

A.No. 612/26

20.07.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Himanshu Jama and Ms. Prachi, Ld counsels for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments with connected appeal on interim application(s) and appeal on **24.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.07.2026

A.No. 613/26
Ajay Bhardwaj Vs. MCD

20.07.2026
Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Rahul Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on 15.10.2026.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. House no. 894, near Dayal Market, Alipur, Delhi, in pursuance of the demolition order dated 30.06.2026. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.07.2026

A.No. 386/22

Raj Kumar Sachdeva and Ors. Vs. MCD

20.07.2026

Present :

Sh. Shubham Kumar, Ld counsel for the applicant.
Submissions heard. File perused.

File is taken up today on an application of intervener seeking immediate inspection of the property bearing no. E-23A, East of Kailash, New Delhi and it is stated that unauthorized construction at the second and third floor of the property is going on, for which photographs are also enclosed with this application.

Issue notice of this application to the appellants and MCD for the date fixed i.e. 29.09.2026.

In the meantime, MCD is directed to physically inspect the property and to file the status report along with photographs in respect of any construction going on second and third floor of this property.

Let the Inspection be carried out within 24 hours after receiving the copy of this order given dasti to the intervener and report be submitted on the date fixed.

Intervener is permitted to join the inspection and to take photographs in the presence of the MCD officials.

Put up on the date fixed i.e. **29.09.2026** awaiting status report and for the purpose fixed.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.07.2026

A.No. 817/23

20.07.2026

Present : Appellant no. 1 Seema in person.

File is taken up today on an application of early hearing filed on behalf of the appellant.

The next date of hearing in the matter is 14.09.2026. The appeal is of the year 2023. In view of the heavy pendency, no early hearing is possible. The application is dismissed.

Put up on the date fixed for the purpose fixed.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.07.2026

A.No. 756/25

20.07.2026

Present : Sh. Ashwani Ojha, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Ms. Jasleen Kaur, Ld counsel for the respondent. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **05.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.07.2026

A.No. 258/26

Surender Singh Vs. MCD

20.07.2026

Present : Ms. Anusuya Salwan, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with appellant in person.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC along with Sh. Vabhav Sanwal, Adv. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced.

The appellant has filed an application to raise additional grounds against the impugned order. The basis objection of the appellant is that the personal hearing was provided by the previous AE(B), whereas the impugned demolition order has been passed by another AE(B) and same is liable to be set aside, for which reliance has been placed on the judgments of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in ***Gullapalli Nageswara Rao Vs. APSRTC, AIR 1959 SC 308*** and of the judgments of Division Bench of our own Hon'ble High Court in ***Chatro Devi V. Union of India and Ors. 2005 SCC Online Del 279.***

I have perused the record.

The personal hearing was concluded by the previous AE(B) on 07.03.2025 and the new AE(B) passed the impugned order after an year on 06.03.2026. Though, it

...contd.2

: 2 :

is mentioned in the impugned order that the AE(B) who passed the impugned order considered all the documents after verifying the same from the Electricity providing authority yet the order is liable to be set aside keeping in view of the law laid down in the above mentioned judgments, which requires that the person who gave hearing should pass the order and if the order is passed by some other person, same is liable to be set aside.

In these facts, the demolition order dated 06.03.2026 is set aside with directions to the respondents to pass a speaking order after considering the reply and documents submitted by the appellant and after giving personal hearing to the appellant. The appellant shall appear before the Quasi Judicial Authority on 04.08.2026 at 2.00 pm and the speaking order be passed within 6 weeks of conclusion of the hearing.

With the above observation, the appeal stands disposed of

Record of the respondent, be returned today itself.
Appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.07.2026

A.No. 345/26

20.07.2026

Present : Proxy counsel for the appellant.

File is taken up today on an application of early hearing filed on behalf of the appellant.

The next date of hearing in the matter is 23.09.2026. The appeal is of the year 2026. In view of the heavy pendency, no early hearing is possible. The application is dismissed.

