel for the appellant
joined through VC along with Sh. Aayush Dawar, Adv.

Submissions heard. File perused.

It is stated for the appellant that he has already applied for regularization of the unauthorized construction and copy of the said application is taken on record.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on 24.08.2026.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. MS-28, Mohan Garden, Uttam Nagar, New Delhi. in pursuance of the demolition order dated 29.06.2026. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme

....contd.2

: 2 :

Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.07.2026

A.No. 597/26

15.07.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Ishan Jain, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **31.08.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.07.2026

A.No. 599/26

15.07.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Ramit Malhotra, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Appellant has pressed for interim order. The appellants have challenged the NOC dated 12.06.2026 giving permission to install the lift to private respondents no. 3 to 7. The impugned NOC show that the permission has been granted after considering the guidelines for installation of lift and documents of the private respondents. No case for ex-parte ad interim injunction is made out.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s).

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **14.08.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.07.2026

A.No. 600/26 & 601/26

15.07.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Mohd. Uwaiz, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **21.08.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.07.2026

A.No. 602/26

15.07.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Ms. Nisha Narayanan, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s).

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **17.07.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.07.2026

A.No. 114/20

Shakeel Ahmad and Ors. Vs. MCD

15.07.2026

Present :

Appellant in person.

Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Anil Kumar Bhardwaj, LDC, General Branch, MCD.

The demand draft of Rs. 1,20,000/- has been handed over to Sh. Anil Kumar Bhardwaj appearing on behalf of the Administrative Office, Shahdara, North, MCD. He has acknowledged the receipt of the demand draft on the photocopy of the same.

Since, the appellant has paid the misuser charges, his undertaking to the effect that he shall not use the subject property for any purpose other than those permissible under MPD-2021 is recorded separately. He is bound down by his undertaking.

The respondent is directed to desal the property by 31st July 2026, failing which, the appellant shall be at liberty to remove the seal on 01st August 2026.

With the above observations, the appeal stands disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.07.2026

A.No. 392/26

15.07.2026

Present : Sh. Ajay Chaudhary, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Sh. Sunil Kumar, Adv.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC along with Sh. Vaibhav Sanwal, Adv. along with Sh. Rohit Bhati, ALO.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

As per the status report, the property was sealed on the directions of the Monitoring Committee and the jurisdiction of this Tribunal is disputed.

Let the MCD to produce the record to show that the property was sealed on the directions of the Monitoring Committee, on the next date of hearing.

Put up for further proceedings awaiting record on
03.09.2026.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.07.2026

A.No. 410/26 & 411/26
Naveen Kumar & Anr. Vs. MCD

15.07.2026

Present : Ms. Parul Agarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Files are taken up today the applications of early hearing as well as applications seeking permission to withdraw the aforesaid appeals filed on behalf of the appellant.

Submissions heard. Applications perused.

In view of the reason given, the application seeking early hearing is allowed and the files are taken up today.

Ld. counsel for the appellants submits that she has instructions from the appellants to withdraw the aforesaid appeals and she may be permitted to withdraw the aforesaid appeals.

Statement of Id. counsel for the appellants recorded separately to this effect.

In view of the statement made by the Id. counsel for the appellants, the aforesaid appeals are disposed off as withdrawn. The applications seeking permission for withdrawal of the aforesaid appeals also stand disposed of.

Date of 24.07.2026 stands canceled.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.07.2026

A.No. 508/26

15.07.2026

Present : Sh. Girish Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that the appellant is ready to pay the misuser charges and to give undertaking not to misuse the property in future.

Ld counsel for the respondent seeks time to file the status report as to whether the property was sealed by the MCD or pursuant to the directions of the Monitoring Committee constituted by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India under Step-I or II.

Let the status report be so filed and if the property was not sealed in pursuant to the directions of either of the Monitoring Committee, the property be temporary desealed for calculation of misuser charges and the misuser charges amount be also mentioned in the status report to be filed on the next date of hearing with intimation to the ld counsel for the appellant.

