

A.No. 640/26

27.07.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Ms. Farheen, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **11.08.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.07.2026

A.No. 641/26

27.07.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Ms. Farheen, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **11.08.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.07.2026

A.No. 642/26

27.07.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Rajesh Sachdeva, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **18.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.07.2026

A.No. 643/26

27.07.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Akhil P. Chhabra, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **11.08.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.07.2026

A.No. 644/26
Jitendra Gupta vs. MCD

27.07.2026
Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Akhil P. Chhabra, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Submissions heard. File perused.
Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.
The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.
Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **03.11.2026**.
Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. first floor bearing flat no. 38-B, Block D-1B, Janak Puri, New Delhi in pursuance of the demolition order dated 08.07.2026. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.07.2026

A.No. 645/26

27.07.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Siddharth Sarup , Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **23.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.07.2026

A.No. 646/26 & 647/26

27.07.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Jai Batra, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **03.08.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.07.2026

A.No. 652/26
Sumit Gupta Vs. MCD

27.07.2026
Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant along with
appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

An application under Order VI rule 17 CPC filed by the appellant seeking amendment in the ground of the appeal. Notice has not been issued Since the amendment sought is formal, the same is allowed. The amended appeal is taken on record. The application stands disposed of.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on 18.11.2026.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. basement and ground floor of property bearing no. C-33, Preet Vihar,

.....contd.2

: 2 :

Delhi-110092, in pursuance of the demolition order dated 08.07.2026. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question. Copy of this order be given dasti as requested.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.07.2026

A.No. 77/26

27.07.2026

Present : Sh. Rachat Khandelwal, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Ms. Jyoti Kumar, Ld. Proxy counsel for Ms. Harita Mehta
the respondent along with Sh. Manoj Kumar, AE(B).

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has already been submitted. It can be
inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Ld. counsel for the respondent seeks adjournment as the
case file has not yet been handed over to her.

At request, put up for arguments on **16.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.07.2026

A.No. 247/26

27.07.2026

Present : Sh. Armaan Monga, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Avishek Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Faiz Ahmad AE(B). Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **18.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.07.2026

A.No. 263/26

27.07.2026

Present : Sh. K.N. Singh and Sh. Prabhnoor Singh, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel the respondent along with Sh. Yogender, AE(B).
Sh. Digvijay, Ld. counsel for the intervener with intervener.

Application under Order I rule 10 CPC filed on behalf of the intervener. Copy supplied.

Reply, if desired, to this application be filed with advance copy to the other side.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

At request, put up for arguments on the application under Order I rule 10 CPC as well as appeal on **19.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.07.2026

A.No. 276/26

27.07.2026

Present : Sh. Lakshya Verma, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Sh. Vishnu Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Subodh Hathwal, AE(B), Shahdara South Zone.
Sh. Vikas Sharma, Id. counsel for the Intervener through VC along with Intervener in person.

Application under Order I rule 10 CPC filed on behalf of the intervener. Copy supplied.

Reply, if desired, to this application be filed with advance copy to the other side.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has already been deposited. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

At request, put up for arguments on the application under Order I rule 10 CPC as well as appeal on **20.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.07.2026

A.No. 1110/16, 14/17, 15/17 & 16/17

27.07.2026

Present : Sh. G.D. Mishra, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Ravi Ranjan, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

Original record of the MCD has not been deposited and it appears that when these appeals were dismissed in default, the record has been sent back.

Let the record be deposited/called for, for the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **03.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.07.2026

A.No. 698/19
Anil Kumar Goel & Anr. vs. MCD

27.07.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Pritish Sabharwal and Sh. Shiv Chopra, Ld. counsels
for the respondent joined through VC.
Despite various calls none is appearing on behalf of the
appellant in the Tribunal or through VC.

Put up at 2.00 PM.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD/27.7.26

At 2.25 pm

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Pritish Sabharwal and Sh. Shiv Chopra, Ld. counsels
for the respondent joined through VC.

None has appeared on behalf of appellant since morning
in the Tribunal or through VC despite various calls.
None had appeared on behalf of the appellant on the two
consecutive last dates.