Put up on the date fixed for the purpose fixed.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.07.2026

A.No. 350/26
Qari Abdul Gaffar Vs. MCD

20.07.2026

Present : Sh. Ishaq Tahir, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

It is stated by the Id counsel for the respondent that this appeal is not maintainable as not even a single order, which is appealable, has been mentioned in the prayer clause.

I have perused the record.

The prayer clause seeks several prayers, but does not challenge any order passed by the MCD.

In view of the same, **the appeal is dismissed** with liberty to the appellant to file the proper appeal as per law.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.07.2026

A.No. 449/26

20.07.2026

Present : Sh. Raghvendra Shukla, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel is not available today due to some personal difficulty.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on the application u/s 5 of Limitation Act on **06.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.07.2026

A.No. 496/26

Gurmeet Kaur Bhalla and Anr. Vs. MCD

20.07.2026

Present : Sh. Amitesh Gautam, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent along
with Sh. Sachin Roorkiwal, AE(B).

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced.

The appellant has challenged the order dated 19.05.2026 of rejection of their regularization application wherein the application was rejected for not submitting the complete ownership documents and the existing building plan does not tally with the construction actually existing at the site.

Part arguments heard. Record perused.

Record shows that the appellant purchased the third floor from one Simpy Sharma, who purchased it from Anita Roy. Ms. Anita Roy became owner as per the details mentioned in the sale deed executed in favour of the appellant, by virtue of a Will executed in her favour by her mother late Smt. R.R. Chaudhary. Copy of that Will was never produced before the MCD and the site plan annexed with this appeal also shows certain non-compoundable deviations in the property.

....contd.2

: 2 :

The appellant is directed to remove the said non-compoundable deviations within 2 weeks from today and shall also furnish the Will executed in favour of Anita Roy by her deceased mother as detailed in the sale deed executed in favour of the appellant.

Let the same be done within 2 weeks from today and if the appellant complies with these directions within 2 weeks, they shall file an application to reopen their regularization application within 3 weeks from today and the respondent shall reopen that application and will consider the title documents and will physically inspect the site and pass a fresh order on the regularization application of the appellant.

With the above observation, the appeal stand disposed of.

Till then, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant, but if the appellant fails to comply with this order within 3 weeks, the MCD shall be at liberty to take action as per law.

Record of the respondent, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.07.2026

A.No. 542/26

20.07.2026

Present : Sh. Mayank Maan, Ld counsel for the applicant/
intervener.

File is taken up today the three applications (i) an application of early hearing (ii) application under Order I rule 10 and (iii) an application under Order XXXIX rule 4 CPC, filed on behalf of the intervener.

Issue notice of these applications to the appellant as well as MCD for the date fixed **24.08.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.07.2026

A.No. 570/26

20.07.2026

Present : Sh. Droan Dutt, Ld counsel for the appellant.

File is taken up today on an application of early hearing and for modification of the order dated 14.07.2026 filed on behalf of the appellant.

Heard. On the last date of hearing, the parties were directed to maintain the status quo in respect of the subject property however, in the order, it was mentioned that interim order, if any, to continue till next date of hearing.

In these facts, the order dated 14.07.2026 is modified to the extent that the parties shall maintain status quo in every respect qua the subject property till next date of hearing.

Both the applications stand disposed of.

Put up on the date fixed i.e. 03.08.2026 for the purpose fixed.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.07.2026

A.No. 375/11 & 374/11
M.L. Ashiwal & Anr. Vs. MCD

20.07.2026

Present : Sh. Ashish Kumar Bhagat and Ms. Princy Chaudhary, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Sh. Ravi Ranjan, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC in appeal no. 375/11 & 374/11 through VC.
Sh. Manish Rajpal, ATP, Town Planning Department, MCD in person.

Arguments heard at length.