Put up for further proceedings awaiting status report on **19.08.2026.**

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.07.2026

A.No. 540/26 & 446/14

15.07.2026

Present : Sh. Ankur Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent in 540/26 and Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Sandeep Kumar, Advocate in appeal no. 446/14.

Status report is filed by the MCD in appeal no. 540/25,
Copy supplied.

Part arguments heard.

Ld counsel for the respondent seeks some time to apprise this court about the previous status report dated 05.12.2024 filed in appeal no. 446/14 which states that the amalgamation of the two plots is maintained prior to 2007.

At request, put up for further arguments on **14.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.07.2026

A.No. 550/26
Sanjeev Gupta Vs. MCD

15.07.2026.

Present : Sh. Amit Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent along
with Sh. Sanjeev Kumar, AE(B).

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced.

Arguments heard.

The impugned demolition order dated 09.06.2026 has been challenged the several grounds including that a non-speaking order despite receiving the reply of the appellant was passed.

I have perused the impugned order which says that the reply has been received, but found unsatisfactory.

It is a non-speaking order and violates the directions passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India IN RE... directions in the matter of demolition of structures (2025) 5 Supreme Court Cases 1.

In these facts, the impugned order is set aside with directions to the respondents to pass a speaking order after considering the reply already submitted and the documents to be submitted by the appellant and after giving personal hearing to the appellant.

...contd.2

: 2 :

The appellant shall appear before the Quasi Judicial Authority on 03.08.2026 at 3.00 pm and the speaking order be passed within 6 weeks of conclusion of the hearing.

The appellant shall not raise any further construction in the property

The appeal stands disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.07.2026

A.No. 37/15

15.07.2026

Present : Sh. Amanpreet Singh, Ld. LAC for the appellant joined through VC along with appellant in person.
None for the respondent.

Ld. Legal Aid counsel seeks some short accommodation in the matter on the ground that he has not yet received the authority letter from DLSA to pursue this appeal and the earlier authority letter was only for providing legal advice and opinion to the appellant.

None has appeared for the respondent despite repeated calls since morning.

At request, put up for arguments giving last and final opportunity to the parties to advance arguments on **21.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.07.2026

A.No. 792/16 : Kamlesh Chakravorty Vs. MCD
A.No. 307/17 : Arun Kumar Chakravorty Vs. MCD

15.07.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Dharamvir Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent
along with Sh. Anshu Singh Bhadoriya, JSA, Keshav
Puram Zone.

Status report is filed by the MCD in respect of property
tax receipt dated 30.10.2004 of flat no. 136, Suraksha
Enclave, Pitampura, Delhi. Copy supplied.

Arguments heard.

1. These are two appeals challenging the demolition
order dated 28.04.2017 in appeal no. 307/17 which is
a ground floor flat bearing flat no. 135, Suraksha
Enclave, Pitampura, Delhi whereas in appeal no.
792/16 the appellant has challenged the demolition
order dated 04.02.2008 passed in respect of
unauthorized construction of a room and bathroom at
the second floor of this first floor flat.
2. Both the appellants have challenged these two orders
on the ground that the construction is old and
occupied and the property was booked on a complaint
with Monitoring Committee and is protected under
National Capital Territory of Delhi Laws (Special
Provision) Second Amendment Act, 2011. Reliance

Contd...2/-

: 2 :

was placed on the property tax return of flat no. 136, which is at first floor and second floor above flat no. 135.

