

A.No. 649/26

29.07.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Ms. Anchal Upadhyay, Ld. proxy counsel for the appellant joined through VC.

Submissions heard. File perused.

The appellant is directed to file the affidavit of existing construction/measurement within a week from today.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **14.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.07.2026

A.No. 650/26

Jatinder Lal Bhatia Vs. MCD

29.07.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Shashwat Kumar, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **16.11.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. Flat no. A-1B/15C, DDA LIG Flat, Paschim Vihar, Delhi, in pursuance of the demolition order dated 24.06.2026 This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.07.2026

A.No. 655/26

29.07.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Ajay Sharma, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **10.08.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.07.2026

A.No. 657/26
Chanda Vs. MCD

29.07.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Jatin S. Sethi and Sh. Sonu Singh, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Submissions heard. File perused.

The aforesaid appeal is against the vacation notice dated 01.07.2026 and show cause notice dated under Section 345-A DMC Act dated 03.08.2023, which are not appealable before this Tribunal.

Ld counsel for the appellant has stated that in view of the judgment passed by Hon'ble High Court in *W.P.(C) no. 13320/2025 dated 01.09.2025 titled as 'Ramesh Kumar Vs. MCD'*, the appeal against the vacation notice is maintainable before this Tribunal.

I have gone through this judgment, where the Hon'ble High Court nowhere laid down the said ratio as argued for the appellant.

In view of the same, **the appeal is dismissed** with liberty to challenge the demolition/sealing order as per law.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.07.2026

A.No. 658/26
Kamlesh Vs. MCD

29.07.2026
Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Ms. Dilpreet Kaur, Ld counsel for the appellant along with appellant.
Submissions heard. File perused.
Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.
The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.
Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on 19.08.2026..
Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. House no. 245, Nawada Village, Uttam Nagar, New Delhi in pursuance of the sealing order dated 21.07.2026. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.07.2026

A.No. 660/26

Manjit Kaur and Anr. vs. MCD

29.07.2026

Fresh appeal received. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.

Submissions heard. File perused.

Issue notice of interim application(s) as well as appeal to the respondent/MCD through concerned Chief Law officer and also to the private respondent(s), if any.

The Executive Engineer (B) is directed to ensure the presence of the concerned AE(B), who shall appear in person along with the record of the proceedings, status report and reply on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on interim application(s) and appeal on **17.09.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant i.e. bearing no. J-13/51, J-13 Block, Rajouri Garden, New Delhi, in pursuance of the demolition order dated 10.06.2026. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.07.2026

A.No. 220/24 & 236/24

29.07.2026

Present : Ms. Shreya Bhardwaj and Ms. Sidhi Sharma, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 220/24 along with Sh. Pravin Dhaware, AE(B), NGZ.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Id counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 236/24.

Ld. counsel for the respondent seeks copy of the application and also seeks time to argue on the appeal.

Copy of the application supplied.

Let the record of CS no. 40/21, titled as 'Apne Welfare Society vs. DDA' and the record of CS (SCJ) no. 444/23 titled as 'Madan Lal Singla Vs. SDMC & Anr. be summoned from the court of SCJ-cum-RC, South-West, Dwarka, New Delhi for the next date of hearing.

At request, put up for arguments on **07.08.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.07.2026

A.No. 130/26 & 680/25

29.07.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rasgoti, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record relating to rejection of regularization has not yet been submitted and the respondent seeks time to submit the record.

Let the same be so submitted within 2 weeks, so it can be inspected by the Id counsel for the appellant.

At request, put up for arguments on both the appeals on **18.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.07.2026

A.No. 271/26

29.07.2026

Present : Sh. V.K. Mantoo, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with son/attorney of the appellant in person.
Ms. Beena Sharma, Ld counsel for the respondent. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **28.10.2026**.

There is no protection to the fourth floor. Let the action taken report be called from the respondent in this regard on the next date of hearing.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.07.2026

A.No. 495/26
Aarti Tandon vs. MCD

29.07.2026

Present : Sh. Mehul Gupta and Sh. Rishabh Kant, Ld counsels for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record

Status report and reply to interim application filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has already been submitted. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Part arguments heard.