1. These are two appeals challenging the sealing order dated 19.08.2011 in appeal no. 374/11 and the demolition order dated 19.08.2011 in appeal no. 375/11 passed in respect of unauthorized construction of ground, first and second floor in the property bearing no. B-4/156 (Part) Safdarjung Enclave, New Delhi.
2. The brief facts necessary for disposal of these appeals are that the appellants became the owner of this property measuring 500 sq. yards bearing old no. 79-A, Krishna Nagar, New Delhi by virtue of registered sale deed dated 21.07.2006. The respondent booked the unauthorized construction at second floor without permission from MCD vide show cause notice dated 11.12.2018. Subsequent, thereto vide show cause notice dated 15.04.2009, the unauthorized construction of ground and first floor in the shape of

Contd...2/-

: 2 :

rooms, toilet, kitchen and staircase was booked. The respondent thereafter passed the impugned demolition and sealing orders in respect of this property. The appellants preferred appeal no. 145/2009 and 198/2009 against the demolition and the sealing order and this Tribunal vide common judgment dated 16.03.2010 allowed both the appeals and set-aside the demolition and the sealing orders and the matter was remanded back for fresh decision.

3. The respondent in pursuance to the order dated 16.03.2010, gave fresh hearing to the appellants and passed the impugned order dated 19.08.2011.
4. The appellants have challenged these orders on the grounds that the construction is old and existing much prior to 08.02.2007 and since the passage of time it became dilapidated, the appellant carried out necessary repairs. The repairs permissible under building bye-laws 6.4.1 were carried out for which no permission is required and yet the respondent under pressure of the then OSD to Hon'ble LG of Delhi passed the impugned orders.
5. Ld counsel for the respondent/MCD on the other hand argued that the appellants purchased this property by virtue of sale deed dated 21.07.2006 wherein the details of existing construction are not mentioned and

Contd...3/-

: 3 :

the appellants carried out unauthorized construction after 08.02.2007 and same is not protected under National Capital Territory of Delhi Laws (Special Provision) Second Amendment Act, 2011 and the appeals are liable to be dismissed.

6. I have perused the record. This Tribunal while allowing the previous two appeals filed by the appellants noted in the order dated 16.03.2010 that the record of the respondent show that the initial action against unauthorized construction was taken vide show cause notice dated 11.12.2008 only in respect of the second floor of the property and the ground and the first floor were found old and occupied and no action was taken against the ground and first floor.
7. The first demolition order dated 11.02.2009 was passed only for the second floor. Thereafter a message was received from OSD to LG, Delhi who pressurized MCD to book the ground and first floor as well and thereafter the second booking was done. The Tribunal in the order dated 16.03.2010 recorded that the action against the property was taken at the instance of the then OSD to LG, Delhi.
8. The documents filed by the appellants along with the appeals which includes the house tax record,

Contd...4/-

: 4 :

which was duly verified by the MCD, show that in the property tax returns of 2006-2007, the property was consisting of ground, first and second floor with covered area of 113.30 sq. mtr. each on ground and first floor and 56.66 sq. mtr. at the second floor with age factor as 0.9. It means that this construction at ground, first and second floor was existing in 2006-2007 and is prior to 08.02.2007.

9. Further, the Award no. 1662 vide which the property was acquired show that there was construction in the property raised in 1948. This clearly shows that the construction in the property is old and much prior to 08.02.2007.
10. Further, the initial booking was only of second floor and MCD itself recorded that the ground and first floor are old and occupied. Later on, the ground and first floors were also booked under pressure of the then OSD at LG Office. It is not practically possible to book ground and first floor for unauthorized construction later to booking the second floor when it was recorded that the ground and first floor are old and occupied.
11. In these facts, the construction in the property at ground, first and second floor is old and prior to 08.02.2007 and is protected under National Capital

Contd...5/-

: 5 :

Territory of Delhi Laws (Special Provision) Second Amendment Act, 2011.