3. Ld counsel for the respondent on the other hand argued that the construction is admittedly unauthorized without any sanctioned plan and is not protected under National Capital Territory of Delhi Laws (Special Provision) Second Amendment Act, 2011 and is liable to be demolished.
4. I have perused the record. In appeal no. 792/16, the appellant had placed on record a property tax G8 receipt dated 30.10.2004 through which the property tax for the year 2004-2005 of Rs. 1632/- was deposited. The respondent was directed to calculate the area under unit area method and calculate the covered area for which this tax was deposited on reverse calculation basis. The MCD vide status report dated 15.07.2026 stated covered area will come out to be 75 sq. mtr. approximately. The allotted area of the flat as per documents is about 50 sq. mtrs., and this shows that this additional covered area of about 25 sq. mtr., was added subsequently but prior to 08.02.2007 which is the cutoff date for protection under National Capital Territory of Delhi Laws (Special

Contd...3/-

Provision) Second Amendment Act, 2011. The appellant therefore has shown that the additional unauthorized construction of about 25 sq. mtrs was raised and added in the property much prior to the cutoff date. Further the FIR dated 29.01.2008 itself record that the construction is old and occupied and property has been booked on the basis complaint of Monitoring Committee. There is no material to show that fresh construction was raised in flat no. 136 which is subject matter of appeal no. 792/16.

5. Similarly, though there is no documents of property tax for flat no. 135 which is below flat no. 136 but for the reason that room on the second can be constructed only when there is a room at the first floor, the construction of the room in the backside of flat no. 135 was also prior to 08.02.2007. In this case also the booking was on the basis of complaint with Monitoring Committee and construction is old and occupied.
6. In these facts, both the demolition orders are upheld but are kept in abeyance till protection under National Capital Territory of Delhi Laws (Special Provision) Second Amendment Act, 2011 is available.
7. The respondent is at liberty to take action once the Act ceases to be in force. Appeal stands disposed of.

Contd...4/-

: 4 :

8. Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.07.2026

A.No. 201/17

15.07.2026

Present : Sh. Jatin Chaudhary, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. M.K. Arora, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

Arguments heard at length.

Put up for orders on **27.08.2026**. Both the parties are at liberty to file the written arguments at least one week prior to the date fixed with advance copy to each other.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.07.2026

A.No. 687/19

15.07.2026

Present : Sh. Ranu Singh and Sh. Naresh, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.
Sh. Vidhan Malik, Ld. counsel for the intervener Maya Devi.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Raghav Kapoor is not available today due to death of his parental aunt.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **03.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.07.2026

A.No. 16/22

15.07.2026

Present : Sh. Gaurav Chobey, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Anupam Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought by Id. counsel for the appellant on the ground that he is not ready with the arguments.

Same is strongly opposed.

Perusal of the record shows that repeated adjournments have been taken by the appellant on one pretext or other.

However, in the interest of justice one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter subject to cost of Rs. 10,000/- to be deposited with the Registry of this Tribunal.

Put up for arguments on **10.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.07.2026

A.No. 771/22 & 772/22 & 789/22

15.07.2026

Present : Ms. Muskan, Ld counsel for the appellant in appeals no. 771/22 & 772/22.
Sh. Vikas Dudeja, Ld counsel for the appellant in appeal no. 789/22.
Sh. Ranjeet Pandey, Ld. counsel for the MCD/respondent through VC.

Part arguments heard. Record perused.

The appellant in appeal no. 789/22 which relates to the ground floor of property no. A-8, Krishana Nagar Extension has relied upon an inspection report dated 05.02.2002 prepared by Asstt. Assessor and Collector, Shahdara, South Zone. This inspection report shows that there are three mezzanine floors in the property after ground, first and second floor and as per the impugned demolition order, the unauthorized construction has been booked only in respect of the ground to third floor and a room on the fourth floor.

The appellant claims that the entire construction is old and prior to 01.06.2014.

The demolition order is silent about the mezzanine floor. The MCD is directed to inspect the property afresh and to file the status report in respect of the mezzanine floors and also to prepare rough sketch plan of all the floors and to file the same on the next date of hearing.

....contd.2

: 2 :

Put up for further arguments on **16.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.07.2026

A.No. 153/23

15.07.2026

Present : Ms. Sarita Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to pay the previous cost and further seeks adjournment as she has a mediation day on Wednesday i.e. today.