Appellant seeks some time to file the additional documents and to advance further arguments.

At request, put up for further arguments on **14.09.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the impugned order. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.07.2026

A.No. 615/26

Ghanshyam Soni Vs. MCD

29.07.2026

Present : Sh. Siddharth Garg, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Ms. Ritim Mangla, Adv.
Sh. Abheet Mangleek, Ld counsel for the respondent along with Sh. Vivek Machra, JE(B), SNZ. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy supplied.

The record has been produced. It be deposited with Registry.

Arguments heard.

1. The appellant has challenged the demolition order dated 18.05.2026 passed in respect of unauthorized construction of entire ground, first and second floor with projections on municipal land in property no. A-93, Khasra No. 195, Gali No.1, Sonia Vihar, Delhi-110094 primarily on the ground that it is a non-speaking order and does not mention the extent of alleged unauthorized construction, date of alleged unauthorized construction and the same is liable to be set-aside as the construction is old and prior to 01.06.2014 and is protected under National Capital Territory of Delhi Laws (Special Provision) Second Amendment Act, 2011.

Contd...2/-

: 2 :

2. It was argued by the Id counsel for the appellant that the impugned demolition order does not mention about the date of alleged unauthorized construction, nor the details of when the same was raised and further no opportunity of hearing was given to the appellant and therefore the impugned demolition order is liable to be set-aside. Reliance was placed by Id counsel for the appellant on the following judgments: -

(1). Kranti Associates Private Limited and Another Vs. Masood Ahmed Khan and Others (2010) 9 Supreme Court Cases 496.

(2). Hardayal Singh Mehta and Ors. Vs. MCD and Ors. MANU/DE/0030/1990.

(3). Masonic Club Vs. MCD & Anr. 2000 SCC OnLine Del 856.

(4). M/s Kolmet Enterprises Vs. NDMC 2017 SCC OnLine Del 7824.

(5). Muni Suvrat-Swami Jain S.M.P. Sangh Vs. Arun Nathuram Gaikwad and Others, (2006) 8 Supreme Court Cases 590.

3. Id counsel for the respondent/MCD on the other hand argued that the appellant demolished the previous construction and raised fresh construction from ground to second floor which is not protected under National Capital Territory of Delhi Laws (Special Provision)

Contd...3/-

: 3 :

Second Amendment Act, 2011. The initial electricity connection in the name of Smt. Hansi Devi Rawal was permanently disconnected on 21.06.2014 and the appellant thereafter reconstructed the property and got two fresh connections installed in the premises. The property documents are after the cut-off date of 01.06.2014 and cannot be relied upon for protection under National Capital Territory of Delhi Laws (Special Provision) Second Amendment Act, 2011. The property is O-zone and no protection can be given to this property being unauthorized and newly constructed.

4. I have perused the record. The property was booked vide show cause notice dated 24.04.2026 issued in the name of appellant which was duly served through speed post on 30.04.2026. The appellant did not choose to file any reply to the show cause notice and the impugned demolition order dated 18.05.2026 was passed and was served on the appellant through speed post on 22.05.2026.
5. The appellant as per documents placed on record purchased this property measuring 60 sq. yard on 27.01.2023 through unregistered GPA, Agreement to Sale etc. The documents do not mention regarding

Contd...4/-

: 4 :

extent of construction existing in the property. The appellant has not placed on record any document to show the extent of construction in the property as on 01.06.2014. The photographs available in the office record clearly show that fresh unauthorized construction has been raised in the property in April, 2026 and was booked in April, 2026. The appellant has raised fresh construction without any sanctioned building plan and therefore the entire construction is unauthorized.

6. The respondent is suppose to mention regarding the extent of unauthorized construction only if some portion of the property is unauthorized and the remaining portion is as per sanctioned building plan. In the present case the entire construction from ground to second floor is unauthorized, has projections on municipal land and is fresh being raised in and around April, 2026.
7. In these facts, the contention of the Id counsel for the appellant that the impugned order is silent in respect of alleged unauthorized construction is meritless. Further, the construction was raised around April, 2026 and is fresh unauthorized construction.