12. Hence, the impugned order dated 19.08.2011 is kept in abeyance in respect of property of the appellants till this Act is in force. The respondent is at liberty to take action once the Act ceases to be in force. Appeals stand disposed of.
13. Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.07.2026

A.No. 394/13

20.07.2026

Present : Sh. Ashish Kumar Bhagat and Ms. Princy Chaudhary, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Sh. A.L. Agnihotri and Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsels for the respondent
Sh. Manish Rajpal, ATP in person.

Status report is filed by the ATP. Copy supplied.

As per this status report, letters have been written to the SDM and LAC seeking ownership record of the subject property and further it is stated that development charges have not been paid by the appellant or their predecessors and therefore, the land could not be released from purview of acquisition.

The appellant claims that the DDA has already submitted that the subject land is a private land and same could have only be done after payment of development charges by the then owners of the property.

Let the notice be issued to the DDA to clarify about the status of plot no. B-4/156, Safdarjung Enclave, (Old no. 79-A Krishna Nagar), Delhi as to whether it is a private land and whether this plot has been released from acquisition or not, on the next date of hearing.

....contd.2

: 2 :

ATP is also directed to file the status report about the responses received from the LAC and SDM on the next date of hearing.

Put up for awaiting reports and arguments on
31.08.2026.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.07.2026

A.No. 346/19 & 710/22

20.07.2026

Present : Ms. Kanwaljit Kochar, Ld. senior counsel for the appellant through VC.
Sh. Utkarsh, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant along with appellant Simran in person.
Ms. Rashi Agarwal, Ld counsel for Sh. Abhinav Gupta, counsel for the respondent through VC in appeal no. 346/19.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Id. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 710/22.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

As per this status report, a room at the terrace of the third floor was found as non-compoundable deviations. The appellant submits that they are ready to demolish this room and seeks temporary desealing of the property for removing that room.

In these facts, the MCD is directed to deseal the property temporarily for a period of two weeks starting from 23.07.2026 at 12.00 noon and shall reseal the same on 06.08.2026 at 4.00 pm.

The appellant in the meantime, shall demolish the non-compoundable deviations mentioned above and shall not part with the possession and shall not create any third party interest in the subject property.

...contd.2

: 2 :

The MCD is directed to file the status report in this regard on next date of hearing.

Put up for awaiting the status report as well as arguments on **13.08.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.07.2026

A.No. 390/19

20.07.2026

Present : Sh. Gaurav Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. R.K. Kashyap, Ld counsel for the respondent joined
through VC along with Sh. Mohit Sharma, Adv.

Some more time sought by the MCD to produce the
original property tax record.

Let a notice be issued to the Joint Assessor and Collector
to be present in the court along with said record on
24.08.2026.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.07.2026

A.No. 441/21

20.07.2026

Present : Sh. R.B. Singh and Sh. Gurpreet Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant
None for the respondent/MCD.

Part arguments heard on the application seeking condonation of delay. The appellant has challenged the demolition order dated 03.03.2010 in this appeal filed on 06.12.2021 after more than 11 years. The appellant claims that she became owner by virtue of the Will dated 04.12.2016 of her brother late Sh. G.S. Bawa, who passed away on 17.12.2016.

None has appeared for the respondent despite repeated calls since morning.

Arguments are necessary to be heard from the MCD before deciding this application.

Let fresh notice be issued to the MCD for ensuring the presence of the counsel on the next date of hearing.

Put up for further arguments on this application on **14.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.07.2026

A.No. 66/22

20.07.2026

Present : Sh. V.K. Bajal along with Sh. Parmeet Singh Bhalla, Ld
counsels for the appellant along with appellant.
None for the respondent/MCD.

None has appeared for the respondent despite repeated
calls since morning.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Fresh notice be issued to the MCD for ensuring the
presence of the counsel on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **14.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.07.2026

A.No. 44/23, 45/23 & 46/23

20.07.2026

Present : Sh. Samad Khan, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. M.S. Khan,
Ld. counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ankur Sharma, Ld. counsel for the respondent.
Ms. Aditi Aggarwal, Ld. proxy counsel for the intervener.