It is already 2.25 PM. It appears that the appellants are
not interested in pursuing the appeal. The present appeal
is dismissed in default as well as non-prosecution.

Record of the respondent if any be returned alongwith
copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record
room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.07.2026

A.No. 133/21

27.07.2026

Present : Sh. Ramesh Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal and Sh. H.R. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for
the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied. As per
the status report, the regularization application of the
appellant has been sent to the Chief Town Planner for
comments which is still awaited and the application is
under process.

Be awaited for further status report and arguments on
22.09.2026.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.07.2026

A.No. 20/22
Sanjay Garg Vs. MCD

27.07.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Mohit Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Despite various calls none is appearing on behalf of the appellant in the Tribunal or through VC.

Put up at 2.00 PM.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD/27.7.06

At 2.45 pm

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Mohit Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent.

None has appeared on behalf of appellant since morning in the Tribunal or through VC despite various calls. None had appeared on behalf of the appellant on the previous date as well.

It is already 2.45 PM. It appears that the appellant is not interested in pursuing the appeal. The present appeal is dismissed in default as well as non-prosecution.

Record of the respondent if any be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.07.2026

A.No. 51/22

27.07.2026

Present : Sh. Ankit Paul, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. A.L. Agnihotri, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Record of the MCD is reported to be sent to the Ld. Principal District & Sessions Judge, HQ, in appeal no. 387/2021 and 11/22 vide robkar dated 17.02.2026.

Be awaited for record and arguments on **07.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.07.2026

A.No. 384/23

27.07.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
 Ms. Parveen Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent
 joined through VC.

None has appeared for the appellant despite repeated
calls since morning.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Put up for arguments on **29.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.07.2026

A.No. 546/23 & 187/24

27.07.2026

Present :

Sh. Inderbir Singh Alag, Ld. Senior counsel for the appellant in appeal no. 546/23 through VC along with Sh. Moinuddin Khan and Sh. Navjot Bird, Advocates in person.

Sh. Imran Ali, Ld. counsel for the appellant in appeal no. 187/24 joined through VC..

Sh. Ravi Kapoor, Ld. counsel for the intervener in both the appeals along with Sh. Manish Dewan, Adv. in person.

Sh. Avishek Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 546/23.

Sh.S. Adil Hussain, counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 187/24.

Sh. Shiv Chopra, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Pritish Sabharwal (who has joined through VC), counsel for the respondent/MCD in appeal no. 187/24.

Part arguments heard on the application under Order I rule 10 CPC.

Ld. counsel for the appellant in appeal no. 187/24 seeks time to advance further arguments as he has been engaged today only and has to file vakalatnama and to go through the record.

At request, put up for further arguments on the application under Order I rule 10 CPC as well as Appeal on **08.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.07.2026

A.No. 792/23, 794/23, 795/23, 796/23, 797/23 & 798/23

27.07.2026

Present : Sh. G.D. Mishra, Ld. counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the respondent as main counsel Sh. Ashutosh Gupta is held up before Hon'ble High Court.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **16.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.07.2026

A.No. 73/24

Rajesh Gupta Vs. MCD

27.07.2026

Present : Ms. Vandana Kaushal and Ms. Sunila Choudhary, Id.
counsels for the appellant.
Sh. Ranjit Pandey, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Self Assessment PTR for the year 2005-2006 filed by the
appellant. Copy supplied.

Arguments heard at length.

1. The appellant who is the owner of property bearing
No. B-14, Om Vihar, Uttam Nagar, New Delhi has
challenged the demolition order dated 18.08.2023
passed in respect of unauthorized construction in the
shape of ground to third floor with projections on
municipal land primarily on the ground that the
construction is old and prior to 08.02.2007 and is
protected under National Capital Territory of Delhi
Laws (Special Provision) Second Amendment Act,
2011.
2. Ld counsel for the respondent/MCD on the other hand
argued that the appellant has not been able to furnish
any document to show that the construction is old and
prior to 08.02.2007 and therefore the appeal is without
merit.