At request, put up for purposes fixed on **31.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.07.2026

A.No. 523/23

15.07.2026

Present : Sh. Akshya Tyagi, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel is held up before the Karkardooma Courts. Same is strongly opposed.

Though, this is not a valid ground for adjournment, however, in the interest of justice one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter subject to a cost of Rs. 5,000/- to be deposited with the Registry of this Tribunal.

Put up for arguments on **24.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.07.2026

A.No. 381/23

15.07.2026

Present : Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, Ld counsel for the respondent
joined through VC.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as
main counsel Sh. Ayush Gupta is not well today.

Record shows that appellant has placed on record an
order dated 12.03.2024 passed by the Monitoring
Committee vide which the subject property bearing no. R-
14, Pratap Market, Jungpura, Bhogal, New Delhi, has
been desealed subject to payment of conversion charges.
When the Monitoring Committee is seized of the matter
then how this jurisdiction of this Tribunal lies.

Let the counsel for the parties to apprise about it on the
next date of hearing.

Put up for further arguments on the aspect of jurisdiction
on **24.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.07.2026

A.No. 664/23

15.07.2026

Present : Sh. Sanjay Rathee and Sh. Sanchit, Ld. counsels for the appellant.

None for the respondent.

Arguments heard on behalf of the appellant on the application seeking condonation of delay as well as appeal.

The appellant has challenged the demolition order dated 01.09.2023 and the appeal was filed on 10.10.2023. As per appellant the demolition order was found on 08.10.2023 in the letter box of the appellant.

In these facts, the delay is condoned. The application seeking condonation of delay stands disposed off.

Put up for arguments on behalf of the respondent on the appeal as last and final opportunity to the respondent on **31.08.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.07.2026

A.No. 2/24

15.07.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Harsh, Ld Proxy counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Amardeep, Ld. counsel for the intervener joined through VC.

Part arguments heard.

The intervener is directed to produce his original sale deed with site plan.

Put up for further arguments on **07.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.07.2026

A.No. 78/24

15.07.2026

Present : Sh. Shubham son of the appellant in person.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Written arguments filed by the appellant. Copy supplied.

Arguments heard at length.

Put up for orders on **27.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.07.2026

A.No. 199/24

15.07.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Ms. Beena Rani Pandey, Ld counsel for the respondent
joined through VC.

An adjournment is sought by Id. counsel for the appellant
as he is not ready with the arguments today.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted
to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **27.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.07.2026

A.No. 796/24

15.07.2026

Present : Sh. Parth Mahajan, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Ms. Anamika Tyagi, Ld. proxy counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Dharmbir Singh, consultant. NDMC.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the respondent as main counsel Sh. Shashi Pratap is not available today due to bereavement in the family.

Same is not opposed.

At request, put up for arguments on **07.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.07.2026

A.No. 876/24

15.07.2026

Present :

Ms. Meenakshi Garg, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.

Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC along with Sh. Vaibhav Sanwal, Adv.

Sh. Sachin Dev Sharma, Sh. Vishnu Sharma, Sh. Ravi Bhushan and Sh. Yash Garg, Id. counsels for the respondent no. 2 and 3.

It is stated by the Id counsel for the respondent that complete copy of the appeal has not yet been supplied. Same has been supplied today right now.

Further, there is a delay of about one year in filing this appeal, but there is no application seeking condonation of delay.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks some time to move such application.

Let the advance copy of this application be supplied to all the respondents who are directed to file reply to the purported application on or before the next date of hearing with advance copy to the Id counsel for the appellant.