Ld. proxy counsel seeks pass over in the matter as the main counsel is held up before the Hon'ble High Court. In view of the heavy cause list, the pass over is not possible.

Put up for arguments on **13.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.07.2026

A.No. 206/23

20.07.2026

Present : Sh. Ayaz Ahmad, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. Paras Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Ld. counsel for the respondent submits that he has recently been engaged and seeks time to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **16.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.07.2026

A.No. 517/23, 518/23, 519/23 & 360/24

20.07.2026

Present :

Ms. Khushi Sharma, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ankur Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal No.517/23 & 519/23.
Ms. Jasleen Kaur, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal No.518/23.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal No.360/24.
Sh. Vishal Mann, Ld. counsel for the intervener, joined through VC.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Samaan Vardhan Gautam is held up before Hon'ble High Court of Madhya Pradesh, Jabalpur Bench.

Same is strongly opposed by the respondents as well as intervener as the appellant has been seeking adjournments on one or the other grounds after taking interim protection on 06.12.2024.

In the interest of justice one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on the next date of hearing subject to a cost of Rs. 10,000/- in each appeal to be deposited with Registry of this Tribunal. On the request of Id. proxy counsel for the appellant, the cost is reduced to Rs. 5,000/- each from Rs. 10,000/-.

Put up for arguments on **27.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.07.2026

A.No. 112/24

20.07.2026

Present : Sh. Abhijeet Rathi, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant in person.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Harjeet Narang is not well today.

Same is strongly opposed.

The appellant on the last date of hearing sought some time to trace and file a document to show that the construction of a room at third floor is old and protected. It is stated today that some documents have been traced, but are required to be verified from the MCD. The appellant however, has failed to produce and file those documents in this file.

In these facts, the interim protection in respect of the third floor is vacated and respondent is directed to take action and to file the status report in respect of third floor on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **05.11.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.07.2026

A.No. 264/24, 351/24 & 352/24
Rabia Begum Vs. MCD

20.07.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Ankur, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 264/24.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld. counsel for the respondent in other two appeals on 351/24 and 352/24.

Despite various calls none is appearing on behalf of the appellant in the Tribunal or through VC.

Put up at 2.00 PM.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD/20.7.26

At 2.40 pm

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Ankur, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 264/24.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld. counsel for the respondent in other two appeals on 351/24 and 352/24.

None has appeared on behalf of appellant since morning in the Tribunal or through VC despite various calls. None had appeared on behalf of the appellant on the previous date as well. Even the previous cost has also not been deposited.

It is 2.40 PM. It appears that the appellant is not interested in pursuing these appeals. The present appeals are dismissed in default as well as non-prosecution.

...contd2

: 2 :

Record of the respondent if any be returned alongwith copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.07.2026

A.No. 506/24

20.07.2026

Present : Ms. Nikita Sharma, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta , Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel is held up before the Patiala House Court.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **06.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.07.2026

A.No. 557/24 & 558/24

20.07.2026

Present : Sh. Farhan Ahmad, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant along with husband of the appellant.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Vaibhav Sanwal, Ld. counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. M.K. Sharma is held up before Hon'ble High Court.

Same is opposed as the appellant is taking repeated adjournments on one pretext and other

However, in the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter subject to cost of Rs. 1,000/- in each appeal to be deposited with Registry of this Tribunal.

Put up for arguments on **03.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.07.2026

A.No. 641/24 & 509/26

20.07.2026

Present :

Sh. Hemant Sharma, Ld. counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Id counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 641/24.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Vaibhav Sanwal, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 509/26.

Status report is filed by the MCD in appeal no. 509/26, copy supplied.

An adjournment is sought by the Id. counsel for the appellant on the ground that he has not yet received the certified copies of the record.

Same is strongly opposed since the certified copies were applied only on 08.07.2026 whereas the last date of hearing was 29.05.2026.