Contd...2/-

: 2 :

3. I have perused the record. The MCD booked this property vide show cause notice dated 16.06.2023 on a complaint and it was mentioned in the show cause notice that the unauthorized construction on all the floors is old and occupied. This show that on the date of booking there was no ongoing construction found at site which is mentioned even in the FIR. The appellant has produced the property tax return for the year 2005-2006 which show that the covered area at ground to third floor each is 105 sq. mtr. existing since 1999. This PTR clearly show that the construction from ground to third floor exist at least prior to 08.02.2007.
4. Further, the impugned order itself records that it is not clear whether the owner/occupier has raised additional structure after demolishing the old area or has raised further construction in addition to old existing construction.
5. In these facts, the construction existing in the property as per PTR of 2005-06 being prior to 08.02.2007 is protected under National Capital Territory of Delhi Laws (Special Provision) Second Amendment Act, 2011 till the Act is in force.

Contd...3/-

: 3 :

6. This demolition order though upheld is kept in abeyance till this Act is in force. The MCD shall be at liberty to take action once this Act ceases to be in force.
7. The appeal stands disposed of.
8. Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.07.2026

A.No. 74/24

Poonam Gupta Vs. MCD

27.07.2026

Present : Ms. Vandana Kaushal and Ms. Sunila Choudhary, Id.
counsels for the appellant.
Sh. Ranjit Pandey, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Self Assessment PTR for the year 2005-2006 filed by the
appellant. Copy supplied.

Arguments heard at length.

1. The appellant who is the owner of property bearing No. C-10, Om Vihar, Uttam Nagar, New Delhi has challenged the demolition order dated 18.08.2023 passed in respect of unauthorized construction in the shape of ground to third floor with projections on municipal land primarily on the ground that the construction is old and prior to 08.02.2007 and is protected under National Capital Territory of Delhi Laws (Special Provision) Second Amendment Act, 2011.
2. Ld counsel for the respondent/MCD on the other hand argued that the appellant has not been able to furnish any document to show that the construction is old and prior to 08.02.2007 and therefore the appeal is without merit.

Contd...2/-

: 2 :

3. I have perused the record. The MCD booked this property vide show cause notice dated 16.06.2023 on a complaint and it was mentioned in the show cause notice that the unauthorized construction on all the floors is old and occupied. This show that on the date of booking there was no ongoing construction found at site which is mentioned even in the FIR. The appellant has produced the property tax return for the year 2005-2006 which show that the covered area at ground to third floor each is 142 sq. mtr. existing since 1998. This PTR clearly show that the construction from ground to third floor exist at least prior to 08.02.2007.
4. Further, the impugned order itself records that it is not clear whether the owner/occupier has raised additional structure after demolishing the old area or has raised further construction in addition to old existing construction.
5. In these facts, the construction existing in the property as per PTR for the year 2005-2006 being prior to 08.02.2007 is protected under National Capital Territory of Delhi Laws (Special Provision) Second Amendment Act, 2011 till the Act is in force.

Contd...3/-

: 3 :

6. The demolition order though upheld is kept in abeyance till this Act is in force. The MCD shall be at liberty to take action once this Act ceases to be in force.
7. The appeal stands disposed of.
8. Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.07.2026

A.No. 358/24

27.07.2026

Present : Sh. Satish Kumar Tripathi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks adjournment on the ground that he has recently been engaged in the matter and seeks some time to go through the record.

Similar request of adjournment is made on behalf of the respondent as main counsel Ashutosh Gupta is held up before Hon'ble High Court.

At joint request, put up for arguments on **18.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.07.2026

A.No. 896/24

27.07.2026

Present : Sh. Shariqua Ajmal, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Approv Sisodia, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Siddharth Shankar is held up before Hon'ble High Court.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter.

Put up for the arguments on **27.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.07.2026

A.No. 171/25

27.07.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
 Ms. Vasu Singh, Ld counsel for the respondent joined
 through VC.

None has appeared for the appellant despite repeated
calls since morning.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Put up for arguments on **20.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.07.2026

A.No. 277/25
Payal Gupta Vs. MCD

27.07.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for for the respondent.

Despite various calls none is appearing on behalf of the appellant in the Tribunal or through VC.