Contd...5/-

: 5 :

8. The appellant in support of his submission that the construction is old has relied upon an electricity bill showing date of energization on 07.06.2006. This bill shows that it is a final bill of supply of electricity showing no consumption of electricity and this connection was disconnected permanently on 21.06.2014. Thereafter, the appellant obtained two connections after reconstructed the premises from ground to second floor and the date of energization of those two connections is 01.12.2025 and 09.12.2025. This shows that between 21.06.2014 to 01.12.2025 there was no electricity connection in the property and the appellant reconstructed the property after purchasing the same through unregistered documents on 27.01.2023.
9. Had there been any construction between 21.06.2014 and 01.12.2025, there must have been some electricity connection in the property but there was none in respect of the subject property which clearly shows that it is a case of fresh unauthorized construction after demolishing the existing ground floor of the property. The photographs taken by the MCD of this unauthorized construction clearly show that fresh construction has been raised from ground level around April, 2026.

Contd...6/-

: 6 :

10. In these facts, I do not find any merits in this appeal, same is dismissed.
11. Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.07.2026

A.No. 173/20

29.07.2026

Present : Ms. Sunita Rani, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. proxy counsel submits that appellant wants to withdraw the appeal and today, the main counsel is not available due to ill-health.

At request, put up for recording the statement qua withdrawal of the appeal on **31.07.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.07.2026

A.No. 172/23
Alimuddin Qureshi Vs. MCD

29.07.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Arguments heard. Record perused.

The respondent booked the unauthorized construction in the shape of ground to third floor in property no. 318A/1, Village Chirag Delhi, New Delhi vide show cause notice dated 23.08.2019 which itself records that the structure from ground to third is old and renovation work is in progress.

The appellant has placed on record a contract agreement dated 15.09.2008 to establish that the construction was raised from ground to third floor in pursuance to this agreement which was prior to 01.06.2014. Further, there are photographs to show that the entire construction from ground to third floor was in existence before this date i.e. 01.06.2014, which is the relevant cut-off date under National Capital Territory of Delhi Laws (Special Provision) Second Amendment Act, 2011 for protecting the unauthorized construction.

...contd.2

: 2 :

The property was booked on a court case and no ongoing construction was found at the time of booking. Since, it has been exfacie shown that the construction is prior to 01.06.2014, the impugned demolition order dated 21.03.2023 is kept in abeyance in respect of property of the appellant till this Act is in force. The respondent is at liberty to take action once the Act ceases to be in force. Appeal stands disposed of.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.07.2026

A.No. 214/23 & 215/23

29.07.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.
Sh. Kanwal Chaudhary and Sh. Ankit Kumar, Ld counsels
for the intervener.

Part arguments heard.

At request, put up for further arguments on **11.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.07.2026

A.No. 412/23

29.07.2026

Present : Sh. I Ahmad, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC along with Ms. Bharti, Adv.
Sh. Sandeep Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.

Previous cost has been deposited today.

Further adjournment sought by Id counsel for the appellant on the ground that he is held up before the Hon'ble High Court.

There is no interim protection in the matter. Let the Action taken report be filed by the respondent/MCD on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments as last and final opportunity on **20.11.2026.**

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.07.2026

A.No. 465/23

29.07.2026

Present : Sh. Sanjeev Sahay, Sh. Archit Kumar and Sh. Karan Deep Singh, Ld counsels for the appellant.
None for the respondent.

None has appeared for the respondent despite repeated calls since morning. Even none has appeared for the respondent even on the previous date also.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Fresh notice be issued to the MCD for ensuring the presence of the counsel on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **09.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.07.2026

A.No. 567/23 & 628/23

29.07.2026

Present : Sh. Armaan Monga, Ld counsel for the appellant. Memo of appearance filed.

Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks adjournment on the ground that he has recently been engaged and he needs some time to file vakalatnama on behalf of the appellant, to go through the record and to advance arguments.

At request, put up for arguments on **03.11.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.07.2026

A.No. 572/23 & 278/24

29.07.2026

Present : Appellant in person.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 572/23.
Sh. Sandeep Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 278/24 joined through VC.