There is no reason as to why the appellant did not apply for certified copy prior to 08.07.2026.

However, in the interest of justice one more last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **14.08.2026**.

Registry is directed to supply the certified copy to the Id counsel for the appellant positively before the date fixed.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.07.2026

A.No. 948/24, 949/24, 950/24, 951/24, 952/24, 957/24, 958/24 & 960/24
20.07.2026

Present : Sh. Ravi Gahlot, Ld counsel for the appellant along with some of the appellants.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent in 948/24, 950/24, 952/24, 957/24, 958/24 & 960/24.
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Ld. counsel for the appellant in appeal no. 949/24 and 951/24.

An application to place on record additional document which is photocopy of the rent agreement filed in all the appeals except appeal no. 952/24. Copy supplied.

Ld counsels for the respondent seek time to reply these applications. Let the same be filed with advance copy to the Id counsel for the appellant.

In the meantime, the appellants who have filed these applications are directed to place on record the original rent agreements on the next date of hearing.

Put up for reply and arguments on **05.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.07.2026

A.No. 962/24

20.07.2026

Present : Sh. Vishal Maan, Ld counsel for the appellant.
None for the respondent.
Ms. Khushi Sharma, Ld. proxy counsel for the intervener.

Put up with connected appeal for the purpose fixed on
27.10.2026.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.07.2026

A.No. 413/25

20.07.2026

Present : Sh. Akshay Aggarwal along with Sh. Shivang Srivastava,
Ld counsels for the appellant.
Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An application under Rule 14 (4) ATMCD Rules filed by the appellant to place on record the additional documents. Soft copy is stated to be already supplied and hard copy supplied.

Without prejudice to the rights of the respondent, the documents are taken on record. The application stands disposed of.

Part arguments heard.

At request of counsel for the respondent, put up for further arguments on **06.11.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the impugned order. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.07.2026

A.No. 422/25

20.07.2026

Present : Sh. Rupesh Sharma, Ld. LAC for the appellant along with
appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

It is stated for the appellant that she is ready to get the
construction regularized.

Let the appellant to apply for regularization within 4
weeks from today and if same is applied, let the status
report qua the said regularization application be filed on
the next date of hearing.

At request, put up for arguments on **06.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.07.2026

A.No. 710/25

20.07.2026

Present : Sh. Ajeet Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the respondent again seeks some time to file the status report as well as record.

At request, put up for arguments on **06.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.07.2026

A.No. 770/25

20.07.2026

Present : Sh. Rishi Raj, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Armaan Monga, Ld counsel for the intervener.

An application under Order I rule 10 CPC filed along with some documents, on behalf of the intervener. Copy supplied to Id counsel for the appellant as well as respondent.

Reply, if desired, to the said application be filed with advance copy to the Id counsel for the intervener.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Ms. Charu Sharma is not available today due to bereavement in her family.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for reply and arguments on the said application as well as appeal on **02.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing. It is specified that the interim protection is only in respect of the ground floor.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.07.2026

A.No. 26/26

20.07.2026

Present : Sh. Paramveer, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Rambir Chauhan, counsel for the appellant.
Sh. K.D. Sharma Ld counsel for the respondent.

Time is again sought on behalf of the respondent to file the status report and record.

Let the same be filed on or before the next date of hearing.

At request, put up for arguments on **03.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.07.2026

A.No. 49/26

20.07.2026

Present : Sh. Paramveer, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. S.G. Asthana, Ld counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Rambir Chauhan is not well today.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for arguments on **03.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.07.2026

A.No. 493/26

20.07.2026

Present : Sh. Jai Shankar, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Krishan Kumar, AE(B).

Part arguments heard.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to apply for regularization of the construction of the subject property.

Let the same be done within 4 weeks.

Subject to such application, put up for arguments on **30.10.2026.**

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.07.2026

A.No. 56/16

20.07.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
 Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal stand disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
20.07.2026