Put up at 2.00 PM.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD/27.7.26

At 2.40 pm

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Pulkit Garg, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, counsel for for the respondent.

None has appeared on behalf of appellant since morning in the Tribunal or through VC despite various calls. Even on the previous date, counsel for the appellant submits that he has no instruction from the appellant.

It is already 2.40 PM. It appears that the appellant is not interested in pursuing the appeal. The present appeal is dismissed in default as well as non-prosecution.

Record of the respondent if any be returned alongwith copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.07.2026

A.No. 379/25

27.07.2026

Present : Sh. Bharat Kashyap, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Saurav Bhasin and Sh. Ketan Mohan, Ld. counsels
for the respondent joined through VC.

Ld counsel for the appellant seeks time to file rejoinder to
the reply of the respondent and to advance arguments.

At request, put up for arguments on **19.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.07.2026

A.No. 437/25 & 438/25

27.07.2026

Present : Sh. D.K. Chaudhary, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant along with appellant Ms. Parvesh Devi in person.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Reply to the interim injunction application filed on behalf of the respondent. Copy supplied.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Vibhas Kumar Jha is out of station.

It is stated by the Id counsel for the respondent that there is no interim protection in this case and only the JE on 21.07.2025 stated that till next date of hearing, no coercive action shall be taken in pursuance to the demolition order and that statement cannot be extended for further dates. In view of same, it is clarified that there is no interim order in the matter.

At request made by Id. proxy counsel for the appellant, put up for arguments on **16.11.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.07.2026

A.No. 562/25
Sh. Nitin Singh Vs. MCD

27.07.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Pritish Sabharwal and Sh. Shiv Chopra, Ld counsels
for the respondent joined through VC.

Despite various calls none is appearing on behalf of the
appellant in the Tribunal or through VC.

Put up at 2.00 PM.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD/27.07.26

At 2.20 pm

Present : None for the appellant.
Sh. Pritish Sabharwal and Sh. Shiv Chopra, Ld counsels
for the respondent joined through VC.

None has appeared on behalf of appellant since morning
in the Tribunal or through VC despite various calls.
None had appeared on behalf of the appellant on the
previous date as well.

It is already 2.20 PM. It appears that the appellant is not
interested in pursuing the appeal. The present appeal is
dismissed in default as well as non-prosecution.

Record of the respondent if any be returned along with
copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record
room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.07.2026

A.No. 574/25 & 575/25

27.07.2026

Present : Wife of the appellant no. 1 in person..
 Sh. Pulkit Garg, Id. proxy counsel for Sh. Ashutosh
 Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. G..R. Verma is not available today due to bereavement in close relative.

A similar request is also made on behalf of the respondent as main counsel Sh. Ashutosh Gupta is held up before Hon'ble High Court.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the parties to address the arguments in the matter on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **18.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.07.2026

A.No. 700/25

27.07.2026

Present : Sh. D.K. Shama, Sh. Udit Tyagi, Sh. Kabir Hussain and
Mohd. Ikram, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Sh. Avishek Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

Put up for further arguments on **20.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.07.2026

A.No. 58/26 & 198/26

27.07.2026

Present : Husband of the appellant in person.
Sh. Nishant Singh, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC in appeal no. 58/26.
Ms. Jasleen Kaur, Ld. counsel for the respondent joined through VC in appeal no. 198/26.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as grand-father of the counsel for the appellant is not well today and there is no one to look after him except the counsel.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **02.11.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.07.2026

A.No. 110/26

27.07.2026

Present : Sh. Sarthak Gupta, Ld counsel for the appellant.
None for the respondent.

None has appeared for the respondent despite repeated calls since morning.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Put up for arguments on **07.10.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.07.2026

A.No. 653/18, 599/18 & 851/18

27.07.2026

Present : Sh. Surender Chauhan, Ms. Prachi and Ms. Huma Saifi,
Ld counsels for the appellant.
Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent in
appeal nos. 653/18 & 851/18.
Sh. H.R. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent in
appeal no. 599/18.

Vide separate common judgment of even date, the
aforesaid appeals are dismissed being meritless.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with
copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record
room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
27.07.2026