Appellant seeks pass over in the matter as the main counsel is on his way. In view of the heavy cause list, the pass over is not possible.

Put up for arguments on **06.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.07.2026

A.No. 209/24

29.07.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Shiv Chopra, Ld. proxy counsel for Sh. Prithish Sabharwal, Ld counsel for the respondent joined through VC.
Sh. Shahrukh, Id. counsel for the intervener.

Ld. counsel for the appellant has filed certain documents along with list.

Part arguments heard.

Ld. proxy counsel seeks pass over in the matter as the main counsel is held up before the Hon'ble High Court. In view of the heavy cause list, the pass over is not possible.

Put up for further arguments on **11.08.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.07.2026

A.No. 299/24, 300/24, 615/24, 616/24, 617/24, 618/24, 619/24, 620/24, 621/24, 633/24, 634/24, 635/24, 636/24, 637/24 & 646/24

29.07.2026

Present : Sh. Vedpal Rana and Sh. Aviral Jain, Ld counsels for the appellants.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent in appeals no. 615/24, 616/24, 617/24, 618/24, 620/24, 621/24, 633/24, 634/24, 636/24, 637/24 & 646/24.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 619/24 and 635/24.
Sh. Chetan Hasija, Ld. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 299/24.
Sh. Sandeep Kumar, Id. counsel for the respondent in appeal no. 300/24 through VC.

It is stated by the Id counsel for the appellants that the regularization application filed by all the appellants has been rejected by the MCD, whereas regularization was granted to one Pradeep son of Khima r/o House/Khasra no. 145/26, Village Khera Kalan, Delhi and the appellants have already challenged the rejection of regularization order before the Hon'ble High Court, where the writ petition is likely to be listed on Friday and the matter may be adjourned in view of this fresh development.

Same is opposed by the MCD on the ground that rejection of regularization and challenge to that order in a writ is no ground for adjourning of these matters.

...contd.2

: 2 :

Let the MCD to produce the record of regularization stated to be given to Pradeep son of Khima r/o House/Khasra no. 145/26, Village Khera Kalan, Delhi on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **14.10.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.07.2026

A.No. 340/24

29.07.2026

Present : Sh. P. Chandra, Ld counsel for the appellant along with
appellant.

Sh. Avishek Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Part arguments heard.

Put up for further arguments on **14.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.07.2026

A.No. 163/25

29.07.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
 Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

None has appeared for the appellant despite repeated calls since morning.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Put up for arguments on **19.11.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.07.2026

A.No. 317/25

29.07.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
 Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

None has appeared for the appellant despite repeated calls since morning.

There is no interim protection in the matter. Let the Action taken report be filed by the respondent/MCD on next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **16.11.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.07.2026

A.No. 325/25

29.07.2026

Present : Sh. Dalip Rastogi, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

It is stated by the Id counsel for the appellant that the appellant is inclined to pay the misuser charges.

Let the property be temporary desealed to calculate misuser charges and resealed after the same.

Respondent/MCD is directed to file the status report qua the misuser charges and same may also be communicated to the appellant within 2 weeks to enable him to pay the said misuser charges.

Put up for further proceedings/arguments on **08.09.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.07.2026

A.No. 436/25

29.07.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
 Sh. Madan Sagar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

None has appeared for the appellant despite repeated calls since morning.

No adverse order is being passed today.

In the interest of justice one last and final opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **19.11.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.07.2026

A.No. 551/25, 552/25 & 553/25

29.07.2026

Present : Ms. Sapna, Ld. Proxy counsel for the appellant
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent along
with Sh. Pawan Kumar, AE(B).

Ld proxy counsel for the appellant has filed certain documents along with list. Copy supplied. Same are taken on record.

The concerned AE(B) has clarified that the cut-off date for protection is 08.02.2007 and same has wrongly been recorded as 01.06.2014 in the demolition order.

An adjournment is sought on behalf of the appellant as main counsel Sh. Lalit Kumar has been suffering from viral fever.