Put up for arguments on the said purposed application and appeal on **18.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.07.2026

A.No. 969/24 & 1054/24

15.07.2026

Present : Sh. Devender Kasana, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. S. Adil Hussain, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 969/24. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record
Sh. Aman Rai, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 1054/24.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Ghanshyam Nagar has left the court because of some medical emergency with his son.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **29.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.07.2026

A.No. 27/25

15.07.2026

Present : Sh. Utkarsh Arya, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Shiv Chopra, proxy counsel for Sh. Pritish Sabharwal,
Ld. counsel for respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Varun Bala has been blessed with a child.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **03.11.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.07.2026

A.No. 63/25

15.07.2026

Present : Sh. Yogender Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant.
None for the respondent.
Sh. Tauheed Khan, Ld. counsel for the intervener joined through VC.

An application under Order I rule 10 CPC has already been filed on behalf of the appellant.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks copy of the same. Let the copy of the said application along with documents be supplied to Id counsel for the appellant today itself.

Let reply to this application, if desired, be filed with advance copy to the opposite party.

None has appeared for the respondent despite repeated calls since morning. No adverse order is being passed today.

Fresh notice be issued to the MCD for ensuring the presence of the counsel on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on the said application and appeal on **09.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.07.2026

A.No. 106/25

15.07.2026

Present : Sh. Rahul, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Jasleen Kaur, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Yashbir has been suffering from viral fever and is not in a position to come today.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **04.11.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.07.2026

A.No. 130/25 & 142/25

15.07.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

At request made by the Id counsel for the respondent, be listed for further arguments on **14.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.07.2026

A.No. 349/25

Smt. Monika Vs. MCD

15.07.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Despite various calls none is appearing on behalf of the appellant in the Tribunal or through VC.

Put up at 2.00 PM.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.07.2026

At 2.25 pm

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

None has appeared on behalf of appellant since morning in the Tribunal or through VC despite various calls. None had appeared on behalf of the appellant on the previous two consecutive dates as well.

It is 2.25 PM. It appears that the appellant is not interested in pursuing this appeal. The present appeal is dismissed in default.

Record of the respondent if any be returned alongwith copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.07.2026

A.No. 676/25

15.07.2026

Present : Appellant through VC.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Rajesh Kumar Sharma is not available today due to food-poisoning..

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **09.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.07.2026

A.No. 693/25

15.07.2026

Present : Sh. Atul Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent.

Respondent seeks some more time to file the record stated to be not traceable.

Let the same be traced and filed on or before the next date of hearing.

Put up for awaiting record file on arguments on **29.10.2026.**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.07.2026

A.No. 36/26, 37/26, 38/26, 39/26, 40/26, 41/26, 42/26, 43/26 & 44/26

15.07.2026

Present : Sh.,. Sarthak Gupta, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeals no. 37/26, 40/26 & 42/26 through VC.
Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal nos. 36/26 and 39/26.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeals no. 38/26 and 41/26 through VC.
Sh. Sanjay Sethi, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeals no. 43/26 & 44/26 through VC.

Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant seeks some more time to apprise this court as to which order will not be pressed in this appeal.

At request, put up for further proceedings/arguments on **04.11.2026.**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.07.2026

A.No. 80/26

15.07.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant along with
appellant.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

The respondent is directed to produce the record of
booking under Section 344 (1) read with 343 DMC Act as
well as the demolition order, on the next date of hearing.

Put up for further arguments on **08.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.07.2026

A.No. 303/26

15.07.2026

Present : Ms. Ekta Gambhir, Ld. counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Shivang Chaturvedi, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the respondent seeks some time to file the record and submits that same shall be submitted by tomorrow. After filing the record, it can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.,

Let the same be so filed on or before the next date of hearing.

At request, put up for awaiting record and arguments on **15.09.2026.**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.07.2026

A.No. 391/26

15.07.2026

Present : Sh. Sourav Ghosh, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent joined
through VC along with Sh. Vaibhav Sanwal, Adv.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

Record has already been submitted.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to go through the
status report and to inspect the record and to argue the
matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **17.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.07.2026

A.No. 717/16 & 943/16

15.07.2026

Present : Sh. Jatin Kumar Sharma, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no 717/16.
Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 943/16.

Vide separate common judgment of even date, the present appeals stand disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
15.07.2026