In the interest of justice one more opportunity is granted to the appellant to address the arguments in the matter on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on **04.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.07.2026

A.No. 594/25

29.07.2026

Present : Sh. Munawwar Naseem and Sh. Harshil Gupta, Ld
counsels for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Record of this appeal has been tagged with appeal no.
680/2025.

Ld. counsel for the appellant is permitted to inspect the
record tagged with that appeal or to take the certified
copy of the same.

Put up for arguments with connected appeal on
18.11.2026.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.07.2026

A.No. 633/25

29.07.2026

Present : Sh. Mutiur Rehman, Ld counsel for the appellant joined through VC.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy placed on record. Let the same be collected by the Id counsel for the appellant.

As per the status report, the reply by the predecessor in interest of the appellant dated 13.05.2025 was not considered by the respondent before passing the demolition order. The appellant is concerned about the third floor and purchased the third floor from Mr. Sharad Arora, who gave reply dated 13.05.2025.

Part arguments heard.

Put up for further arguments on **17.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.07.2026

A.No. 692/25

29.07.2026

Present : Sh. Charul Chaudhary, Ld counsel for the appellant
joined through VC.

Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to file the application seeking condonation of delay in filing this appeal.

At request, put up for purpose fixed on **06.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.07.2026

A.No. 707/25

29.07.2026

Present : Sh. Rambir Chauhan, Ld counsel for the appellant.
Sh. Ashutosh Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Record has already been submitted.

Ld. counsel for the appellant seeks time to inspect the record and to argue the matter.

At request, put up for arguments on **16.11.2026**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.07.2026

A.No. 76/26

29.07.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
 Sh. Avishek Kumar, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Status report is filed by the MCD, copy placed on record.
The record has been produced. It be deposited with
Registry and can be inspected.

None has appeared for the appellant despite repeated
calls since morning.

No adverse order is being passed today.

Put up for arguments on **17.11.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.07.2026

A.No. 298/26

29.07.2026

Present : Sh. Kanwal Chaudhary and Sh. Ankit Kumar, Ld counsels
for the appellant.
Sh. Shivang Chaturvedi, Ld counsel for the respondent
joined through VC.
Sh. Prashant Batra, Id. counsel for the intervener.

Part arguments heard.

At request, put up for further arguments on **11.09.2026**.

Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of
hearing.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.07.2026

A.No. 537/26

29.07.2026

Present : Sh. Umang Tyagi (joined through VC) and Sh. Sanyam Dahiya, Ld counsels for the appellant. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record
Sh. Atul Tanwar, Id counsel for the respondent. Fresh Vakalatnama filed, same is taken on record.

The record has already been submitted. It can be inspected by the counsel for the appellant.

Ld. counsel for the appellant submits that property of the appellant is situated in village Ghitorni, which is in the list of 1510 colonies for which the Government has now taken a decision to regularize and some time may be given to the appellant to apply for regularization of the property.

Let the appellant do so within 8 weeks and subject to outcome of the regularization application, put up for arguments on this appeal on **25.11.2026**.

Till next date of hearing, no coercive action be taken against the property of appellant in pursuance of the impugned order. This interim order shall not come in the way of any order/directions passed by the Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. It is

....contd.2

: 2 :

also made clear that no encroachment on the public land is protected. The appellant is directed not to raise any further construction in the property in question.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.07.2026

A.No. 153/21

29.07.2026

Present : None for the appellant.
 Sh. Dharamvir Gupta, Ld counsel for the respondent.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal is dismissed.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.07.2026

A.No. 416/26

29.07.2026

Present : Sh. Arun Prashar, Ld counsel for the appellant no. 1
joined through VC.
Sh. Pushpendra Kumar Gupta, Ld counsel for the
appellant no. 2.
Sh. V.K. Aggarwal, Ld counsel for the respondent/MCD.
Sh. G.S. Bhatia and Ms. Simran, Ld. counsels for R-2.
Ms. Prabha Ranjan, Ld. counsel for R-3, R-4, R-5 and R-
7.

Vide separate judgment of even date, the present appeal
is dismissed.

Record of the respondent, if any, be returned along with
copy of this order and appeal file be consigned to record
room.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Addl. District & Sessions Judge
P.O.: Appellate Tribunal, MCD
29.07.2026